Many roads lead to Rome: plasticity and convergence as illustrated by the Dictyostelid amoebae

> Vidyanand Nanjundiah Centre for Human Genetics, Bangalore (vidyan@alumni.iisc.ac.in)

Workshop "Flags, Landscapes, Signalling" IMSC Chennai, 16 May 2024

Broad themes

- 1. Microorganisms vary a lot, and often their phenotypes appear uncorrelated with the local environment. ("Everything is everywhere").
- 1. Explanations can be special (for one species, one strain, one set of conditions) or general.
- 3. An explanation may be sufficient but not necessary.
- Group traits can originate both via selforganisation (based on preadaptation) and natural selection (based on new genes).

VN, Ruiz-Trillo and Kirk (2018), "Protists and multiple routes to the evolution of multicellularity"

Focus of interest

Facultatively multicellular organisms Multicellular amoebae = Social amoebae

Cellular slime moulds

(Dictyostelium discoideum and Dictyostelium giganteum)

Aggregative multicellularity : Ubiquitous across eukaryote phylogeny

OPHISTHOKONTA



Based on Burki, F. (2014). The eukaryotic tree of life from a global phylogenomic perspective. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, 6, a016147



Similarities

Very similar aggregative life cycles (starvation-driven)



Acrasis (Excavata)



Fonticula (Opisthokonta)



Dictyostelium (Amoebozoa)

Brown, 2010, PhD thesis, University of Arkansas

Cellular slime moulds in the wild



Scale bar = 1mm

Sathe et al. 2010

Differences

CSM aggregation: diversity



(Hagiwara, 1989 and earlier)

Facultative morphologies



Swanson; http://faculty.scf.edu/swansoa/aboutme.htm

(Polyphyletic distribution; * D. lacteum: like A when many cells, like C when few cells)

Sorocarpic amoebae: diversity in fruiting bodies



(Bonner, 1967)

Size and complexity



Bonner J Biosci 2003

Slime mould aggregation – 1 Continuum model

Local conc. of cells

Local conc. of attractant



Keller and Segel (1970). J. theor. Biol. 26: 399-405 Nanjundiah (1973) J theor biol 42: 63-105

Slime mould aggregation - 2 Discrete model



Halloy et al. Biophysical Chemistry 72 (1998) 9–19

Model for fruiting by self-organisation



Uses heterogeneity; Marée and Hogeweg, PNAS (2001) 98(7): 3879-3883; See life cycle movie in https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GyAQepksJLU

Dictyostelium discoideum: extracellular soluble PDE

STRAIN	ORIGIN	DEVELOPMENTAL PHENOTYPE	EXTRACELLULAR PHOSPHODIESTERASE ACTIVITY
NC4	Decomposing forest leaf (Raper 1984)	Wild-type	Plates: peaks during aggregation; Suspension: peaks before starvation, much lower at aggregation phase
V-12, sub- clones M1, M2	Forest leaf litter (Raper 1984)	Wild-type	Plates: negligible during aggregation; Suspension: peaks before starvation, much lower at aggregation phase
Ax2	Spontaneous axenic mutant, isolated from NC4 in two steps	Normal (superficially, wild- type)	Suspension: peaks before starvation, much lower at aggregation phase
ga86, ga88	Independently derived UV- induced mutants of V- 12	Normal, but very large aggregation territories	Suspension: enzyme activity barely detectable at all times

Polyclonal social groups in nature

17 independent isolates of *D.giganteum and D. purpureum* from animal dung and undisturbed forest soil

'Wild-type' phenotypes

15 multiclonal, 2 clonal

Number of clones found in multiclonal group 3-9

The developmental basis of social traits

Developmental decisions and consequences



Decisions facultative, 'tactical' rather than 'strategic'

Pre-aggregation functional differences

Genotype Nutrition Calcium Cell cycle phase

Phenotypic heterogeneity before multicellular phase

Relative bias: there is no such thing as a "cheater" per se

Spatial patterns of gene expression correlate with cell types



Spontaneous arising heterogeneity (Ca⁺⁺)



D. discoideum; Saran et al. (1994)

'High' and 'Low' calcium lead to different fates



Azhar et al. (2001) Int. J. Dev. Biol. 45: 405-414

Post-aggregation interactions (*Dictyostelium discoideum*)



Raper, J. Elisha Mitchell Soc. 1940

The importance of interactions

Functional difference between co-occuring strains

(D. giganteum)



Self/Non-self discrimination: "Guilds" in nature



Sathe et al. J Evol Biol. 2013

More strains -> Lower reproductive skew



46c6 : *46d2* : *46a3* = *35.5* : *33.4* : *31.1*

Cooperative interactions and development in nature

Filosa (1956): Four different clones from naturally occurring fruiting body of *Dictyostelium mucoroides;* 1 normal and 3 unable to aggregate by themselves.

Buss (1982):

Two strains of *D. mucoroides* in close proximity; one forms no stalk and develops normally only in combination with other.

Ellison and Buss (1983): Isolate of *D. mucoroides* develops normally only in association with fungus *Mucor hiemalis*.

Genotype and Phenotype



Glöckner et al. Nature Comm. 2016

Lack of specificity with regard to 'developmentally essential' or 'multicellularity' genes

385 DEGs (absence → no development; growth unaffected):
80% in unicellular relatives
72% in non-Amoebozoan species
37 not shared by all four CSMs

186 MGs (upregulated 3x, manipulation → aberrant development):
33 lack orthologue in at least one of other three CSMs
20 lack orthologue in all three
50% of ~2400 3x upregulated genes in all species lack orthologue in any of remaining three

Homologues in other species of *D. discoideum*

Schilde et al. 2016, Gloeckner et al. 2016

Conceptual scheme

Differentiation and proportioning: Conceptual scheme



Temporal differentiation \rightarrow Spatial differentiation



Mohri et al. Dev Biol (2013) 375 (2): 202-209

Productivity of solitary (H) and cooperative (Q) queens (Harvester ant *Pogonomyrmex californicus*)



Clark and Fewell, Behavioral Ecology (2014), 25(1), 117–123.

Spontaneous emergence of reproductive division of labour (Forced joining of "solitary" females in *Pogonomyrmex barbatus*)



Helms Cahan and Gardner-Morse (2013) Journal of Zoology 291: 12-22

Productivity with size of founding colony size (*Ropalidia marginata*)



2 wasps suff. for repr divn of labour; 3 for non-repr.

Total nest productivity goes up only with latter

Brahma et al. (2018) PNAS 115(4): 756-761

What sorts of cues might inform one individual of another individual's internal state?

Growth involves cell-environment communication anyway.

Therefore released by-products of metabolism, correlated with the particular state, are good candidates

Spontaneous division of labour in yeast cells



Varahan et al. (2019) eLife 8:e46735.

How might multicellularity have evolved?

Spontaneous self-organisation and division of labour based on phenotypic heterogeneity and inter-individual interactions

followed by

Stabilisation due to subsequent genetic change