

# $l_1$ factors and Ergodic Theory

V.S. Sunder  
Institute of Mathematical Sciences  
Chennai, India  
sunder@imsc.res.in

February 4-8, 2008  
Perspectives in Mathematics Lectures  
ISI, Bangalore

- We shall review long-standing links between ergodic theory and von Neumann algebras - from the original construction of factors<sup>1</sup> using the *group-measure-space construction*, to more recent use of *von Neumann dimensions* of modules over some  $II_1$  factors for defining  $\ell^2$ -Betti numbers of standard equivalence relations and obtaining consequent rigidity theorems.

---

<sup>1</sup>We restrict ourselves here to only factors of type  $II_1$

- We shall review long-standing links between ergodic theory and von Neumann algebras - from the original construction of factors<sup>1</sup> using the *group-measure-space construction*, to more recent use of *von Neumann dimensions* of modules over some  $II_1$  factors for defining  $\ell^2$ -Betti numbers of standard equivalence relations and obtaining consequent rigidity theorems.
- Outline of lecture
  - von Neumann algebras
  - Ergodic Theory
  - Group measure space construction
  - $II_1$  factors
  - Standard equivalence relations
  - Orbit equivalence
  - Measurable equivalence
  - $\ell^2$ -Betti numbers
  - Kadison conjecture
  - strong rigidity theorems.

---

<sup>1</sup>We restrict ourselves here to only factors of type  $II_1$

**Proposition:** The following conditions on a subset  $M \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  are equivalent:

- 1 There exists a unitary group representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  such that

$$M = \pi(G)' = \{x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) : x\pi(g) = \pi(g)x \ \forall g \in G\}$$

- 2  $M$  is a unital  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  satisfying

$$M = M'' = (M)'$$

Such an  $M$  is called a **von Neumann algebra**. □

(Our Hilbert spaces are always assumed to be *separable*.)

**Proposition:** The following conditions on a subset  $M \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  are equivalent:

- 1 There exists a unitary group representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  such that

$$M = \pi(G)' = \{x \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) : x\pi(g) = \pi(g)x \ \forall g \in G\}$$

- 2  $M$  is a unital  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  satisfying

$$M = M'' = (M)'$$

Such an  $M$  is called a **von Neumann algebra**. □

(Our Hilbert spaces are always assumed to be *separable*.)

*Example:*  $L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}(L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu))$  via  $f \cdot \xi = f\xi$ . This is essentially the only abelian von Neumann algebra.

$$\mathcal{P}(M) = \{p \in M : p = p^2 = p^*\}$$

$$\mathcal{P}(M) = \{p \in M : p = p^2 = p^*\}$$

The linear span of  $\mathcal{P}(M)$  is norm dense in  $M$ .

(Reason: Density of simple functions in  $L^\infty$  + spectral theorem + Cartesian decomposition)

$$\mathcal{P}(M) = \{p \in M : p = p^2 = p^*\}$$

The linear span of  $\mathcal{P}(M)$  is norm dense in  $M$ .

(Reason: Density of simple functions in  $L^\infty$  + spectral theorem + Cartesian decomposition)

If  $M = \pi(G)'$ , then  $p \in \mathcal{P}(M)$  iff  $\text{ran } p$  is  $\pi$ -stable; so  $\mathcal{P}(M)$  parametrises the subrepresentations of  $\pi$ .

$$\mathcal{P}(M) = \{p \in M : p = p^2 = p^*\}$$

The linear span of  $\mathcal{P}(M)$  is norm dense in  $M$ .

(Reason: Density of simple functions in  $L^\infty$  + spectral theorem + Cartesian decomposition)

If  $M = \pi(G)'$ , then  $p \in \mathcal{P}(M)$  iff  $\text{ran } p$  is  $\pi$ -stable; so  $\mathcal{P}(M)$  parametrises the subrepresentations of  $\pi$ .

Def: For  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}(M)$  say



$$p \sim_M q \Leftrightarrow \exists u \in M \text{ such that } u^*u = p, uu^* = q$$



$$p \prec_M q \Leftrightarrow \exists u \in M \text{ such that } u^*u = p, uu^* \leq q$$

- $p$  is finite if  $p \sim_M p_0 \leq p$  implies  $p_0 = p$

*Proposition:* The following conditions on a von Neumann algebra  $M$  are equivalent:

- 1  $\forall p, q \in \mathcal{P}(M)$  either  $p \prec_M q$  or  $q \prec_M p$   
(i.e., if  $M = \pi(G)'$ , then  $\pi$  is *isotypical*)
- 2  $Z(M) = M \cap M' = \mathbb{C}$

Such von Neumann algebras are called *factors*.

(Any von Neumann algebra is a *direct integral* of factors.)

*Proposition:* The following conditions on a von Neumann algebra  $M$  are equivalent:

- 1  $\forall p, q \in \mathcal{P}(M)$  either  $p \prec_M q$  or  $q \prec_M p$   
(i.e., if  $M = \pi(G)'$ , then  $\pi$  is *isotypical*)
- 2  $Z(M) = M \cap M' = \mathbb{C}$

Such von Neumann algebras are called *factors*.

(Any von Neumann algebra is a *direct integral* of factors.)

*Def:* A factor is called *finite* if  $1$  is a finite projection. A finite factor which is infinite-dimensional as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space is called a  $II_1$  factor.

Let  $M$  be a  $II_1$  factor. Then

- $M$  admits a positive tracial state, i.e., there exists a linear functional  $tr_M : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that
  - ①  $tr_M(x^*x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in M$
  - ②  $tr_M(xy) = tr_M(yx) \quad \forall x, y \in M$
  - ③  $tr_M(1) = 1$
- The functional  $tr_M$  is uniquely determined by the above properties, and is *faithful* : i.e.,  $tr_M(x^*x) = 0, x \in M \Rightarrow x = 0$ .
- $p \sim_M q \Leftrightarrow tr_M(p) = tr_M(q)$ .
- $\{tr_M(p) : p \in \mathcal{P}(M)\} = [0, 1]$ .

*Def:* A module over a  $II_1$  factor  $M$  is a triple  $(\mathcal{H}_\pi, M_\pi, \pi)$  where  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$  is some Hilbert space,  $M_\pi \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$  is a von Neumann algebra, and  $\pi : M \rightarrow M_\pi$  is an isomorphism of  $*$ -algebras.

*Def:* A module over a  $II_1$  factor  $M$  is a triple  $(\mathcal{H}_\pi, M_\pi, \pi)$  where  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$  is some Hilbert space,  $M_\pi \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$  is a von Neumann algebra, and  $\pi : M \rightarrow M_\pi$  is an isomorphism of  $*$ -algebras.

*Proposition:*  $M$ -modules are determined, up to isomorphism, by their  $M$ -dimension; thus, to an  $M$ -module  $\mathcal{K}$  is associated a number  $\dim_M \mathcal{K} \in [0, \infty]$  so that

- 1 there exists an  $M$ -linear bounded operator mapping  $\mathcal{H}_1$  isomorphically onto  $\mathcal{H}_2$  iff  $\dim_M \mathcal{H}_1 = \dim_M \mathcal{H}_2$
- 2  $\dim_M (\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \dim_M \mathcal{H}_n$

Further, each  $d \in [0, \infty]$  arises as  $\dim_M \mathcal{H}$  for some  $M$ -module  $\mathcal{H}$ .

If  $\Gamma$  is a countable group, let  $\{\xi_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  denote the standard orthonormal basis of  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ . Let us write  $\lambda$  and  $\rho$  respectively for the *left-* and *right-regular* representations  $\lambda, \rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$  defined by

$$\lambda_\gamma \xi_\kappa = \xi_{\gamma\kappa} = \rho_{\kappa^{-1}} \xi_\gamma$$

and define  $L\Gamma = \lambda(\Gamma)''$

If  $\Gamma$  is a countable group, let  $\{\xi_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  denote the standard orthonormal basis of  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ . Let us write  $\lambda$  and  $\rho$  respectively for the *left-* and *right-regular* representations  $\lambda, \rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$  defined by

$$\lambda_\gamma \xi_\kappa = \xi_{\gamma\kappa} = \rho_{\kappa^{-1}} \xi_\gamma$$

and define  $L\Gamma = \lambda(\Gamma)''$

*Proposition:*

- 1  $(L\Gamma)' = \rho(\Gamma)''$
- 2 the equation  $tr(x) = \langle x\xi_1, \xi_1 \rangle$  defines a faithful trace on  $L\Gamma$  as well as on  $(L\Gamma)'$
- 3  $L\Gamma$  is a  $II_1$  factor iff every conjugacy class other than  $\{1\}$  in  $\Gamma$  is infinite, and  $\Gamma \neq \{1\}$ .

The setting is a triple  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  where  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  is a *standard Borel space* and  $\mu$  is a (usually non-atomic) probability measure defined on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Our *standard probability spaces* will be assumed to be *complete* - i.e.,  $\mathcal{B}$  contains all  $\mu$ -null sets.

The setting is a triple  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  where  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  is a *standard Borel space* and  $\mu$  is a (usually non-atomic) probability measure defined on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Our *standard probability spaces* will be assumed to be *complete* - i.e.,  $\mathcal{B}$  contains all  $\mu$ -null sets.

An *isomorphism* between standard probability spaces  $(X_i, \mathcal{B}_i, \mu_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  is a bimeasurable measure-preserving bijection of conull sets; i.e., it is a bijective map  $T : X_1 \setminus N_1 \rightarrow X_2 \setminus N_2$ , where  $N_i$  are  $\mu_i$ -null sets, such that

- 1  $E \in \mathcal{B}_2 \Leftrightarrow T^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{B}_1$
- 2  $E \in \mathcal{B}_2 \Rightarrow \mu_1(T^{-1}(E)) = \mu_2(E)$ .

The setting is a triple  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  where  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  is a *standard Borel space* and  $\mu$  is a (usually non-atomic) probability measure defined on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Our *standard probability spaces* will be assumed to be *complete* - i.e.,  $\mathcal{B}$  contains all  $\mu$ -null sets.

An *isomorphism* between standard probability spaces  $(X_i, \mathcal{B}_i, \mu_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  is a bimeasurable measure-preserving bijection of conull sets; i.e., it is a bijective map  $T : X_1 \setminus N_1 \rightarrow X_2 \setminus N_2$ , where  $N_i$  are  $\mu_i$ -null sets, such that

- 1  $E \in \mathcal{B}_2 \Leftrightarrow T^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{B}_1$
- 2  $E \in \mathcal{B}_2 \Rightarrow \mu_1(T^{-1}(E)) = \mu_2(E)$ .

*Note:* For each isomorphism  $T$  as above, the equation

$$\alpha_T(f) = f \circ T^{-1}$$

defines an isomorphism of von Neumann algebras:

$$\alpha_T : L^\infty(X_1, \mathcal{B}_1, \mu_1) \rightarrow L^\infty(X_2, \mathcal{B}_2, \mu_2).$$

Further, the map  $T \mapsto \alpha_T$  is a homomorphism of  $Aut(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  into  $Aut(L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu))$ .

*Definition:* A homomorphism  $\Gamma \ni \gamma \rightarrow T_\gamma \in \text{Aut}(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is called an *action* of  $\Gamma$  on  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ ; such an action is said to be *ergodic* if it satisfies any of the following equivalent conditions:

- 1  $E \in \mathcal{B}, \mu(T_\gamma^{-1}(E) \Delta E) = 0 \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \Rightarrow \mu(E) = 0$  or  $\mu(X \setminus E) = 0$ .
- 2  $E, F \in \mathcal{B}, \mu(E), \mu(F) > 0 \Rightarrow \exists \gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\mu(E \cap T_\gamma^{-1}(F)) > 0$
- 3  $f \in L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu), f \circ T_\gamma = f$  a.e.  $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma \Rightarrow \exists C \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f = C$  a.e.
- 4  $f \in L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu), f \circ T_\gamma = f$  a.e.  $\forall \gamma \in \Gamma \Rightarrow \exists C \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f = C$  a.e.

## Group-measure space (a.k.a. crossed-product) construction

Let  $A = L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  where  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is a standard probability space, and suppose  $\alpha$  is an action of a countable group  $\Gamma$  on  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ .

Let  $A = L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  where  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is a standard probability space, and suppose  $\alpha$  is an action of a countable group  $\Gamma$  on  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ .

The equations

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi(f)\xi)(\kappa) &= (f \circ \alpha_\kappa)\xi(\kappa) \\ (\lambda(\gamma)\xi)(\kappa) &= \xi(\gamma^{-1}\kappa)\end{aligned}$$

define, resp., a \*-homomorphism of  $A$  and a unitary representation of  $\Gamma$  on the Hilbert space  $\ell^2(\Gamma, L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu))$  which satisfy the commutation relation

$$\lambda(\gamma)\pi(f)\lambda(\gamma)^{-1} = \pi(f \circ \alpha_{\gamma^{-1}})$$

Let  $A = L^\infty(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  where  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is a standard probability space, and suppose  $\alpha$  is an action of a countable group  $\Gamma$  on  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ .

The equations

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi(f)\xi)(\kappa) &= (f \circ \alpha_\kappa)\xi(\kappa) \\ (\lambda(\gamma)\xi)(\kappa) &= \xi(\gamma^{-1}\kappa)\end{aligned}$$

define, resp., a \*-homomorphism of  $A$  and a unitary representation of  $\Gamma$  on the Hilbert space  $\ell^2(\Gamma, L^2(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu))$  which satisfy the commutation relation

$$\lambda(\gamma)\pi(f)\lambda(\gamma)^{-1} = \pi(f \circ \alpha_{\gamma^{-1}})$$

*Def:* The crossed-product is defined to be the generated von Neumann algebra

$$A \rtimes_\alpha \Gamma = (\pi(A) \cup \lambda(\Gamma))''$$

*Theorem:* Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a non-atomic standard probability space. Suppose  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X/\mathcal{B}, \mu)$  defines a *free action* of  $\Gamma$ ; i.e., suppose  $\mu(\{x \in X : \alpha_\gamma(x) = x\}) = 0 \forall \gamma \neq 1 \in \Gamma$ .

Then  $A \rtimes_\alpha \Gamma$  is a  $II_1$  factor iff the action is ergodic.

*Theorem:* Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a non-atomic standard probability space. Suppose  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X/\mathcal{B}, \mu)$  defines a *free action* of  $\Gamma$ ; i.e., suppose  $\mu(\{x \in X : \alpha_\gamma(x) = x\}) = 0 \forall \gamma \neq 1 \in \Gamma$ .

Then  $A \rtimes_\alpha \Gamma$  is a  $H_1$  factor iff the action is ergodic.

*Example:* Let  $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $X = \mathbb{T}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{T}}$  and  $\mu$  be normalised arc-length, so  $\mu(X) = 1$ ; let the action be defined by  $\alpha_n(e^{2\pi i\theta}) = e^{2\pi i(\theta+n\phi)}$ , where  $\phi$  is irrational.

More generally, we could have considered the action on a compact second countable group defined by translation of any countable dense subgroup.

Suppose  $\Gamma$  acts freely and ergodically on a standard probability space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  (and preserves  $\mu$ ) - so  $M = A \rtimes \Gamma$  is a  $II_1$  factor.

It turns out that, as far as the factor  $M$  is concerned, the group  $\Gamma$  itself is not important; what matters is the relation

$$\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_\Gamma = \{(x, \gamma \cdot x) : x \in X, \gamma \in \Gamma\}.$$

This equivalence relation is a standard Borel space with the Borel structure given by  $\mathcal{C} = \{B \in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} : B \subset \mathcal{R}\}$ , and it has countable equivalence classes. Also, there is a natural  $\sigma$ -finite 'counting measure'  $\nu$  defined on  $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{C})$  by

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_l(C) &= \int_X |\pi_l^{-1}(x) \cap C| d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_X |\pi_r^{-1}(y) \cap C| d\mu(y) \\ &= \nu_r(C)\end{aligned}$$

where  $\pi_l : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow X$  and  $\pi_r : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow X$  are the left- and right-projection defined by  $\pi_l(y, z) = y = \pi_r(x, y)$ .

Feldman and Moore initiated the study of abstract standard equivalence relations  $\mathcal{R}$  with countable equivalence classes, which are  $\mu$ -invariant in the sense that the associated 'left- and right- counting measures'  $\nu_l$  and  $\nu_r$  agree. (We shall simply write  $\nu$  for this 'counting' measure.)

Feldman and Moore initiated the study of abstract standard equivalence relations  $\mathcal{R}$  with countable equivalence classes, which are  $\mu$ -invariant in the sense that the associated 'left- and right- counting measures'  $\nu_l$  and  $\nu_r$  agree. (We shall simply write  $\nu$  for this 'counting' measure.)

Such an  $\mathcal{R}$  is called 'ergodic' if the only Borel subsets of  $X$  which are ' $\mathcal{R}$ -saturated' are  $\mu$ -null or conull. They proved that any standard equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R} \subset X \times X$  which is  $\mu$ -invariant can be realised as an  $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$  for a necessarily ergodic and measure-preserving action of some countable group  $\Gamma$ , and asked if the action could always be chosen to be a free one. Later, Furman showed that this was not necessarily so.

Feldman and Moore initiated the study of abstract standard equivalence relations  $\mathcal{R}$  with countable equivalence classes, which are  $\mu$ -invariant in the sense that the associated 'left- and right- counting measures'  $\nu_l$  and  $\nu_r$  agree. (We shall simply write  $\nu$  for this 'counting' measure.)

Such an  $\mathcal{R}$  is called 'ergodic' if the only Borel subsets of  $X$  which are ' $\mathcal{R}$ -saturated' are  $\mu$ -null or conull. They proved that any standard equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R} \subset X \times X$  which is  $\mu$ -invariant can be realised as an  $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$  for a necessarily ergodic and measure-preserving action of some countable group  $\Gamma$ , and asked if the action could always be chosen to be a free one. Later, Furman showed that this was not necessarily so.

FM also associated a  $II_1$  factor  $L\mathcal{R}$  to such an ergodic  $\mathcal{R}$ , which reduces to the crossed product in the concrete example of a free ergodic action.

*Def:* Two (probability measure preserving) dynamical systems  $(X_i, \mathcal{B}_i, \mu_i, \Gamma_i, \alpha_i), i = 1, 2$  (or equivalently, their induced equivalence relations  $\mathcal{R}_i$ ) are said to be *orbit equivalent* if there exists an isomorphism  $T : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  such that  $T(\alpha_1(\Gamma_1)x) = \alpha_2(\Gamma_2)Tx \mu_1 - a.e.$  (or equivalently,  $(T \times T)(\mathcal{R}_1) = \mathcal{R}_2 \text{ mod } \nu_2$ ).

*Def:* Two (probability measure preserving) dynamical systems  $(X_i, \mathcal{B}_i, \mu_i, \Gamma_i, \alpha_i), i = 1, 2$  (or equivalently, their induced equivalence relations  $\mathcal{R}_i$ ) are said to be *orbit equivalent* if there exists an isomorphism  $T : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$  such that  $T(\alpha_1(\Gamma_1)x) = \alpha_2(\Gamma_2)Tx$   $\mu_1 - a.e.$  (or equivalently,  $(T \times T)(\mathcal{R}_1) = \mathcal{R}_2 \text{ mod } \nu_2$ ).

*Theorem:* With the foregoing notation, write  $A_i = L^\infty(X_i, \mathcal{B}_i, \mu_i)$  TFAE:

- 1 We have an isomorphism of pairs

$$(A_1 \rtimes_{\alpha_1} \Gamma_1, A_1) \cong (A_2 \rtimes_{\alpha_2} \Gamma_2, A_2)$$

- 2  $\mathcal{R}_1$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2$  are orbit equivalent.

*Questions:* When are two standard equivalence relations orbit equivalent?  
How much of  $(\Gamma, \alpha)$  does  $\mathcal{R}$  remember?

*Assume henceforth that all our probability spaces are non-atomic.*

*Theorem: (Dye)* The equivalence relations determined by any two ergodic actions of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are orbit equivalent. □

A volume of work by many people, notably Dye, Connes, Feldman, Krieger, .. culminated in the following result.

*Assume henceforth that all our probability spaces are non-atomic.*

*Theorem: (Dye)* The equivalence relations determined by any two ergodic actions of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are orbit equivalent. □

A volume of work by many people, notably Dye, Connes, Feldman, Krieger, .. culminated in the following result.

*Theorem: (Ornstein-Weiss)* Ergodic actions (on a standard non-atomic probability space) of any two infinite amenable groups produce orbit equivalent equivalence relations.

Equivalence relations determined by such actions of such groups are characterised by the following property of **hyperfiniteness**:

*there exists a sequence of standard equivalence relations  $\mathcal{R}_n$  on  $X$  with finite equivalence classes such that*

$$\mathcal{R}_n \subset \mathcal{R}_{n+1} \forall n \text{ and } \mathcal{R} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{R}_n.$$

For ergodic actions, the quotient space  $\Gamma \backslash X$  has only a trivial Borel structure; the standard equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}$  is a good substitute. If  $\mu(A) > 0$ , then almost every orbit meets  $A$ , so the induced relation  $\mathcal{R}_A = \mathcal{R} \cap (A \times A)$  should be an equally good candidate to describe the space of orbits in  $X$ .

For ergodic actions, the quotient space  $\Gamma \backslash X$  has only a trivial Borel structure; the standard equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}$  is a good substitute. If  $\mu(A) > 0$ , then almost every orbit meets  $A$ , so the induced relation  $\mathcal{R}_A = \mathcal{R} \cap (A \times A)$  should be an equally good candidate to describe the space of orbits in  $X$ .

*Defs.:* (a) Call two equivalence relations  $\mathcal{R}_i$  *stably orbit equivalent* (or simply SOE), if there exists Borel subsets  $A_i \subset X_i$  of positive measure which meet almost every orbit, a constant  $c > 0$ , and a Borel isomorphism  $f : (A_1, \mathcal{B}_{A_1}) \rightarrow (A_2, \mathcal{B}_{A_2})$  which scales measure by a factor of  $c$ , such that  $(f \times f)(\mathcal{R}_{A_1}) = \mathcal{R}_{A_2}$  (mod null sets). The constant  $c$  is called the compression constant of the SOE.

For ergodic actions, the quotient space  $\Gamma \backslash X$  has only a trivial Borel structure; the standard equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}$  is a good substitute. If  $\mu(A) > 0$ , then almost every orbit meets  $A$ , so the induced relation  $\mathcal{R}_A = \mathcal{R} \cap (A \times A)$  should be an equally good candidate to describe the space of orbits in  $X$ .

*Defs.:* (a) Call two equivalence relations  $\mathcal{R}_i$  *stably orbit equivalent* (or simply SOE), if there exists Borel subsets  $A_i \subset X_i$  of positive measure which meet almost every orbit, a constant  $c > 0$ , and a Borel isomorphism  $f : (A_1, \mathcal{B}_{A_1}) \rightarrow (A_2, \mathcal{B}_{A_2})$  which scales measure by a factor of  $c$ , such that  $(f \times f)(\mathcal{R}_{A_1}) = \mathcal{R}_{A_2}$  (mod null sets). The constant  $c$  is called the compression constant of the SOE.

(b) On the other hand, call two countable groups  $\Gamma_i, i = 1, 2$  *measurably equivalent* (or simply ME) if they admit commuting free actions on a standard (possibly  $\sigma$ -finite) measure space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ , which admit a *fundamental domain*  $F_i$  of finite measure; call the ratio  $\frac{\mu(F_2)}{\mu(F_1)}$  the compression constant of the ME.

For ergodic actions, the quotient space  $\Gamma \backslash X$  has only a trivial Borel structure; the standard equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}$  is a good substitute. If  $\mu(A) > 0$ , then almost every orbit meets  $A$ , so the induced relation  $\mathcal{R}_A = \mathcal{R} \cap (A \times A)$  should be an equally good candidate to describe the space of orbits in  $X$ .

*Defs.:* (a) Call two equivalence relations  $\mathcal{R}_i$  *stably orbit equivalent* (or simply SOE), if there exists Borel subsets  $A_i \subset X_i$  of positive measure which meet almost every orbit, a constant  $c > 0$ , and a Borel isomorphism  $f : (A_1, \mathcal{B}_{A_1}) \rightarrow (A_2, \mathcal{B}_{A_2})$  which scales measure by a factor of  $c$ , such that  $(f \times f)(\mathcal{R}_{A_1}) = \mathcal{R}_{A_2}$  (mod null sets). The constant  $c$  is called the compression constant of the SOE.

(b) On the other hand, call two countable groups  $\Gamma_i, i = 1, 2$  *measurably equivalent* (or simply ME) if they admit commuting free actions on a standard (possibly  $\sigma$ -finite) measure space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ , which admit a *fundamental domain*  $F_i$  of finite measure; call the ratio  $\frac{\mu(F_2)}{\mu(F_1)}$  the compression constant of the ME.

*Theorem: (Furman)*  $\Gamma_1$  is ME to  $\Gamma_2$  with compression constant  $c$  if and only if  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  admit free actions on standard probability space such that the associated equivalence relations are SOE with compression constant  $c$ .

Atiyah introduced  $\ell^2$  Betti numbers  $\beta_n$  for actions of countable groups  $\Gamma$  on manifolds with compact quotients, basically as the von Neumann dimension of the  $L\Gamma$  module furnished by the space of  $L^2$  harmonic forms of degree  $n$ .

Atiyah introduced  $\ell^2$  Betti numbers  $\beta_n$  for actions of countable groups  $\Gamma$  on manifolds with compact quotients, basically as the von Neumann dimension of the  $L\Gamma$  module furnished by the space of  $L^2$  harmonic forms of degree  $n$ .

This was then considerably extended by Gromov and Cheeger, (still using von Neumann dimension, but exercising great caution) who made sense of the sequence  $\{\beta_n(\Gamma)\}$  of  $\ell^2$  Betti numbers for any countable group.

Atiyah introduced  $\ell^2$  Betti numbers  $\beta_n$  for actions of countable groups  $\Gamma$  on manifolds with compact quotients, basically as the von Neumann dimension of the  $L\Gamma$  module furnished by the space of  $L^2$  harmonic forms of degree  $n$ .

This was then considerably extended by Gromov and Cheeger, (still using von Neumann dimension, but exercising great caution) who made sense of the sequence  $\{\beta_n(\Gamma)\}$  of  $\ell^2$  Betti numbers for any countable group.

Gaboriau then made sense, (still in terms of the von Neumann dimension of a suitable  $M$ -module of  $\ell^2$ -chains) of  $\ell^2$  Betti numbers for any standard equivalence relation, and related these to the objects defined by Cheeger and Gromov.

*Theorem:*

- 1 If an equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}$  is produced by a free action of a countable group  $\Gamma$ , then  $\beta_n(\Gamma) = \beta_n(\mathcal{R})$ , where the left side is defined á la Gromov-Cheeger and the right side is defined á la Gaboriau.
- 2 If  $\Gamma_i, i = 1, 2$  are ME with compression constant  $c$ , then  $\beta_n(\Gamma_2) = c\beta_n(\Gamma_1)$ ; in particular,  $\beta_n(\Gamma_1) = \beta_n(\Gamma_2)$  if the  $\Gamma_i$  admit free actions which produce orbit equivalent equivalence relations.

*Theorem:*

- 1 If an equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}$  is produced by a free action of a countable group  $\Gamma$ , then  $\beta_n(\Gamma) = \beta_n(\mathcal{R})$ , where the left side is defined á la Gromov-Cheeger and the right side is defined á la Gaboriau.
- 2 If  $\Gamma_i, i = 1, 2$  are ME with compression constant  $c$ , then  $\beta_n(\Gamma_2) = c\beta_n(\Gamma_1)$ ; in particular,  $\beta_n(\Gamma_1) = \beta_n(\Gamma_2)$  if the  $\Gamma_i$  admit free actions which produce orbit equivalent equivalence relations.

The simplest example of two ME groups is a pair of lattices in a locally compact group with not necessarily compact quotients, acting by left- and right- multiplication on the ambient group.

*Theorem:*

- 1 If an equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}$  is produced by a free action of a countable group  $\Gamma$ , then  $\beta_n(\Gamma) = \beta_n(\mathcal{R})$ , where the left side is defined á la Gromov-Cheeger and the right side is defined á la Gaboriau.
- 2 If  $\Gamma_i, i = 1, 2$  are ME with compression constant  $c$ , then  $\beta_n(\Gamma_2) = c\beta_n(\Gamma_1)$ ; in particular,  $\beta_n(\Gamma_1) = \beta_n(\Gamma_2)$  if the  $\Gamma_i$  admit free actions which produce orbit equivalent equivalence relations.

The simplest example of two ME groups is a pair of lattices in a locally compact group with not necessarily compact quotients, acting by left- and right- multiplication on the ambient group.

*Theorem: (Gaboriau)*

- 1 No lattice in  $SP(n, 1)$  is ME to a lattice in  $SP(p, 1)$  if  $n \neq p$ .
- 2 No lattice in  $SU(n, 1)$  is ME to a lattice in  $SU(p, 1)$  if  $n \neq p$ .
- 3 No lattice in  $SO(2n, 1)$  is ME to a lattice in  $SO(2p, 1)$  if  $n \neq p$ .

*Proof:* This is due to the following computations made by Borel:

$$\beta_i(\Gamma(SP(m, 1))) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow i = 2m$$

$$\beta_i(\Gamma(SU(m, 1))) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow i = m$$

$$\beta_i(\Gamma(SO(2m, 1))) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow i = m$$

where we write  $\Gamma(G)$  to denote any lattice in  $G$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>Actually, Kadison wondered if  $M_2(M) \cong M$  for any  $II_1$  factor  $M$  

*Proof:* This is due to the following computations made by Borel:

$$\beta_i(\Gamma(SP(m, 1))) \neq 0 \iff i = 2m$$

$$\beta_i(\Gamma(SU(m, 1))) \neq 0 \iff i = m$$

$$\beta_i(\Gamma(SO(2m, 1))) \neq 0 \iff i = m$$

where we write  $\Gamma(G)$  to denote any lattice in  $G$ .

*Kadison's conjecture:*

If  $M$  is a  $II_1$  factor,  $d \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_d$  is an  $M$ -module with  $\dim_M(\mathcal{H}_d) = d$ , then  $\text{End}_M(\mathcal{H}_d) = M_d(M)$ . The *fundamental group* of  $M$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(M) = \{d \in (0, \infty) : M \cong M_d(M)\}$$

and Kadison's conjecture<sup>2</sup> (unsolved for several decades) asks if  $\mathcal{F}(M)$  - which is always a multiplicative subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^\times$  - can be trivial.

---

<sup>2</sup>Actually, Kadison wondered if  $M_2(M) \cong M$  for any  $II_1$  factor  $M$ . 

Using Gaboriau's  $\ell^2$  Betti numbers, Popa showed the existence of many countable groups admitting free ergodic actions  $\alpha$  which produce equivalence relations  $\mathcal{R}$  such that the corresponding  $II_1$  factor  $L\mathcal{R}$  has trivial fundamental group.

Using Gaboriau's  $\ell^2$  Betti numbers, Popa showed the existence of many countable groups admitting free ergodic actions  $\alpha$  which produce equivalence relations  $\mathcal{R}$  such that the corresponding  $II_1$  factor  $L\mathcal{R}$  has trivial fundamental group.

In fact  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2) \rtimes SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  is an example of such a factor.

Using Gaboriau's  $\ell^2$  Betti numbers, Popa showed the existence of many countable groups admitting free ergodic actions  $\alpha$  which produce equivalence relations  $\mathcal{R}$  such that the corresponding  $II_1$  factor  $L\mathcal{R}$  has trivial fundamental group.

In fact  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2) \rtimes SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  is an example of such a factor.

Further, Popa and Gaboriau have shown that the free group  $\mathbb{F}_n, 2 \leq n < \infty$  admits uncountably many free ergodic actions  $\alpha_i$  such that

- The relations  $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_i}$  are pairwise non-SOE; and
- $\mathcal{F}(L\mathcal{R}_{\alpha_i}) = \{1\} \forall i$ .

Popa has gone on to prove several stunning *strong rigidity theorems*. Rather than state his results too precisely, which would entail a fair bit of preparation, we shall merely content ourselves by conveying a flavour of one of his theorems:

---

<sup>3</sup>Bernoulli actions, for instance.

<sup>4</sup>'Relative Kazhdan property (T)' features in a description of the permissible kind of groups. 

Popa has gone on to prove several stunning *strong rigidity theorems*. Rather than state his results too precisely, which would entail a fair bit of preparation, we shall merely content ourselves by conveying a flavour of one of his theorems:

*Certain kinds of free ergodic actions<sup>3</sup> of certain kinds of groups<sup>4</sup>  $G$  are such that if the resulting equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}$  has the property that  $\mathcal{R}_Y$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{R}_\Gamma$  for some Borel subset  $Y$  and some free ergodic action of some countable group  $\Gamma$ , then  $Y$  must have full measure, and the actions of  $\Gamma$  and  $G$  must be conjugate through a group isomorphism.*

With  $\Gamma, \mathcal{R}$  as above, if  $Y$  is a Borel set with  $0 < \mu(Y) < 1$ , it is seen that the relation  $\mathcal{R}_Y$  cannot be obtained as the equivalence relation produced from a free ergodic action of any countable group. (We thus recover Furman's result.)

---

<sup>3</sup>Bernoulli actions, for instance.

<sup>4</sup>'Relative Kazhdan property (T)' features in a description of the permissible kind of groups. 

## References:

- [A] Atiyah, M., *Elliptic operators, discrete groups and von Neumann algebras*, Colloque 'Analyse et Topologie' en l'Honneur de Henri Cartan, (Orsay, 1974), pp. 43-72, Asterisque, No. 32-33. Paris: Soc. Math. France, 1976.
- [Bor], Borel, A., *The  $L^2$ -cohomology of negatively curved Riemannian symmetric spaces*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math., **10**, (1985), 95-105.
- [CG] Cheeger, J., and Gromov, M.,  *$L_2$ -cohomology and group cohomology*, Topology, **25**(2), (1986), 189-215.
- [CFW], Connes, A., Feldman, J. and Weiss, B., *An amenable equivalence relation is generated by a single transformation*, Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems, **1**(4), 431-450, (1981), 431-450.
- [D] Dye, H., *On groups of measure preserving transformations, I*, Amer. J. Math., **81**, (1959), 119-159.
- [Fu] Furman, A., *Orbit equivalence rigidity*, Ann. of Math. (2), **150**(3), (1999), 1083-1108.
- [FM] Feldman, J. and Moore, C., *Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras, I,II* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **234**(2), (1977), 289-324.

- [G] Gaboriau, D., *Invariants  $\ell^2$  de relations d'équivalence et de groupes*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. **95**, (2002), 93-150.
- [GP] Gaboriau, D., and Popa, S., *An uncountable family of nonorbit equivalent actions of  $\mathbb{F}_n$* . J. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (2005), no. 3, 547–559 (electronic).
- [Kri] Krieger, W., *On non-singular transformations of a measure space, I,II*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete, **11**, (1969), 83-97.
- [OW] Ornstein, D., and Weiss, B., *Ergodic Theory of amenable group actions, I. The Rohlin lemma*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (New Ser.) **2**(1), (1980), 161-164.
- [V] Vaes, S., *Rigidity results for Bernoulli actions and their von Neumann algebras, (after Sorin Popa)*, Asterisque 311 (2007), 237-294, also arXiv: math.OA/0603434 v2.
- [vN] von Neumann, J. (1961). *Collected works vol. III. Rings of Operators*. Pergamon Press.