

Universal \mathcal{T} matrix for quantum groups and its applications

R. Chakrabarti

*Department of Theoretical Physics,
University of Madras,
Guindy Campus, Chennai 600 025, India*

1 Introduction

Universal \mathcal{T} matrix : the concept [Fronsdal, Galindo]

(a) Lie algebra $\xrightarrow{\text{exponentiation}}$ Lie groups

Coproduct for the group elements (G)

$$\Delta(G) = G \otimes G.$$

Can the process of exponentiation be generalized for a Hopf algebra?

(b) Hopf duality

Universal enveloping algebra (\mathcal{U}) \longleftrightarrow Function Hopf Algebra (\mathcal{A})
 \sim Counterpart of Lie algebra \sim Counterpart of Lie group
($u, v \in \mathcal{U}$) ($a, b \in \mathcal{A}$)

Nondegenerate bilinear form $\langle, \rangle : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a, uv \rangle &= \langle \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a), u \otimes v \rangle, & \langle ab, u \rangle &= \langle a \otimes b, \Delta_{\mathcal{U}}(u) \rangle, \\ \langle a, I_{\mathcal{U}} \rangle &= \epsilon_{\mathcal{A}}(a), & \langle I_{\mathcal{A}}, u \rangle &= \epsilon_{\mathcal{U}}(u). \end{aligned}$$

$\{E_A\}, \{e^A\} \implies$ finitely generated basis elements of \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{A} algebras, respectively.

Multiplication map of the \mathcal{U} algebra is associated with the comultiplication map of the \mathcal{A} algebra, and vice versa.

$$\begin{aligned} E_A E_B &= \sum_{A,B} f_{AB}^C E_C, & \Delta_{\mathcal{U}}(E_A) &= \sum_{B,C} g_A^{BC} E_B \otimes E_C, \\ \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(e^A) &= \sum_{B,C} f_{BC}^A e^B \otimes e^C, & e^A e^B &= \sum_{A,B} g_C^{AB} e^C. \end{aligned}$$

(c) Universal \mathcal{T} matrix (canonical element) is the capstone of the concept of duality.
 $\mathcal{T} = \sum_A e^A E_A \sim$ closed form expression for the finitely generated basis elements.

Classical $q \rightarrow 1$ limit \Rightarrow Lie group element

Group behaviour

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \text{id})\mathcal{T} &= \sum_A \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(e^A) E_A = \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}, \\ (\text{id} \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{U}})\mathcal{T} &= \sum_A e^A \Delta_{\mathcal{U}}(E_A) = \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}. \end{aligned}$$

(d) Maps to the universal \mathcal{R} matrix
 Two noninvertible maps exist.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} &\Rightarrow (\phi \otimes \text{id})\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{R}, \\ \psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{U} &\Rightarrow (\psi \otimes \text{id})\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{R}_{21}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Each Borel subalgebra of \mathcal{A} is mapped to the conjugate Borel subalgebra of \mathcal{U} .

2 \mathcal{T} -matrix for $SL_q(2)$ algebra

[Fronsdal, Galindo]

(a) $\mathcal{U} \sim \mathcal{U}_q(sl(2))$ algebra

$$\begin{aligned} [J_0, J_{\pm}] &= \pm J_{\pm}, & [J_+, J_-] &= [2J_0]_q = \frac{q^{2J_0} - q^{-2J_0}}{q - q^{-1}}, \\ \Delta(J_0) &= J_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes J_0, & \Delta(J_{\pm}) &= J_{\pm} \otimes q^{J_0} + q^{-J_0} \otimes J_{\pm}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Basis } E_{k\ell m} = J_+^k J_0^\ell J_-^m, \quad (k, \ell, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

(b) Dual $\mathcal{A} \sim SL_2(2)$ algebra

Dual basis of quantum group $\mathcal{A} \sim SL_q(2) \sim e^{k\ell m}$

$$\langle e^{k\ell m}, E_{k'\ell'm'} \rangle = \delta_{k'}^k \delta_{\ell'}^\ell \delta_{m'}^m.$$

Generating element $e^{100} = x, \quad e^{010} = z, \quad e^{001} = y$

Dual algebra

$$[x, y] = 0, \quad [z, x] = -2 \ln q x, \quad [z, y] = -2 \ln q y.$$

Full basis set

$$e^{k\ell m} = \frac{x^k}{[k]_q!} \frac{(z - (k - m) \ln q)^\ell}{\ell!} \frac{y^m}{[m]_q!}$$

(c) Universal \mathcal{T} -matrix

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T} &= \sum_{k\ell m} e^{k\ell m} E_{k\ell m}, \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x \otimes J_+ q^{-J_0})^k}{(k)_{q^{-2}}!} \right) e^{z \otimes J_0} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(y \otimes q^{J_0} J_-)^m}{(m)_{q^2}!} \right) \\ (n)_q &= \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{T}|_{q \rightarrow 1} = e^{x \otimes J_+} e^{z \otimes J_0} e^{y \otimes J_-} \sim SL(2) \text{ group element}$$

(d) Fundamental rep

$$\mathcal{T}|_{j=\frac{1}{2}} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{z/2} + x e^{-z/2} y & q^{1/2} x e^{-z/2} \\ q^{-1/2} e^{-z/2} y & e^{-z/2} \end{pmatrix},$$

\sim Gauss decomposition of $SL_q(2, \mathbb{C})$.

a, b, c, d satisfy quantum group relation.

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= q^{-1}ba, & ac &= q^{-1}ca, & bd &= q^{-1}db \\ cd &= q^{-1}dc, & bc &= cb, & [a, d] &= (q^{-1} - q)bc, \\ ad - q^{-1}bc &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad x = q^{-1/2} b d^{-1}, \quad y = q^{1/2} d^{-1} c, \quad e^{z/2} = d^{-1}.$$

(e) $*$ -involution ($SU_q(2)$) $q \sim \text{real}$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\pm}^* &= J_{\mp}, & J_0^* &= J_0 \\ \Rightarrow \quad SU_q(2) &= \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -qb^* & a^* \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow x^* &= -\frac{1}{1 - \zeta} y e^{-z}, & e^{z^*/2} &= \frac{1}{1 - \zeta} e^{-z/2}, \\ y^* &= -\frac{1}{1 - \zeta} e^{-z} x, & \zeta &= -q x e^{-z} y = -qbc. \end{aligned}$$

3 Generalized coherent states

[Aizawa, RC]

(a) spin- j representation of $SU_q(2)$

$$J_{\pm} |jm\rangle = \sqrt{[j \mp m]_q [j \pm m + 1]_q} |j m \pm 1\rangle,$$

$$J_0 |jm\rangle = m |jm\rangle,$$

(b) Coherent state

$$\begin{aligned} |x, z\rangle &= \mathcal{T} |j - j\rangle, \\ &= e^{-jz} \sum_{n=0}^{2j} q^{-nj} \begin{bmatrix} 2j \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q^{1/2} x^n |j - j + n\rangle. \\ \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q &= \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q! [n-k]_q!}. \end{aligned}$$

classical case ($q = 1$) \Rightarrow coherent state \longleftrightarrow coset space $SU(2)/U(1)$

Usual choice $z \sim \text{real}$ $e^z = 1 + |x|^2$, $x^* = -y$, $y^* = -x$.

Not possible in the $q \neq 1$ case

Contradiction $\Rightarrow (1 - q^{-2})\zeta = 0$ $x^{**} = q^{-2}x$ $y^{**} = q^{-2}y$.

(c) Unit norm

*-involution requires \mathcal{T} to be unitary operator $\mathcal{T}^\dagger \mathcal{T} = I$.

\Rightarrow coherent state is of unit norm $\langle x, z | x, z \rangle = 1$

explicit check

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, z | x, z \rangle &= e^{-jz^*} e^{-jz} {}_1\phi_1 \left[\begin{matrix} q^{-4j} \\ q^{-4j}\zeta \end{matrix}; q^2; \zeta \right] \sim \text{Basic hypergeometric function,} \\ &= e^{-jz^*} e^{-jz} \frac{(\zeta; q^2)_\infty}{(q^{-4j}\zeta; q^2)_\infty} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

(d) Resolution of unity

Normalized bi-invariant integral [Chari, Pressley]

$H : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

$$(1) H[1_{\mathcal{A}}] = 1,$$

$$(2) \text{ for any } f \in \mathcal{A}$$

$$(H \otimes id)[\Delta(f)] = (id \otimes H)[\Delta(f)] = H[f].$$

$$\Rightarrow H[a^\alpha b^\beta c^\gamma d^\delta] \neq 0, \quad \text{only if } \alpha = \delta \text{ and } \beta = \gamma,$$

$$H[b^\beta c^\gamma d^{-\delta} a^{-\alpha}] \neq 0, \quad \text{only if } \alpha = \delta \text{ and } \beta = \gamma.$$

$$\Rightarrow H[\zeta^n] = \frac{q^{2n}}{(n+1)_{q^2}}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & H[|x, z\rangle \langle x, z|] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{2j} q^{2jn-n(n+2)} \begin{bmatrix} 2j \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q H[(\zeta; q^2)_{2j-n} \zeta^n] |j-j+n\rangle \langle j-j+n|, \\ &= \frac{1}{(2j+1)_{q^2}} \sum_{n=0}^{2j} |j-j+n\rangle \langle j-j+n|. \\ &\Rightarrow (2j+1)_{q^2} H[|x, z\rangle \langle x, z|] = \mathbb{I} \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow Any state in the spin- j rep can be expanded in the coherent state basis

$$\begin{aligned} |c\rangle &= \sum_{m=-j}^j c_m |jm\rangle = \sum_{m=-j}^j c_m (2j+1)_{q^2} H[|x, z\rangle \langle x, z|] |jm\rangle, \\ &= (2j+1)_{q^2} H[|x, z\rangle \sum_{m=-j}^j c_m \langle x, z | jm\rangle]. \\ \langle x, z | jm\rangle &= q^{j(j+m)} \begin{bmatrix} 2j \\ j+m \end{bmatrix}_q^{1/2} e^{-jz^*} (x^*)^{j+m}. \end{aligned}$$

(e) Coherent state $\longrightarrow SU_q/U(1) \sim S_q^2$
 \sim Podleś q sphere
 \sim quantum homogenous space.

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= -q \frac{\sqrt{[2]_q}}{[2j]_q} \langle x, z | J_+ q^{-J_0} |x, z\rangle = -q \sqrt{[2]_q} (1-\zeta) x^*, \\ x_0 &= 1 - q \frac{[2]_q}{[2j]_q} (\langle x, z | q^{-J_0} [J_0]_q |x, z\rangle + q^j [j]_q) = 1 - q^{-1} [2]_q \zeta, \\ x_{-1} &= \frac{\sqrt{[2]_q}}{[2j]_q} \langle x, z | q^{-J_0} J_- |x, z\rangle = \sqrt{[2]_q} x (1-\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Noncommutative algebra

$$\begin{aligned} x_0^2 - q^{-1} x_1 x_{-1} - q x_{-1} x_1 &= 1, \\ (1 - q^{-2}) x_0^2 + q^{-1} x_{-1} x_1 - q^{-1} x_1 x_{-1} &= (1 - q^{-2}) x_0, \\ x_{-1} x_0 - q^{-2} x_0 x_{-1} &= (1 - q^{-2}) x_{-1}, \\ x_0 x_1 - q^{-2} x_1 x_0 &= (1 - q^{-2}) x_1. \end{aligned}$$

~ special case of Podleś q sphere.

-involution $x_1^ = -qx_{-1}$, $x_0^* = x_0$, $x_{-1}^* = -q^{-1}x_1$.

~ left-covariant differential structure may also be derived.

4 Universal \mathcal{T} -matrix for $OSp_q(1/2)$ quantum supergroup

(a) Universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U} \sim \mathcal{U}_q(osp(1/2))$

generating elements H (parity even) V_{\pm} (parity odd)

$$[H, V_{\pm}] = \pm \frac{1}{2} V_{\pm}, \quad \{V_+, V_-\} = -\frac{q^{2H} - q^{-2H}}{q - q^{-1}} \equiv -[2H]_q.$$

$$\Delta(H) = H \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H, \quad \Delta(V_{\pm}) = V_{\pm} \otimes q^{-H} + q^H \otimes V_{\pm},$$

Universal \mathcal{R} -matrix [Kulish, Reshetikhin]

$$\mathcal{R} = q^{AH \otimes H} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(q - q^{-1})^k q^{-k/2}}{\binom{k}{q^{-1}}!} (q^H V_+ \otimes q^{-H} V_-)^k,$$

$$\binom{k}{q} = \frac{1 - (-1)^k q^k}{1 + q}$$

\Rightarrow satisfies Yang-Baxter equation

$$\mathcal{R}_{12} \mathcal{R}_{13} \mathcal{R}_{23} = \mathcal{R}_{23} \mathcal{R}_{13} \mathcal{R}_{12}$$

Basis set for $\mathcal{U} \Rightarrow E_{klm} = V_+^k H^l V_-^m$, $(k, l, m) = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

(b) Universal \mathcal{T} -matrix [Aizawa, RC, Naina Mohammed, Segar]

Generating elements of the dual $\mathcal{A} = OSp_q(1/2)$ algebra:

$x = e^{100}$, $y = e^{001}$ and $z = e^{010}$,

Basis of \mathcal{A} algebra $e^{k\ell m}$.

$$\langle e^{k\ell m}, E_{k'\ell'm'} \rangle = \delta_{k'}^k \delta_{\ell'}^{\ell} \delta_{m'}^m.$$

Algebra of \mathcal{A}

$$\{x, y\} = 0, \quad [z, x] = 2 \ln q x, \quad [z, y] = 2 \ln q y.$$

$$\text{Set of dual basis } e^{k\ell m} = \frac{x^k}{[k]_q!} \frac{(z - (k - \ell) \ln q)^{\ell}}{\ell!} \frac{y^m}{[m]_{q^{-1}}!}.$$

$$\{m\}_q = \frac{q^{-m/2} - (-1)^m q^{m/2}}{q^{-1/2} + q^{1/2}}.$$

Universal \mathcal{T} matrix for $OSp_q(1/2) \Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{e,E} &= \sum_{k\ell m} e^{k\ell m} \otimes E_{k\ell m} \\ &= \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(k\right)_q!} (x \otimes V_+ q^H)^k \right) e^{z \otimes H} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(m\right)_{q^{-1}}!} (y \otimes q^{-H} V_-)^m \right).\end{aligned}$$

(c) Classical $q \rightarrow 1$ limit of the universal \mathcal{T} matrix

Odd generators are nilpotent

$$\begin{aligned}x^2 &\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} 0, & y^2 &\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} 0, \\ \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} (2n)_q &\rightarrow n(1-q), & \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} (2n+1)_q &\rightarrow 1, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{x^2}{q-1} &= \mathfrak{x}, & \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{y^2}{q^{-1}-1} &= \mathfrak{y},\end{aligned}$$

$\mathfrak{x}, \mathfrak{y} \sim$ parity-even elements

Classical limit \Rightarrow

$$\mathcal{T}_{e,E}|_{q \rightarrow 1} = (1 \otimes 1 + x \otimes V_+) \exp(\mathfrak{x} \otimes V_+^2) \exp(z \otimes H) \exp(\mathfrak{y} \otimes V_-^2) (1 \otimes 1 + y \otimes V_-).$$

The elements (V_{\pm}^2, H) of the classical $osp(1/2)$ algebra form a $sl(2)$ subalgebra.

(d) Maps to the universal R -matrix

Two maps \Rightarrow

(1) $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$

$$\Phi(x) = 0, \quad \Phi(z) = (4 \ln q)H, \quad \Phi(y) = q^{-1/2}(q - q^{-1})q^H V_+.$$

$$(\Phi \otimes \text{id})(\mathcal{T}_{E,e}) = \mathcal{R},$$

(2) $\Psi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$

$$\Psi(x) = (q^{-1} - q)q^{-H}V_-, \quad \Psi(z) = (-4 \ln q)H, \quad \Psi(y) = 0.$$

$$(\Psi \otimes \text{id})(\mathcal{T}_{E,e}) = \mathcal{R}_{21}^{-1},$$

Maps are *not* invertible.

Each Borel subalgebra of \mathcal{A} is mapped to the conjugate Borel subalgebra of \mathcal{U} .

(e) Irrep $V^{(\ell)}$ and the matrix elements

$V^{(\ell)} \Rightarrow \{ e_m^\ell(\lambda) \mid m = \ell, \ell - 1, \dots, -\ell \}$,
 $\lambda = 0, 1 \sim$ Parity of the highest weight state

$$\begin{aligned} H e_m^\ell(\lambda) &= \frac{m}{2} e_m^\ell(\lambda), \\ V_+ e_m^\ell(\lambda) &= \left(\frac{1}{\{2\}_q} \{\ell - m\}_q \{\ell + m + 1\}_q \right)^{1/2} e_{m+1}^\ell(\lambda), \\ V_- e_m^\ell(\lambda) &= (-1)^{\ell-m-1} \left(\frac{1}{\{2\}_q} \{\ell + m\}_q \{\ell - m + 1\}_q \right)^{1/2} e_{m-1}^\ell(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

Matrix elements of the \mathcal{T} -matrix \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} T_{m'm}^\ell(\lambda) &= (e_{m'}^\ell(\lambda), \mathcal{T}_{e,E} e_m^\ell(\lambda)) \\ &= N_{m'm}^\ell(q) x^{m'-m} e^{mz/2} P_{m'm}^\ell(\zeta) \end{aligned}$$

The polynomial reads

$$\begin{aligned} P_{m'm}^\ell(\zeta) &= \sum_c (-1)^{c(\ell-m)+c(c-1)/2} q^{-c(m'+m-1)/2} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\{m' - m\}_q! \{l + m\}_q! \{\ell - m + c\}_q!}{\{m' - m + c\}_q! \{\ell + m - c\}_q! \{\ell - m\}_q! \{c\}_q!} \zeta^c \end{aligned}$$

Polynomial is expressed in terms of basic hypergeometric functions \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned} P_{m'm}^\ell(\zeta) &= \sum_a \frac{((-q)^{-\ell-m}; -q)_a ((-q)^{\ell-m+1}; -q)_a}{((-q)^{m'-m+1}; -q)_a (-q; -q)_a} (-q\zeta)^a \\ &= {}_2\phi_1 \left((-q)^{(-l-m)}, (-q)^{(l-m+1)}; (-q)^{(m'-m+1)}; -q; -q\zeta \right) \end{aligned}$$

\sim little $(-q)$ - Jacobi polynomial.

q -Jacobi polynomial appears for the matrix elements of the $sl_q(2)$ algebra.

$(-q)$ - Jacobi Polynomial appears for the matrix elements of the $OSp_q(1/2)$ algebra.

(f) Gaussian decomposition of the fundamental representation of $OSp_q(1/2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{e,E}|_{l=1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -q^{-1/2}x & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{q\{2\}_q}x^2 & x & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-z/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{z/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & q^{1/2}y & -\frac{q}{\{2\}_q}y^2 \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\sim \begin{pmatrix} a & \alpha & b \\ \gamma & e & \beta \\ c & \delta & d \end{pmatrix} \sim \text{quantum supermatrix} \end{aligned}$$

(g) Vector representation ($\ell = 2$) and $OSp_q(1/2)$ sphere

$$T^2(0) = \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & \kappa_1 a \alpha & \kappa_3 a b & \kappa_1 \alpha b & b^2 \\ \kappa_1 a \gamma & a e + q^{-1} \gamma \alpha & \kappa_2 (a \beta + q^{-1} \gamma b) & -\alpha \beta + q^{-1} e b & \kappa_1 b \beta \\ \kappa_3 a c & \kappa_2 (a \delta + c \alpha) & a d + q^{-1} [2] \alpha \delta + q^{-2} b c & \kappa_2 (\alpha d + \delta b) & \kappa_3 b d \\ \kappa_1 \gamma c & \gamma \delta + q^{-1} c e & \kappa_2 (\gamma d + q^{-1} c \beta) & e d + q^{-1} \beta \delta & \kappa_1 \beta d \\ c^2 & \kappa_1 c \delta & \kappa_3 c d & \kappa_1 \delta d & d^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\kappa_1 = \sqrt{\frac{[4]}{q[2]}} \quad \kappa_2 = \sqrt{q^{-1}[3]} \quad \kappa_3 = \kappa_1 \kappa_2.$$

\mathcal{A} -covariant algebra \Rightarrow

Algebraic relations are covariant under the right coaction of \mathcal{A} on the vector space V .

$$\varphi_R : V \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{A}$$

$$(\varphi_R \otimes \text{id}) \circ \varphi_R = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \circ \varphi_R, \quad (\text{id} \otimes \epsilon) \circ \varphi_R = \text{id}.$$

One way of constructing \mathcal{A} -covariant spaces \Rightarrow

- Assume a multiplication map on $V^{(\ell)}$

$$\mu(f \otimes g) = fg; \quad f, g \in V^{(\ell)}.$$

- Consider Clebsch-Gordan decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} e_M^L(\ell, \ell, \Lambda) &= \sum_{m_1 m_2} C_{m_1 m_2 M}^{\ell \ell L} e_{m_1}^{\ell}(\lambda) \otimes e_{m_2}^{\ell}(\lambda) \\ \Rightarrow E_M^L &\equiv \mu(e_M^L(\ell, \ell, \Lambda)) = \sum_{m_1, m_2} C_{m_1 m_2 M}^{\ell \ell L} e_{m_1}^{\ell}(\lambda) e_{m_2}^{\ell}(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

- right coaction on $E_M^L(\Lambda)$ is

$$\varphi_R(E_M^L) = \sum_{M'} E_{M'}^L \otimes T_{M'M}^L(\Lambda).$$

- $L = 0 \Rightarrow$ Scalar relation

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_R(E_0^0) &= E_0^0, \\ \Rightarrow E_0^0 &= \sum_{m_1, m_2} C_{m_1 m_2 0}^{\ell \ell 0} e_{m_1}^{\ell}(\lambda) e_{m_2}^{\ell}(\lambda) = r \end{aligned}$$

- $L = l \Rightarrow$ Identical transformation for $E_m^{\ell}(\lambda)$ and $e_m^{\ell}(\lambda)$

$$\Rightarrow E_m^{\ell}(\lambda) = \sum_{m_1, m_2} C_{m_1 m_2 M}^{\ell \ell L} e_{m_1}^{\ell}(\lambda) e_{m_2}^{\ell}(\lambda) = \xi e_m^{\ell}(\lambda),$$

$$\xi \rightarrow 0 \text{ when } q \rightarrow 1.$$

- $L \neq 0, l \Rightarrow$ $E_m^{\ell}(\Lambda)$ and $e_m^{\ell}(\lambda)$ transform differently.

$$\Rightarrow E_m^{\ell}(\Lambda) = \sum_{m_1, m_2} C_{m_1 m_2 M}^{\ell \ell L} e_{m_1}^{\ell}(\lambda) e_{m_2}^{\ell}(\lambda) = 0,$$

\Rightarrow At most two parametric (r, ξ) deformed space.

Example 1: $\ell = 1$ case $z_m = e_m^1(0)$, $z_{\pm 1} \rightarrow$ parity even, $z_0 \rightarrow$ parity odd
 $1 \otimes 1 = 2 \oplus 1 \oplus 0$

$$q^{1/2} z_{-1} z_1 + z_0^2 - q^{-1/2} z_1 z_{-1} = r.$$

$$\begin{aligned} -q^{1/2} z_0 z_1 + q^{-1/2} z_1 z_0 &= \xi z_1, \\ z_{-1} z_1 + (q^{-1/2} + q^{1/2}) z_0^2 - z_1 z_{-1} &= \xi z_0, \\ q^{1/2} z_{-1} z_0 - q^{-1/2} z_0 z_{-1} &= \xi z_{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow Covariant under the coaction of $OSp_q(1/2)$
Consistency requirements \Rightarrow

- (a) The constant r commutes with all generators
- (b) Product of three generators, say $z_1 z_0 z_{-1}$, has two ways of reversing its ordering:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & z_1 z_0 z_{-1} & \longrightarrow & z_1 z_{-1} z_0 & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ z_0 z_1 z_{-1} & & & & z_{-1} z_1 z_0. \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & z_0 z_{-1} z_1 & \longrightarrow & z_{-1} z_0 z_1 & \end{array}$$

Both possibilities must give identical answers.

Satisfying the second requirement needs the choice $\xi = 0$
 \Rightarrow Corresponding noncommutative space

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 z_0 &= q z_0 z_1, & z_0 z_{-1} &= q z_{-1} z_0, \\ z_1 z_{-1} &= q^2 z_{-1} z_1 - q(q^{-1/2} + q^{1/2})r, \\ z_0^2 &= -q^{-1}[2]z_1 z_{-1} - q^{-1}r. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2: $L = 2$ case \Rightarrow Decomposition $2 \otimes 2 = 4 \oplus 3 \oplus 2 \oplus 1 \oplus 0$
Basis $Y_m = e_m^2(0)$, where $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2$; $m = 0, \pm 2$ even parity, $m = \pm 1$ odd parity
 \Rightarrow radial element $L = 0$

$$\Rightarrow q^{-1} Y_2 Y_{-2} - q^{-1/2} Y_1 Y_{-1} - Y_0^2 + q^{1/2} Y_{-1} Y_1 + q Y_{-2} Y_2 = r,$$

- \Rightarrow (1) To define the algebra 10 commutation relations, 2 equations specifying $Y_{\pm 1}^2$, and 3 relations coming from consistency conditions are needed. They appear from $L = 3, 2, 1$ relations. The $L = 4$ relations are rejected as they do not have the correct classical limits.
- \Rightarrow (2) $OSp_q(1/2)$ supersphere algebra can be embedded in the function algebra \mathcal{A}

5 Conclusion

- Universal \mathcal{T} -matrix for the quantum groups allows for q -generalizations of the Perelomov type of coherent states. For the $SU_q(2)$ case the decomposition of unity and a new complex structure on the quantum homogeneous space have been obtained explicitly. It should be possible to obtain star product structure on complexified Podleś sphere using these coherent states.
- In the general case the braiding relation puts extra conditions on the covariant noncommutative spaces. The role of the modified braid relations needs to be investigated in this context.