

I § 9 No. 4. Image of a compact space under a continuous mapping

Theorem: Image of a QC space under a continuous map is QC. Pf: Verify QC^{III} \square
Borel-Lebesgue.

Cor: $f: X \xrightarrow{\text{cont}} X' \text{ Hdf}$. The image under f of any QC/rel. quasi-compact is Compact/rel. compact.

Cor: $f: X^{\text{QC}} \xrightarrow{\text{cont}} Y^{\text{Hdf}}$. Then f is closed. If f is bijective then it's a homeo.

Cor: A Hdf topology that is coarser than a QC topology equals the latter.

Cor: Let R be a Hdf equivalence relation on X . ~~so~~ @ If $\exists K^{\text{QC}} \subseteq X$ s.t. K meets every R -equiv. class, then X/R is compact & the canonical mapping $K/R_K \rightarrow X/R$ is a homeo. (b) If K meets every equiv. class precisely once, then K is a continuous section of X w.r.t. the relation R .

I § 9 No. 5. Product of Compact Spaces

Theorem (Tychonoff): Every product of QC/Compact spaces is QC/Compact.

Conversely if ~~the~~^a product of non-empty spaces QC/Compact, then each factor is so.

Pf: ETS QC part (\because Hdf part shown earlier) If $\prod X_i$ is QC and none of the X_i is empty, then $\prod X_i \xrightarrow{\text{since}} \prod p_i: \prod X_i \rightarrow \prod X_i$ is onto and continuous, X_i is QC.

Now suppose each X_i is QC. Let U be an ultrafilter on $\prod X_i$.

Then $p_i(U)$ is an ultrafilter on X_i (\nexists we assume that no X_i is empty, for otherwise $\prod X_i$ is empty, so the conclusion holds trivially). Since X_i is QC $p_i(U) \rightarrow x_i \in X_i$. This means $U \rightarrow (x_i)$ [see I § 7 No. 6]. QED

Cor: For $A^{\text{subset}} \subseteq \prod X_i$ to be relatively quasi-compact, it is necessary and sufficient that $p_i(A)$ be relatively quasi-compact in X_i for every i .

Pf: Necessity: \because rel. quasi-compact is preserved by the projection p_i , since p_i is continuous. Sufficiency: Tychonoff. \square