

κ -DEFORMED OSCILLATORS: DEFORMED MULTIPLICATION, DEFORMED FLIP OPERATORS AND MULTIPARTICLE CLUSTERS

1. Introduction
2. Binary algebra of κ -oscillators
3. Multiplication versus flip operation
4. Binary algebra via κ -deformed flip
5. Nonfactorizable κ -deformed
2-particle clusters
6. Nonfactorizable binary $\ast_{\kappa}$ -products
of fields
7. Trilinear algebraic sector: associativity
and factorization of binary relations
8. n -linear products of oscillators and
fields
9. Final remarks

GENERAL FRAMEWORK:

$$[\hat{X}_\mu, \hat{X}_\nu] = \frac{i}{\kappa^2} \overset{\textcircled{1}}{\theta_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}} + \frac{i}{\kappa} \overset{\textcircled{2}}{\theta_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}} \hat{X}_\rho \leftarrow \text{Lie-algebraic} + i \theta_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} \hat{X}_\rho \hat{X}_\sigma + \dots$$

$\uparrow$ canonical (DFR) $\uparrow$ quadratic algebra

Example of $\textcircled{2}$: κ -Minkowski space-time

$$[\hat{X}_0, \hat{X}_i] = \frac{i}{\kappa} \hat{X}_i \quad [\hat{X}_i, \hat{X}_j] = 0$$

$\textcircled{1}$

Moyal-Weyl
star product

$\textcircled{2}$

BCH

star product

(for κ -Minkowski - special case)

$\Rightarrow \kappa$ -Poincare $\rightarrow$ algebra
 $\rightarrow$ group (translations $\equiv \kappa$ -Minkowski algebra)

$\Rightarrow \kappa$ -Poincare algebra can not be obtained by a standard Drinfeld twist (only nonstandard)

1. INTRODUCTION

We shall consider the fourmomentum basis of κ -deformed algebra with the non-Abelian coproducts

$$\Delta(P_i) = P_i \otimes e^{\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}} + e^{-\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}} \otimes P_i$$

$$\Delta(P_0) = P_0 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P_0$$

and κ -deformed mass Casimir

$$C_\kappa^2(P_\mu) = (2\kappa \sinh(\frac{P_0}{2\kappa}))^2 - \vec{P}^2 = M^2$$

(modified Majid-Ruegg basis)

We introduce the κ -deformed bosonic oscillators $a_\kappa(p) \equiv a_\kappa(\vec{p}, p_0)$ satisfying the relation

$$P_\mu \triangleright a_\kappa(p) \equiv \text{adj}_{P_\mu} a_\kappa(p) = p_\mu a_\kappa(p)$$

(quantum adjoint: $\text{adj}_A B = A_{(1)} B S(A_{(2)})$)
where $\Delta(A) = A_{(1)} \otimes A_{(2)}$

$$p_0 = \omega_\kappa = 2\kappa \text{arcsinh} \frac{(\vec{p}^2 + M^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\kappa}$$

($p_0 > 0$ - creation operators)

Hopf-algebraic action formula:

$$P_\mu \triangleright (a_x(p) a_x(q)) = (P_{\mu(1)} \triangleright a_x(p)) (P_{\mu(2)} \triangleright a_x(q)) = \\ = P_\mu^{(1+2)} a_x(p) a_x(q)$$

One gets

$$p_i^{(1+2)} = p_i e^{\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} + q_i e^{-\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}}$$

$$p_0^{(1+2)} = p_0 + q_0$$

Exchanging $p_\mu \leftrightarrow q_\mu$ (flipping operation)
one gets

$$p_i^{(2+1)} = q_i e^{\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} + p_i e^{-\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} \neq p_i^{(1+2)}$$

$$p_0^{(2+1)} = q_0 + p_0 = p_0^{(1+2)}$$

Conclusion: in κ -deformed theory the standard exchange relation

$$a_x(p) a_x(q) = a_x(q) a_x(p) \Leftrightarrow [a_x(p), a_x(q)] = 0$$

is nonconsistent with κ -deformed addition law of three-momenta.

Solution: κ -deformed multiplication
 κ -deformed flip operator

2. BINARY ALGEBRA OF κ -OSCILLATORS

Let us assume that the effect of κ -multiplication on the algebra $\mathcal{H}(a, a^\dagger)$ of standard creation and annihilation operators consists in the replacement

$$m(A \otimes B) = A \cdot B \xrightarrow{\kappa < \infty} m_\kappa(A \otimes B) = A \circ B$$

$\Downarrow$ standard multiplication $\Downarrow$ κ -multiplication

We introduce

$$a_\kappa(p) \circ a_\kappa(q) = a_\kappa\left(e^{-\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{p}, p_0\right) a_\kappa\left(e^{\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{q}, q_0\right)$$

Further in consistency with $a_\kappa^\dagger(p) = a(-p)$

$$a_\kappa^\dagger(p) \circ a_\kappa^\dagger(q) = a_\kappa^\dagger\left(e^{\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{p}, p_0\right) a_\kappa^\dagger\left(e^{-\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{q}, q_0\right)$$

$$a_\kappa^\dagger(p) \circ a_\kappa(q) = a_\kappa^\dagger\left(e^{-\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{p}, p_0\right) a_\kappa\left(e^{\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{q}, q_0\right)$$

$$a_\kappa(p) \circ a_\kappa^\dagger(q) = a_\kappa\left(e^{\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{p}, p_0\right) a_\kappa^\dagger\left(e^{-\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{q}, q_0\right)$$

κ -deformed oscillator algebra

$$[a_\kappa(p), a_\kappa(q)]_0 = [a_\kappa^\dagger(p), a_\kappa^\dagger(q)]_0 = 0 \approx$$

κ -statistics
 isomorphic with
 respect to
 0-multiplication

$$[a_\kappa^\dagger(p), a_\kappa(q)]_0 = \delta^3(\vec{p} - \vec{q})$$

κ -statistics!

Let us introduce κ -deformed Fock space ($p_0 = \omega_\kappa(\vec{p})$)

$$|0\rangle \quad a_\kappa^\dagger(\vec{p}, p_0)|0\rangle = 0$$

$$|\vec{p}\rangle = a_\kappa(\vec{p}, p_0)|0\rangle$$

$$||\vec{p}, \vec{q}\rangle\rangle = a_\kappa(\vec{p}, p_0) \circ a_\kappa(\vec{q}, q_0)|0\rangle$$

$\vdots$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} P_\mu ||\vec{p}, \vec{q}\rangle\rangle &= P_\mu \triangleright (a_\kappa(\vec{p} e^{-\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}}, p_0) a_\kappa(\vec{q} e^{\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}}, q_0))|0\rangle \\ &= (P_\mu + Q_\mu) ||\vec{p}, \vec{q}\rangle\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Abelian addition law!

Due to the relation

$$a_\kappa(p) \circ a_\kappa(q) = a_\kappa(q) \circ a_\kappa(p)$$

$\Leftarrow$ κ -statistics

one gets

$$||\vec{p}, \vec{q}\rangle\rangle = ||\vec{q}, \vec{p}\rangle\rangle$$

standard bosonic symmetry!

The construction has been extended to n -particle states $||\vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_n\rangle\rangle$

hep-th
1702.0201

3. MULTIPLICATION VERSUS FLIP OPERATION

The standard commutator can be described as follows:

$$[A, B] = (1 - \hat{\tau}_0) A \cdot B$$

where

$$\hat{\tau}_0 (A \cdot B) = B \cdot A \quad \hat{\tau}_0^2 = 1$$

One can introduce α -deformed commutator as follows:

$$[A, B] \xrightarrow{\alpha < \infty} [A, B]_\alpha = (1 - \hat{\tau}_\alpha) A \cdot B$$

where $\hat{\tau}_\alpha$ is α -deformed flip operator

$$\hat{\tau}_\alpha (A \cdot B) = (\hat{\tau}_\alpha^{(1)} B) \cdot \hat{\tau}_\alpha^{(2)} (A)$$

in such a way that

$$\hat{\tau}_\alpha^2 = 1$$

The consistency with four momentum addition law requires

$$[\hat{\tau}_\alpha, \Delta(P_\mu)] = 0$$

(6)

The standard bosonic exchange relation is modified as follows

κ -statistics $\Rightarrow$

standard multiplication) $a_\kappa(p) a_\kappa(q) = \hat{\tau}_\kappa [a_\kappa(p) a_\kappa(q)] \Leftrightarrow [a_\kappa(p), a_\kappa(q)]_\kappa = 1$

One can introduce the following two 2-particle states:

$$|\vec{p}', \vec{q}'\rangle = a_\kappa(\vec{p}', p'_0) a_\kappa(\vec{q}', q'_0) |0\rangle$$

$$|\vec{q}', \vec{p}'\rangle_{\tau_\kappa} = \tau_\kappa (a_\kappa(\vec{p}', p'_0) a_\kappa(\vec{q}', q'_0) |0\rangle) \leftarrow \kappa\text{-flipped}$$

The κ -statistics leads to the identification of states

$$|\vec{p}', \vec{q}'\rangle = |\vec{q}', \vec{p}'\rangle_{\tau_\kappa}$$

One can introduce the symmetric states:

$$|\vec{p}', \vec{q}'\rangle_s = \frac{1}{2} (|\vec{p}', \vec{q}'\rangle + |\vec{p}', \vec{q}'\rangle_{\tau_\kappa})$$

One gets classical bosonic symmetry

$$|\vec{p}', \vec{q}'\rangle_s = |\vec{q}', \vec{p}'\rangle_s \quad \Leftarrow \text{due to } \hat{\tau}_\kappa^2 = 1$$

The states $|\vec{p}', \vec{q}'\rangle$ and $|\vec{q}', \vec{p}'\rangle_{\tau_x}$ carry the same fourmomentum, but the addition law is non-Abelian.

$$\begin{aligned} P_\mu |\vec{p}', \vec{q}'\rangle &= P_\mu \triangleright (a_x(\vec{p}', p_0) a_x(\vec{q}', q_0)) |0\rangle \\ &= \tilde{P}_\mu^{(1+2)} |\vec{p}', \vec{q}'\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{P}_i^{(1+2)} = p_i e^{\frac{q_0'}{2x}} + q_i e^{-\frac{p_0'}{2x}}$$

Non Abelian addition law!

Because we assumed $[\hat{\tau}_x, \Delta(P_\mu)] = 0$ one obtains as well after flipping

$$P_\mu |\vec{p}', \vec{q}'\rangle_{\tau_x} = \tilde{P}_\mu^{(1+2)} |\vec{p}', \vec{q}'\rangle_{\tau_x}$$

Problem: can one link to statistics with Abelian and nonAbelian addition law?

Answer: Yes, if we use the transformations in 2-particle fourmomentum space (p_μ, q_μ)

4. BINARY ALGEBRA VIA κ -DEFORMED FLIP

If in the relation $a_\kappa(p) \circ a_\kappa(q) = a_\kappa(q) \circ a_\kappa(p)$ we introduce

$$\vec{p}' = \vec{p} e^{-\frac{q_0}{2\kappa}} \quad \vec{q}' = \vec{q} e^{\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}}$$

one gets the relation ($p'_0 = p_0, q'_0 = q_0$)

$$a_\kappa(\vec{p}', p'_0) a_\kappa(\vec{q}', q'_0) = a_\kappa(\vec{q}' e^{-\frac{p'_0}{2\kappa}}, q'_0) a_\kappa(\vec{p}' e^{\frac{q'_0}{2\kappa}}, p'_0)$$

or (explicit formula for τ_κ)

$$\tau_\kappa(a_\kappa(\vec{p}', p'_0) a_\kappa(\vec{q}', q'_0)) = a_\kappa(\vec{q}' e^{-\frac{p'_0}{2\kappa}}, q'_0) a_\kappa(\vec{p}' e^{\frac{q'_0}{2\kappa}}, p'_0)$$

The energy-shell:

$$\underline{p'_0} = p_0 = \omega_\kappa(\vec{p}) = \omega_\kappa(\vec{p}' e^{\frac{q'_0}{2\kappa}}) = \omega_\kappa^{(+)}(\vec{p}')$$

$$\underline{q'_0} = q_0 = \omega_\kappa(\vec{q}) = \omega_\kappa(\vec{q}' e^{-\frac{p'_0}{2\kappa}}) = \omega_\kappa^{(-)}(\vec{q}')$$

We get the system of coupled nonlinear algebraic equations for p'_0, q'_0 , or

$$p'_0 = \omega_\kappa(\vec{p}' \exp \frac{1}{2\kappa} \{ \omega_\kappa(\vec{q}' e^{-\frac{p'_0}{2\kappa}}) \})$$

Complicated nonlinear eq. for p'_0 ; analogous one gets for q'_0 - it can be solved perturbatively

9

2-particle non-Abelian sum of three-momenta can be calculated from explicit formulae of $\hat{T}_x$, and one gets

$$\vec{p}'^{(1+2)} = \vec{p}' e^{\frac{q_0'}{2x}} + \vec{q}' e^{-\frac{p_0'}{2x}} = \vec{p}'^{(2+1)}$$

and

$$p_0'^{(1+2)} = \omega_x^{(-)}(\vec{p}') + \omega_x^{(+)}(\vec{q}') = p_0'^{(2+1)}$$

where $(\epsilon = \pm 1, \eta = \pm 1)$

$$\omega_x^{(\epsilon)}(\vec{p}') = \omega_x(\vec{p}' e^{\epsilon \frac{q_0'}{2x}}) \quad \omega_x^{(\eta)}(\vec{q}') = \omega_x(\vec{q}' e^{\eta \frac{p_0'}{2x}})$$

Flip operators $\hat{T}_x$ for other binary products:

$$1) \underline{a_x^+(p) \circ a_x^+(q) = a_x^+(q) \circ a_x^+(p)}$$

We introduce

$$\vec{p}' = \vec{p} e^{\frac{q_0}{2x}} \quad \vec{q}' = \vec{q} e^{-\frac{p_0}{2x}}$$

One gets

$$\underline{a_x^+(\vec{p}', p_0') a_x^+(\vec{q}', q_0') = a_x^+(\vec{q}' e^{\frac{p_0'}{x}}, q_0') a_x^+(\vec{p}' e^{-\frac{q_0'}{x}}, p_0')}$$

where

$$p_0' = \omega_x^{(-)}(\vec{p}')$$

$$q_0' = \omega_x^{(+)}(\vec{q}')$$

(40)

$$ii) [a_x(\vec{p}), a_x(\vec{q})]_0 = \delta^3(\vec{p} - \vec{q})$$

$$\text{If } \vec{p}' = \vec{p} e^{-\frac{\hat{p}_0}{2x}} \quad \vec{q}' = \vec{q} e^{-\frac{\hat{p}_0}{2x}}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_x^+(\vec{p}', p_0') a_x(\vec{q}', q_0') - a_x(\vec{q}' e^{\frac{\hat{p}_0}{2x}}, q_0') a_x^+(\vec{p}' e^{\frac{\hat{p}_0}{2x}}, p_0') &= \\ &= \delta^3(\vec{p}' e^{\frac{\hat{p}_0}{2x}} - \vec{q}' e^{\frac{\hat{p}_0}{2x}}) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$p_0' = \omega_x^{(+)}(\vec{p}') \quad q_0' = \omega_x^{(+)}(\vec{q}')$$

The choice of the energy shell conditions depends on the character (creation or annihilation) of other oscillator in binary relation. The rule:

$$a(\vec{p}, p_0) = a^{(+)}(\vec{p}, p_0) \quad a^+(\vec{p}, p_0) = a^{(-)}(\vec{p}, p_0)$$

Then the choice of mass-shell conditions in binary product is

$$a^{(+)}(\vec{p}, \omega_x^{(+)}(\vec{p})) a^{(+)}(\vec{q}, \omega_x^{(+)}(\vec{q}))$$

5. NONFACTORIZABLE κ -DEFORMED 2-PARTICLE CLUSTERS

a) Standard Fock space

$$\mathcal{F} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n^{(0)}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_n^{(0)} = \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{symmetrizer}}}{S_n} (\mathcal{H}_1^{(0)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{H}_1^{(0)})$$

If $a^{(0)}(p)$ are standard creation operators then

$$\mathcal{H}_n^{(0)} : |p_1 \cdots p_n\rangle^{(0)} = a^{(0)}(p_1) \cdots a^{(0)}(p_n) |0\rangle$$

b) κ -deformed Fock space

$$\mathcal{F}^\kappa = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n^\kappa$$

For $n=2$ the general formula

$$\mathcal{H}_2^\kappa = S_2^\kappa (\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes_\kappa \mathcal{H}_1)$$

Two special choices:

i) κ -deformed multiplication

$$S_2^\kappa = S_2 \quad m(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes_\kappa \mathcal{H}_1) = \mathcal{H}_1 \circ \mathcal{H}_2$$

ii) κ -deformed flip operator $\hat{\tau}_\kappa$

$$S_2^\kappa = \frac{1}{2} (1 \otimes 1 + \hat{\tau}_\kappa) \quad \otimes_\kappa = \otimes$$

The introduction of κ -deformed multiplication does not permit the factorization of 2-particle state into 1-particle wave packets, because

$$\left(\int d^3\vec{p} f^{(1)}(\vec{p}) a(\vec{p}, p_0) \right) \circ \left(\int d^3\vec{q} g^{(1)}(\vec{q}) a(\vec{q}, q_0) \right)$$

is not well defined. Only if we introduce

$$f^{(2)}(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) = f^{(1)}(\vec{p}) f^{(1)}(\vec{q})$$

then

$$(a_\kappa \circ a_\kappa)[f^{(2)}] = \int d^3p \int d^3\vec{q} f^{(2)}(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) a_\kappa(p) \circ a_\kappa(q)$$

Firstly multiply oscillators, then integrate!!

In particular one can consider the nonlinear transformations of 2-particle wave packet function by change of momenta

$$p_i = p_i(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) \quad q_i = q_i(\vec{p}, \vec{q})$$

Two-particle wave packet transforms as follows:

$$\tilde{f}^{(2)}(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) = \int [p_i, q_i]_{\vec{p}, \vec{q}} f^{(2)}(\vec{p}(\vec{p}, \vec{q}), \vec{q}(\vec{p}, \vec{q}))$$

where J is the Jacobian.

The transformations linking

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x\text{-multiplication} \\ \text{framework} \end{array} \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} x\text{-flipping} \\ \text{framework} \end{array} \right\}$$

are related by such transformations.

In $\mathcal{H}_n^x$ we have clusters depending on n three momenta $(\vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_n)$.

The momentum description of clusters can be subjected to the transformations

$$\vec{p}_i = \vec{p}_i(\vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_n)$$

6. NONFACTORIZABLE BINARY $\star_\kappa$ -PRODUCT OF FIELDS

a) For simplest canonical noncommutativity

$$[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = i \frac{\theta_{\mu\nu}}{\kappa^2} \quad \theta_{\mu\nu} = \text{const}$$

The Moyal-Weyl star product is not factorizable because

$$\varphi_0(x) \star_0 \varphi_0(y) = \int d^4 p \int d^4 q \phi(p, q) e^{i p x + q y}$$

where

$$\phi(p, q) = \phi(p) \phi(q) e^{\frac{i}{\kappa} \theta_{\mu\nu} p^\mu q^\nu} \neq \phi_1(p) \cdot \phi_2(q)$$

however field equations remain not modified

$$(\square_x - m^2)(\square_y - m^2)(\varphi_0(x) \star_0 \varphi_0(y)) = 0$$

b) We introduce κ -deformed fields

$$\varphi_\kappa^{(+)}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{\Omega_\kappa(\vec{p})} a_\kappa(\vec{p}, \omega_\kappa(\vec{p})) e^{i(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x} - \omega_\kappa(\vec{p})t)}$$

where

$$\Omega_\kappa = 2\kappa \sinh \frac{\omega_\kappa(\vec{p})}{\kappa} \xrightarrow{\kappa \rightarrow \infty} 2\omega(\vec{p})$$

$\ncong$ for simplicity
(only positive frequencies)

and introduce κ -deformed star product $\star_\kappa$

$$e^{ipx} \star_\kappa e^{iqy} = e^{i(e^{\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{x} + e^{-\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \vec{q} \cdot \vec{y})} e^{i(p_0 x_0 + q_0 y_0)}$$

The $\star_\kappa$ -product of fields is understood that we firstly perform the $\star_\kappa$ -product, and then integrate over momenta

$$\varphi_\kappa^{(+)}(x) \star_\kappa \varphi_\kappa^{(+)}(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3 \vec{p}}{2\omega(\vec{p})} \frac{d^3 \vec{q}}{2\omega(\vec{q})} \cdot$$

$$\cdot a_\kappa(\vec{p}|p_0) a_\kappa(\vec{q}|q_0) (e^{ipx} \star_\kappa e^{iqy}) \Big|_{\substack{p_0 = \omega_\kappa(\vec{p}) \\ q_0 = \omega_\kappa(\vec{q})}}$$

firstly this operation!

In fact we obtain bilocal field

$$\varphi_\kappa^{(+)}(x) \star_\kappa \varphi_\kappa^{(+)}(y) \equiv \varphi_\kappa^{(+,+)}(x, y)$$

Because

$$p_i (e^{ipx} \star_\kappa e^{iqy}) = e^{i \frac{\partial_0^x}{2\kappa}} \partial_i^x (e^{ipx} \star_\kappa e^{iqy})$$

$$q_i (e^{ipx} \star_\kappa e^{iqy}) = e^{-i \frac{\partial_0^y}{2\kappa}} \partial_i^y (e^{ipx} \star_\kappa e^{iqy})$$

one gets bilocal field equation

$$\left[\Delta^x e^{i \frac{\partial_y}{2x}} - (2x \sinh \frac{\partial_0^x}{2x})^2 \right] \left[\Delta^y e^{-i \frac{\partial_0^x}{2x}} - (2x \sinh \frac{\partial_0^y}{2x})^2 \right] \cdot \psi_x^{(+,+)}(x,y) = 0$$

The exponential differential operator introduces nonlocality in time

$$e^{-i \frac{\partial_0^x}{2x}} \psi_x^{(+,+)}(x,y) = \psi_x^{(+,+)}(\vec{x}, x_0 + \frac{1}{2x}; \vec{y}, y_0)$$

Noncommutative space-time coordinates $\Leftrightarrow$ geometric non-local interaction

In [1] we did show that

$$\underbrace{\psi^{(+)}(x) \hat{*}_x \psi^{(+)}(y)}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ x\text{-multiplication of} \\ \text{of exponentials}}} = \underbrace{\psi^{(+)}(x) \circ_{\text{rel}} \psi^{(+)}(y)}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ x\text{-multiplication of} \\ \text{of oscillators}}} \quad (A)$$

provided

$$1) \quad a(p) \circ_{\text{rel}} a(q) = e^{\frac{2}{2x} (\omega_x(p) - \omega_x(q))} a(p) \circ a(q)$$

numerical factor -
coming from Jacobian

2) On lhs of (A) one modifies the κ -deformed mass-shell condition

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p_0 = \omega_\kappa(\vec{p}) & \approx & p_0 = \omega_\kappa(\vec{p}) e^{-\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \\ q_0 = \omega_\kappa(\vec{q}) & & q_0 = \omega_\kappa(\vec{q}) e^{\frac{p_0}{2\kappa}} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{decoupled} & & \text{coupled} \end{array}$$

If 1) and 2) are introduced one can obtain the c-number commutator

$$\begin{aligned} \parallel [\psi_\kappa(x), \psi_\kappa(y)]_{\star_\kappa} &= [\psi_\kappa(x), \psi_\kappa(y)]_{0, \text{rd}} = \\ &= i \Delta_\kappa(x-y; M^2) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Delta_\kappa(x; M^2) = -\frac{i}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3\vec{p}}{\omega_\kappa(\vec{p})} \sin(\omega_\kappa(\vec{p})x_0) e^{i\vec{p}\vec{x}}$$

obtained by replacement

$$\delta(p^2 - M^2) \rightarrow \delta(C_\kappa^2(p) - M^2)$$

$\uparrow$
 κ -deformed mass Casimir

7. TRILINEAR ALGEBRAIC SECTOR: ASSOCIATIVITY AND FACTORIZATION OF BINARY RELATIONS

We define general binary o-product:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (a_x(p_1) \cdots a_x(p_n)) \circ (a_x(q_1) \cdots a_x(q_m)) = \\
 & = \prod_{i=1}^n a_x(\vec{p}_i e^{\frac{1}{2x} \sum_{k=1}^n q_k^0}, p_i^0) \prod_{j=1}^m a_x(\vec{q}_j e^{\frac{1}{2x} \sum_{k=1}^m p_k^0}, q_j^0) \\
 & \quad \quad \quad \uparrow \quad \quad \quad \uparrow \\
 & \quad \quad \quad \text{plus sign} \quad \quad \quad \text{minus sign}
 \end{aligned}$$

We propose the triple product of x -oscillators

$$a_x(p) \circ (a_x(q) \circ a_x(r)) = a_x(p) \circ (a_x(\vec{q} e^{\frac{r_0}{2x}}, q_0) \circ$$

$$\cdot a_x(\vec{r} e^{-\frac{q_0}{2x}}, r_0)) = a_x(\vec{p} e^{\frac{1}{2x}(q_0 + r_0)}, p_0) \cdot$$

$$\cdot a_x(\vec{q} e^{\frac{1}{2x}(-p_0 + r_0)}, q_0) \cdot a_x(\vec{r} e^{-\frac{1}{2x}(p_0 + q_0)}, r_0)$$

One can show that

$$a_x(p) \circ (a_x(q) \circ a_x(r)) = (a_x(p) \circ a_x(q)) \circ a_x(r)$$

We obtain associativity - no need of marking the binary brackets!

8. N-LINEAR PRODUCTS OF α -OSCILLATORS AND PRODUCTS OF FIELDS

We should define the α -multiplication of n elements of algebra $\mathcal{H}(a, a^*)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{l=1}^n a_{\alpha}(p_l) \circ \dots \circ \prod_{j=1}^m a_{\alpha}(q_j) \circ \dots \circ \prod_{k=1}^s a_{\alpha}(r_k) = \\ & = a_{\alpha}(\vec{p}_1 e^{\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\sum_{l=2}^n p_l^0 + \sum_{j=1}^m q_j^0 + \sum_{k=1}^s r_k^0, p_0)} \dots \\ & \dots a_{\alpha}(\vec{q}_j e^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\sum_{l=1}^n p_l^0 + \sum_{j=1}^{j-1} q_j^0 - \sum_{j=\tilde{j}+1}^m q_j^0 + \dots + \sum_{k=1}^s r_k^0), q_j^0}) \dots \\ & \dots a_{\alpha}(\vec{r}_s e^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\sum_{l=1}^n p_l^0 + \dots + \sum_{j=1}^m q_j^0 + \dots + \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} r_k^0), r_s^0}) \end{aligned}$$

The rule:

- any oscillator located in the product to the left produces the term $e^{-\frac{1}{2\alpha} p_0}$
- any oscillator located to the right produces the term $e^{\frac{1}{2\alpha} p_0}$

Having the formula above one can show the associativity: multiplication can be done in arbitrary steps $\Rightarrow$ brackets not needed!

One can say that the change of three-momentum dependence in the multiplication formula describes a long-range coupling to all particles present in the system

- n -linear multiplication in $\mathcal{H}_n^x$ depends on energies of remaining $n-1$ particles for a given oscillator

- if we factorize m -linear relation from n -linear, the m -linear multiplication will "remember" that it was obtained from n -linear - one gets

m -linear relation in the presence of remaining $n-m$ particles $\leftarrow$ spectators

These "spectator particles" will modify the explicit form of m -linear relation by the geometric interactions with $K = n-m$ "spectators".

Nonfactorizability of $*_{\kappa}$ -star product of n fields:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi_{\kappa}^{(+)}(\hat{x}_1) \cdots \psi_{\kappa}^{(+)}(\hat{x}_n) & \xrightarrow{\text{WEYL MAP}} & \psi_{\kappa}^{(+)}(x_1) *_{\kappa} \cdots *_{\kappa} \psi_{\kappa}^{(+)}(x_n) \\ \uparrow \quad \quad \quad \uparrow & & \uparrow \quad \quad \quad \uparrow \\ \text{noncommutative} & & \text{commutative} \\ \text{Minkowski spaces} & & \text{Minkowski spaces} \end{array}$$

where

$$[\hat{x}_i^{\mu}, \hat{x}_j^{\nu}] \neq 0 \text{ for any pair } i \neq j$$

In consistency with the coinciding coordinates limit

$$\hat{x}_i^{\mu} \xrightarrow{L=1 \cdots n} \hat{x}^{\mu} \leftarrow \kappa\text{-Minkowski space}$$

one can assume

$$[\hat{\vec{x}}_i, \hat{\vec{x}}_j] = 0 \quad [\hat{x}_i^0, \hat{x}_j^0] = 0$$

$$[\hat{\vec{x}}_i, \hat{x}_j^0] = \frac{i}{\kappa} \hat{\vec{x}}_i \text{ for all } i, j$$

The Weyl map leads to the $*_{\kappa}$ -product of n fields depending on the derivatives of all fields - all n fields are coupled!

One can relate the o-multiplication of oscillators in $\mathcal{H}_n^x$ and $\ast_x$ -products of fields

$$\varphi_x^{(+)}(x_1) \ast_x \dots \ast_x \varphi_x^{(+)}(x_n) = \varphi_x^{(+)}(x_1) \circ_{\text{rel}} \dots \circ_{\text{rel}} \varphi_x^{(+)}(x_n)$$

geometric interaction
between all fields

clustering of n-particle
states in $\mathcal{H}_n^x$

The $\ast_x$ -product $\varphi_x^{(+)}(x_1) \ast_x \dots \ast_x \varphi_x^{(+)}(x_n)$ describes n-local nonfactorizable multi-field $\varphi^{(+, \dots, +)}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ on n-fold product of classical Minkowski spaces:

	<u>oscillators:</u>	<u>fields:</u>
$\mathcal{H}_0^x$	$\mathbb{C} \cdot 1$	$\mathbb{C} \cdot 1$
$\mathcal{H}_1^x$	$a_x(p)$	$\varphi_x^{(+)}(x)$
$\mathcal{H}_2^x$	$a_x(p) \circ a_x(q)$	$\varphi_x^{(+, +)}(x, y)$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$\mathcal{H}_n^x$	$a_x(p_1) \circ \dots \circ a_x(p_n)$	$\varphi_x^{(+, \dots, +)}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$
	$\Uparrow$ <u>cluster creation</u> <u>operator</u>	$\Uparrow$ <u>n-cluster</u> <u>field</u>

9. FINAL REMARKS

- i) To describe κ -deformation one can use 0-multiplication or κ -deformed flip operators. The 0-multiplication in $\mathcal{H}_n^\kappa$ can be represented by products of "2-particle" flips $\hat{E}_\kappa$ ($\leftarrow$ recent result)
- ii) Important problem: κ -Poincare co-variance of κ -statistics. Following Young + Zegers (arXiv: 0711.2206 [hep-th], arXiv: 1907.2745 [hep-th]) such statistics is described by generalized flip changing energies of flipped particles. $\leftarrow$ c-number commutator.
Problem: is c-number commutator and κ -covariance incompatible?
- iii) MAIN ISSUE:
noncommutative space-time $\leftrightarrow$ geometric interactions
 This link should be better understood!