

# Introduction to Symplectic Geometry : Lecture 15

October 6, 2021

# Moment map

- Definition : The action of a group  $G$  on  $(M, \omega)$  is Hamiltonian if there exists a map

$$\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\vee$$

satisfying

- 1  $d\mu_\xi = -i_{\xi_M}\omega$  for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\mu_\xi := \langle \mu, \xi \rangle : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,
- 2 (Equivariance) and  $\mu(gm) = \text{Ad}_g^* \mu(m)$  for all  $g \in G, m \in M$ .

# Moment map

- Definition : The action of a group  $G$  on  $(M, \omega)$  is Hamiltonian if there exists a map

$$\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\vee$$

satisfying

- 1  $d\mu_\xi = -i_{\xi_M}\omega$  for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\mu_\xi := \langle \mu, \xi \rangle : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,
- 2 (Equivariance) and  $\mu(gm) = \text{Ad}_g^* \mu(m)$  for all  $g \in G, m \in M$ .

$\mu$  is known as the **moment map** generating the Hamiltonian action, and  $(M, \omega, G, \mu)$  is called a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space.

# Moment map

- Definition : The action of a group  $G$  on  $(M, \omega)$  is Hamiltonian if there exists a map

$$\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\vee$$

satisfying

- 1  $d\mu_\xi = -i_{\xi_M}\omega$  for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\mu_\xi := \langle \mu, \xi \rangle : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,
- 2 (Equivariance) and  $\mu(gm) = \text{Ad}_g^* \mu(m)$  for all  $g \in G, m \in M$ .

$\mu$  is known as the **moment map** generating the Hamiltonian action, and  $(M, \omega, G, \mu)$  is called a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space.

- If  $G$  is Abelian, the equivariance is same as invariance :  $\mu(gm) = \mu(m)$ .

# Moment map

- Definition : The action of a group  $G$  on  $(M, \omega)$  is Hamiltonian if there exists a map

$$\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\vee$$

satisfying

- ①  $d\mu_\xi = -i_{\xi_M}\omega$  for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\mu_\xi := \langle \mu, \xi \rangle : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,
- ② (Equivariance) and  $\mu(gm) = \text{Ad}_g^* \mu(m)$  for all  $g \in G, m \in M$ .

$\mu$  is known as the **moment map** generating the Hamiltonian action, and  $(M, \omega, G, \mu)$  is called a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space.

- If  $G$  is Abelian, the equivariance is same as invariance :  $\mu(gm) = \mu(m)$ .
- Example  $G = S^1$  : A function  $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a moment map for an  $S^1$ -action if for the vector field  $v \in \text{Vect}(M)$  defined by  $i_v\omega = d\mu$ , the flow is  $2\pi$ -periodic for all points on  $M$ .

# Moment map

- Definition : The action of a group  $G$  on  $(M, \omega)$  is Hamiltonian if there exists a map

$$\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\vee$$

satisfying

- 1  $d\mu_\xi = -i_{\xi_M}\omega$  for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\mu_\xi := \langle \mu, \xi \rangle : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,
- 2 (Equivariance) and  $\mu(gm) = \text{Ad}_g^* \mu(m)$  for all  $g \in G, m \in M$ .

$\mu$  is known as the **moment map** generating the Hamiltonian action, and  $(M, \omega, G, \mu)$  is called a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space.

- If  $G$  is Abelian, the equivariance is same as invariance :  $\mu(gm) = \mu(m)$ .
- Example  $G = S^1$  : A function  $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a moment map for an  $S^1$ -action if for the vector field  $v \in \text{Vect}(M)$  defined by  $i_v\omega = d\mu$ , the flow is  $2\pi$ -periodic for all points on  $M$ .
- Consider  $(\mathbb{C}, \omega = dx \wedge dy)$ , and  $\mu(x, y) := \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2)$ .

# Moment map

- Definition : The action of a group  $G$  on  $(M, \omega)$  is Hamiltonian if there exists a map

$$\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\vee$$

satisfying

- 1  $d\mu_\xi = -i_{\xi_M}\omega$  for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\mu_\xi := \langle \mu, \xi \rangle : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,
- 2 (Equivariance) and  $\mu(gm) = \text{Ad}_g^* \mu(m)$  for all  $g \in G, m \in M$ .

$\mu$  is known as the **moment map** generating the Hamiltonian action, and  $(M, \omega, G, \mu)$  is called a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space.

- If  $G$  is Abelian, the equivariance is same as invariance :  $\mu(gm) = \mu(m)$ .
- Example  $G = S^1$  : A function  $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a moment map for an  $S^1$ -action if for the vector field  $v \in \text{Vect}(M)$  defined by  $i_v\omega = d\mu$ , the flow is  $2\pi$ -periodic for all points on  $M$ .
- Consider  $(\mathbb{C}, \omega = dx \wedge dy)$ , and  $\mu(x, y) := \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2)$ . Then  $v = \partial_\theta$ .

# Moment map

- Definition : The action of a group  $G$  on  $(M, \omega)$  is Hamiltonian if there exists a map

$$\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\vee$$

satisfying

- 1  $d\mu_\xi = -i_{\xi_M}\omega$  for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\mu_\xi := \langle \mu, \xi \rangle : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,
- 2 (Equivariance) and  $\mu(gm) = \text{Ad}_g^* \mu(m)$  for all  $g \in G, m \in M$ .

$\mu$  is known as the **moment map** generating the Hamiltonian action, and  $(M, \omega, G, \mu)$  is called a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space.

- If  $G$  is Abelian, the equivariance is same as invariance :  $\mu(gm) = \mu(m)$ .
- Example  $G = S^1$  : A function  $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a moment map for an  $S^1$ -action if for the vector field  $v \in \text{Vect}(M)$  defined by  $i_v\omega = d\mu$ , the flow is  $2\pi$ -periodic for all points on  $M$ .
- Consider  $(\mathbb{C}, \omega = dx \wedge dy)$ , and  $\mu(x, y) := \frac{1}{2}(x^2 + y^2)$ . Then  $v = \partial_\theta$ . Thus  $\mu$  is an  $S^1$ -moment map for the action

$$z \xrightarrow{\theta \in S^1} e^{i\theta} z.$$

# Moment map

- What about the action

$$S^1 \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}, \quad z \xrightarrow{\theta \in S^1} e^{ik\theta} z,$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ?

# Moment map

- What about the action

$$S^1 \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}, \quad z \xrightarrow{\theta \in S^1} e^{ik\theta} z,$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ? The moment map is  $z \mapsto \frac{k}{2}|z|^2$ .

- Lemma : Suppose  $(M_1, \omega_1, G, \mu_1)$ ,  $(M_2, \omega_2, G, \mu_2)$  are Hamiltonian  $G$ -spaces. Then  $(M_1 \times M_2, \omega_1 \oplus \omega_2, G, \mu_1 + \mu_2)$  is a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space.

# Moment map

- What about the action

$$S^1 \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}, \quad z \xrightarrow{\theta \in S^1} e^{ik\theta} z,$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ? The moment map is  $z \mapsto \frac{k}{2}|z|^2$ .

- Lemma : Suppose  $(M_1, \omega_1, G, \mu_1)$ ,  $(M_2, \omega_2, G, \mu_2)$  are Hamiltonian  $G$ -spaces. Then  $(M_1 \times M_2, \omega_1 \oplus \omega_2, G, \mu_1 + \mu_2)$  is a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space. Proof : Exercise.

# Moment map

- What about the action

$$S^1 \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}, \quad z \xrightarrow{\theta \in S^1} e^{ik\theta} z,$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ? The moment map is  $z \mapsto \frac{k}{2}|z|^2$ .

- Lemma : Suppose  $(M_1, \omega_1, G, \mu_1)$ ,  $(M_2, \omega_2, G, \mu_2)$  are Hamiltonian  $G$ -spaces. Then  $(M_1 \times M_2, \omega_1 \oplus \omega_2, G, \mu_1 + \mu_2)$  is a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space. Proof : Exercise.
- The moment map for the diagonal action is

$$S^1 \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (z_1, \dots, z_n) \xrightarrow{\theta \in S^1} e^{i\theta} (z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

is

# Moment map

- What about the action

$$S^1 \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}, \quad z \xrightarrow{\theta \in S^1} e^{ik\theta} z,$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ? The moment map is  $z \mapsto \frac{k}{2}|z|^2$ .

- Lemma : Suppose  $(M_1, \omega_1, G, \mu_1)$ ,  $(M_2, \omega_2, G, \mu_2)$  are Hamiltonian  $G$ -spaces. Then  $(M_1 \times M_2, \omega_1 \oplus \omega_2, G, \mu_1 + \mu_2)$  is a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space. Proof : Exercise.
- The moment map for the diagonal action is

$$S^1 \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (z_1, \dots, z_n) \xrightarrow{\theta \in S^1} e^{i\theta} (z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

is  $\mu(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i |z_i|^2$ .

# Moment map

- What about the action

$$S^1 \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}, \quad z \xrightarrow{\theta \in S^1} e^{ik\theta} z,$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ? The moment map is  $z \mapsto \frac{k}{2}|z|^2$ .

- Lemma : Suppose  $(M_1, \omega_1, G, \mu_1)$ ,  $(M_2, \omega_2, G, \mu_2)$  are Hamiltonian  $G$ -spaces. Then  $(M_1 \times M_2, \omega_1 \oplus \omega_2, G, \mu_1 + \mu_2)$  is a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space. Proof : Exercise.
- The moment map for the diagonal action is

$$S^1 \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (z_1, \dots, z_n) \xrightarrow{\theta \in S^1} e^{i\theta}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

is  $\mu(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i |z_i|^2$ .

- For any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mu(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i |z_i|^2 + c$  is also a moment map.

# Moment map

- What about the action

$$S^1 \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}, \quad z \xrightarrow{\theta \in S^1} e^{ik\theta} z,$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ? The moment map is  $z \mapsto \frac{k}{2}|z|^2$ .

- Lemma : Suppose  $(M_1, \omega_1, G, \mu_1)$ ,  $(M_2, \omega_2, G, \mu_2)$  are Hamiltonian  $G$ -spaces. Then  $(M_1 \times M_2, \omega_1 \oplus \omega_2, G, \mu_1 + \mu_2)$  is a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space. Proof : Exercise.
- The moment map for the diagonal action is

$$S^1 \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (z_1, \dots, z_n) \xrightarrow{\theta \in S^1} e^{i\theta}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

is  $\mu(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i |z_i|^2$ .

- For any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mu(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i |z_i|^2 + c$  is also a moment map. For general  $G$ , we can add central elements  $c \in \text{Lie}(Z(G))$  to the moment map without altering the moment map condition.

# Moment map

- Remark : Let  $(M, \omega, G, \mu)$  be a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space. Then the level set  $\mu^{-1}(0)$  is  $G$ -invariant.

# Moment map

- Remark : Let  $(M, \omega, G, \mu)$  be a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space. Then the level set  $\mu^{-1}(0)$  is  $G$ -invariant.
- Proof :  $m \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ ,  $g \in G$  implies  $\mu(gm) = \mu(m) = 0$ .

# Moment map

- Remark : Let  $(M, \omega, G, \mu)$  be a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space. Then the level set  $\mu^{-1}(0)$  is  $G$ -invariant.
- Proof :  $m \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ ,  $g \in G$  implies  $\mu(gm) = \mu(m) = 0$ .
- Remark : Suppose  $G$  acts freely on  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ . Then 0 is regular value of  $\mu$ .

# Moment map

- Remark : Let  $(M, \omega, G, \mu)$  be a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space. Then the level set  $\mu^{-1}(0)$  is  $G$ -invariant.
- Proof :  $m \in \mu^{-1}(0), g \in G$  implies  $\mu(gm) = \mu(m) = 0$ .
- Remark : Suppose  $G$  acts freely on  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ . Then 0 is regular value of  $\mu$ .
- Proof : For any  $x \in \mu^{-1}(0), \xi \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $d\mu_\xi(x) \neq 0$  because ..

# Symplectic Quotients

- Theorem (Marsden-Weinstein-Meyer) : Let  $(M, \omega, G, \mu)$  be a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space. Suppose  $G$  is compact and acts freely on  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ . Then
  - ▶  $\bar{M} := \mu^{-1}(0)/G$  is a manifold, and  $\pi : \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \bar{M}$  is a principal  $G$ -bundle.
  - ▶ There is a symplectic form  $\bar{\omega}$  on  $\bar{M}$  satisfying

$$i^*\omega = \pi^*\bar{\omega}$$

where  $i : \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow M$  is the inclusion map.

# Symplectic Quotients

- Theorem (Marsden-Weinstein-Meyer) : Let  $(M, \omega, G, \mu)$  be a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space. Suppose  $G$  is compact and acts freely on  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ . Then
  - ▶  $\overline{M} := \mu^{-1}(0)/G$  is a manifold, and  $\pi : \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \overline{M}$  is a principal  $G$ -bundle.
  - ▶ There is a symplectic form  $\overline{\omega}$  on  $\overline{M}$  satisfying

$$i^*\omega = \pi^*\overline{\omega}$$

where  $i : \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow M$  is the inclusion map.

- $\overline{M}$  is called the **symplectic quotient** at level 0.

# Symplectic Quotients

- Theorem (Marsden-Weinstein-Meyer) : Let  $(M, \omega, G, \mu)$  be a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space. Suppose  $G$  is compact and acts freely on  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ . Then
  - ▶  $\bar{M} := \mu^{-1}(0)/G$  is a manifold, and  $\pi : \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \bar{M}$  is a principal  $G$ -bundle.
  - ▶ There is a symplectic form  $\bar{\omega}$  on  $\bar{M}$  satisfying

$$i^*\omega = \pi^*\bar{\omega}$$

where  $i : \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow M$  is the inclusion map.

- $\bar{M}$  is called the **symplectic quotient** at level 0.
- Example : Consider the diagonal action

$$S^1 \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (z_1, \dots, z_n) \xrightarrow{\theta \in S^1} e^{i\theta}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

with moment map  $\mu(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i |z_i|^2 - \frac{1}{2}$ .

# Symplectic Quotients

- Theorem (Marsden-Weinstein-Meyer) : Let  $(M, \omega, G, \mu)$  be a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space. Suppose  $G$  is compact and acts freely on  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ . Then
  - ▶  $\bar{M} := \mu^{-1}(0)/G$  is a manifold, and  $\pi : \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \bar{M}$  is a principal  $G$ -bundle.
  - ▶ There is a symplectic form  $\bar{\omega}$  on  $\bar{M}$  satisfying

$$i^*\omega = \pi^*\bar{\omega}$$

where  $i : \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow M$  is the inclusion map.

- $\bar{M}$  is called the **symplectic quotient** at level 0.
- Example : Consider the diagonal action

$$S^1 \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (z_1, \dots, z_n) \xrightarrow{\theta \in S^1} e^{i\theta}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

with moment map  $\mu(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i |z_i|^2 - \frac{1}{2}$ . The quotient is  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . We have thus showed that the complex projective space has a symplectic form.

# Symplectic Quotients

- Theorem (Marsden-Weinstein-Meyer) : Let  $(M, \omega, G, \mu)$  be a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space. Suppose  $G$  is compact and acts freely on  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ . Then
  - ▶  $\bar{M} := \mu^{-1}(0)/G$  is a manifold, and  $\pi : \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow \bar{M}$  is a principal  $G$ -bundle.
  - ▶ There is a symplectic form  $\bar{\omega}$  on  $\bar{M}$  satisfying

$$i^*\omega = \pi^*\bar{\omega}$$

where  $i : \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow M$  is the inclusion map.

- $\bar{M}$  is called the **symplectic quotient** at level 0.
- Example : Consider the diagonal action

$$S^1 \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}^n, \quad (z_1, \dots, z_n) \xrightarrow{\theta \in S^1} e^{i\theta}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$$

with moment map  $\mu(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i |z_i|^2 - \frac{1}{2}$ . The quotient is  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . We have thus showed that the complex projective space has a symplectic form.

# Motivation for symplectic reduction : Noether's principle

- Let  $(M, \omega, G, \mu)$  be a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space.

# Motivation for symplectic reduction : Noether's principle

- Let  $(M, \omega, G, \mu)$  be a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space.
- Theorem (Noether) : A Hamiltonian function  $H : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $G$ -invariant if and only if  $\mu$  is constant on the trajectories of the Hamiltonian vector field  $v_H$ .

# Motivation for symplectic reduction : Noether's principle

- Let  $(M, \omega, G, \mu)$  be a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space.
- Theorem (Noether) : A Hamiltonian function  $H : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $G$ -invariant if and only if  $\mu$  is constant on the trajectories of the Hamiltonian vector field  $v_H$ .
- Proof : For any  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$d\mu_\xi(v_H) = -\omega(\xi_M, v_H) = -dH(\xi_M).$$

# Motivation for symplectic reduction : Noether's principle

- Let  $(M, \omega, G, \mu)$  be a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space.
- Theorem (Noether) : A Hamiltonian function  $H : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $G$ -invariant if and only if  $\mu$  is constant on the trajectories of the Hamiltonian vector field  $v_H$ .
- Proof : For any  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$d\mu_\xi(v_H) = -\omega(\xi_M, v_H) = -dH(\xi_M).$$

- Noether's theorem implies that one can study the dynamics of  $v_H$  on the symplectic quotient  $\mu^{-1}(c)/G$ .

# Proof of Marsden-Weinstein-Meyer

- For any  $p \in M$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_p \subset M$  be the  $G$ -orbit of  $p$ .

# Proof of Marsden-Weinstein-Meyer

- For any  $p \in M$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_p \subset M$  be the  $G$ -orbit of  $p$ . If the  $G$ -action on  $p$  is free, then  $T_p\mathcal{O}_p \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ .

# Proof of Marsden-Weinstein-Meyer

- For any  $p \in M$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_p \subset M$  be the  $G$ -orbit of  $p$ . If the  $G$ -action on  $p$  is free, then  $T_p\mathcal{O}_p \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ .
- Since  $G$  is a compact group acting freely on  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ , the quotient  $\mu^{-1}(0)/G$  is a manifold. For  $p \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ ,

# Proof of Marsden-Weinstein-Meyer

- For any  $p \in M$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_p \subset M$  be the  $G$ -orbit of  $p$ . If the  $G$ -action on  $p$  is free, then  $T_p\mathcal{O}_p \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ .
- Since  $G$  is a compact group acting freely on  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ , the quotient  $\mu^{-1}(0)/G$  is a manifold. For  $p \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ ,

$$T_{[p]}(\mu^{-1}(0)/G) = T_p\mu^{-1}(0)/T_p\mathcal{O}_p.$$

# Proof of Marsden-Weinstein-Meyer

- For any  $p \in M$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_p \subset M$  be the  $G$ -orbit of  $p$ . If the  $G$ -action on  $p$  is free, then  $T_p\mathcal{O}_p \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ .
- Since  $G$  is a compact group acting freely on  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ , the quotient  $\mu^{-1}(0)/G$  is a manifold. For  $p \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ ,

$$T_{[p]}(\mu^{-1}(0)/G) = T_p\mu^{-1}(0)/T_p\mathcal{O}_p.$$

- Remark: For  $p \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ ,
  - ▶  $T_p\mathcal{O}_p \subset T_p\mu^{-1}(0)$ , and

# Proof of Marsden-Weinstein-Meyer

- For any  $p \in M$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_p \subset M$  be the  $G$ -orbit of  $p$ . If the  $G$ -action on  $p$  is free, then  $T_p\mathcal{O}_p \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ .
- Since  $G$  is a compact group acting freely on  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ , the quotient  $\mu^{-1}(0)/G$  is a manifold. For  $p \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ ,

$$T_{[p]}(\mu^{-1}(0)/G) = T_p\mu^{-1}(0)/T_p\mathcal{O}_p.$$

- Remark: For  $p \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ ,
  - ▶  $T_p\mathcal{O}_p \subset T_p\mu^{-1}(0)$ , and
  - ▶  $(T_p\mathcal{O}_p)^\omega = T_p\mu^{-1}(0)$ .

# Proof of Marsden-Weinstein-Meyer

- For any  $p \in M$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_p \subset M$  be the  $G$ -orbit of  $p$ . If the  $G$ -action on  $p$  is free, then  $T_p\mathcal{O}_p \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ .
- Since  $G$  is a compact group acting freely on  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ , the quotient  $\mu^{-1}(0)/G$  is a manifold. For  $p \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ ,

$$T_{[p]}(\mu^{-1}(0)/G) = T_p\mu^{-1}(0)/T_p\mathcal{O}_p.$$

- Remark: For  $p \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ ,
  - ▶  $T_p\mathcal{O}_p \subset T_p\mu^{-1}(0)$ , and
  - ▶  $(T_p\mathcal{O}_p)^\omega = T_p\mu^{-1}(0)$ .

So,  $\mathcal{O}_p$  is isotropic and  $\mu^{-1}(0)$  is co-isotropic.

# Proof of Marsden-Weinstein-Meyer

- For any  $p \in M$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_p \subset M$  be the  $G$ -orbit of  $p$ . If the  $G$ -action on  $p$  is free, then  $T_p\mathcal{O}_p \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ .
- Since  $G$  is a compact group acting freely on  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ , the quotient  $\mu^{-1}(0)/G$  is a manifold. For  $p \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ ,

$$T_{[p]}(\mu^{-1}(0)/G) = T_p\mu^{-1}(0)/T_p\mathcal{O}_p.$$

- Remark: For  $p \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ ,
  - ▶  $T_p\mathcal{O}_p \subset T_p\mu^{-1}(0)$ , and
  - ▶  $(T_p\mathcal{O}_p)^\omega = T_p\mu^{-1}(0)$ .

So,  $\mathcal{O}_p$  is isotropic and  $\mu^{-1}(0)$  is co-isotropic.

- Lemma : Let  $(V, \omega)$  be a symplectic vector space, and  $I \subset V$  be isotropic. Then  $\omega$  descends to a symplectic form  $\bar{\omega}$  on  $I^\omega/I$ ,

# Proof of Marsden-Weinstein-Meyer

- For any  $p \in M$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_p \subset M$  be the  $G$ -orbit of  $p$ . If the  $G$ -action on  $p$  is free, then  $T_p\mathcal{O}_p \simeq \mathfrak{g}$ .
- Since  $G$  is a compact group acting freely on  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ , the quotient  $\mu^{-1}(0)/G$  is a manifold. For  $p \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ ,

$$T_{[p]}(\mu^{-1}(0)/G) = T_p\mu^{-1}(0)/T_p\mathcal{O}_p.$$

- Remark: For  $p \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ ,
  - ▶  $T_p\mathcal{O}_p \subset T_p\mu^{-1}(0)$ , and
  - ▶  $(T_p\mathcal{O}_p)^\omega = T_p\mu^{-1}(0)$ .

So,  $\mathcal{O}_p$  is isotropic and  $\mu^{-1}(0)$  is co-isotropic.

- Lemma : Let  $(V, \omega)$  be a symplectic vector space, and  $I \subset V$  be isotropic. Then  $\omega$  descends to a symplectic form  $\bar{\omega}$  on  $I^\omega/I$ , that satisfies  $i^*\omega = \pi^*\bar{\omega}$  where  $i : I^\omega \rightarrow V$  is the inclusion map and  $\pi : I^\omega \rightarrow I^\omega/I$  is the projection map.