

EXERCISE SET 2

Throughout F denotes a field and A a k -algebra. A ring is said to be *semisimple* if it is a semisimple as a (left) module over itself.

- (1) (difficulty level: 2) Show that any ring A with $1 \neq 0$ admits simple modules.
- (2) (difficulty level: 2) Show that any module over a semisimple ring is semisimple.
- (3) (Easy if you follow the hint) (Example of a non-semisimple finite dimensional complex algebra)
Let B be the set of 2×2 upper triangular matrices with complex entries. With respect to standard matrix addition and multiplication, B is a $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra. Let M be the set of 2×1 matrices with complex entries. Then with respect to multiplication from the left, M is a B module. Show that M is not semisimple. (Hint: Consider the submodule N consisting of 2×1 matrices with the $(2, 1)$ entry being 0. Show that N has no complement. In fact, show that N is the only non-trivial proper submodule of M .) Conclude that B is not semisimple.
- (4) (difficulty level: 3) True or false?: If N is a semisimple submodule of a module M such that M/N is also semisimple, then M is semisimple.
- (5) (difficulty level 3 without hint) A finite dimensional algebra over a field admits only finitely many isomorphism classes of simple modules. Solution: For any ring A , any simple module is a quotient of ${}_A A$: indeed, for any $m \neq 0$ in M , the homomorphism ${}_A A \rightarrow M$ by $a \mapsto am$ is onto. Now let A be an algebra of finite dimension over a field F . Fix a sequence ${}_A A = N_0 \supsetneq N_1 \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq N_{k-1} \supsetneq N_k = 0$ of submodules of ${}_A A$ with all quotients N_j/N_{j+1} being simple. Such a sequence exists: indeed the length k of such a strictly decreasing sequence is bounded by $\dim_F A$. Given a simple A -module M , let $\varphi : A \twoheadrightarrow M$ be an A -module epimorphism, and let r be the least integer such that $\varphi|_{N_r} = 0$. Then $r \geq 1$ and $M \simeq N_{r-1}/N_r$. Thus any simple module is isomorphic to one of the quotients N_j/N_{j+1} .

ADDITIONAL PROBLEMS (OPTIONAL)

- (1) (Difficulty level 4 without hint) (Equivalence in general of the conditions in the definition of a semisimple module) Let M be a module such that any submodule of it admits a complement. Then M is a direct sum of (some of its) simple submodules. Solution: Fix a maximal collection $\mathfrak{C}$ of simple submodules of M whose sum is their direct sum: such a collection exists by Zorn. Let N be the sum of submodules in $\mathfrak{C}$, and suppose that $N \subsetneq M$. Choose $y \in M \setminus N$. Choose, by Zorn, a maximal proper submodule P containing N of $N + Ay$. Let S be a complement to P in $N + Ay$ (it exists because the hypothesis on M passes to submodules). Being isomorphic to $(N + Ay)/P$, it is simple. And its existence violates the maximality of $\mathfrak{C}$.
- (2) (Difficulty level 3 without hint) Show that a ring that admits a finitely generated faithful¹ semisimple module is itself semisimple. Find a ring that is not semisimple but admits a faithful (necessarily non-finitely generated) semisimple module. (Hint: A commutative ring admits a faithful semisimple module iff its Jacobson radical is trivial.)
- (3) (Difficulty level 3 without hint) (A version of Nakayama's lemma) Let M be a finitely generated A -module. Given a submodule N , there exists a maximal (proper) submodule of M containing N . (Hint: Zorn.) If M is finitely generated and non-zero, then there exists a primitive ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ such that $\mathfrak{a}M \subsetneq M$.² (Hint: Let N be a maximal proper submodule of M and take $\mathfrak{a}$ to be the annihilator of M/N .) Deduce the following: if A is a commutative local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and M a non-zero finitely generated A -module, then $\mathfrak{m}M \subsetneq M$.

¹A module is faithful if no non-zero element of the ring kills the module.

²A ring is *primitive* if it admits a faithful simple module. A two sided ideal is *primitive* if the quotient by it is a primitive ring.