## Assignment 1 Assigned Date: 20 Aug 2015 Due Date: 14 Sept 2015 (in class)

Please be precise, concise, and legible in your answers; a good idea may be to submit a pdf file with your answers. Discussion is encouraged amongst yourselves, but for each problem you should acknowledge the people involved. If you are using a resource (book, website, notes, etc.) then please cite it in your solution. Most importantly: *Please write your own solutions; copying of solutions will not be accepted.* 

1. Analogous to the definition of BFS for LP in equation form, we may define a BFS for

 $P = \{\mathbf{x} : A\mathbf{x} \le \mathbf{b}\}$ 

as the points  $\mathbf{x}$  for which there are *n* linearly independent inequalities that are satisfied as equalities. (A is an  $m \times n$  matrix;  $m \ge n$ .) Show that in this setting it is also the case that  $\mathbf{x}$  is a BFS for *P* if and only if  $\mathbf{x}$  is an extreme feasible solution in *P*.

2. Let  $f: S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable function, i.e., there is a Taylor series expansion of f around every point  $\mathbf{x}$ , or more formally, for all  $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}$  in a convex set S

$$f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \nabla f(\eta), (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \rangle$$

where  $\nabla$  is the gradient operator where the *i*th entry is  $\partial f/\partial x_i$  evaluated at  $\mathbf{x}$ , and  $\eta \in S$  is a point on the line segment joining  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{y}$ . Show that f is convex iff for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S$ , we have

$$f(\mathbf{y}) \ge f(\mathbf{x}) + \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{x}), (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \rangle.$$

What does it mean for f if we have equality (instead of inequality) above?

- 3. In class we saw that if an LP has a well-defined optimum, then the optimum is achieved at a BFS. This allows us to prune our search space from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to just the basic solutions. But how can we decide whether the LP has a well-defined optimum? Consider the following two problems:
  - (a) Bounded-Opt: Given an LP in equation form, decide which of the following holds:
    - (i) The LP is infeasible.
    - (ii) The LP is feasible but does not have a well-defined optimum.
    - (iii) The LP is feasible and has a well-defined optimum.
  - (b) Feasible: Given an LP in equation form, decide whether the feasible set is non-empty.
    Using the result shown in class (that an opt is achieved at BFS), show that Bounded-Opt reduces to Feasible. The reduction need not be poly time (something in terms of <sup>n</sup><sub>m</sub>) is acceptable).
- 4. Does a non-degenerate step in the simplex method moves us along an edge of the polyhedron of feasible solutions? Give rigorous arguments to support your answer.
- 5. (a) Show that every linear program in equational form can be reduced to one of the following form: maximize  $\langle \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x} \rangle$  subject to  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{x}$  is on the regular simplex  $\left\{ \mathbf{x} | \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j = 1, \mathbf{x} \ge 0 \right\}$ .

(b) Given an lp in the form above, define the following quantities for the *t*th step of the simplex method:  $\mathbf{x}_t$  be the bfs,  $z_t$  be the value of the objective function, and  $\theta_t > 0$  be the value of the incoming variable, which is selected using Dantzig's rule, i.e., corresponding to the maximum coefficient in the  $\mathbf{r}$  vector in the tableau (note we are assuming no degeneracies). Let  $z^*$  be the opt value of the objective function, and  $\Delta_t := z^* - z^t$ . Show that

$$\Delta_t / \Delta_0 \le \prod_{i=1}^t (1 - \theta_i).$$

On the average we expect  $\theta_t > 1/m$  (why?), then bound the number of iterations we need to get the ratio on the LHS below some  $\epsilon < 1$ ? What is so interesting about this bound?

6. Let G be an undirected connected graph on n + 1 vertices, and Q(G) be its Laplacian matrix (i.e., Q(G) = D - A, where D is the diagonal matrix containing the degree of the *i*th vertex, and A is the adjacency matrix). Let  $\Delta(G)$  be the convex hull of the rows of Q(G). Show that  $\Delta(G)$  is an *n*-dimensional simplex whose centroid is at the origin.