

University of Madras

I am extremely sorry for not writing you a single letter up to now ... I discovered very interesting functions recently which I call “Mock”  $\vartheta$ -functions. Unlike the “False”  $\vartheta$ -functions (studied partially by Prof. Rogers in his interesting paper) they enter into mathematics as beautifully as the ordinary  $\vartheta$ -function. I am sending you with this letter some examples ...

If we consider a  $\vartheta$ -function in the transformed Eulerian form e.g.

$$(A) \quad 1 + \frac{q}{(1-q)^2} + \frac{q^4}{(1-q)^2(1-q^2)^2} + \frac{q^9}{(1-q)^2(1-q^2)^2(1-q^3)^2} + \dots$$

$$(B) \quad 1 + \frac{q}{1-q} + \frac{q^4}{(1-q)(1-q^2)} + \frac{q^9}{(1-q)(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} + \dots$$

and determine the nature of the singularities at the points  $q = 1, q^2 = 1, q^3 = 1, q^4 = 1, q^5 = 1, \dots$  we know how beautifully the asymptotic form of the function can be expressed in a very neat and closed exponential form. For instance when  $q = e^{-t}$  and  $t \rightarrow 0$

$$(A) = \sqrt{\frac{t}{2\pi}} \exp\left(\frac{\pi^2}{6t} - \frac{t}{24}\right) * +o(1)^\dagger$$

$$(B) = \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\pi^2}{15t} - \frac{t}{60}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{5-\sqrt{5}}{2}}} * +o(1)^\dagger$$

and similar results at other singularities.\* It is not necessary that there should be only one term like this. There may be many terms but the number of terms must be finite.  $\dagger$  Also  $o(1)$  may turn out to be  $O(1)$ . That is all. For instance when  $q \rightarrow 1$  the function

$$\frac{1}{\{(1-q)(1-q^2)(1-q^3)\dots\}^{120}}$$

is equivalent to the sum of five terms like (\*) together with  $O(1)$  instead of  $o(1)$ .

If we take a number of functions like (A) and (B) it is only in a limited number of cases the terms close as above; but in the majority of cases they never close as above. For instance when  $q = e^{-t}$  and  $t \rightarrow 0$

$$(C) \quad 1 + \frac{q}{(1-q)^2} + \frac{q^3}{(1-q)^2(1-q^2)^2} + \frac{q^6}{(1-q)^2(1-q^2)^2(1-q^3)^2} + \dots$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{t}{2\sqrt{5}}} \exp \left( \frac{\pi^2}{5t} + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 + \dots + O(a_k t^k) \right)$$

where  $a_1 = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{5}}$ , and so on. The function (C) is a simple example of a function behaving in an unclosed form at the singularities.

\*The coefficient (of)  $1/t$  in the index of  $e$  happens to be  $\frac{\pi^2}{5}$  in this particular case. It may be some other transcendental numbers in other cases.

†The coefficients of  $t, t^2, \dots$  happen to be  $\frac{1}{8\sqrt{5}}, \dots$  in this case. In other cases they may turn out to be some other algebraic numbers.

Now a very interesting question arises. Is the converse of the statements concerning the forms (A) and (B) true? That is to say Suppose there is a function in the Eulerian form and suppose that all or an infinity of points  $q = e^{2i\pi m/n}$  are exponential singularities and also suppose that at these points the asymptotic form of the function closes as neatly as in the cases of (A) and (B). The question is:— is the function taken the sum of two functions one of which is an ordinary  $\vartheta$  function and the other a (trivial) function which is  $O(1)$  at all the points  $e^{2i\pi m/n}$ ? The answer is it is not necessarily so. When it is not so I call the function Mock  $\vartheta$ -function. I have not proved rigorously that it is not necessarily so. But I have constructed a number of examples in which it is inconceivable to construct a  $\vartheta$ -function to cut out the singularities of the original function. Also I have shown if it is necessarily so then it leads to the following assertion:—viz. it is possible to construct two power series in  $x$  namely  $\sum_0^\infty a_n x^n$  and  $\sum b_n x^n$  both of which have essential singularities on the unit circle, are convergent when  $|x| < 1$ , and tend to finite limits at every point  $x = e^{2i\pi r/s}$  and that at the same time the limit of  $\sum_0^\infty a_n x^n$  at the point  $x = e^{-2i\pi r/s}$  is equal to the limit of  $\sum_0^\infty b_n x^n$  at the point  $x = e^{-2i\pi r/s}$ .

This assertion seems to be untrue. Any how we shall go to the examples and see how far our assertions are true.

I have proved that if

$$f(q) = 1 + \frac{q}{(1+q)^2} + \frac{q^4}{(1+q)^2(1+q^2)^2} + \dots$$

then

$$f(q) + (1-q)(1-q^3)(1-q^5) \dots (1-2q+2q^4-2q^9+\dots) = O(1)$$

at all the points  $q = -1, q^3 = -1, q^5 = -1, q^7 = -1, \dots$ , and at the same time

$$f(q) - (1-q)(1-q^3)(1-q^5) \dots (1-2q+2q^4-\dots) = O(1)$$

at all the points  $q^2 = -1, q^4 = -1, q^6 = -1, \dots$  Also obviously  $f(q) = O(1)$  at all the points  $q = 1, q^3 = 1, q^5 = 1, \dots$  And so  $f(q)$  is a Mock  $\vartheta$  function. When  $q = -e^{-t}$  and  $t \rightarrow 0$

$$f(q) + \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} \exp\left(\frac{\pi^2}{24t} - \frac{t}{24}\right) \rightarrow 4.$$

The coefficient of  $q^n$  in  $f(q)$  is

$$(-1)^{n-1} \frac{\exp\left(\pi \sqrt{\frac{n}{6} - \frac{1}{144}}\right)}{2\sqrt{n - \frac{1}{24}}} + O\left(\frac{\exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{\frac{n}{6} - \frac{1}{144}}\right)}{\sqrt{n - \frac{1}{24}}}\right)$$

It is inconceivable that a single  $\vartheta$  function could be found to cut out the singularities of  $f(q)$ .

Mock  $\vartheta$ -functions

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(q) &= 1 + \frac{q}{1+q^2} + \frac{q^4}{(1+q^2)(1+q^4)} + \dots \\ \psi(q) &= \frac{q}{1-q} + \frac{q^4}{(1-q)(1-q^3)} + \frac{q^9}{(1-q)(1-q^3)(1-q^5)} + \dots \\ \chi(q) &= 1 + \frac{q}{1-q+q^2} + \frac{q^4}{(1-q+q^2)(1-q^2+q^4)} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

These are related to  $f(q)$  as shown below.

$$2\phi(-q) - f(q) = f(q) + 4\psi(-q)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1 - 2q + 2q^4 - 2q^9 + \dots}{(1+q)(1+q^2)(1+q^3)\dots} \\
4\chi(q) - f(q) &= \frac{(1 - 2q^3 + 2q^{12} - \dots)^2}{(1-q)(1-q^2)(1-q^3)\dots}
\end{aligned}$$

These are of the 3rd order.

---

Mock  $\vartheta$ -functions (of 5th order)

$$\begin{aligned}
f(q) &= 1 + \frac{q}{1+q^2} + \frac{q^4}{(1+q)(1+q^2)} + \frac{q^9}{(1+q)(1+q^2)(1+q^3)} + \dots \\
\phi(q) &= 1 + q(1+q) + q^4(1+q)(1+q^3) + q^9(1+q)(1+q^3)(1+q^5) + \dots \\
\psi(q) &= q + q^3(1+q) + q^6(1+q)(1+q^2) + q^{10}(1+q)(1+q^2)(1+q^3) + \dots \\
\chi(q) &= 1 + \frac{q}{1-q^2} + \frac{q^2}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)} + \frac{q^3}{(1-q^4)(1-q^5)(1-q^6)} + \dots \\
&= 1 + \left\{ \frac{q}{1-q} + \frac{q^3}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} + \frac{q^5}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)(1-q^5)} + \dots \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$F(q) = 1 + \frac{q^2}{1-q} + \frac{q^8}{(1-q)(1-q^3)} + \dots$$

$$\phi(-q) + \chi(q) = 2F(q).$$

$$f(-q) + 2F(q^2) - 2 = \phi(-q^2) + \psi(-q)$$

$$= 2\phi(-q^2) - f(q) = \frac{1 - 2q + 2q^4 - 2q^9 + \dots}{(1-q)(1-q^4)(1-q^6)(1-q^9)\dots}$$

$$\psi(q) - F(q^2) + 1 = q \frac{1 + q^2 + q^6 + q^{12} + \dots}{(1-q^8)(1-q^{12})(1-q^{28})\dots}$$

Mock  $\vartheta$ -functions (of 5th order)

$$\begin{aligned}
f(q) &= 1 + \frac{q^2}{1+q} + \frac{q^6}{(1+q)(1+q^2)} + \frac{q^{12}}{(1+q)(1+q^2)(1+q^3)} + \dots \\
\phi(q) &= q + q^4(1+q) + q^9(1+q)(1+q^3) + \dots \\
\psi(q) &= 1 + q(1+q) + q^3(1+q)(1+q^2) + q^6(1+q)(1+q^2)(1+q^3) + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(q) &= \frac{1}{1-q} + \frac{q}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} + \frac{q^2}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)(1-q^5)} \\
&\quad + \frac{q^3}{(1-q^4)(1-q^5)(1-q^6)(1-q^7)} + \dots \\
F(q) &= \frac{1}{1-q} + \frac{q^4}{(1-q)(1-q^3)} + \frac{q^{12}}{(1-q)(1-q^3)(1-q^5)} + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

have got similar relations as above.

Mock  $\vartheta$ -functions (of 7th order)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(i)} \quad & 1 + \frac{q}{1-q^2} + \frac{q^4}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)} + \frac{q^9}{(1-q^4)(1-q^5)(1-q^6)} + \dots \\
\text{(ii)} \quad & \frac{q}{1-q} + \frac{q^4}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} + \frac{q^9}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)(1-q^5)} + \dots \\
\text{(iii)} \quad & \frac{1}{1-q} + \frac{q^2}{(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} + \frac{q^6}{(1-q^3)(1-q^4)(1-q^5)} + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

These are not related to each other.

Ever yours sincerely  
S.Ramanujan