A new uncertainty principle from quantum gravity and its implications

Saurya Das University of Lethbridge CANADA

> Chandrayana 06 January 2011



<u>Plan:</u>

- A problem with Quantum Gravity
- A new uncertainty principle from Quantum Gravity
- Phenomenology and Predictions: can it be tested in the laboratory? 1 and 3 dimensions
- Discreteness of Space?
- Summary and Outlook
- 1. S. Das, E. C. Vagenas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 221301 (2008), arXiv:0810.5333
- 2. A. Ali, S. Das, E. C. Vagenas, Phys. Lett. **B678**, 497-499 (2009), arXiv:0906.5396
- 3. S. Das, E. C. Vagenas, A. Ali, Phys. Lett. **B690** (2010) 407, arXiv:1005.3368

Why Quantum Gravity?

- Why not? (3 other forces are quantum)
- Classical Gravity + Quantum Fields \rightarrow Information Loss/Non-unitary QM
- Resolution of singularities
- Interaction of classical gravity wave with a quantum wavefunction \rightarrow energy/momentum non-conservation

• • • • • • •



Problem with Quantum Gravity

Newton's constant $G_d = \frac{1}{M_{Pl}^{d-2}}$ Dimensionless $G_d s^{d-2/2}, G_d t^{d-2/2} \to \infty$ as $s, t \to \infty$ $(s, t \approx (\text{Energy})^2)$

Perturbatively, Gravity is Non-Renormalizable

Some candidate theories

String Theory, Loop Quantum Gravity, Path Integrals, Causal Sets, Causal Dynamical Triangulations, Non-Commutative Geometry, Supergravity, ... Another problem with Quantum Gravity

- Too many theories: String Theory, Loop Quantum Gravity, Non-Commutative Field Theory, Dynamical Triangulations, Causal Sets,...
- Too few experiments = Zero
- Why? Quantum Gravity effects expected at the Planck Scale $\approx 10^{16} TeV$ Atomic Physics $\approx 10 \ eV \approx 10^{-11} TeV$. LHC $\approx 10 \ TeV$
- Difference of 15 27 orders of magnitude

 $QG \rightarrow Experimental Signatures?$

First: A New Uncertainty Principle in Quantum Gravity

'It is my honest opinion that when – people try to get hold of the laws of nature by thinking alone, the result is pure rubbish' - Max Born to Einstein, 1944

Generalized Uncertainty Principle: why?

- Black Hole Physics
- String Theory
- Loop Quantum Gravity, via Polymer Quantization
- Non-commutative geometry
- • • • •

A meeting ground for various theories?



Heisenberg's Microscope with a Black Hole (Extremal RN)

$$I = GM + \sqrt{(GM)^2 - GQ^2}$$

$$\Delta x_{new} = r_{+}(M + \Delta M) - r_{+}(M)$$

$$= G\Delta M + \sqrt{(GM + G\Delta M)^2 - GQ^2} - \sqrt{(GM)^2 - GQ^2} \ge 2G\Delta M \sim \frac{\ell_{2L}^2}{\lambda} (\Delta M = \frac{\hbar}{\lambda}, \Delta p = \frac{\hbar \sin \phi}{\lambda})$$

$$\sim \frac{\ell_{Pl}^2 \Delta p}{\hbar \sin \phi} \ge \frac{\ell_{Pl}^2 \Delta p}{\hbar} \rightarrow \Delta x + \Delta x_{new} \ge \frac{\hbar}{\Delta x} + \beta_0 \frac{\ell_{Pl}^2 \Delta p}{\hbar}$$

$$\Delta p \Delta x \ge \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[1 + \beta_0 \frac{\ell_{Pl}^2 \Delta p}{\hbar^2} \right]$$

$$\ell_{Pl} = \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{c^3}} = 10^{-35} m.$$
 The new term is effective only when $x \approx \ell_{Pl}$ or $p \approx 10^{16} TeV/c$
M. Maggiore, Phys. Lett. B304, 65 (1993)

Heisenberg's Microscope with an elementary string



Generalized Uncertainty Principle

D. Amati, M. Ciafaloni, G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. B216 41 (1989)

Minimum Observable Length from the GUP

$$\Delta p \Delta x \ge \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[1 + \beta_0 \frac{\ell_{Pl}^2}{\hbar^2} \Delta p^2 \right]$$

Invert $\Delta p \le \frac{\hbar}{\ell_{Pl}} \left[1 \pm \sqrt{\Delta x^2 - \beta_0 \ell_{Pl}^2} \right]$

$$\Delta x \ge \sqrt{\beta_0} \ell_{Pl} \equiv \Delta x_{min}$$

(Min length)

Note: $\Delta x_{min} \Rightarrow$ Space is discrete

$ \cdot \qquad \Delta p \Delta x \ge < [x, p] > $		
	HUP	GUP
Principle	$\Delta p_i \Delta x_i \ge \frac{\hbar}{2}$	$\Delta p_i \Delta x_i \ge \frac{\hbar}{2} \left[1 + \beta_0 \frac{\ell_{Pl}^2}{\hbar^2} \Delta p^2 \right]$
Algebra	$[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar\delta_{ij}$	$[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar[\delta_{ij} + \underbrace{\frac{\beta_0}{\hbar^2}\ell_{Pl}^2(p^2\delta_{ij} + 2p_ip_j)]}_{New}]$

A. Kempf, G. Mangano, R. B. Mann, Phys. Rev. **D52** 1108 (1995)

Problems

- $10^{16}Tev$, 10^{-35} m (Planck scale/QG) in 'whose frame'?? \rightarrow Problem of Lorentz Covariance of QG
- Effects $\propto \ell_{Pl}^2 \approx 10^{-70} m^2 \quad \leftarrow Bad \ for \ phenomenology$

Is there a GUP linear in ℓ_{Pl} ?

- Postulate a linear term
- Look for a linear term

New [x, p] algebra from Doubly Special Relativity Theories (One way to solve the 'QG in whose frame' problem) $[J_i, K_j] = \epsilon^{ijk} K_k$, $[K^i, K^j] = \epsilon^{ijk} J_k$ But $K^i = L_0^i + \ell_{Pl} p^i p_a \frac{\partial}{\partial p_s}$ $= \frac{\gamma(p_0 - vp_z)}{1 + \ell_{Pl}(\gamma - 1)p_0 - \ell_{Pl}\gamma vp_z}$ p'_0 $p'_{z} = \frac{\gamma(p_{z} - vp_{0})}{1 + \ell_{Pl}(\gamma - 1)p_{0} - \ell_{Pl}\gamma vp_{z}}$ $p'_{x} = \frac{\gamma(p_{z} - vp_{0})}{1 + \ell_{Pl}(\gamma - 1)p_{0} - \ell_{Pl}\gamma vp_{z}}$ $p'_{y} = \frac{\gamma(p_z - vp_0)}{1 + \ell_{Pl}(\gamma - 1)p_0 - \ell_{Pl}\gamma vp_z}$

$$E^{2} - \vec{p}^{2} \neq m^{2}$$

$$E^{2} f(E, \vec{p}, \ell_{Pl}) - \vec{p}^{2} g(E, \vec{p}, \ell_{Pl}) = m^{2} \equiv \epsilon^{2} - \vec{\pi}^{2}$$

$$[x_{i}, p_{j}] = i\hbar \frac{\partial p_{i}}{\partial \pi_{j}}$$

$$f = \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E} , \ g = \frac{1}{1 - \ell_{Pl} |\vec{p}|} \text{ (massless)}$$

$$[x_{i}, p_{j}] = i\hbar [(1 - \ell_{Pl} |\vec{p}|)\delta_{ij} + \ell_{Pl}^{2} p_{i} p_{j}]$$

J. Magueijo, L. Smolin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 190403 (2002),

J. L. Cortes, J. Gamboa, Phys. Rev. D71 026010 (2005)

So now we have *two* new algebras/GUPs

$$[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar[1 + \frac{\beta_0 \ell_{Pl}^2}{\hbar^2} (p^2 \delta_{ij} + 2p_i p_j)] \text{ Quadratic } \& \Delta x \ge \ell_{Pl}$$

 $[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar[(1 - \ell_{Pl} |\vec{p}|)\delta_{ij} + \ell_{Pl}^2 p_i p_j] \text{ Linear \& quadratic \& } \Delta p \le M_{Pl}c$

Can we make them compatible?

Try
$$[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar[\delta_{ij} + \delta_{ij}\alpha_1 p + \alpha_2 \frac{p_i p_j}{p} + \beta_1 \delta_{ij} p^2 + \beta_2 p_i p_j]$$

Use Jacobi identity with commuting coordinates and momenta

$$-\left[[x_i, x_j], p_k\right] = \left[[x_j, p_k], x_i\right] + \left[[p_k, x_i], x_j\right] = 0$$

$$[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar \left[\delta_{ij} - \alpha \left(p\delta_{ij} + \frac{p_i p_j}{p}\right) + \alpha^2 (p^2 \delta_{ij} + 3p_i p_j)\right]$$

$$\Delta x \ge (\Delta x)_{min} \approx \alpha_0 \ell_{Pl} , \ \Delta p \le (\Delta p)_{max} \approx \frac{M_{Pl}c}{\alpha_0}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{\alpha_0}{M_{Pl}c} = \frac{\alpha_0 \ell_{Pl}}{\hbar} \cdot \alpha_0 = \mathcal{O}(1) \text{ (normally)}$$

Although current experiments $\Rightarrow \alpha_0 \le 10^{17} \rightarrow \alpha^{-1} \approx 10 \ TeV/c$

Consequences

$$[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar \left[\delta_{ij} - \alpha \left(p \delta_{ij} + \frac{p_i p_j}{p} \right) + \alpha^2 \left(p^2 \delta_{ij} + 3p_i p_j \right) \right] \Rightarrow p_j \neq -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \text{ poskn space}$$

But define:

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_j = p_{0j} \left(1 - \alpha p_0 + 2\alpha^2 p_0^2 \right) \end{bmatrix} \text{ with } [x_i, p_{0j}] = i\hbar \delta_{ij}, p_{0j} = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$$
$$[x_i, p_j] = \dots \text{ is satisfied}$$

Consider any Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{2m} \left(p_{0j} \left(1 - \alpha p_0 + 2\alpha^2 p_0^2 \right) \right)^2 + V(r)$$

$$=\underbrace{\frac{p_0^2}{2m}+V(\vec{r})}_{H_0}\underbrace{-\frac{\alpha}{m}}_{H_1}\underbrace{p_0^3+\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)}_{H_1}=\frac{p_0^2}{2m}+V(\vec{r})}_{H_0}\underbrace{-\frac{i\hbar^3\alpha}{m}\frac{d^3}{dx^3}}_{\text{position space}}$$

Universal Quantum Gravity Effect!

Schrödinger Equation

$$[H_0 + H_1]\psi = \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x) - i\frac{\alpha\hbar^3}{m}\frac{d^3}{dx^3}\right]\psi = i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t}$$

Two Consequences

1. New Perturbed Solutions and New Conserved Current

$$J = \frac{\hbar}{2mi} \left(\psi^{\star} \frac{d\psi}{dx} - \psi \frac{d\psi^{\star}}{dx} \right) + \frac{\alpha \hbar^2}{m} \left(\frac{d^2 |\psi|^2}{dx^2} - 3 \frac{d\psi}{dx} \frac{d\psi^{\star}}{dx} \right)$$

 $\rho = |\psi|^2$, $\frac{\partial J}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = 0 \rightarrow New Reflection/Transmission Currents$

2. New Non-Perturbative solution ~ $e^{ix/\ell_{Pl}}$] \rightarrow Discreteness of space



- Scanning Tunneling Microscope
- Particle in a box new non-perturbative solution

Precision required to test GUP: 1 part in $10^{12} - 10^{25}$

S. Das, E. C. Vagenas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 221301 (2008), arXiv:0810.5333

Simple Harmonic Oscillator)

$$H = \underbrace{\frac{p_0^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega x^2}_{H_0} + \underbrace{\frac{\alpha}{m}p^3 + \frac{3\alpha^2}{2}p^4}_{H_1}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \psi_n = \frac{1}{2^n n!}(\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar})^{\frac{1}{4}}e^{\frac{-m\omega x^2}{2\hbar}}H_n(\sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\hbar}x}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Delta E_{GUP} = \langle \psi_n | \mathbf{H}_1 | \psi_n \rangle |_{(p^4 \text{ term})} + \sum_{k \neq n} \frac{|\langle k^0 | \mathbf{H}_1 | n^0 \rangle|^2}{E_n^0 - E_k^0} |_{(p^3 \text{ term})}$$

$$\frac{\Delta E_{GUP(0)}}{E_0} = \frac{9}{2}\hbar^2\omega^2 m\alpha^2 + 4\hbar\omega m\alpha^2$$

 $\Delta E_{GUP(0)} \sim \alpha^2 \leftarrow Not \ so \ good$

Landau Levels

Particle of mass m, charge e in constant $\vec{B} = B\hat{z}$, i.e. $\vec{A} = Bx\hat{y}$, $\omega_c = eB/m$

$$H_{0} = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\vec{p}_{0} - e\vec{A} \right)^{2} = \frac{p_{0x}^{2}}{2m} + \underbrace{\frac{p_{0y}^{2}}{2m}}_{\hbar^{2}k^{2}/2m} - \frac{eB}{m} x p_{0y} + \frac{e^{2}B^{2}}{2m} x^{2} = \frac{p_{0x}^{2}}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_{c}^{2} \left(x - \frac{\hbar k}{m\omega_{c}} \right)^{2}$$

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\vec{p}_0 - e\vec{A} \right)^2 - \frac{\alpha}{m} \left(\vec{p}_0 - e\vec{A} \right)^3 = H_0 - \sqrt{8m} \alpha H_0^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

$$\frac{\Delta E_{n(GUP)}}{E_n} = -\sqrt{8m\alpha}(\hbar\omega_c)^{\frac{1}{2}}(n+\frac{1}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \approx -10^{-27}\alpha \quad (B=10\ T)$$

Conclude

- $\alpha \sim 1$ and $\frac{\Delta E_{n(GUP)}}{E_n}$ is too small, or
- Measurement accuracy of 1 in 10^3 in STM $\rightarrow \alpha_0 < 10^{24}$

$$Lamb Shift$$

$$H_{0} = \frac{p_{0}^{2}}{2m} - \frac{k}{r} , H_{1} = -\frac{\alpha}{m} p_{0}^{3} = (\alpha \sqrt{8m}) \left[H_{0} + \frac{k}{r} \right] \left[H_{0} + \frac{k}{r} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\Delta E_{n} = \frac{4\alpha^{2}}{3m^{2}} \left(\ln \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) |\psi_{nlm}(0)|^{2} (Lamb Shift)$$

$$\frac{\Delta E_{n}(GUP)}{\Delta E_{n}} = 2 \frac{\Delta |\psi_{nlm}(0)|}{\psi_{nlm}(0)}$$

$$\Delta \psi_{100}(\vec{r}) = \sum_{\{n'l'm'\} \neq \{nlm\}} \frac{\langle n'm'l'|H_{1}|nlm\rangle}{E_{n} - E_{n'}} \langle \vec{r}|n'l'm'\rangle = 10^{3} \alpha E_{0} \psi_{200}(\vec{r})$$

$$\frac{\Delta E_{n(GUP)}}{\Delta E_n} = 2 \frac{\Delta |\psi_{nlm(0)}|}{\psi_{nlm}(0)} \approx \alpha_0 \frac{4.2 \times 10^4 E_0}{27 M_{PlC^2}} \approx 10^{-24} \alpha_0$$

Conclude

- $\alpha \sim 1$ and $\frac{\Delta E_{n(GUP)}}{E_n}$ is too small, or
- Measurement accuracy of 1 in $10^{12} \rightarrow \alpha_0 < 10^{12}$ (better!)

Potential Barrier (Scanning Tunneling Microscope)



$$\begin{split} [H_0 + H_1]\psi &= E\psi & [H_0 + H_1]\psi = -(V_0 - E)\psi & [H_0 + H_1]\psi = E\psi \\ \psi_1 &= Ae^{ik'x} + Be^{-ik''x} + Pe^{\frac{ix}{2\alpha\hbar}} & \psi_2 = Fe^{k_1'x} + Ge^{-k_1''x} + Qe^{\frac{ix}{2\alpha\hbar}} & \psi_3 = Ce^{ik'x} + Re^{\frac{ix}{2\alpha\hbar}} \\ k &= \sqrt{\frac{2mE}{\hbar^2}} , \ k_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{2m(V_0 - E)}{\hbar^2}} \\ k' &= k(1 + \alpha\hbar k), k'' = k(1 - \alpha\hbar k), k_1' = k_1(1 - i\alpha\hbar k_1), k_1'' = k_1(1 + i\alpha\hbar k_1) \end{split}$$

Take into account

- Continuity of ψ, ψ', ψ'' at each boundary (cannot set P, Q, R = 0)
- New current

Transmission Current

$$T = \frac{J_R}{J_L} = \left|\frac{C}{A}\right|^2 - 2\alpha\hbar k \left|\frac{B}{A}\right|^2 .$$

$$= T_0 \left[1 + 2\alpha\hbar k (1 - T_0^{-1})\right], \quad T_0 = \frac{16E(V_0 - E)}{V_0^2} e^{-2k_1 a} = \text{usual}$$

$$m = m_e = 0.5 \text{ MeV/c}^2, \quad E \approx V_0 = 10 \text{ eVa} = 10^{-10} \text{ m}, \quad I = 10^{-9} \text{ A}, \quad \mathcal{G} = 10^9 ,$$

$$I \propto T$$

$$\frac{\delta I_{GUP}}{I_0} = \frac{\delta T_{GUP}}{T_0} = 10^{-26},$$

$$\delta \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{GUP}} = \mathcal{G} \delta I_{GUP} = 10^{-26} A, \quad \alpha_0 = 1, \quad T_0 = 10^{-3}$$

$$\tau = \frac{e}{\delta \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{GUP}}} = 10^7 \text{ } s \approx \text{ a month}$$

Apparent barrier height

$$\Phi_{A} \equiv V_{0} - E$$

$$\sqrt{\Phi_{A}} = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{8m}} \left| \frac{d \ln I}{da} \right| - \frac{\alpha \hbar^{2} (k^{2} + k_{1}^{2})^{2}}{8m(kk_{1})} e^{2k_{1}a}$$

$$(\tau_{0} = \frac{16E(V_{0} - E)}{V_{0}^{2}} e^{-2k_{1}a})$$

$$New$$

$$\sqrt{\Phi_{A}} \text{ vs } \frac{d \ln I}{da}$$

GUP effects on

Atomic/Molecular/Condensed Matter Systems

Stark Effect, Zeeman Effect, Berry's Phase, Bohm-Aharonov effect, Dirac Quantization, Anomalous Magnetic Moment of Electron, Quantum Hall Effect, Anderson Localization, Superconductivity, Coherent States, Lasers,...

Statistical Mechanical Systems

Bose-Einstein Condensation, Fermi Levels, Chandrasekhar Limit,...

Normally forbidden processes Atomic Transitions Look at New Non-perturbative solution of the Cubic

Schrödinger Equation







Only certain Ls can fit both $\sin(kL)$ and $\cos(\frac{L}{2\alpha\hbar})$

Box Length is Quantized!

Need at least one particle for measuring lengths

Perhaps all measured lengths are quantized?

A. Ali, S. Das, E. C. Vagenas, Phys. Lett. B678, 497-499 (2009), arXiv:0906.5396

Relativistic Wave Equations

• Klein-Gordon

For Stationary States: $2mE \rightarrow E^2 - m^2$, $k \rightarrow k\sqrt{\frac{E}{2mc^2} - \frac{mc^2}{2E}}$

L Quantization Unchanged

Problems with KG

- Most elementary particles are fermions
- How to generalize to 2 and 3 dimensional box? $\vec{p} = \vec{p}_0 - \alpha p_0 \vec{p} = \vec{p}_0 - \alpha \sqrt{p_{0x}^2 + p_{0y}^2 + p_{0z}^2} \vec{p}$ $\rightarrow \vec{p}_0 - \alpha \hbar \sqrt{-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{d^2}{dy^2} - \frac{d^2}{dz^2}} \vec{p}$ Non-local



Confining Wavefunction Superposition of $2^d + 1$ eigenfunctions (d=1,2,3)

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(e^{ik_{i}x_{i}} + e^{-i(k_{i}x_{i} - \delta_{i})} \right) + Fe^{i\frac{\hat{q}\cdot\vec{r}}{\alpha\hbar}} \right] \chi \\ \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{d} \left(e^{ik_{i}x_{i}} + (-1)^{\delta_{ij}} e^{-i(k_{i}x_{i} - \delta_{i})} \right) r\hat{k}_{j} \\ + Fe^{i\frac{\hat{q}\cdot\vec{r}}{\alpha\hbar}} q_{j} \right] \sigma_{j}\chi \end{pmatrix}$$

MIT Bag Boundary Conditions (Zero flux through boundaries)

$$\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi = 0 \iff \pm i\beta\alpha_{l}\psi = \psi$$

$$\begin{split} e^{i\delta_k} \left(1 + ir\hat{k}_k\right) &= \left(ir\hat{k}_k - 1\right) + f_{\bar{k}}^{-1}F'_k e^{-i\theta_k} \quad (x_k = 0) \\ e^{i(2k_kL_k - \delta_k)} \left(1 + ir\hat{k}_k\right) &= \left(ir\hat{k}_k - 1\right) + f_{\bar{k}}^{-1}F'_k e^{i\left(\frac{\hat{q}_kL_k}{\alpha\hbar} + \theta_k\right)} e^{i(k_kL_k - \delta_k)} \quad (x_k = L_k) \\ \left[F'_k &\equiv \sqrt{1 + |\hat{q}_k|^2}F \ , \ \theta_k \equiv \arctan \hat{q}_k\right] \end{split}$$

Comparing

$$k_k L_k = \delta_k = \arctan\left(-\frac{\hbar k_k}{mc}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha)$$

$$\frac{L_k}{\alpha_0 \ell_{Pl}} = (2p_k \pi - 2\theta_k) \sqrt{d} , \quad p_k \in N$$

 $\left[|\hat{q}_k| = 1/\sqrt{d}\right]$

$$A_N \equiv \prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{L_k}{\alpha_0 \ell_{Pl}} = d^{N/2} \prod_{k=1}^{N} (2p_k \pi - 2\theta_k) \ , \ p_k \in N \ .$$

Measurable Lengths, Areas and Volumes are Quantized!



Boundary Conditions $\Rightarrow j_{\ell}(pR) = j_{\ell'}(pR)$, $\tan(\pm R/\alpha) = 1$.

$$\frac{R}{\alpha} = \pm \frac{\pi}{4} + 2p\pi \ , \ p \in N$$

Radii, Areas, Volumes discrete

Curved Spacetime: Schrödinger Equation

General Solution for any (linear) potential

$$\psi = A\psi_1 + B\psi_2 + C(\alpha) \quad \underbrace{\psi_3}_{\downarrow}$$

 $e^{ix/2lpha\hbar}$

 $\psi(0) = 0 = \psi(L) \rightarrow e^{iL/2\alpha\hbar} = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\alpha)$

$$\frac{L}{2\alpha\hbar} = \text{quantized}$$

 $\frac{\text{Results hold in Curved Spacetime}}{\text{(small lengths} = \text{linear gravitational potential)}}$

Curved Spacetime: Dirac Equation?





A preferred frame/'Aether' \rightarrow would solve the 'QG in whose frame?' problem - Planck scale in that frame! But aether breaks Lorentz Symm (one p^{μ} in the light cone) <u>But</u> If $|\Psi\rangle = N \int d\Omega_p |\Psi_p\rangle \leftarrow$ Superpos'n of all p^{μ} within the light cone aether(Again, uncertainty restores Lorentz Symmetry) Preferred frame chosen momentarily when a measurement is made! $\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = |N|^2 4\pi \int_0^{M_{Pl}} \frac{p^2 dp}{2\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}} = |N|^2 \times M_{Pl}^2 \text{ (also normalizable)}$ So, a Lorentz covariant aether may solve the problem Dirac, Nature 1951

Summary and Conclusions

- One GUP seems to fit Black Holes, String Theory, DSR,...
- Planck Scale in whose frame? DSR, Quantum aether à la Dirac?
- GUP affects all QM Hamiltonians. At least 1 part in 10¹² precision required for measuring effects
- Space Quantized near the Planck scale. *But*, Discreteness at $10^{-35} m \rightarrow \text{observable effects at } 10^{-20} m$? Gravity waves, photons, LHC
- And can do calculus
- Statistical Mechanical Systems, Normally forbidden Processes, $\alpha^{1/n}$ Effects
- Optimistic Scenario: A Low Energy Window to Quantum Gravity Phenomenology?

All our results hold so long as there is a $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ term in the GUP