

LECTURE 4.1

Rauquier Complexes,

Rouquier Complexes are the Soergel Bim incarnation of many well-known constructions in other contexts - (twisting +)shuffling functors, spherical functors, etc, that give braid gp actions.

We've seen two sets
of R-bin

$$0 \rightarrow R(-) \xrightarrow{1} [B_S] \rightarrow R(+) \rightarrow 0$$

which yield

$$0 \rightarrow R(\ell) \rightarrow B_j \xrightarrow{\varphi} R(\ell) \rightarrow 0$$

$$O \xrightarrow{\text{R}(U)} \overset{\circ}{R} \xrightarrow{\text{R}_f} O = F_s^{-1}$$

\downarrow \downarrow $\text{q}(s, m)$
 $O \xrightarrow{\text{R}_f(U)} O$ inverse map,
 not lie.

In the usual Euler characteristic map, $[F_S] + [B_S] - [R(-i)] = H_S - V = H_S^{O \rightarrow R_S(-i) \rightarrow 0}$

$$[F_S] = H_S - \bar{v}^{-1} = H_S^{-1}.$$

f_5 is more useful, where our
-1 convention

Def: let $K^b(S\text{Bim})$ denote the homotopy cat of $S\text{Bim}$ (can do this for any additive cat)

Ob: Banded obs of \$8m
(several directions) Mr: Chain maps with stereoply.

(degree 0 differential) (carry out this for other cat)

Add more of gisens.

Def. ~~Raujir Complex~~ or ~~$F_0 = F_5 \oplus F_8 - \oplus F_{11} \in K^b(SBim)$~~ (incorrect)

Ex: $F_5 \otimes F_5$

$$\text{or } \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n$$

$B_3(-1) \xrightarrow{f_1} R \xrightarrow{f_2} B_3(+1)$

- ② shifts = from degree (differences "deg 1")
 - ③ all maps are single dots
 - ④ sign is $(-1)^{\# \text{lines before } \pi}$.
(re "we order")

[21] ↑ [redacted] in 2

These maps give us a hom. eq.

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$$

$$F_S \odot F_S^\top = 1 \text{ nonlocal identity}$$

Ex:

EOT

$$B_S(-) \xrightarrow{1-1^2} B_S(1) \xrightarrow{\varphi} R(\mathbb{Q})$$

↑
isom to R but not h.c. !!
had diff too.

Ex: $MgO = 3$

F₂O₂F₂

A hand-drawn diagram illustrating a reaction scheme. On the left, there is a box containing $B_s B_b$. An arrow points from this box to two separate boxes below it: $B_s B_s$ on the left and $B_b B_b$ on the right. From each of these two boxes, arrows point to a central box labeled $B_s B_b(1)$. From this central box, two arrows point to boxes labeled $B_s(1)$ and $B_b(1)$. Finally, arrows from these two boxes point to a box on the far right labeled $R(3)$.

$$\cong B_{sts} \xrightarrow{\quad} B_5 B_f^{(1)} \xrightarrow{\quad} B_5^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\quad} R^{(3)}$$

KEEP EXAMPLES ON BOARD

Thm (Rouquier): F_S, F_S^{-1} give a strict categorification of the braid gp of W . ②
 in $K(SB_m)$

I.e. F_S satisfy braid relation,
up to holes F_S, F_S^{-1} are inverse functors

and $\text{End}(F_w) = R$! However, ~~the~~ faithfulness is still an open problem:
 i.e. $F_w \cong F_y \Rightarrow w \neq y$, in bad gp

Also, they give a strict^{faithful} categorification of W on $D^b(R\text{-Bim})$ (only known in types ADE)

$$\text{Since } F_S \cong_{\alpha} R_S(-i) \rightarrow 0 \quad F_S^{-1} \cong_{\alpha} R_S(i) \rightarrow 0 \quad F_SF_S^{-1} \cong_{\alpha} 0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0.$$

\Rightarrow Km charakt.

Rmk (E-Kasner) For you topological folks - any braid cobordism gives chain map, get action of BrG_b,
 \Rightarrow know character).

Let's look at the examples we've seen. Whenever $B_x(n)$ appeared in two adjacent degrees, there was always a Δ between them. What's with that?

was a homotopy contracting the two summands away. Thus, $K^b(A\text{-Mod})$, any complex for Homological Alg; Let A be a (graded) local ring. Then inside $K^b(A\text{-Mod})$, any complex C^\bullet is homotopic to a minimal complex C_{\min}^\bullet , for which all differentials lie in the maximal ideal. Any two such are (^{no contractible summands!} non-canonically) isomorphic. Why? Any differential not in the max ideal gives an isom betw two summands, can contract it. This leads to deduce that minimal

Exercise: $\text{End}(\oplus B_\omega)$ is a graded local ring. Modify the above to deduce that minimal complexes exist in $K^b(\mathbb{S}\mathcal{B}im)$. Let $F_W = F_{\omega, \text{min}}$ for any red exp, only F_S , no F_S' .
(positive bival)

Examples you've seen.

Examples you've seen.
 However, we can't deduce that $\text{aff}(B_x)$'s can be eliminated, since we don't know
 that $\text{End}(B_x) = \mathbb{R}$, there might be deg 0 maps to make used. If S only holds,

any nonzero diff $B_x(i) \rightarrow B_x(i)$ can be cancelled.

Exo: Fisut

C. Sy

B_f	B_g	B_{gt}
B_g	B_{gt}	B_{gt}
"	B_{gt}	B_{gt}
B_{gt}	B_{gt}	B_{gt}
	B_f	B_{gt}
	B_{gt}	B_{gt}
		B_{gt}

don't know maps so well

but know size.

Now for the key properties of Rognes complexes:

Exercise note for next Def:

$K^{<0}$ = Complex b.e. to those where degree i has all shifts $\geq i$
 $K^{>0}$ = all shifts $< i$ (SGuf \Rightarrow t-structure)

Ex: Most of what we've seen is in the case $K^{<0} \cap K^{>0}$

$$\text{Bt } F_S F_S \in K^{>0} \cap K^{<1} \quad F_S^{-1} F_S \subset K^{<0} \cap K^{>-1}.$$

Exercise: if a positive b.r.d., then $F_w \in K^{>0}$ (shifts are $\leq i$)
and they must be

Hint: Show that whenever $B_S \otimes$ makes the shift go up, it is cancelled by $\rightarrow R(I)$.
Should assume SGuf for this exercise - that way $B_S B_S \in \bigoplus B_S^{\text{Muf}}$ w/ no shifts

Thm (Diagonal Miracle): $F_w \in K^{<0} \cap K^{>0}$, w is B_w in degree 0.

Assume SGuf

Assuming this, we get nice "formulas" for inverse KL polynomials! Go back to F_{twist} and count the appearance of B_F , for instance. Gives formula for H_{twist}^{-1} .

The proof uses ~~that~~ std filtrations and a result of W-Libedinsky showing that
~~the~~ Rognes complex splits on the associated graded. Slightly technical.
We won't truly need it to prove SGuf, but it helps speed things up.

Homology: $H^*(F_w) = H^*(F_w) = R_w(-l(w))$ ^{chain} in degree 0, nothing else.
i.e. free R_w generated in degree $-l(w)$

Thus the map $B_S(\underline{\omega}) \xrightarrow{\text{Ellip}} \bigoplus B_S(\hat{\underline{\omega}}_i)$ is injective below degree $-l(w)$

What could we possibly use that for? ...