

## Lecture 10 — February 17, 2012

*Lecturer: Venkatesh Raman**Scribe: Shion Samadder Chaudhury*

## 1 Overview

In the last lecture we looked at datastructures that efficiently support the actions *predecessor*, *successor* along with the usual *membership*, *insertion* and *deletion* actions.

In this lecture we discuss Fusion trees. Our goal is to perform the above mentioned actions in time better than  $O(\log n)$ . Given a static set  $S \subseteq (0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^w - 1)$ , fusion trees can answer predecessor/successor queries in  $O(\log_w n)$ . Fusion Tree originates in a paper by Fredman and Willard [1].

## 2 Main Idea

### 2.1 Model

In our model, the memory is composed of words each of length  $w = \log u$  bits where  $u$  is the size of our universe  $U$ . Each item we store must fit in a word. The manipulation of a word in this model takes  $O(1)$  time for operations like addition, subtraction, multiplication, division, AND, OR, XOR, left/right shift and comparison.

### 2.2 Description of Fusion tree

A Fusion tree is a B-tree with a branching factor of  $k = \Theta(w^{1/5})$ . Let  $h$  be the height of the B-tree. Then

$$h = \log_{w^{1/5}} n = \frac{1}{5} \log_w n = \Theta(\log_w n)$$

To get an  $O(\log_w n)$  solution to the problem we have to find a way to determine where a query fits among the B keys of a node in  $O(1)$  time.

## 3 Nodes of the Fusion Tree

Let us suppose that the keys in a node are  $x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{k-1}$  where  $k = \theta(B)$  with each of them a  $w$ -bit string. We view each of them as a root-to-leaf path in a binary tree whose edges are labeled 0 or 1. When we get 0 we move to the left and we move to the right when we get 1. This is basically a trie.

A node in this trie is called a *branching node* if it has a non-empty left and right subtree. There are  $k - 1$  such nodes. Shown in the following figure.



3. Add correct multiples of  $r^3$  to  $m_i$ 's to get  $m'_i$  so that the condition :  $w \leq m'_1 + b_1 < m'_2 + b_2 < \dots < m'_r + b_r < w + O(r^4)$  holds.

4. Take  $c_i = m'_i + b_i - w$ .

So we multiply  $\sum_{i=1}^r 2^{b_i} x_{b_i}$  by  $m = \sum_{i=1}^r 2^{m'_i}$  to get  $\sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^r 2^{m'_j + b_i} x_{b_i}$ . The powers of 2 in this expression are distinct. Hence if we mask to consider only bits of the form  $m'_i + b_i$ , we are left with  $\sum_{i=1}^r 2^{m'_i + b_i} x_{b_i}$ . Finally, dump the low-order word to get the required.

The validity of the above computation is confirmed by the following theorem :

**Theorem :** Given the  $b_i$ 's as above, then

- a. there exist constants  $m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_r < r^3$  such that  $b_i + m_j$  are distinct modulo  $r^3$ .
- b. suitable multiples of  $r^3$  can be added to  $m_i$ 's to get  $m'_i$  so that the condition :  $w \leq m'_1 + b_1 < m'_2 + b_2 < \dots < m'_r + b_r < w + O(r^4)$  holds.

**Proof:** (part a) (By induction) When  $r = 1$ , we have only one term and the condition is trivially satisfied. Let us assume that we have  $m_1 < \dots < m_t$  such that  $b_i + m_j$  are distinct modulo  $r^3$ . We observe that  $m_{t+1}$  must be different from the terms  $(m_i + b_j - b_k)$  modulo  $r^3$  for all  $i, j, k$ . So  $m_{t+1}$  must be different from  $t \cdot r^2 \leq (r-1)r^2$  terms. But  $(r-1)r^2$  is less than the size of the address space. Hence there must be at least one term which is feasible.

**Proof:** (part b) We want to get  $m'_i$  by adding to  $m_i$  a correct multiple of  $r^3$  such that  $w + r^3(i-1) \leq m'_i + b_i < w + r^3 i$ . Then the order  $w \leq m'_1 + b_1 < m'_2 + b_2 < \dots < m'_r + b_r < w + O(r^4)$  will be satisfied. So we define  $m'_i := m_i + r^3 i + (\text{the greatest multiple of } r^3 \leq (w - b_i))$  (or in other words  $(w - b_i)$  rounded down to a multiple of  $r^3$ ).

## 4 Predecessor and Successor

On a query  $q$ , we compute  $sketch(q)$  and compare it with every key simultaneously in parallel. We do this in the following way :

1. Pack the sketches of the keys together with a 1 bit on the left side of each, that is,

$$1sketch(x_1)1sketch(x_2)1...1sketch(x_k)$$

.

2. Given  $sketch(q)$ , we compute

$$0sketch(q)0sketch(q)0...0sketch(q)$$

- (repeated  $k$  times) - this is  $sketch(q)(1 + 2^r + 2^{2r} + \dots + 2^{kr})$ . Let us call it *repeated - sketch(q)*. Therefore,

$$repeated - sketch(q) = sketch(q) * (00...0100...01...00...01)$$

3. If  $sketch(q) \geq sketch(x_i)$  the difference between these two words has a 0 in the  $r(i-1)$ th place from the left. Otherwise it is 1. ie.,

$$sketch(node) - repeated - sketch(q) = (c_0.....c_1.....c_i.....c_{(k-1)}.....)$$

where  $c_i = 0$  if  $sketch(q) \geq sketch(x_i)$ , 1 otherwise.

**(Note)** *Sketch* preserves order of the keys we built it on.  $sketch(x_1) < sketch(x_2) < \dots < sketch(x_k)$  - so if  $sketch(x_j) < sketch(q) < sketch(x_j + 1)$ , bits  $0, r, \dots, r(j-1)$  from the left are 0 and bits  $rj, \dots, r(k-1)$  are 1. We want to have the value of  $j$ , the index of  $q$ 's "sketch predecessor." We mask out the "junk" bits with an AND. Then  $rj$  is the most significant bit of the comparison word. ie., we compute

$$(c_0 \dots c_1 \dots c_i \dots c_{(k-1)} \dots) \text{ AND } (\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 2^{i(r^4+1)+r^4}) = (c_0 0 \dots 0 c_1 0 \dots 0 \dots 0 c_{(k-1)} 0 \dots 0)$$

The MSB is easily computable in  $AC^0$ . So  $j$  is easy to compute.

**(Note)** Sketch does not preserve order on all words except for those that branch off from one another at the branching positions we selected (i.e., the keys). Hence  $x_j$  and  $x_j + 1$  need not be necessarily related to the predecessor or successor of  $q$ .

**5.** Let us suppose that  $q$  diverges from its predecessor lower than the point of divergence with the successor. Then, one of  $x_j$  or  $x_j + 1$  must have a common prefix with  $q$  of the same length as the common prefix between  $q$  and its predecessor. This is because the common prefix remains identical through sketch, and the sketch predecessor can only deviate from the real predecessor below where  $q$  deviates from the real predecessor. We can find the length of the common prefix in  $O(1)$  by taking bitwise XOR and finding the MSB.

**6.** Again, let us assume that the predecessor deviates below. If  $C$  is the common prefix, the predecessor is of the form  $C0A$ , and  $q$  has the form  $C1B$  for some  $A$  and  $B$ . We now construct the word  $q' = C011\dots 1$  and, as above and calculate  $x_i$  such that  $sketch(x_i) < sketch(q_0) < sketch(x_i + 1)$  in  $O(1)$ . This element is  $q$ 's predecessor among the keys of the node.

**7.** The sketch predecessor of  $q'$  is  $q$ 's predecessor : Since  $C$  is the longest common prefix between  $q$  and any  $x_i$ , we know that no  $x_i$  begins with the string  $C1$ . Hence, the predecessor of  $q$  must begin with  $C0$ , and it must also be the predecessor of  $q' = C011\dots 1$ . Now, the predecessor of  $q'$  is the same as its sketch predecessor: this follows because all important bits in  $q'$  after  $C$  are 1, and thus  $q'$  remains the maximum in the subtree beginning with  $C$ , even after sketching. Thus, the maximum element  $x_i$  beginning with  $C$  is actually the predecessor of  $q$ .

**8.** Now proceed with the fusion tree query by recursing down the corresponding branch.

## 5 References

- [1] M. L. Fredman and D. E. Willard. Surpassing the information theoretic bound with fusion trees. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 47:424-436, 1993.
- [2] A. Anderson, P. B. Miltersen, M. Thorup. Fusion trees can be implemented with  $AC^0$  instructions only. *Theor. Comp. Sc.*, 215(1-2):337-344, 1999