

§2 Haar state and other basics on quantum groups

2.1 Remark: One of the very striking features of Woronowicz' definition of a quantum group is the existence of a Haar state. Let us first consider the classical case, i.e. let G be a compact group. Then there is a unique Haar measure μ_G on G such that $\int f(ts) d\mu_G(s) = \int f(s) d\mu_G(s)$ for all $f \in C(G)$, $t \in G$ (Riesz' theorem).

Hence we have a positive linear functional $h: C(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $h(f) := \int f d\mu_G$.

Thus, we should be able to find a functional on the C^* -algebra associated to our quantum group, which "looks like" integrality with respect to μ_G .

How to capture the left invariance? We need to express it in "quantum terms".

Observe: $(id \otimes h) \Delta(f)(t) = \int \Delta f(t, s) d\mu_G(s) = \int f(ts) d\mu_G(s) = \int f(s) d\mu_G(s) = h(f)(t)$

2.2 Theorem [4, Th. 4.2] [5, Th. 2.3], [20]: Let (A, Δ) be a compact quantum group. There is a unique state $h: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ("the Haar state") such that $(id \otimes h) \Delta = (h \otimes id) \Delta = h$.

Proof: Put $g \star h := (g \otimes h) \circ \Delta$ for linear functionals $g, h \in A'$, and $a \star h := (id \otimes h) \Delta(a)$ for $a \in A$.

We then want to prove $a \star h = h \star a = h(a)$ for a unique state $h \in A'$.

Uniqueness: If h' is another such state, then

$$h'(a) = h'(h'(a)1) = h'((id \otimes h') \Delta(a)) = h' \otimes h' \Delta(a) \stackrel{(\uparrow)}{=} h'((h' \otimes id) \Delta(a)) = h'(h'(a)) = h'(a)$$

(check for $\Delta(a) = a_1 \otimes a_2$ etc.)

Existence: For $w \in A'$ pos., define $K_w := \{g \in A' \text{ state} \mid g \star w = w \star g = w(1)g\} \subseteq \{g \in A' \text{ pos.}, \|g\| \leq 1\} = A'_+$

1.) A'_+ is compact, $K_w \neq \emptyset$, $K_w \subseteq A'_+$ closed.

2.) $\bigcap_{i=1}^n K_{w_i} \neq \emptyset$ for all $w_i \in A'_+$ pos., $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

3.) By Cantor's intersection principle and 2.), we have $\exists h \in \bigcap_{w \in A'_+ \text{ pos.}} K_w \neq \emptyset$. It satisfies $a \star h = h \star a = h(a)$.

Proof of 1.): Let $w \in A'_+$ be state. Put $w_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n w^{\star k}$. As A'_+ is compact, $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has an accumulation point g . Since $\|w_n - w\| = \frac{1}{n} \|w - w^{\star 2} + w^{\star 2} - w^{\star 3} + \dots + w^{\star (n-1)} - w^{\star n}\| \leq \frac{n-1}{n} \|w - w^{\star 2}\| \leq \frac{2}{n}$, we have $w \star g = g$, $g \star w = g$.

Proof of 2.): let $w_1, w_2 \in A'_+$ be positive, $w := w_1 + w_2$. We prove $K_w \subseteq K_{w_1}$. Hence also $\emptyset \neq K_w \subseteq K_{w_1} \cap K_{w_2}$ and iteratively $\emptyset \neq K_{w_i} \subseteq \bigcap K_{w_i}$.

Lit.: [20] VanDaele, The Haar measure on a compact quantum group, 1995

[21] Wang, Tensor products and crossed products of CQG, 1995

[22] Bichon, Quantum automorphism groups of finite graphs, 2003

Assume that w is a state. Let $g \in kw$ and put

$$L_{g \otimes w} := \{ \varphi \in A \otimes A \mid g \otimes w(\varphi^* \varphi) = 0 \} \subseteq L_{g \otimes w_1} \subseteq \ker g \otimes w_1.$$

(Cauchy-Schwarz)

One can show that $(\text{id} \otimes \Psi_L) \Delta(A) \subseteq L_{g \otimes w}$ for $\Psi_L: A \rightarrow A$
 $a \mapsto g \triangleright a = 1 \sharp(a)$

$$\text{Thus } 1 \otimes \Psi_L(A) \subseteq (A \otimes 1)(\text{id} \otimes \Psi_L) \Delta(A) \subseteq (A \otimes 1) L_{g \otimes w} \subseteq \ker g \otimes w_1$$

[11]

$$\text{Hence } 0 = g \otimes w_1(1 \otimes \Psi_L(a)) = w_1(g \otimes \text{id}) \Delta(a) = 1 \sharp(a) = g \triangleright w_1(a) = w_1(1) \sharp(a)$$

$L_{g \otimes w}$ is a left ideal

Proof of 3.): For $h \in \cap kw$, we have $h \triangleright w = w \triangleright h = 0$ (state h is W -central),
 $\Rightarrow g \in kw_1$

i.e. if $a \in A$, then we have $w(b) = 0$ $\forall w \in A'$ states, where

$$b := h(a) - \text{id} \otimes h \Delta(a) \in A. \text{ Hence } b = 0. \text{ We conclude } a \triangleright h = h \triangleright a = h(a) \forall a \in A.$$

[3, Th. 5.1.6] $\square$

2-3 Remark: The Haar state is not always faithful. Let G be a discrete group. Then $(C_{\max}^*(G), \Delta)$ is a compact quantum group according to Ex. 1.6. Its Haar state is $h: C_{\max}^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $h(u_g) = \delta_{g,e}$, since

$$(\text{id} \otimes h_{\max}) \Delta(u_g) = (\text{id} \otimes h_{\max})(u_g \otimes u_g) = \delta_{g,e} u_e = \delta_{g,e} 1 = h(u_g).$$

It is tracial, i.e. $h(ab) = h(ba) \forall a, b \in C_{\max}^*(G)$.

The Haar state on $(C_{\text{red}}^*(G), \Delta)$ is given by $h_{\text{red}}: C_{\text{red}}^*(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $h_{\text{red}}(x) := \langle x \delta_e, \delta_e \rangle$ for $C_{\text{red}}^*(G) \subseteq B(\ell^2(G))$ with ONB $(\delta_g)_{g \in G}$. Indeed for $\alpha: C_{\max}^*(G) \rightarrow C_{\text{red}}^*(G)$ we have:

$$(\text{id} \otimes h_{\text{red}}) \Delta_{\text{red}}(\alpha(u_g)) = (\text{id} \otimes h_{\text{red}})(\alpha \otimes \alpha) \Delta_{\max}(u_g) = \alpha(u_g) h_{\text{red}}(\alpha(u_g)) = \alpha(u_g) \langle \delta_g, \delta_e \rangle = \delta_{g,e} = h_{\text{red}}(\alpha(u_g))$$

$$\text{Thus } C_{\max}^*(G) \xrightarrow{\alpha} C_{\text{red}}^*(G) \xrightarrow{h_{\text{red}}} \mathbb{C} \quad \text{Commutative diagram.}$$

$\searrow h_{\max}$

Now, if α is not an isomorphism (i.e. if G is not amenable), then $h_{\max}$ is not faithful. On the other hand, h_{red} is faithful on $C_{\text{red}}^*(G)$:

Let $R_g \in B(\ell^2(G))$ be the right shift, defined by $R_g \delta_e := \delta_{ge}$.

Then $R_g x = x R_g \forall x \in C_{\text{red}}^*(G)$ as $R_g \lambda(u_g) \delta_e = \delta_{g e} = \lambda(u_g) R_g \delta_e$.

Now $h_{\text{red}}(x^* x) = 0$ implies $\langle x \delta_e, x \delta_e \rangle = 0$ and hence $x \delta_e = 0$.

But $x \delta_g = x R_g \delta_e = R_g x \delta_e = 0 \forall g \in G$, thus $x = 0$.

2.4 Definition: Let $G(A, \Delta)$ be a compact quantum group and let h be its Haar state. The reduced version of G is given by $(A_{\text{red}}, \Delta_{\text{red}})$, where $A_{\text{red}} := \pi_{h,A}(A) \subseteq B(H_h)$ by GNS construction (π_h, H_h) with respect to h , and $\Delta_{\text{red}}(\pi_h(x)) := (\pi_h \otimes \pi_h) \Delta(x)$. We may also associate a von Neumann algebra $L(G)$ to G .

2.5 Prop.: Δ_{red} in the previous definition is well defined and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\pi_h} & A_{red} \\ \Delta \downarrow & \searrow \pi_h & \downarrow \Delta_{red} \\ A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\pi_h \otimes \pi_h} & A_{red} \otimes A_{red} \end{array} \quad \Delta \text{ counital. Moreover, } h_{red}: A_{red} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

defined by $h_{red}(\pi_h(x)) := h(x)$ is the Haar state on (A_{red}, Δ_{red}) and π_h is faithful.

Proof: Let $\pi_h(x) = 0$, then $h(x^*x) = 0$. But then

$$(h \otimes h)\Delta(x^*x) = h(id \otimes h)\Delta(x^*x) = h(h(x^*x)) = h(x^*x) = 0 \text{ and}$$

Thus $\Delta(x) \in \ker \pi_{h \otimes h} = \ker \pi_h \otimes \pi_h$. Hence Δ_{red} is well defined.

$$\text{Moreover } (id \otimes h_{red})\Delta_{red}(\pi_h(x)) = (id \otimes h_{red})(\pi_h \otimes \pi_h)\Delta(x) = \pi_h(id \otimes h)\Delta(x) = \pi_h(h(x)) = h(x) = h_{red}(\pi_h(x)),$$

hence h_{red} is the Haar state.

~~Finally $h_{red}(\pi_h(x^*x)) = 0 \Rightarrow h(x^*x) = 0 \Rightarrow \pi_h(x^*x) = 0$, i.e. π_h is faithful.~~

2.6 Definition: A compact quantum group is of Kac type, if the Haar state is a trace, i.e. if $h(ab) = h(ba) \forall a, b$. [3, ex. 4.7.4]

~~2.7 Prop.: If G is a compact quantum group~~

~~Later, we will see other characterizations of Kac type, and examples of such QG.~~

We end with a few constructions of new QG's out of given ones.

2.7 Prop.: (Free product) Let $(A, \Delta_A), (B, \Delta_B)$ be CQG. Then the free prod. $(A, \Delta_A) * (B, \Delta_B)$ is given by $(A \# B, \Delta)$, where

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\Delta_A} & A \# B & \xleftarrow{\Delta_B} & B \\ \Delta_A \otimes id \searrow & & \downarrow \Delta & & \swarrow id \otimes \Delta_B \\ & & (A \# B) \otimes (A \# B) & & \end{array}$$

Proof: Δ exists by the universal property of a free product: If C is any unital C^* -algebra with unital $*$ -hom's $f: A \rightarrow C, g: B \rightarrow C$, then there is a unique unital $*$ -hom. $f \# g: A \# B \rightarrow C$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{\Delta_A} & A \# B & \xleftarrow{\Delta_B} & B \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow f \# g & \swarrow g & \\ & & C & & \end{array}$$

$\square$ [6]
[3, Sect. 6.3]

2.8 Remark: (a) If $(A, \Delta_A), (B, \Delta_B)$ are CQG, so is $(A, \Delta_A) * (B, \Delta_B)$.

(b) The Haar state h on $(A \# B, \Delta)$ is the free product of the Haar states h_A of (A, Δ_A) and h_B of (B, Δ_B) in the sense of free probability, i.e.

$h \circ \Delta_A = h_A, h \circ \Delta_B = h_B, \forall c_1, \dots, c_n \in A \text{ or } B$ such that $h(c_i) = 0$ resp. $h_B(c_i) = 0$ and c_i, c_{i+1} come from different algebras: then $h(c_1 \dots c_n) = 0$.

2.9 Prop. (Tensor product) Let $(A, \Delta_A), (B, \Delta_B)$ be CQG. Then

$$(A, \Delta_A) \otimes (B, \Delta_B) := (A \otimes_{\text{max}} B, \mathcal{S} \circ (\Delta_A \otimes \Delta_B)), \text{ where } \mathcal{S}: (A \otimes A) \otimes_{\text{max}} (B \otimes B) \rightarrow (A \otimes_{\text{max}} B) \otimes (A \otimes_{\text{max}} B)$$

is a CQG.

[21] [3, Sect. 6.3]

The Haar state is $h_A \otimes h_B$ and it is a CQG if the original ones are.

2.10 Prop. (free wreath product) Let (A, Δ_A) be a CQG. The free wreath product with S_n^+ is given by $B := \frac{A^{*n} \rtimes \mathcal{C}(S_n^+)}{\langle L_k(a) u_{ki} - u_{ki} L_k(a), 1 \leq i, k \leq n \rangle}$

where $L_k: A \rightarrow A^{*n}$ is the embedding as the k -th copy. The comultiplication

$$\text{is given by } \Delta(u_{ij}) = \sum_k u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj} \text{ and } \Delta(L_k(a)) = \sum_{\ell} (L_k \otimes L_{\ell})(\Delta_A(a))(u_{k\ell} \otimes 1).$$

2.11 Example: If Γ is a graph, then $\Gamma \sqcup^n$ is the graph obtained from copying Γ n -times. For instance $(\text{---}) \sqcup^n = \text{---} \text{---} \text{---} \dots \text{---}$. In the classical theory, we have $\text{Aut}(\Gamma \sqcup^n) = \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \wr S_n$. [15]

Now, H_n^+ of Example 1.17 may be written as $H_n^+ = \mathbb{Z}_2 \wr S_n^+$.

Since $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \text{Aut}(\text{---})$, we have that H_n^+ is the quantum automorphism group of $\text{---} \dots \text{---}$.

[15] [14, 11.5.5]

[22] [7]

2.12 Remark: See [21] for how to equip the C^* -algebra $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \Gamma$ with a CQG structure.

- Lit: [23] VanDaele, Dual pairs of Hopf $\mathbb{k}$ -algebras, 1993
 [24] Woronowicz, Tannaka-Krein duality for compact quantum pseudogroups..., 1988

3.1 Remark: In order to motivate the definition of a representation of a quantum group, let us first consider the classical case. Let G be a compact group and let $U: G \rightarrow B(H)$ be a finite-dimensional representation, i.e. $\dim H = m$ and U is continuous. Thus $U \in \mathcal{C}(G, M_m(\mathbb{C})) = \mathcal{C}(G) \otimes M_m(\mathbb{C})$, hence $U = \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^m u_{\alpha\beta} \otimes e_{\alpha\beta}$, where $e_{\alpha\beta}$ are the matrix units of $M_m(\mathbb{C})$. Now, U is a group homomorphism, i.e.

$$U(g)U(h) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} u_{\alpha\beta}(g) \otimes e_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \Delta(u_{\alpha\beta})(g, h) \otimes e_{\alpha\beta} \quad (e_{\alpha\beta}e_{\gamma\delta} = \delta_{\beta\gamma}e_{\alpha\delta})$$

$$U(g)U(h) = \left(\sum_{\alpha, \beta} u_{\alpha\beta}(g) \otimes e_{\alpha\beta} \right) \left(\sum_{\gamma, \delta} u_{\gamma\delta}(h) \otimes e_{\gamma\delta} \right) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{\gamma} u_{\alpha\gamma}(g) u_{\gamma\beta}(h) \right)}_{= \sum_{\gamma} (u_{\alpha\gamma} \otimes u_{\gamma\beta})(g, h)} \otimes e_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta(u_{\alpha\beta}) = \sum_{\gamma} u_{\alpha\gamma} \otimes u_{\gamma\beta}$$

(for study a unital C^* -algebra with a $\mathbb{k}$ -bim. $\Delta: A \rightarrow A \otimes A$)

3.2 Definition: Let (A, Δ) be a compact quantum group. A finite-dimensional representation

is a matrix $(u_{\alpha\beta}) \in M_m(A)$ such that $\Delta(u_{\alpha\beta}) = \sum_{\gamma} u_{\alpha\gamma} \otimes u_{\gamma\beta}$. If the matrix has an inverse, then A is called non-degenerate, if it is unitary, then $(u_{\alpha\beta})$ is a unitary representation. (We omit the def of a non-finite-dim. repr., see [1, Def. 5.1] (cases multiple of $\mathbb{k}$)) [1, Def. 3.5]

3.3 Theorem: Let A be a unital C^* -algebra with a $\mathbb{k}$ -bim. $\Delta: A \rightarrow A \otimes A$.

(a) If A is generated (as a normal algebra) by the matrix elements of its non-degenerate finite-dimensional representations, then (A, Δ) is a compact quantum group.

(b) If A is generated (as a C^* -algebra) by elements (u_{ij}) such that $\Delta(u_{ij}) = \sum_k u_{ik} \otimes u_{kj}$ and $u_i = (u_{ij})$, $u^t = (u_{ji})$ are invertible, then (A, Δ) is a compact quantum group.

Proof: (a) Δ is coassociative: $(\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \Delta(u_{\alpha\beta}) = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta) \left(\sum_{\gamma} u_{\alpha\gamma} \otimes u_{\gamma\beta} \right) = \sum_{\gamma, \delta} u_{\alpha\gamma} \otimes u_{\gamma\delta} \otimes u_{\delta\beta} = (\Delta \otimes \text{id}) \Delta(u_{\alpha\beta})$
 for all non-degenerate fin-dim. repr., hence also in the closure.

Now, let $(w_{\alpha\beta})$ be the inverse of a non-degenerate fin-dim. repr. $(u_{\alpha\beta})$.

$$\text{Then } \sum_{\gamma} \Delta(u_{\alpha\gamma})(1 \otimes w_{\gamma\beta}) = \sum_{\gamma, \delta} u_{\alpha\gamma} \otimes u_{\gamma\delta} w_{\delta\beta} = \sum_{\gamma, \delta} u_{\alpha\gamma} \otimes \delta_{\delta\beta} = u_{\alpha\beta} \otimes 1, \text{ i.e. } u_{\alpha\beta} \otimes 1 \in \Delta(A)(1 \otimes A)$$

Thus, if $a \otimes 1, b \otimes 1 \in \Delta(A)(1 \otimes A)$, then also $a \otimes 1 \in \Delta(A)(1 \otimes A)$ by checking:

$$a \otimes 1 = \sum_k \Delta(x_k)(1 \otimes y_k) \Rightarrow a \otimes 1 = (\sum_k x_k \otimes 1)(\sum_k 1 \otimes y_k) = \sum_k \Delta(x_k)(1 \otimes y_k)$$

$$b \otimes 1 = \sum_{\ell} \Delta(z_{\ell})(1 \otimes t_{\ell}) = \sum_{\ell, k} \Delta(x_k z_{\ell})(1 \otimes t_{\ell} y_k) \in \Delta(A)(1 \otimes A)$$

Therefore the algebra generated by $(u_{\alpha\beta})$ is $\Delta(A)M \otimes A$, i.e. $A \otimes 1 \in \overline{\Delta(A)(1 \otimes A)} \subseteq A \otimes A$
 $\Rightarrow " = "$.

(b) $u^t = (u_{ji}) = (u^t)^{\dagger}$ is invertible since u^t is, and $\Delta(u_{ij}^{\dagger}) = \Delta(u_{ij})^{\dagger} = \sum_k u_{ik}^{\dagger} \otimes u_{kj}^{\dagger}$.

Thus, u and u^t are non-degenerate representations and we may use (a). □

3.4 Corollary: Every compact matrix quantum group is a compact group.

3.5 Remark: In fact, the converse of Th. 3.3 is also true: We can find a

3.7 dense $\ast$ -subalgebra of A which is generated by the matrix elements of $\dim$ -unitary representations of (A, Δ) . This yields an algebraic picture of the quantum group, a Hopf algebra. In [17, 11.3.1], Th. 3.3 is taken as definition for

3.6 Theorem: Representation theory of a compact quantum group (A, Δ) . COG and CMOG. Δ the algebraic setting.

(a) Every non-degenerate $\dim$ -unitary representation is equivalent to a unitary representation. (i.e. $\exists x \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$ invertible: $xu = vx$)

(b) Every unitary representation decomposes into a direct sum of irreducible $\dim$ -unitary representations.

(c) There is a (right) regular representation of (A, Δ) containing all irreducible unitary representations.

Proof: (a) Let v be a non-deg. $\dim$ -unitary repr. We put $y := (\text{id} \otimes h)(v^\ast v) \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and check $y \geq 0$ and $y \leq e_1$. Thus $w := (y^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes 1)v(y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \otimes 1) \in M_n(A)$ is well-defined and equivalent to v . Furthermore $w^\ast w = 1$, w invertible, hence unitary. Check $w^\ast w = 1$ by checking $v^\ast(y \otimes 1)v = y \otimes 1$:

$$(\text{id} \otimes h \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)(v^\ast v) = \text{id} \otimes (h \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta(v^\ast v) = \text{id} \otimes h(v^\ast v) = y \otimes 1$$

$$(\text{id} \otimes h \otimes \text{id})(\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\left(\sum_{j,k} e_{ij} \otimes v_{ki}^\ast v_{kj}\right) = (\text{id} \otimes h \otimes \text{id})\left(\sum_{j,k,\alpha,\beta} e_{ij} \otimes v_{k\alpha}^\ast v_{\alpha\beta} \otimes v_{\beta i} v_{kj}\right) = \sum_{j,k,\alpha,\beta} e_{ij} \otimes \underbrace{(h(v_{k\alpha}^\ast v_{\alpha\beta}))}_{\delta_{\alpha\beta}} v_{\beta i}^\ast v_{kj} = v^\ast(y \otimes 1)v$$

(b) $B := \{y \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid v(y \otimes 1) = (y \otimes 1)v\} \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ $\ast$ -subalgebra for $v \in M_n(A)$ unitary.

(Use $v^\ast v = 1$ to prove that it is $\ast$ -closed.) Take a maximal family of mutually orthogonal minimal projections. If $v \notin B$ not $\dim$ -unitary, work harder.

(c) Put $H := H_h$ the Hilbert space obtained from the GNS representation $(\pi_h, \mathcal{H}_h, \mathcal{I}_h)$ with respect to the Haas measure. Let K be a Hilbert space on which A acts faithfully, non-degenerately via $\sigma: A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(K)$. Define $u \in \mathcal{B}(H \otimes K)$ via $u(\pi_h(a)\mathcal{I}_h \otimes y) := (\pi_h \otimes \sigma) \circ \Delta(a)(\mathcal{I}_h \otimes y)$.

Check that this is a unitary representation, the right regular representation. $a \in A, y \in K$.

Let v be an irreducible unitary repr. acting on the Hilbert space L .

Let $x \in K \otimes K \otimes L$ and put $y := (\text{id} \otimes h)(v^\ast(x \otimes 1)u)$. Similar to (a), we can check that $v^\ast(y \otimes 1)u = y \otimes 1$. If e is the projection onto the range of y ,

we have $(e \otimes 1)v(y \otimes 1) = (e \otimes 1)(y \otimes 1)u = (y \otimes 1)u = v(y \otimes 1)$ and hence

$$(e \otimes 1)v(e \otimes 1) = v(e \otimes 1); \text{ likewise } (e \otimes 1)v^\ast(e \otimes 1) = v^\ast(e \otimes 1) \text{ and thus}$$

$$v(e \otimes 1) = (e \otimes 1)v. \text{ Since } v \text{ is irreducible, we have } e = 0 \text{ or } e = 1. \text{ Prove that}$$

the latter is the case (work to do), hence y is surjective and we have

$$(y \otimes 1)u = v(y \otimes 1). \text{ Then } v \text{ is equivalent to a subrepresentation of } u.$$

□

3.7 Theorem: Let (A, Δ) be a compact quantum group. Let A_0 be the subspace of A spanned by the matrix elements of all finite-dimensional unitary representations.

Then: (a) $A_0 \subseteq A$ is a dense $\ast$ -subalgebra.

(b) $\Delta(A_0) \subseteq A_0 \otimes A_0$ for $A_0 \otimes A_0$ the algebraic tensor product.

(c) $(A_0, \Delta|_{A_0})$ is a Hopf $\ast$ -algebra.

(d) The restriction $\ell|_{A_0}$ of the Haar measure of A is faithful on A_0 .

We thus have a canonical algebraic quantum group sitting inside our $C^\ast$ -algebraic one. Likewise we can pass from an algebraic q.g. to a $C^\ast$ -algebraic one by standard completion ($\|x\| := \sup\{\|p(x)\| : p \text{ } C^\ast\text{-semimono on } A_0\} \dots$), see also [3, Sect. 5.4].

Proof: (a) If u_{ij}^α and u_{kl}^β are matrix elements of finite-dim. unitary reps., their product is a matrix element of the tensor product of the two reps. Moreover, the adjoint of a finite-dim. unitary rep. is equivalent to a unitary rep. Hence A_0 is a $\ast$ -subalg. Density is a bit technical, but not too complicated.

(b) Same for this item

(c) By 3.6, we may restrict to irred. reps and it can be proven that their matrix elements form a basis for A_0 , denoted by u_{ij}^α . Define linear maps $\varepsilon: A_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $S: A_0 \rightarrow A_0$ by

$$\begin{aligned} u_{ij}^\alpha &\mapsto \delta_{ij} & u_{ij}^\alpha &\mapsto (u_{ji}^\alpha)^\ast \end{aligned}$$

Then:

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta(a) = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta(a) = a \quad \text{since} \quad \text{id} \otimes \varepsilon(\Delta(u_{ij}^\alpha)) = \sum_k u_{ik}^\alpha \varepsilon(u_{kj}^\alpha) = u_{ij}^\alpha,$$

$$\text{and } m \circ (S \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta = m \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta = \varepsilon \quad \text{since}$$

$$m \circ (\text{id} \otimes S) \circ \Delta(u_{ij}^\alpha) = \sum_k u_{ik}^\alpha S(u_{kj}^\alpha) = \sum_k u_{ik}^\alpha (u_{jk}^\alpha)^\ast = \delta_{ij} = \varepsilon(u_{ij}^\alpha) \quad \text{as } u^\alpha \text{ is unitary.}$$

~~By this it follows that ε is a $\ast$ -homomorphism and S is a $\ast$ -antihomomorphism.~~

(d) Let $a \in A_0$. Then $a^\ast = \sum_{ij} g(e_{ij}) u_{ij}^\alpha$ for some finite-dim. unitary rep. $u^\alpha \in M_n(A)$ and some linear functional g on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. ($g(e_{ij}) = \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}$ for $a = u_{kl}^{\alpha^\ast}$ for instance)

Assume $\ell(a^\ast a) = 0$. Then $\ell(a^\ast b) = 0 \quad \forall b \in A_0$ by Cauchy-Schwarz.

$$\text{Thus } g((\text{id} \otimes \ell)(u^\alpha(1 \otimes b))) = g(\sum_{ij} g(e_{ij}) \otimes u_{ij}^\alpha b) = \sum_{ij} g(e_{ij}) \ell(u_{ij}^\alpha b) = \ell(a^\ast b) = 0.$$

One can show that $\mathcal{B} := \{(\text{id} \otimes \ell)(u^\alpha(1 \otimes b)) \mid b \in A\}^{\|\cdot\|} \subseteq M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a $C^\ast$ -algebra and $u^\alpha \in \mathcal{B} \otimes A$. Hence $g(\mathcal{B}) = 0$ and $a^\ast = \sum_{ij} g(e_{ij}) u_{ij}^\alpha = 0$.

$S \otimes \text{id}(u^\alpha) = 0. \quad \square$
[1, Sect. 7]

3.8 Remark: (a) Counit ε and antipode S of a Hopf $\ast$ -algebra are uniquely determined, ε is a $\ast$ -homomorphism and S is an anti-hom.

(Furthermore $S(S(a^\ast)^\ast) = a \forall a$ and $\Delta \circ S = S \otimes S \circ \Delta^{op}$)

[3b, Sect. 1.6]
[23, 2.3, 2.4]

(b) If (A_0, Δ) is a Hopf $\ast$ -algebra such that A_0 is generated as a $\ast$ -algebra by the matrix coefficients of finite unitary repr., then there is a CQG (A, Δ) such that A_0 occurs in A as in Th. 3.7.

(c) In general, the antipode on the C $\ast$ -algebra need not be bounded. [3, p. 105] [3b, Th. 1.6.6]

3.9 Prop.: Let (A, Δ) be a CQG and let (A_0, Δ_0) be the Hopf $\ast$ -algebra inside of A according to Th. 3.7, with antipode S on A_0 . TFAE:

(i) The Haar state is a trace (i.e. (A, Δ) is of Kac type)

(ii) $S^2 = id$

(iii) S is $\ast$ -preserving

3.10 Examples: (a) Let $G \subseteq U_n^+$ be a CMQG and a sub QG. Hence $A = \mathcal{K}(G)$ is generated by some u_{ij} ^{such that} $u, u^\ast$ are unitaries (plus other conditions). [3b, 1.7.7] see also [17, 11[3, and page 75]

If now $\varepsilon: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $S: A \rightarrow A^{op}$ are $\ast$ -hom., then $u_{ij} \mapsto \delta_{ij}$ $u_{ij} \mapsto u_{ji}^\ast$

$$(\varepsilon \otimes id) \circ \Delta = (id \otimes \varepsilon) \circ \Delta = id :$$

$$(\varepsilon \otimes id) \Delta(u_{ij}) = \sum_k \varepsilon(u_{ik}) u_{kj} = u_{ij}$$

$$m \circ (S \otimes id) \circ \Delta = m \circ (id \otimes S) \circ \Delta = \varepsilon :$$

$$m \circ (S \otimes id) \circ \Delta(u_{ij}) = \sum_k S(u_{ik}) u_{kj} = \sum_k u_{ki}^\ast u_{kj} \stackrel{u^\ast u = 1}{=} \delta_{ij} = \varepsilon(u_{ij})$$

$$S^2 = id : S \circ S(u_{ij}) = S(u_{ji}^\ast) = S(u_{ij})^\ast = u_{ij}$$

This way, we may check that S_n^+, O_n^+, U_n^+ and H_n^+ are of Kac type, simply by checking that δ_{ij} and $u_{ji}^\ast$ fulfill the relations of $\mathcal{K}(S_n^+)$, $\mathcal{K}(O_n^+)$, ... and using the universal property.

(For S_n^+ : $\mathcal{K}(u_{ij} | u_{ij} \text{ proj.}, \sum u_{ii} = \sum u_{jj} = 1)$ okay for δ_{ij} and $u_{ji}^\ast = u_{ij}$)

(b) The CMQG $SU_q(2)$, $O_n^+(\mathbb{Q})$, $U_n^+(\mathbb{Q})$ are not of Kac type in general.

This was one of the reasons for Woronowicz to introduce $SU_q(2)$ and his approach to QG: for Kac algebras we always have $S^2 = id$.

Furthermore, recall that the Haar state on $(C^\ast_{max/red}(G), \Delta)$ for a discrete group G is a trace (Rem. 2.3). In this sense, Kac type QG are a bit closer to the classical world.

Why is $U_n^+(\mathbb{Q})$ not Kac? Recall that u and $Q \bar{u} Q^{-1}$ are unitaries for $U_n^+(\mathbb{Q})$.

This implies $(Q^\ast Q)^{-1} u^\ast (Q^\ast Q) \bar{u} = 1$ since $1 = (Q \bar{u} Q^{-1})^\ast (Q \bar{u} Q^{-1}) = Q^{\ast -1} u^\ast (Q^\ast Q) \bar{u} Q^{-1}$.

Now $\varepsilon(u_{ij}) := \delta_{ij}$ and $S(u_{ij}) := u_{ji}^\ast$, $S(u_{ji}^\ast) := \sum_{\ell m} b_{i\ell} u_{m\ell} a_{mj}$ for $u \mapsto u^\ast$ $\bar{u} \mapsto (Q^\ast Q)^{-1} u^\ast (Q^\ast Q)$

$Q^*Q = (a_{ij})$, $(Q^*Q)^{-1} = (l_{ij})$ satisfy the Hopf algebra relations, e.g.

$$m(S \otimes id) \circ \Delta(u_{ij}^*) = \sum_k S(u_{ik}^*) u_{kj}^* = \sum_{k \in m} b_{ik} a_{mk} u_{kj}^* = \delta_{ij} = \epsilon(u_{ij})$$

And S is not $*$ -preserving.

$$\leftarrow \text{this is } (Q^*Q)^{-1} u^t (Q^*Q)^{-1}$$

3.11 Remark: We may also define the dual of a CQG in the spirit of a generalization of the Pontryagin duality. The role of characters (used to define the dual of an abelian compact group) is now played by unitary irred. reps. Let (A, Δ) be a CQG and let $\{u^\alpha | \alpha \in I\}$ be its mutually non-equivalent unitary irred. reps. Let $A_0 \subseteq A$ be the Hopf algebra of Th. 3.7. Let B_0 be the space of linear functionals on A given by $x \mapsto h(ax)$ for $a \in A_0$. Then B_0 is a subalgebra of the dual A^* of A and it is of the form $B_0 = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} M_{n_\alpha}(\mathbb{C})$. We may find a comultiplication $\Delta_0: B_0 \rightarrow M(B_0 \otimes B_0)$ into the multiplier algebra. Thus, B_0 is a discrete QG ^(or its completion) which is by definition a pair $(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in I} M_{n_\alpha}(\mathbb{C}), \Delta: A \rightarrow M(A \otimes A))$ such that it is a multiplier Hopf $*$ -algebra (see [VanDaele, 94] for definitions). This generalizes Pontryagin duality: If G is a compact abelian group, then the unitary irred. reps of $(C(G), \Delta)$ are given by the characters of G and the dual QG B_0 from above is $C(\hat{G})$ for the group $\hat{G}$ of characters of G . [1, Sect. 8]

3.12 Definition: Let u and v be two representations of a CQG (A, Δ) , i.e.

$$u = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} e_{\alpha\beta} \otimes u_{\alpha\beta} \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A, \quad v = \sum_{\gamma, \delta} e_{\gamma\delta} \otimes v_{\gamma\delta} \in M_m(\mathbb{C}) \otimes A. \quad \text{We define}$$

$$(a) \quad u \otimes v := \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta} e_{\alpha\beta} \otimes e_{\gamma\delta} \otimes u_{\alpha\beta} v_{\gamma\delta} \in (M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_m(\mathbb{C})) \otimes A.$$

$$(b) \quad \bar{u} := \sum_{\alpha, \beta} e_{\alpha\beta} \otimes u_{\alpha\beta}^* \quad \text{"conjugate representation"}$$

3.13 Remark: (a) u irred. $\Rightarrow \bar{u}$ irred.

[1, 6.9]

(b) u irred. and unitary $\Rightarrow \bar{u}$ is equivalent with a unitary repr. [1, 6.10]

Pontryagin duality states that a locally comp. abelian group G may be reconstructed from its dual group $\hat{G}$. The classical Tannaka-Krein generalizes to compact (non-abelian) groups: They may be reconstructed from the finite-dimensional unitary representations. The space of representations may be presented by the intertwiner space $\{T \text{ linear} \mid Tu = vT, \text{ where } u, v \text{ are representations of the group}\}$.

Now, Woronowicz' Tannaka-Krein result [243] extends this fact to CQG's.

We will sketch it now.

3.14 Prop: Let $G=(A,u)$ be a CMQG (i.e. $u=(u_{ij})$ is the generic matrix, the "fundamental rep.").

Denote by $\text{Rep } G := \{\text{fin. dim. unid. rep. of } G\}$, $\text{Mor}(u,v) := \{T: H_u \rightarrow H_v \mid T v = u T\}$ space of intertwiners, for $v, w \in \text{Rep } G$ and $v \in \mathcal{B}(H_v) \otimes A$, $w \in \mathcal{B}(H_w) \otimes A$.

Then $\text{Rep } G$ together with Mor is a concrete monoidal $\mathbb{C}^*$ -category, i.e.

- (i) Mor is closed under $\otimes$, i.e. $T_1 \in \text{Mor}(u_1, v_1), T_2 \in \text{Mor}(u_2, v_2) \Rightarrow T_1 \otimes T_2 \in \text{Mor}(u_1 \otimes u_2, v_1 \otimes v_2)$
- (ii) Mor is closed under composition, i.e. $T_1 \in \text{Mor}(u_1, u_2), T_2 \in \text{Mor}(u_2, u_3) \Rightarrow T_2 T_1 \in \text{Mor}(u_1, u_3)$
- (iii) Mor is closed under adjunction, i.e. $T \in \text{Mor}(v, w) \Rightarrow T^* \in \text{Mor}(w, v)$
- (iv) $\text{id} \in \text{Mor}(v, v) \quad \forall v$
- (v) $H_v = H_w, \text{id} \in \text{Mor}(v, w) \Rightarrow v = w$
- (vi) $(u \otimes v) \otimes w = u \otimes (v \otimes w) \quad \forall u, v, w$
- (vii) $\exists 1 \in \text{Rep } G: 1_1 = \mathbb{C}, 1v = v1 = v \quad \forall v$

Furthermore, for all $v \in \text{Rep } G$, there exists $\bar{v} \in \text{Rep } G$ conjugate, and the fundamental rep. u together with $\bar{u}$ generate $\text{Rep } G$.

Proof: For (i-vii) simply check $(T_1 \otimes T_2)(u_1 \otimes u_2) = (T_1 u_1) \otimes (T_2 u_2) = (v_1 T_1) \otimes (v_2 T_2)$ etc.
 u and $\bar{u}$ generate $\text{Rep } G$ ~~by the fact that any fin. dim. rep. is contained in~~
 ~~the subcategory generated by u and $\bar{u}$~~ . By 3.6(B) this yields Mor of $\text{Rep } G$. □ [24, R. 1.7]

3.15 Theorem ("Tannaka-Krein for CMQG"): If $(\mathcal{R}, \text{Mor})$ is any concrete monoidal

$\mathbb{C}^*$ -category such that some $u \in \mathcal{R}$ exists and $\{u, \bar{u}\}$ generate $\mathcal{R}$, then there

is a CMQG $G=(A,u)$ such that $\mathcal{R} = \text{Rep } G$. Moreover $A \rightarrow B$ iff (B, u) is a CMQG
 $u \mapsto u$ and that $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \text{Rep}(B, u)$.

Proof: First, $(\mathcal{R}, \text{Mor})$ may be "completed" to a suitable $\mathbb{C}^*$ -category (taking direct sums, equivalence of rep.'s subrepresentations) with same (A,u) . [24, Prop. 2.7]

~~Since A contains sufficiently many irreducible objects~~
 Irr. ([24, Prop. 2.4]) and we define $A_0 := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}} \mathcal{B}(H_\alpha)$, i.e. $a \in A_0$ is

of the form $a = \sum_{\alpha \in \text{Irr}} u_\alpha^\alpha, g \in \mathcal{B}(H_\alpha)$, finite sum.

Using $\otimes$ on $\mathcal{R}$, we define a product on A_0 , and $v \mapsto \bar{v}$ defines an involution.

(Complex conjugation exists by [24, Prop. 2.3]). We define the norm as the supremum of all C^* -seminorms on A_0 , which is a fact as there is a faithful H^* -module on A_0 . Completion yields a C^* -algebra A , and $A_0 \subseteq A$ is exactly the Hopf algebra of 3.7. □ [24, Sect. 3] and R. 1.3