A talk based on

The Hodge Conjecture for general Prym varieties

by

Indranil Biswas

School of Maths, TIFR, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400 005. indranil@math.tifr.res.in

and

Kapil H. Paranjape

IMSc, CIT Campus, Tharamani, Chennai 600 113.

kapil@imsc.res.in

Journal of Algebraic Geometry **11** (2002)

Here is the bundled source for this document.

As this is a Millennium Prize Problem there are many ways to find out.

As this is a Millennium Prize Problem there are many ways to find out.

For example, from the Clay Math Institute web site:

As this is a Millennium Prize Problem there are many ways to find out.

For example, from the Clay Math Institute web site:

 Dan Freed's telegraphic and very loose description at http://www.claymath.org/Millennium_Prize_Problems/ Hodge_Conjecture/ Or,

As this is a Millennium Prize Problem there are many ways to find out.

For example, from the Clay Math Institute web site:

- Dan Freed's telegraphic and very loose description at http://www.claymath.org/Millennium_Prize_Problems/ Hodge_Conjecture/ Or,
- Pierre Deligne's longer (but mildly inaccurate)
 Official Problem Description at http://www.claymath.org/Millennium_Prize_Problems/ Hodge_Conjecture/_objects/Official_Problem_Description.pdf

The Hodge Conjecture for an Abelian Variety.

This is the easiest unsolved case ...

The Hodge Conjecture for an Abelian Variety.

This is the easiest unsolved case ...

... Fields' Medal level easy!

The Hodge Conjecture for an Abelian Variety.

This is the easiest unsolved case ...

... Fields' Medal level easy!

So what is an Abelian Variety?

• An abelian variety of dimension 1 is an elliptic curve—an object now famous for its relation to Fermat's Last Theorem and Cryptography.

- An abelian variety of dimension 1 is an elliptic curve—an object now famous for its relation to Fermat's Last Theorem and Cryptography.
- An abelian variety of dimension 2 is the closure of a trajectory (in phase space) of the asymmetric top. Abelian varieties of dimension 2 were also used by Adleman-Huang in the first sub-exponential algorithm for primality testing.

- An abelian variety of dimension 1 is an elliptic curve—an object now famous for its relation to Fermat's Last Theorem and Cryptography.
- An abelian variety of dimension 2 is the closure of a trajectory (in phase space) of the asymmetric top. Abelian varieties of dimension 2 were also used by Adleman-Huang in the first sub-exponential algorithm for primality testing.

Moral: If you don't know enough about Abelian varieties

... find out!

- An abelian variety of dimension 1 is an elliptic curve—an object now famous for its relation to Fermat's Last Theorem and Cryptography.
- An abelian variety of dimension 2 is the closure of a trajectory (in phase space) of the asymmetric top. Abelian varieties of dimension 2 were also used by Adleman-Huang in the first sub-exponential algorithm for primality testing.

Moral: If you don't know enough about Abelian varieties

... find out!

Morallory: You never known enough about Abelian varieties.

We now offer a more detailed geometric example of an Abelian variety.

We now offer a more detailed geometric example of an Abelian variety.

Start with a curve X, by which we mean,

a Riemann surface



We now offer a more detailed geometric example of an Abelian variety.

Start with a curve X, by which we mean,

or a projective algebraic curve





which we can also think of as a plane curve



which we can also think of as a plane curve ... with singularities



which we can also think of as a plane curve ... with singularities ... after removing singularities of course!



which we can also think of as a plane curve ... with singularities... after removing singularities of course!Which can be done in many ways.

The r-tuples of points on a curve X form a variety

 $S^{r}(X) = X \times X \times \cdots \times X/$ symmetric group action

The r-tuples of points on a curve X form a variety

 $S^{r}(X) = X \times X \times \cdots \times X/$ symmetric group action

We say two *r*-tuples are equivalent if they lie on a line, i. e. a parametric curve^{*} on $S^{r}(X)$.

The r-tuples of points on a curve X form a variety

 $S^{r}(X) = X \times X \times \cdots \times X/$ symmetric group action

We say two *r*-tuples are equivalent if they lie on a line, i. e. a parametric curve^{*} on $S^{r}(X)$.



*Warning: Curves in algebraic geometry are parametric only when the

Pick some base point p on X. Concatenating this with an r-tuple gives us an r + 1-tuple; i. e. a map $S^{r}(X) \to S^{r+1}(X)$.

parametrising functions are polynomials. Most curves cannot be parametrised! Pick some base point p on X. Concatenating this with an r-tuple gives us an r + 1-tuple; i. e. a map $S^{r}(X) \to S^{r+1}(X)$.

Fact: For a suitable *r* the image meets all equivalence classes.

parametrising functions are polynomials. Most curves cannot be parametrised! Pick some base point p on X. Concatenating this with an r-tuple gives us an r + 1-tuple; i. e. a map $S^{r}(X) \to S^{r+1}(X)$.

Fact: For a suitable *r* the image meets all equivalence classes.

The Jacobian variety can then be obtained as the quotient

 $J(X) = S^{r}(X) /$ equivalence relation

The group structure is obtained by concatenating two r-tuples to get a 2r-tuple which is then reduced to an r-tuple via equivalence.

parametrising functions are polynomials. Most curves cannot be parametrised! **Fact**: Every Abelian variety A occurs as a connected subgroup of J(X) for a suitable curve X.

If $f: X \to Y$ is a map of curves we get an induced map $f_*: J(X) \to J(Y)$.

When the map f of curves is 2-to-1 the (connected part of the) kernel of f_* is called a Prym variety.

The Hodge Conjecture for an Abelian Variety A can be re-stated group-theoretically. Specifically, one defines the Mumford-Tate group G(A), which occurs as a subgroup of the symplectic group^{*}.

The lie days Consistent and four one Alberting Mariata Alberting to the second

The Hodge Conjecture for an Abelian Variety A can be re-stated group-theoretically. Specifically, one defines the Mumford-Tate group G(A), which occurs as a subgroup of the symplectic group^{*}.

Moreover, the possible subgroups G(A) are completely known (due to Shimura) and can be used to classify Abelian varieties.

The Hodge Conjecture for an Abelian Variety A can be re-stated group-theoretically. Specifically, one defines the Mumford-Tate group G(A), which occurs as a subgroup of the symplectic group^{*}.

Moreover, the possible subgroups G(A) are completely known (due to Shimura) and can be used to classify Abelian varieties.

* The symplectic group consists of $2g \times 2g$ matrices M such that

$$M^{t} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 \\ 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 \\ 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Fact: If the Hodge Conjecture is known for *A*

Fact: If the Hodge Conjecture is known for A and B is another abelian variety such that G(B) = G(A)

Fact: If the Hodge Conjecture is known for A and B is another abelian variety such that G(B) = G(A) then the Hodge Conjecture follows for B as well.

Fact: If the Hodge Conjecture is known for A and B is another abelian variety such that G(B) = G(A) then the Hodge Conjecture follows for B as well.

The Hodge Conjecture for Abelian varieties can thus be studied class by class.

Let L be the list of those subgroups G(A) of the symplectic group which are associated with an A for which the Hodge conjecture is known.

There are two ways of proving the Hodge conjecture for a given Abelian variety A:

- Easy Way
- Hard Way

Let L be the list of those subgroups G(A) of the symplectic group which are associated with an A for which the Hodge conjecture is known.

There are two ways of proving the Hodge conjecture for a given Abelian variety A:

- **Easy Way** Show that G(A) is in L.
- Hard Way

Let L be the list of those subgroups G(A) of the symplectic group which are associated with an A for which the Hodge conjecture is known.

There are two ways of proving the Hodge conjecture for a given Abelian variety A:

- **Easy Way** Show that G(A) is in L.
- Hard Way Add to the list L.

Let L be the list of those subgroups G(A) of the symplectic group which are associated with an A for which the Hodge conjecture is known.

There are two ways of proving the Hodge conjecture for a given Abelian variety A:

- **Easy Way** Show that G(A) is in L.
- Hard Way Add to the list L.

... and get a Fields' Medal if you add infinitely many elements.

One should remark that essentially the only work that falls in the Hard category was done by Schoen in the early 1980's. He added a handful (and that is precise!) of groups to L.

One should remark that essentially the only work that falls in the Hard category was done by Schoen in the early 1980's. He added a handful (and that is precise!) of groups to L.

Our result is in the Easy category.

One should remark that essentially the only work that falls in the Hard category was done by Schoen in the early 1980's. He added a handful (and that is precise!) of groups to L.

Our result is in the Easy category.

As a start we must understand the list L.

In particular, the full symplectic group belongs to L, i. e. the Hodge Conjecture for A is trivial if Mumford-Tate group G(A) is the full symplectic group.

In particular, the full symplectic group belongs to L, i. e. the Hodge Conjecture for A is trivial if Mumford-Tate group G(A) is the full symplectic group.

... and this happens for the general Abelian Variety!

In particular, the full symplectic group belongs to L, i. e. the Hodge Conjecture for A is trivial if Mumford-Tate group G(A) is the full symplectic group.

... and this happens for the general Abelian Variety!

So Prym varieties should be special, or our result would be trivial.

In particular, the full symplectic group belongs to L, i. e. the Hodge Conjecture for A is trivial if Mumford-Tate group G(A) is the full symplectic group.

... and this happens for the general Abelian Variety!

So Prym varieties should be special, or our result would be trivial.

On the other hand we are proving the result for the general Prym variety.

In particular, the full symplectic group belongs to L, i. e. the Hodge Conjecture for A is trivial if Mumford-Tate group G(A) is the full symplectic group.

... and this happens for the general Abelian Variety!

So Prym varieties should be special, or our result would be trivial.

On the other hand we are proving the result for the general Prym variety.

... sounds confusing!

Let's de(con)fuse the situation.

An Abelian variety is (the locus of zeroes of) a system of algebraic equations in many variables like*

The coefficients of these equations could be:

• constants i. e. rational or algebraic numbers. Or,

• transcendental.

*This is a sample of equations and may not define an Abelian variety at all!

Let's de(con)fuse the situation.

An Abelian variety is (the locus of zeroes of) a system of algebraic equations in many variables like*

The coefficients of these equations could be:

• constants i. e. rational or algebraic numbers. Or,

• transcendental.

*This is a sample of equations and may not define an Abelian variety at all!

Let's de(con)fuse the situation.

An Abelian variety is (the locus of zeroes of) a system of algebraic equations in many variables like*

The coefficients of these equations could be:

• constants i. e. rational or algebraic numbers. Or,

• transcendental.

*This is a sample of equations and may not define an Abelian variety at all!

A more general set of equations

is one where the coefficients in the equations above are replaced by new variables; call these new variables parameters.

We then obtain family of varieties depending on a set of parameters (or moduli). Each actual variety is obtained by substituting values for the parameters—this is called specialising.

For example, the equation $y^2 = x^3 - 1$ is a specialisation of the equation $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ obtained by putting a = 0 and b = -1.

A more general set of equations

is one where the coefficients in the equations above are replaced by new variables; call these new variables parameters.

We then obtain family of varieties depending on a set of parameters (or moduli). Each actual variety is obtained by substituting values for the parameters—this is called specialising.

For example, the equation $y^2 = x^3 - 1$ is a specialisation of the equation $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ obtained by putting a = 0 and b = -1.

Algebraically, there is no distinction between transcendental numbers and parameters—so we can substitute the transcendental numbers which occur as coefficients with constants while specialising. Algebraically, there is no distinction between transcendental numbers and parameters—so we can substitute the transcendental numbers which occur as coefficients with constants while specialising.

So we can specialise the equation $y^2 = x^3 + \pi x + e$ by putting any values in place of π and e that we like^{*}.

Algebraically, there is no distinction between transcendental numbers and parameters—so we can substitute the transcendental numbers which occur as coefficients with constants while specialising.

So we can specialise the equation $y^2 = x^3 + \pi x + e$ by putting any values in place of π and e that we like^{*}.

*We can even be perverse and replace π by $\frac{22}{7}$ —or 3!

The general system of equations will not define an Abelian variety for every set of values of the parameters. The general system of equations will not define an Abelian variety for every set of values of the parameters.

The condition that the equations define an Abelian variety can be written as a system of equations

$$f_1(a_1, \dots, c_4) = 0$$

...
$$f_p(a_1, \dots, c_4) = 0$$

in the parameters. Let us assume that a minimal such system of equations has been found.

The general system of equations will not define an Abelian variety for every set of values of the parameters.

The condition that the equations define an Abelian variety can be written as a system of equations

> $f_1(a_1, \dots, c_4) = 0$ \dots $f_p(a_1, \dots, c_4) = 0$

in the parameters. Let us assume that a minimal such system of equations has been found.

An Abelian variety A is general if the actual coefficients of the equations defining A satisfy no equations other these.

An Abelian variety A is special otherwise.

We can write down an additional collection of conditions

$$g_1(a_1, \dots, c_4) = 0$$
$$\dots$$
$$g_q(a_1, \dots, c_4) = 0$$

that the coefficients of the equations need to satisfy in order that the Abelian variety be a Prym variety.

A Prym variety is said to be general the coefficients in its defining equations satisfy no further conditions. We can write down an additional collection of conditions

$$g_1(a_1, \dots, c_4) = 0$$
$$\dots$$
$$g_q(a_1, \dots, c_4) = 0$$

that the coefficients of the equations need to satisfy in order that the Abelian variety be a Prym variety.

A Prym variety is said to be general the coefficients in its defining equations satisfy no further conditions.

Hence we have explained the result.