

DIFFERENTIAL CRITERION FOR SEPARABILITY

Let K be a commutative ring with identity, A a commutative K -algebra, and M an A -module. We will be especially interested in the case when K is a field and A a field extension of K . A K -derivation of A to M is a K -linear map $d : A \rightarrow M$ satisfying the *Liebniz rule*: $d(ab) = adb + bda$. The set $Der_K(A, M)$ of K -derivations of A to M is an A -submodule of $\text{Hom}_K(A, M)$. If we speak of just a *derivation* we mean a \mathbb{Z} -derivation.

Derivations
defined

The module $\Omega_K(A)$ of differentials. There exists an A -module denoted $\Omega_K(A)$, the *module of differentials*, and a *universal K -derivation* $d = d_{A/K}$ from A to $\Omega_K(A)$ with the following properties:

- UNIVERSAL PROPERTY: $\text{Hom}(\Omega_K(A), M) \rightarrow Der_K(A, M)$ defined by $\phi \mapsto \phi \circ d$ is a bijection.
- The image of d generates $\Omega_K(A)$ as an A -module.

Goal of this section. We consider $\Omega_K(L)$ where $K \subseteq L$ are extension fields and aim to relate properties of the extension with those of the L -vector space $\Omega_K(L)$.

Some elementary properties and examples.

- (1) The image of K in A under any K -derivation is zero. In particular, the image of K in $\Omega_K(A)$ under the universal derivation is zero.
PROOF: $d1 = d(1 \cdot 1) = 1d1 + 1d1$ and so $d1 = 0$; now use K -linearity of d .
- (2) Let D be a K' -derivation of A to M , where $K' \rightarrow K$ is a map of commutative rings. Then D is a K -derivation if and only if the image of K under D vanishes. PROOF: The only if part is the previous item. For the if part, we need only show K -linearity of D . For λ in K and a in A , we have $D(\lambda a) = \lambda Da + aD\lambda$ by the Liebniz rule, but $D\lambda = 0$ by hypothesis, so D is K -linear.
- (3) Any derivation vanishes on the image of \mathbb{Z} in K ; if K is a field, then any derivation is a P -derivation where P is the prime subfield. PROOF: The first assertion is a special case of the first item. The second follows from the first and second items.
- (4) A K -derivation on A is determined by its values on any set of K -algebra generators of A . In particular, a derivation on A is determined by its values on K and on a set of K -algebra generators of A .
- (5) Let A be a polynomial ring $K[X_i | i \in I]$ over K (in any number of variables) and V an A -module. Given a derivation $\Delta : K \rightarrow V$ and an arbitrary $\{v_i\}_{i \in I}$ of elements of V , there exists a unique derivation D that extends Δ and maps X_i to v_i : more precisely, the value of D on any polynomial f is given by: $f^\Delta(\underline{x}) + \sum_{i \in I} \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i}(\underline{x})v_i$, where, for $f(\underline{x}) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} X^{\alpha}$, we have $f^\Delta(\underline{x}) := \sum_{\alpha} X^{\alpha} \Delta(a_{\alpha})$. In particular, there exists a unique K -derivation that maps X_i to v_i . This last assertion is equivalent to saying that $\Omega_K(A)$ is a free A -module on the generators $dX_i, i \in I$.
- (6) Let A be a quotient of the polynomial ring $K[X_i | i \in I]$ by an ideal \mathfrak{a} . Let $\{f_{\lambda}\}$ be a family of polynomials generating the ideal \mathfrak{a} and let V be an A -module. We have a “pull-back” map $Der(A, V) \rightarrow$

$\text{Der}(K[X_i], V)$. The image of this map consists precisely of those elements of $\text{Der}(K[X_i], V)$ that vanish on \mathfrak{a} .

Let $\Delta : K \rightarrow V$ be a derivation, and $\{v_i\}_{i \in I}$ a family of elements of V . Then there exists a (necessarily unique) derivation $D : A \rightarrow V$ that extends Δ and mapping X_i to v_i if and only if, for every λ , $f_\lambda^\Delta(\underline{x}) + \sum_{i \in I} \frac{\partial f_\lambda}{\partial X_i}(\underline{x})v_i = 0$. PROOF: As seen above, $f^\Delta(\underline{x}) + \sum_{i \in I} \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i}(\underline{x})v_i$ is the value on a polynomial f of any derivation $D : K[X_i] \rightarrow V$ that extends Δ and is subject to $X_i \mapsto v_i$. To prove the “only if” part, let D be such a derivation. Denote by D also the pull back of D to $K[X_i]$. Since D vanishes on f_λ (because the image of f_λ in A vanishes), the equations are necessary. For the “if” part, let D be the unique extension to $K[X_i]$ of Δ such that $DX_i = v_i$. We will show that if the equations hold, then D vanishes on \mathfrak{a} . Then D will factor through A , and we’ll be done. A general element x of \mathfrak{a} is of the form $\sum g_\lambda f_\lambda$. Since D is a derivation, we have $Dx = \sum (f_\lambda Dg_\lambda + g_\lambda Df_\lambda)$. The equations mean that the Df_λ and hence also the second terms in this sum vanish. The first terms in the sum vanish since the Dg_λ belong to V which is an A -module and the images of f_λ in A vanish.

- (7) With notation as in the item (5), assume that K is a field, let L denote the quotient field of A , let W be an L -vector space, and $D : A \rightarrow W$ a derivation. Then there exists a unique extension of D to a derivation \tilde{D} from L to W . In fact, for f, g in A with $g \neq 0$, we have $\tilde{D}\frac{f}{g} = \frac{gDf - fDg}{g^2}$, by the “quotient rule”. Thus $\Omega_K(L) = L \otimes_A \Omega_K(A)$, and $\{dX_i\}$ forms an L -basis for $\Omega_K(L)$.

The canonical exact sequence. Let B be another K -algebra and $A \rightarrow B$ a K -algebra homomorphism. By the universal properties of $\Omega_K(A)$ and $\Omega_K(B)$ respectively, there exists a natural B -module morphism $\beta : \Omega_K(B) \rightarrow \Omega_A(B)$ and a natural A -module morphism $\alpha : \Omega_K(A) \rightarrow \Omega_K(B)$. Since $\Omega_K(B)$ is a B -module, the map α extends uniquely to a map of B -modules $\Omega_K(A) \otimes_A B \rightarrow \Omega_K(B)$ also denoted α . Consider the following sequence of B -module maps:

$$(6) \quad \Omega_K(A) \otimes_A B \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Omega_K(B) \xrightarrow{\beta} \Omega_A(B) \rightarrow 0$$

We claim that this is exact. To prove the claim it is enough to show that the resulting sequence after applying $\text{Hom}_B(\cdot, V)$ is exact for any B -module V . The application of $\text{Hom}_B(\cdot, V)$ results in:

$$(7) \quad \text{Der}_K(A, V) \xleftarrow{\alpha^*} \text{Der}_K(B, V) \xleftarrow{\beta^*} \text{Der}_A(B, V) \leftarrow 0$$

where α^* is the natural restriction map and β^* is the natural inclusion. From the first two items in the list above, we conclude that the kernel of α^* is the image of β^* . Thus (7) and so also (6) is exact.

Suppose that B is a field. Then a sequence of B -modules is exact if and only if the dual sequence is exact. Thus the exactness of (6) is equivalent to that of

$$(8) \quad \text{Der}_K(A, B) \xleftarrow{\alpha^*} \text{Der}_K(B, B) \xleftarrow{\beta^*} \text{Der}_A(B, B) \rightarrow 0$$

Moreover the injectivity of α in (6) is equivalent to the surjectivity of α^* in (8). If these conditions hold, then the α^* in (7) is surjective for every V .