Hilbert von Neumann (bi)modules

joint work with

Panchugopal Bikram, Kunal Mukherjee and R. Srinivasan

V.S. Sunder
Institute of Mathematical Sciences
Chennai, India
sunder@imsc.res.in

ISI Bangalore, Jan 24, 2011

Hilbert C^*-modules have a fairly long history; but they have some disconcerting features for the uninitiated. Thus:

Hilbert C^*-modules have a fairly long history; but they have some disconcerting features for the uninitiated. Thus:

A Hilbert C^*-module over a C^*-algebra B is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space E which comes equipped with a *right*- action $E \times B \to E$, and a B-valued inner product

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E \times E \to B$$

which is

Hilbert C^*-modules have a fairly long history; but they have some disconcerting features for the uninitiated. Thus:

A Hilbert C^*-module over a C^*-algebra B is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space E which comes equipped with a *right*- action $E \times B \to E$, and a B-valued inner product

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E \times E \to B$$

which is

- linear in the *second* variable, and conjugate-linear in the *first* variable -

$$\langle eb_2, fb_1 \rangle = b_2^* \langle e, f \rangle b_1 \ .$$

Hilbert C^*-modules have a fairly long history; but they have some disconcerting features for the uninitiated. Thus:

A Hilbert C^*-module over a C^*-algebra B is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space E which comes equipped with a *right*- action $E \times B \to E$, and a B-valued inner product

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E \times E \to B$$

which is

- linear in the *second* variable, and conjugate-linear in the *first* variable -

$$\langle eb_2, fb_1 \rangle = b_2^* \langle e, f \rangle b_1 \ .$$

- positive definite, meaning that $|e|^2 = \langle e, e \rangle$ is a non-zero positive element of B if $e \neq 0$,

Hilbert C^*-modules have a fairly long history; but they have some disconcerting features for the uninitiated. Thus:

A Hilbert C^*-module over a C^*-algebra B is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space E which comes equipped with a *right*- action $E \times B \to E$, and a B-valued inner product

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E \times E \to B$$

which is

- linear in the *second* variable, and conjugate-linear in the *first* variable -

$$\langle eb_2, fb_1 \rangle = b_2^* \langle e, f \rangle b_1 \ .$$

- positive definite, meaning that $|e|^2 = \langle e, e \rangle$ is a non-zero positive element of B if $e \neq 0$,
- and is complete in the norm defined by $\|e\| = \| \ |e| \ \|$.

Hilbert C^*-modules have a fairly long history; but they have some disconcerting features for the uninitiated. Thus:

A Hilbert C^*-module over a C^*-algebra B is a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space E which comes equipped with a *right*- action $E \times B \to E$, and a B-valued inner product

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E \times E \to B$$

which is

- linear in the *second* variable, and conjugate-linear in the *first* variable -

$$\langle eb_2, fb_1 \rangle = b_2^* \langle e, f \rangle b_1 \ .$$

- positive definite, meaning that $|e|^2 = \langle e, e \rangle$ is a non-zero positive element of B if $e \neq 0$,

- and is complete in the norm defined by $\|e\| = \| \ |e| \ \|$.

As may be expected, one needs to know a fair bit of C^*-algebra theory before working with these objects.

von Neumann algebras being C^*-algebras with a distinguished other (σ-*weak*) topology, the existing treatments (e.g., Skeide's) of Hilbert von Neumann modules regard them as Hilbert C^*-modules with additional structure. Then when one gets into dealing with constructions such as tensor-products of bimodules, one finds several stages of abstraction involved - first a 'separation' step involving quotienting out by the radical of the B-valued possibly semi-inner product one gets, then a completion with respect to the norm in E, and finally the von Neumann completion of the result, often ending up with an unrecognisable abstract construct.

von Neumann algebras being C^*-algebras with a distinguished other (σ-*weak*) topology, the existing treatments (e.g., Skeide's) of Hilbert von Neumann modules regard them as Hilbert C^*-modules with additional structure. Then when one gets into dealing with constructions such as tensor-products of bimodules, one finds several stages of abstraction involved - first a 'separation' step involving quotienting out by the radical of the B-valued possibly semi-inner product one gets, then a completion with respect to the norm in E, and finally the von Neumann completion of the result, often ending up with an unrecognisable abstract construct.

(This is not unlike doing some general topological calisthenics before dealing with standard Borel spaces.)

von Neumann algebras being C^*-algebras with a distinguished other (σ-*weak*) topology, the existing treatments (e.g., Skeide's) of Hilbert von Neumann modules regard them as Hilbert C^*-modules with additional structure. Then when one gets into dealing with constructions such as tensor-products of bimodules, one finds several stages of abstraction involved - first a 'separation' step involving quotienting out by the radical of the B-valued possibly semi-inner product one gets, then a completion with respect to the norm in E, and finally the von Neumann completion of the result, often ending up with an unrecognisable abstract construct.

(This is not unlike doing some general topological calisthenics before dealing with standard Borel spaces.)

We propose an alternative approach which completely bypasses the C^*-stage, avoids right actions, linearity in the second argument of an inner product, etc., and goes directly to von Neumann algebras and involves only the most natural considerations.

von Neumann algebras being C^*-algebras with a distinguished other (σ-*weak*) topology, the existing treatments (e.g., Skeide's) of Hilbert von Neumann modules regard them as Hilbert C^*-modules with additional structure. Then when one gets into dealing with constructions such as tensor-products of bimodules, one finds several stages of abstraction involved - first a 'separation' step involving quotienting out by the radical of the B-valued possibly semi-inner product one gets, then a completion with respect to the norm in E, and finally the von Neumann completion of the result, often ending up with an unrecognisable abstract construct.

(This is not unlike doing some general topological calisthenics before dealing with standard Borel spaces.)

We propose an alternative approach which completely bypasses the C^*-stage, avoids right actions, linearity in the second argument of an inner product, etc., and goes directly to von Neumann algebras and involves only the most natural considerations.

(We prefer to directly rely on the rich structure of von Neumann algebras, the non-commutative analogues of Polish spaces!)

First some conventions:

First some conventions:

if $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{H}$, then $[\mathcal{S}]$ denotes the closure in the norm-, (equivalently weak) topology of the linear subspace generated by $\mathcal{S}$; and

if $S \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, then $[S]$ denotes the closure in the SOT (equivalently WOT) of the linear subspace generated by S.

If $S \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M})$, $T \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}), \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{H}$, then,

$$ST\mathcal{S} = \{xy\xi : x \in S, y \in T, \xi \in \mathcal{S}\} \ .$$

First some conventions:

if $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{H}$, then $[\mathcal{S}]$ denotes the closure in the norm-, (equivalently weak) topology of the linear subspace generated by $\mathcal{S}$; and

if $S \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, then $[S]$ denotes the closure in the SOT (equivalently WOT) of the linear subspace generated by S.

If $S \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M})$, $T \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}), \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{H}$, then,

$$ST\mathcal{S} = \{xy\xi : x \in S, y \in T, \xi \in \mathcal{S}\} .$$

Definition

A $(1,2)$ *von Neumann corner* is a subset $E \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ satisfying

$$E = [E] \supset EE^*E (=: \{xy^*z : x, y, z \in E\})$$

First some conventions:

if $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{H}$, then $[\mathcal{S}]$ denotes the closure in the norm-, (equivalently weak) topology of the linear subspace generated by $\mathcal{S}$; and

if $S \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, then $[S]$ denotes the closure in the SOT (equivalently WOT) of the linear subspace generated by S.

If $S \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{M}), T \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}), \mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{H}$, then,

$$ST\mathcal{S} = \{xy\xi : x \in S, y \in T, \xi \in \mathcal{S}\} \ .$$

Definition

A $(1,2)$ *von Neumann corner* is a subset $E \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ satisfying

$$E = [E] \supset EE^*E (=: \{xy^*z : x, y, z \in E\})$$

Theorem

E is a $(1,2)$ von Neumann corner as above if and only if there exists a von Neumann algebra $M \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2)$ which contains the projections e_i onto the $\mathcal{H}_i$'s such that $E = e_1 M e_2$.

Definition

If A_2 is a von Neumann algebra, a $(1,2)$ von Neumann corner E is called a Hilbert von Neumann A_2-module if there exists a normal isomorphism π_2 of A_2 onto $[E^*E]$. We write $\mathcal{E} = (E, \mathcal{H}_1, (\pi_2, \mathcal{H}_2))$ for the module. The projections $p_1^{(E)} = \bigvee\{q : q \in \mathcal{P}([EE^*])$ and $p_2^{(E)} = \bigvee\{p : p \in \mathcal{P}([E^*E])$ are called the **left-** and **right-support projections of** E.

Definition

If A_2 is a von Neumann algebra, a $(1,2)$ von Neumann corner E is called a Hilbert von Neumann A_2-module if there exists a normal isomorphism π_2 of A_2 onto $[E^*E]$. We write $\mathcal{E} = (E, \mathcal{H}_1, (\pi_2, \mathcal{H}_2))$ for the module. The projections $p_1^{(E)} = \bigvee\{q : q \in \mathcal{P}([EE^*])$ and $p_2^{(E)} = \bigvee\{p : p \in \mathcal{P}([E^*E])$ are called the **left-** and **right-support projections of** E.

A Hilbert von Neumann A_2-module E does indeed admit a right action of A_2 and an A_2-valued inner product thus:

$$x.a_2 = x\pi_2(a_2) \text{ and } \langle x, y\rangle = \pi_2^{-1}(x^*y) \ .$$

and the norm coming from this A_2-valued inner product is nothing but the operator norm.

Definition

If A_2 is a von Neumann algebra, a $(1,2)$ von Neumann corner E is called a Hilbert von Neumann A_2-module if there exists a normal isomorphism π_2 of A_2 onto $[E^*E]$. We write $\mathcal{E} = (E, \mathcal{H}_1, (\pi_2, \mathcal{H}_2))$ for the module. The projections $p_1^{(E)} = \bigvee\{q : q \in \mathcal{P}([EE^*])$ and $p_2^{(E)} = \bigvee\{p : p \in \mathcal{P}([E^*E])$ are called the **left-** and **right-support projections of** E.

A Hilbert von Neumann A_2-module E does indeed admit a right action of A_2 and an A_2-valued inner product thus:

$$x.a_2 = x\pi_2(a_2) \text{ and } \langle x, y\rangle = \pi_2^{-1}(x^*y) \ .$$

and the norm coming from this A_2-valued inner product is nothing but the operator norm.

Definition

If A_1, A_2 are von Neumann algebras, a a Hilbert von Neumann A_2-module is called a Hilbert von Neumann $A_1 - A_2$-bimodule if there exists a normal homomorphism $\pi_1 : A_1 \to [EE^*]$. We write $\mathcal{E} = (E, (\pi_1, \mathcal{H}_1), (\pi_2, \mathcal{H}_2))$ for the bimodule.

We begin with a lemma, whose proof is a routine application of the functional calculus and the polar decomposition:

We begin with a lemma, whose proof is a routine application of the functional calculus and the polar decomposition:

Lemma (Epd)

If $E \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ is a $(1,2)$ von Neumann corner, and if $x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ has polar decomposition $x = u|x|$, then

$$x \in E \Leftrightarrow u \in E \text{ and } |x| \in [E^*E]$$

We begin with a lemma, whose proof is a routine application of the functional calculus and the polar decomposition:

Lemma (Epd)

If $E \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ is a $(1,2)$ von Neumann corner, and if $x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ has polar decomposition $x = u|x|$, then

$$x \in E \Leftrightarrow u \in E \text{ and } |x| \in [E^* E]$$

In order to verify that our definitions agree with those of Skeide, we need to prove that our von Neumann modules satisfy the Riesz lemma, and are hence what he calls *self-dual*; specifically:

Riesz lemma

We begin with a lemma, whose proof is a routine application of the functional calculus and the polar decomposition:

Lemma (Epd)

If $E \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ is a $(1,2)$ von Neumann corner, and if $x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_2, \mathcal{H}_1)$ has polar decomposition $x = u|x|$, then

$$x \in E \Leftrightarrow u \in E \text{ and } |x| \in [E^* E]$$

In order to verify that our definitions agree with those of Skeide, we need to prove that our von Neumann modules satisfy the Riesz lemma, and are hence what he calls *self-dual*; specifically:

Lemma

(**Riesz Lemma**) *If E is a Hilbert von Neumann A_2-module, and if $f : E \to A_2$ is norm-bounded and satisfies $f(x.a_2) = f(x).a_2 \ \forall a_2 \in A_2$, then $\exists y \in E$ such that $f(x) = y^* x \ \forall x \in E$.*

Riesz lemma (contd.)

Proof.

We are given that f is norm bounded so there exists $K > 0$ such that $\|f(x)\| \leq K\|x\| \; \forall x \in E$. Deduce that if $x \in E$ has polar decomposition $x = u|x|$ and if $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x)\xi\| &= \|f(u|x|)\xi\| \\ &= \|f(u)|x|\xi\| \\ &\leq K\||x|\xi\| \\ &= K\|u^* x\xi\| \\ &\leq K\|x\xi\| \; . \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Proof.

We are given that f is norm bounded so there exists $K > 0$ such that $\|f(x)\| \leq K\|x\| \ \forall x \in E$. Deduce that if $x \in E$ has polar decomposition $x = u|x|$ and if $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x)\xi\| &= \|f(u|x|)\xi\| \\ &= \|f(u)|x|\xi\| \\ &\leq K\||x|\xi\| \\ &= K\|u^*x\xi\| \\ &\leq K\|x\xi\| \ . \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Next choose a sequence $\{\xi_n\} \subset \mathcal{H}_2$ such that $p_2\mathcal{H}_2 = \oplus_n[E^*E\xi_n]$, whence also $p_1\mathcal{H}_1 = \oplus_n[E\xi_n]$. (e.g., $\langle x\xi_m, y\xi_n\rangle = \langle y^*x\xi_m, \xi_n\rangle = 0$ if $n \neq m$.)

Proof.

We are given that f is norm bounded so there exists $K > 0$ such that $\|f(x)\| \leq K\|x\| \ \forall x \in E$. Deduce that if $x \in E$ has polar decomposition $x = u|x|$ and if $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x)\xi\| &= \|f(u|x|)\xi\| \\ &= \|f(u)|x|\xi\| \\ &\leq K\||x|\xi\| \\ &= K\|u^*x\xi\| \\ &\leq K\|x\xi\| \ . \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Next choose a sequence $\{\xi_n\} \subset \mathcal{H}_2$ such that $p_2\mathcal{H}_2 = \oplus_n[E^*E\xi_n]$, whence also $p_1\mathcal{H}_1 = \oplus_n[E\xi_n]$. (e.g., $\langle x\xi_m, y\xi_n\rangle = \langle y^*x\xi_m, \xi_n\rangle = 0$ if $n \neq m$.)

It follows from the previous paragraph and the estimate (1) that $\|f(x)\xi\| \leq K\|x\xi\| \ \forall x \in E, \xi \in \mathcal{H}_2$ and hence that there exists a unique $z \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_1, \mathcal{H}_2)$ such that $z = zp_1$ and

$$z(x\xi) = f(x)\xi \ \forall x \in E, \xi \in \mathcal{H}_2 \ .$$

□

proof of Riesz lemma (contd.)

proof (contd.)

Now the definition shows that $zE \subset [E^* E]$ and hence

$$z = zp_2 \in z[EE^*] \subset [zEE^*] \subset [E^* EE^*] = E^*$$

so $y = z^* \in E$ and finally $f(x) = zx = y^* x$. $\square$

proof (contd.)

Now the definition shows that $zE \subset [E^*E]$ and hence

$$z = zp_2 \in z[EE^*] \subset [zEE^*] \subset [E^*EE^*] = E^*$$

so $y = z^* \in E$ and finally $f(x) = zx = y^*x$. $\square$

The above version of Riesz' lemma may be used to show that given a Hilbert von Neumann A_2-module $\mathcal{E}$, if $S \subset E$, then

$$S^{\perp\perp} = [SE^*E]$$

and there is no pathology as in the case of Hilbert C^*-modules.

Connes fusion

We now briefly digress to our version of what is usually called 'internal tensor products', but which we prefer to call Connes' fusion as are similar constructs involving bimodules over von Neumann algebras.

We now briefly digress to our version of what is usually called 'internal tensor products', but which we prefer to call Connes' fusion as are similar constructs involving bimodules over von Neumann algebras.

Given a Hilbert von Neumann $A_1 - A_2$ bimodule $\mathcal{E} = (E, (\pi_1, \mathcal{H}_1), (\pi_2, \mathcal{H}_2))$ and a Hilbert von Neumann $A_2 - A_3$ bimodule $\mathcal{F} = (F, (\rho_2, \mathcal{K}_2), (\rho_3, \mathcal{K}_3))$ there is a Hilbert von Neumann $A_1 - A_3$ bimodule $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{A_2} \mathcal{F} = (E \bigodot F, (\widetilde{\pi}_1, \widetilde{\mathcal{H}_1}), (\rho_3, \mathcal{K}_3))$ which we call their Connes fusion, towards whose definition we head:

We now briefly digress to our version of what is usually called 'internal tensor products', but which we prefer to call Connes' fusion as are similar constructs involving bimodules over von Neumann algebras.

Given a Hilbert von Neumann $A_1 - A_2$ bimodule $\mathcal{E} = (E, (\pi_1, \mathcal{H}_1), (\pi_2, \mathcal{H}_2))$ and a Hilbert von Neumann $A_2 - A_3$ bimodule $\mathcal{F} = (F, (\rho_2, \mathcal{K}_2), (\rho_3, \mathcal{K}_3))$ there is a Hilbert von Neumann $A_1 - A_3$ bimodule $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{A_2} \mathcal{F} = (E \bigodot F, (\widetilde{\pi_1}, \widetilde{\mathcal{H}_1}), (\rho_3, \mathcal{K}_3))$ which we call their Connes fusion, towards whose definition we head:

If we directly plunge into the general definition, the elegance of the notion may be missed. To start with, we shall assume that our bimodules are non-degenerate (i.e., $p_i^{(E)} = id_{\mathcal{H}_i}$). We shall give the definition of Connes' fusion in three steps of increasing generality in order to convey the fact that it is actually a 'glorified composition':

We now briefly digress to our version of what is usually called 'internal tensor products', but which we prefer to call Connes' fusion as are similar constructs involving bimodules over von Neumann algebras.

Given a Hilbert von Neumann $A_1 - A_2$ bimodule $\mathcal{E} = (E, (\pi_1, \mathcal{H}_1), (\pi_2, \mathcal{H}_2))$ and a Hilbert von Neumann $A_2 - A_3$ bimodule $\mathcal{F} = (F, (\rho_2, \mathcal{K}_2), (\rho_3, \mathcal{K}_3))$ there is a Hilbert von Neumann $A_1 - A_3$ bimodule $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{A_2} \mathcal{F} = (E \bigodot F, (\widetilde{\pi_1}, \widetilde{\mathcal{H}_1}), (\rho_3, \mathcal{K}_3))$ which we call their Connes fusion, towards whose definition we head:

If we directly plunge into the general definition, the elegance of the notion may be missed. To start with, we shall assume that our bimodules are non-degenerate (i.e., $p_i^{(E)} = id_{\mathcal{H}_i}$). We shall give the definition of Connes' fusion in three steps of increasing generality in order to convey the fact that it is actually a 'glorified composition':

Case 1: Suppose the representations π_2 and ρ_2 are unitarily equivalent, and $u : \mathcal{K}_2 \to \mathcal{H}_2$ is an A_2-linear unitary map. This happens, for instance, if A_2 is a type III factor. Then $E \bigodot F$ consists of the WOT-closed span of the composite opertors

$$x \bigodot y = y \circ u \circ x : \mathcal{K}_3 \to \mathcal{H}_1$$

for $x \in E, y \in F$.

Case 2: Suppose ρ_2 is a multiple of π_2, so that there exists a unitary operator $u : \mathcal{K}_2 \to \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^N$ such that $u\rho_2(a_2) = (\pi_2(a_2) \otimes id_{\mathbb{C}^N})u$. Then $E \bigodot F$ consists of the WOT-closed span of the composite operators

$$x \bigodot y = (y \otimes id_{\mathbb{C}^N}) \circ u \circ x : \mathcal{K}_3 \to \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}^N$$

for $x \in E, y \in F$.

Case 2: Suppose ρ_2 is a multiple of π_2, so that there exists a unitary operator $u : \mathcal{K}_2 \to \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^N$ such that $u\rho_2(a_2) = (\pi_2(a_2) \otimes id_{\mathbb{C}^N})u$. Then $E \bigodot F$ consists of the WOT-closed span of the composite operators

$$x \bigodot y = (y \otimes id_{\mathbb{C}^N}) \circ u \circ x : \mathcal{K}_3 \to \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}^N$$

for $x \in E, y \in F$.

Case 3: In general, any representation of a von Neumann algebra is unitarily equivalent to a subrepresentation of an infinite (separable) ampliation of any faithful representation. So there exists an isometric A_2-linear operator $u : \mathcal{K}_2 \to \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \ell^2$, and then $E \bigodot F$ consists of the WOT-closed span of the composite operators

$$x \bigodot y = (y \otimes id_{\ell^2}) \circ u \circ x : \mathcal{K}_3 \to \widehat{\mathcal{H}_1} \subset \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \ell^2$$

for $x \in E, y \in F$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{H}_1}$ is a suitable subspace of $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \ell^2$. To describe this subspace properly, we need a lemma.

Connes fusion (contd.)

Case 2: Suppose ρ_2 is a multiple of π_2, so that there exists a unitary operator $u : \mathcal{K}_2 \to \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^N$ such that $u\rho_2(a_2) = (\pi_2(a_2) \otimes id_{\mathbb{C}^N})u$. Then $E \bigodot F$ consists of the WOT-closed span of the composite operators

$$x \bigodot y = (y \otimes id_{\mathbb{C}^N}) \circ u \circ x : \mathcal{K}_3 \to \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathbb{C}^N$$

for $x \in E, y \in F$.

Case 3: In general, any representation of a von Neumann algebra is unitarily equivalent to a subrepresentation of an infinite (separable) ampliation of any faithful representation. So there exists an isometric A_2-linear operator $u : \mathcal{K}_2 \to \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \ell^2$, and then $E \bigodot F$ consists of the WOT-closed span of the composite operators

$$x \bigodot y = (y \otimes id_{\ell^2}) \circ u \circ x : \mathcal{K}_3 \to \widehat{\mathcal{H}_1} \subset \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \ell^2$$

for $x \in E, y \in F$, where $\widehat{\mathcal{H}_1}$ is a suitable subspace of $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \ell^2$. To describe this subspace properly, we need a lemma.

Lemma ($\mathcal{E}_* p$)

If $\mathcal{E}$ is a Hilbert von Neumann $A_1 - A_2$-bimodule, and if $p \in \mathcal{P}(\pi_2(A_2)')$, and if we let q be the projection onto $[Ep\mathcal{H}_2]$, then $q \in \pi_1(A_1)'$ and $xp = qx \ \forall x \in E$; and we shall write $q = \mathcal{E}_* p$.

In the general possibly degenerate case, we observe that as π_2 is a faithful normal representation of A_2 on $p_2^{(E)}\mathcal{H}_2$, there exists a partial isometry $u : \mathcal{K}_2 \to \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \ell^2$ such that $u^* u = p_1^{(F)}, uu^* \leq p_2^{(E)} \otimes id_{\ell^2}$, and which is A_2-linear, meaning that

$$u\rho_2(a_2) = (\pi_2(a_2) \otimes id_{\ell^2})u \ ,$$

In the general possibly degenerate case, we observe that as π_2 is a faithful normal representation of A_2 on $p_2^{(E)}\mathcal{H}_2$, there exists a partial isometry $u : \mathcal{K}_2 \to \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \ell^2$ such that $u^*u = p_1^{(F)}, uu^* \leq p_2^{(E)} \otimes id_{\ell^2}$, and which is A_2-linear, meaning that

$$u\rho_2(a_2) = (\pi_2(a_2) \otimes id_{\ell^2})u \ ,$$

1. for $x \in E, y \in F$, we define $x \bigodot y$ to be the composite operator
$$\mathcal{K}_3 \xrightarrow{y} \mathcal{K}_2 \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \ell^2 \xrightarrow{x \otimes id_{\ell^2}} \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \ell^2$$
2. Let $E \bigodot F = [\{x \bigodot y : x \in E, y \in F\}], p = uu^*, q = (\mathcal{E} \otimes id_{\ell^2})_* p$ and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}_1} = q(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \ell^2), \widetilde{\pi}_1 = q(\pi_1(\cdot) \otimes id_{\ell^2})|_{ran\ q}$.

In the general possibly degenerate case, we observe that as π_2 is a faithful normal representation of A_2 on $p_2^{(E)}\mathcal{H}_2$, there exists a partial isometry $u : \mathcal{K}_2 \to \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \ell^2$ such that $u^*u = p_1^{(F)}, uu^* \leq p_2^{(E)} \otimes id_{\ell^2}$, and which is A_2-linear, meaning that

$$u\rho_2(a_2) = (\pi_2(a_2) \otimes id_{\ell^2})u \ ,$$

1. for $x \in E, y \in F$, we define $x \bigodot y$ to be the composite operator
$$\mathcal{K}_3 \xrightarrow{y} \mathcal{K}_2 \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \ell^2 \xrightarrow{x \otimes id_{\ell^2}} \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \ell^2$$
2. Let $E \bigodot F = [\{x \bigodot y : x \in E, y \in F\}], p = uu^*, q = (\mathcal{E} \otimes id_{\ell^2})_* p$ and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}_1} = q(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \ell^2), \widetilde{\pi_1} = q(\pi_1(\cdot) \otimes id_{\ell^2})|_{ran\ q}$.

Definition

Two Hilbert von Neumann $A_1 - A_2$ bimodules, say $\mathcal{E}^{(i)} = (E^{(i)}, (\pi_1^{(i)}, \mathcal{H}_1^{(i)}), (\pi_2^{(i)}, \mathcal{H}_2^{(i)}))$ are said to be isomorphic if there exist A_j-linear unitary operators $u_j : \mathcal{H}_j^{(1)} \to \mathcal{H}_j^{(2)}$ such that $E^{(2)} = u_1 E^{(1)} u_2^*$

More on Connes fusion

In the general possibly degenerate case, we observe that as π_2 is a faithful normal representation of A_2 on $p_2^{(E)}\mathcal{H}_2$, there exists a partial isometry $u : \mathcal{K}_2 \to \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \ell^2$ such that $u^*u = p_1^{(F)}, uu^* \leq p_2^{(E)} \otimes id_{\ell^2}$, and which is A_2-linear, meaning that

$$u\rho_2(a_2) = (\pi_2(a_2) \otimes id_{\ell^2})u \ ,$$

1. for $x \in E, y \in F$, we define $x \bigodot y$ to be the composite operator
$$\mathcal{K}_3 \xrightarrow{y} \mathcal{K}_2 \xrightarrow{u} \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \ell^2 \xrightarrow{x \otimes id_{\ell^2}} \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \ell^2$$
2. Let $E \bigodot F = [\{x \bigodot y : x \in E, y \in F\}], p = uu^*, q = (\mathcal{E} \otimes id_{\ell^2})_* p$ and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}_1} = q(\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \ell^2), \widetilde{\pi_1} = q(\pi_1(\cdot) \otimes id_{\ell^2})|_{ran\ q}$.

Definition

Two Hilbert von Neumann $A_1 - A_2$ bimodules, say $\mathcal{E}^{(i)} = (E^{(i)}, (\pi_1^{(i)}, \mathcal{H}_1^{(i)}), (\pi_2^{(i)}, \mathcal{H}_2^{(i)}))$ are said to be isomorphic if there exist A_j-linear unitary operators $u_j : \mathcal{H}_j^{(1)} \to \mathcal{H}_j^{(2)}$ such that $E^{(2)} = u_1 E^{(1)} u_2^*$

It can be shown that up to isomorphism, the Connes fusion $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{A_2} \mathcal{F}$ is independent of the choice of the partial isometry u used in its definition.

1. Any $(1, 2)$ von Neumann corner E can be viewed as a $[EE^*] - [E^*E]$-bimodule; and by replacing $\mathcal{H}_i$ by $p_i\mathcal{H}_i$, we can even assume that the bimodule is **non-degenerate** in the sense that the support projections satisfy $p_i = id_{\mathcal{H}_i}$.

1. Any $(1,2)$ von Neumann corner E can be viewed as a $[EE^*] - [E^*E]$-bimodule; and by replacing $\mathcal{H}_i$ by $p_i\mathcal{H}_i$, we can even assume that the bimodule is **non-degenerate** in the sense that the support projections satisfy $p_i = id_{\mathcal{H}_i}$.

2. $M_{m\times n}(\mathbb{C})$ is a non-degenerate $M_m(\mathbb{C}) - M_n(\mathbb{C})$-bimodule, just as $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K},\mathcal{H})$ is an $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) - \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$-bimodule.

1. Any $(1,2)$ von Neumann corner E can be viewed as a $[EE^*]-[E^*E]$-bimodule; and by replacing $\mathcal{H}_i$ by $p_i\mathcal{H}_i$, we can even assume that the bimodule is **non-degenerate** in the sense that the support projections satisfy $p_i = id_{\mathcal{H}_i}$.

2. $M_{m\times n}(\mathbb{C})$ is a non-degenerate $M_m(\mathbb{C}) - M_n(\mathbb{C})$-bimodule, just as $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K},\mathcal{H})$ is an $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) - \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$-bimodule.

3. Any automorphism θ of a von Neumann algebra, M corresponds to a Hilbert von Neumann $M - M$ bimodule $\mathcal{E}_\theta = (Mu_\theta, (id_M, L^2(M)), (id_M, L^2(M))$, where u_θ is the unitary operator on $L^2(M)$ given by $u_\theta\hat{x} = \widehat{\theta(x)}$, where we simply write $L^2(M)$ for $L^2(M,\phi)$ for some faithful normal state ϕ on M, and which satisfies $u_\theta x u_\theta^{-1} = \theta(x)$. It follows fairly easily from the definitions that if ϕ is another automorphism of M, then $\mathcal{E}_\theta \otimes_M \mathcal{E}_\phi = \mathcal{E}_{\theta\circ\phi}$.

1. Any $(1, 2)$ von Neumann corner E can be viewed as a $[EE^*] - [E^*E]$-bimodule; and by replacing $\mathcal{H}_i$ by $p_i\mathcal{H}_i$, we can even assume that the bimodule is **non-degenerate** in the sense that the support projections satisfy $p_i = id_{\mathcal{H}_i}$.

2. $M_{m\times n}(\mathbb{C})$ is a non-degenerate $M_m(\mathbb{C}) - M_n(\mathbb{C})$-bimodule, just as $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ is an $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) - \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$-bimodule.

3. Any automorphism θ of a von Neumann algebra, M corresponds to a Hilbert von Neumann $M - M$ bimodule $\mathcal{E}_\theta = (Mu_\theta, (id_M, L^2(M)), (id_M, L^2(M)),$ where u_θ is the unitary operator on $L^2(M)$ given by $u_\theta\hat{x} = \widehat{\theta(x)}$, where we simply write $L^2(M)$ for $L^2(M, \phi)$ for some faithful normal state ϕ on M, and which satisfies $u_\theta x u_\theta^{-1} = \theta(x)$. It follows fairly easily from the definitions that if ϕ is another automorphism of M, then $\mathcal{E}_\theta \otimes_M \mathcal{E}_\phi = \mathcal{E}_{\theta\circ\phi}$.

It can also be shown, with a little more work, that $\mathcal{E}_\theta \cong \mathcal{E}_\phi$ if and only if θ and ϕ are inner conjugate (meaning that $\theta(\cdot) = u\phi(\cdot)u^*$ for some $u \in \mathcal{U}(M)$).

4. If $\eta : A_1 \to A_2$ is a unital normal completely positive map, there exists a Hilbert von Neumann $A_1 - A_2$ bimodule $\mathcal{E}_\eta$ whose $(1,2)$-corner E is singly generated - i.e., $E = \pi_1(A_1)V\pi_2(A_2)$ - with the generator V satisfying $V^*\pi_1(a_1)V = \pi_2(\eta(a_2))$. Such a bimodule is unique if some minimal conditions are imposed on it.

4. If $\eta : A_1 \to A_2$ is a unital normal completely positive map, there exists a Hilbert von Neumann $A_1 - A_2$ bimodule $\mathcal{E}_\eta$ whose $(1,2)$-corner E is singly generated - i.e., $E = \pi_1(A_1)V\pi_2(A_2)$ - with the generator V satisfying $V^*\pi_1(a_1)V = \pi_2(\eta(a_2))$. Such a bimodule is unique if some minimal conditions are imposed on it.

5. If $A_1 \supset A_2$ is a unital inclusion, if ϕ is a faithful normal state on A_1, and if there exists a ϕ-preserving (faithful) normal conditional expectation $\epsilon : A_1 \to A_2$, then the associated $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon$ will satisfy $E^*E = A_2, V^* = E$ and $EE^* = A_0$ where $A_2 \subset A_1 \subset A_0$ is an instance of the Jones construction.

4. If $\eta : A_1 \to A_2$ is a unital normal completely positive map, there exists a Hilbert von Neumann $A_1 - A_2$ bimodule $\mathcal{E}_\eta$ whose $(1,2)$-corner E is singly generated - i.e., $E = \pi_1(A_1)V\pi_2(A_2)$ - with the generator V satisfying $V^*\pi_1(a_1)V = \pi_2(\eta(a_2))$. Such a bimodule is unique if some minimal conditions are imposed on it.

5. If $A_1 \supset A_2$ is a unital inclusion, if ϕ is a faithful normal state on A_1, and if there exists a ϕ-preserving (faithful) normal conditional expectation $\epsilon : A_1 \to A_2$, then the associated $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon$ will satisfy $E^*E = A_2, V^* = E$ and $EE^* = A_0$ where $A_2 \subset A_1 \subset A_0$ is an instance of the Jones construction.

Actually, for the isomorphism statements asserted, we need to assume that $\mathcal{H}_2 = L^2(A_2, \phi)$ for some faithful normal state ϕ on A_2 and that the bimodule $\mathcal{E}$ is non-degenerrate.

4. If $\eta : A_1 \to A_2$ is a unital normal completely positive map, there exists a Hilbert von Neumann $A_1 - A_2$ bimodule $\mathcal{E}_\eta$ whose $(1,2)$-corner E is singly generated - i.e., $E = \pi_1(A_1)V\pi_2(A_2)$ - with the generator V satisfying $V^*\pi_1(a_1)V = \pi_2(\eta(a_2))$. Such a bimodule is unique if some minimal conditions are imposed on it.

5. If $A_1 \supset A_2$ is a unital inclusion, if ϕ is a faithful normal state on A_1, and if there exists a ϕ-preserving (faithful) normal conditional expectation $\epsilon : A_1 \to A_2$, then the associated $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon$ will satisfy $E^*E = A_2, V^* = E$ and $EE^* = A_0$ where $A_2 \subset A_1 \subset A_0$ is an instance of the Jones construction.

Actually, for the isomorphism statements asserted, we need to assume that $\mathcal{H}_2 = L^2(A_2, \phi)$ for some faithful normal state ϕ on A_2 and that the bimodule $\mathcal{E}$ is non-degenerrate.

Finally, to see that our notion of Connes fusion agrees with the classical notion of internal tensor product, one only needs to verify that Connes' fusion satisfies

$$\langle x_1 \bigodot y_1, x_2 \bigodot y_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_3} = \langle y_1, \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2} \cdot y_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_3} \ ,$$

which is a pleasant little exercise.

JAI VON NEUMANN