

No. 2 Comparison of topologies

Defn: Let $\mathcal{I}_1$ & $\mathcal{I}_2$ be two topologies on a set X . $\mathcal{I}_1$ is finer than $\mathcal{I}_2$ (or $\mathcal{I}_2$ is coarser than $\mathcal{I}_1$) if $(X, \mathcal{I}_1) \xrightarrow{id} (X, \mathcal{I}_2)$ is continuous.

Remarks ① Inclusion in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ orders topologies on X . The topology $\{\emptyset, X\}$ is the coarsest and the discrete topology the finest. (The above comparison is just this order.)

② The finer the topology the more open sets, the more closed sets, the more nbhds, the smaller the closure of a set, the bigger the interior of a set, the fewer dense sets, the more continuous mappings out, the fewer continuous mappings in.

No. 3. Initial topologies. $X^{\text{set}} \{X_i : i \in I\}$ collection of top spaces. $f_i: X \rightarrow X_i$ set maps.

There exists a unique coarsest topology on X s.t. each f_i is continuous. More precisely

INITIAL TOPOLOGY $\exists!$ topology s.t. $\text{with respect to this topology}$ ① given a set map $g: Z \xrightarrow{\text{top space}} X$, g is continuous at $z \in Z$ if and only if $f_i \circ g$ is continuous at $z \forall i \in I$, and ② the f_i are all continuous.

Proof: The uniqueness ~~is clear~~ if $(X, \mathcal{I}_1)$ and $(X, \mathcal{I}_2)$ are two topologies ^{both} satisfying ① & ②, then the identity map $X, \mathcal{I}_1 \xrightarrow{id} X, \mathcal{I}_2$ is continuous. Since the other way around also holds, uniqueness is proved. For the existence, verify that the topology ~~given~~ with $\{f_i^{-1}(U_i) \mid i \in I, U_i \subseteq X_i \text{ open}\}$ as a subbase satisfies ① and ②. It is clear that ② holds. For ①, first observe that $f_i \circ g$ is continuous ^{at z} whenever g is continuous ^{at z}. Now suppose that $f_i \circ g$ are continuous at z .

Let V be a nbhd of gz . There exists a finite set J of I and open sets $U_j \subseteq X_j$ for $j \in J$ s.t. $V \supseteq \bigcap_{j \in J} f_j^{-1}(U_j) \ni gz$. We have $z \in g^{-1}(\bigcap_{j \in J} f_j^{-1}(U_j)) = \bigcap_{j \in J} (f_j \circ g)^{-1}(U_j) \subseteq \bar{g}^{-1}V$.

But $\bigcap_{j \in J} (f_j \circ g)^{-1}(U_j)$ is a nbhd of z (by the continuity of $f_i \circ g$ at z). QED

Transitivity of the initial topology Suppose we have $X \xrightarrow{\text{set } f_i} X_i^{\text{sets}}$, $i \in I$, and for each $i \in I$ $X_i^{\text{set}} \xrightarrow{f_{ij}} Y_j^{\text{top space}}$, $j \in J_i$. Give X_i the initial topology so that all f_{ij} are continuous ($j \in J_i$). Then give X the initial topology so that all f_i are continuous ($i \in I$). This is the same topology as the initial topology on X s.t. all f_{ij} are continuous ($i \in I, j \in J_i$).

Proof: formal consequence of the "universal property" of the initial topology.

Examples: ① $X \subseteq Y^{\text{top space}}$ inclusion. The initial topology in this case is the subspace topology.

② More generally $X \xrightarrow{\text{set } f} Y^{\text{top space}}$. The initial topology in this case is the inverse image topology. The open sets of X are precisely the inverse images of open sets of Y . Thus, for x in X inverse image of a FSN of fx is a FSN for x .

③ LUB of a collection of topologies: (coarsest one finer than each belonging to the collection) given $(X, \mathcal{I}_i)$, $i \in I$, consider $X \xrightarrow{id} (X, \mathcal{I}_i)$. The initial topology of this data is the LUB.

④ $\prod X_i \xrightarrow{\prod \pi_i} X_i^{\text{top sp}}$. The initial topology in this case is the product topology.