Holographic chiral induced W-gravity.

Rohan Poojary IMSc, Chennai

ISM 2014, Puri

Based on arXiv:1412.2510 [hep-th] with Nemani Suryanarayana

Introduction

- Polyakov's induced chiral 2d gravity ['87]
 - Induced gravity: found by integrating out scalars on worldsheet.
 - Is covariant and non-local.
 - Analysis in light-cone gauge reveals $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ current algebra.
- ▶ Induced chiral W₃-gravity in 2d
 - Higher spin extensions of 2d induced gravity.
 - ► Induced (matter fields integrated out) 2d gravities with *W*₃-symmetries.
 - ► Analysis in light-cone gauge similarly reveals an sl(3, R) current algebra, just as above.
 - ► These were also derived from gauged WZNW models for the gauge group $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$.

- ▶ What is the holographic dual to such induced chiral *W*₃-gravity?
- Possible answer: massless spin-3 field in AdS₃
- ► AdS₃ gravity yielding sl(2, R) Kač-Moody algebra with level k = c/6; along with a copy of Virasoro. [Avery, RP, Suryanarayana]
- There are boundary conditions on AdS₃ which yielding U(1) Kač-Moody with central extension; along with a copy of Virasoro. [Compère, Song, Strominger]

Results

- ► Higher-spin boundary conditions yielding an $sl(3, \mathbb{R})$ or su(1, 2) or $u(1) \oplus u(1)$ Kač-Moody algebra,
- and a copy of classical W_3 .
- Choice depends on values of certain parameters in the general ansatz for the flat gauge connection used.
- *sl*(3, ℝ) case may correspond to the induced *W*₃-gravity studied in the early nineties by Ooguri *et al* and Verlinde.
 [Ooguri, Schoutens, Sevrin, Van Neuwenhuizen][Verlinde]
- ► *W*₃ gravities with symmetries of the other kind need to be studied further.

Plan

- We would like to generalize the boundary conditions on the AdS₃ metric which yield an sl(2, ℝ) current algebra to include a massless spin-3 field.
- Higher-spin analysis is better done in Chern-Simons(CS) formalism for AdS₃.
- ► Hence, we cast the metric formulation which yields sl(2, ℝ) currents, in the CS form.
- ▶ Try and generalise the $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ guage fields to $sl(3, \mathbb{R})$ gauge fields.
- Compute the Poisson brackets between parameters of the space of solutions.

Metric to CS formulation for $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ currents

▶ Boundary conditions on *AdS*₃ metric which yield an *sl*(2, ℝ) current algebra and a Virasoro [Avery, RP, Suryanarayana]:

$$g_{rr} = \frac{l^2}{r^2} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-4}), \quad g_{r+} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-1}), \quad g_{r-} = \mathcal{O}(r^{-3}),$$

$$g_{+-} = -\frac{r^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(r^0), \quad g_{--} = \mathcal{O}(r^0),$$

$$g_{++} = r^2 F(x^+, x^-) + \mathcal{O}(r^0),$$
(1)

Can be completely solved for order by order in r in Fefferman-Graham(FG) gauge:

$$\begin{split} g^{(0)}_{++} &= F(x^+, x^-), \ g^{(0)}_{+-} &= -\frac{1}{2}, \ g^{(0)}_{--} &= 0, \\ g^{(2)}_{++} &= \kappa(x^+, x^-), \ g^{(2)}_{+-} &= \sigma(x^+, x^-), \ g^{(2)}_{--} &= \tilde{\kappa}(x^+, x^-), \\ g^{(4)}_{ab} &= \frac{1}{4} g^{(2)}_{ac} g^{cd}_{(0)} g^{(2)}_{db}, \end{split}$$

The EOM further impose a constraint which looks like the Virasoro Ward identity of the boundary theory:

$$2(\partial_+ + 2\partial_- F + F\partial_-)\tilde{\kappa} = \partial_-^3 F.$$
(3)

▶ One can get specific bulk solutions by imposing $\tilde{\kappa} = -1/4$ and solve the above diff. eq. for *F*

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\kappa} &= -1/4 \\ \Longrightarrow F &= f(x^+) + g(x^+) \, e^{i x^-} + \bar{g}(x^+) \, e^{-i x^-} \end{split}$$

► These become the sl(2, R) currents.

AdS₃ gravity as difference of Chern-Simons:

$$S[A] = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int \operatorname{tr}(A \wedge A + \frac{2}{3}A \wedge A \wedge A)$$

$$S = S[A] - S[\tilde{A}] + S_{bdy}$$

$$A = \omega + e/\ell \qquad \tilde{A} = \omega - e/\ell.$$
(4)

Gauge fields ansatz corresponding to the above metric:

$$A = b^{-1} \mathrm{d}b + b^{-1} a b \qquad \tilde{A} = b \mathrm{d}b^{-1} + b \tilde{a} b^{-1},$$

$$a = (L_1 + a_+^{(-)} L_{-1} + a_+^{(0)} L_0) dx^+ + (a_-^{(-)} L_{-1}) dx^-, \tilde{a} = (\tilde{a}_+^{(0)} L_0 + \tilde{a}_+^{(+)} L_1 + \tilde{a}_+^{(-)} L_{-1}) dx^+ + (\tilde{a}_-^{(+)} L_1 - L_{-1}) dx^-.$$
(5)

where $b = e^{(r/l)L_0}$ is an $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ element can be used to absorb away all the *r* dependence.

Wherein we have allowed for a function *ã*⁽⁻⁾₊ to have a positive power in *r*.

The eom:

$$da + [a \wedge a] = 0$$
 $d\tilde{a} + [\tilde{a} \wedge \tilde{a}] = 0$ (6)

with Fefferman-Graham gauge condition $a^{(0)}_+ = \tilde{a}^{(0)}_+$ implies

- The left gauge field components are solved upto a function $\kappa(x^+)$
- The right gauge field components are solved for in terms of \$\tilde{a}_+^{(-)}\$ and \$\tilde{a}_-^{(+)}\$ with Virasoro constraint:

$$(\partial_{+} + 2 \partial_{-} \tilde{a}_{+}^{(-)} + \tilde{a}_{+}^{(-)} \partial_{-}) \tilde{a}_{-}^{(+)} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{-}^{3} \tilde{a}_{+}^{(-)}$$
(7)

For
$$\tilde{a}_{-}^{(+)} = -1/4$$

 $\tilde{a}_{+}^{(-)} \approx F = f(x^{+}) + g(x^{+}) e^{ix^{-}} + \bar{g}(x^{+}) e^{-ix^{-}}$ (8)

The above functions give rise to an sl(2, ℝ) current algebra with Virasoro parametrized by κ(x⁺).

$sl(3,\mathbb{R})$ CS formulation for spin-3

Gauge algebra

$$\begin{aligned} L_{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ L_{0} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ L_{1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ W_{2} &= & 2\alpha L_{1}^{2} \quad , \quad [L_{i}, W_{m}] = (2i-m)W_{i+m}, \quad m \in \{-2, ..., 2\}. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Where, $\alpha = 1 \implies sl(3, \mathbb{R})$ and $\alpha = i \implies su(1, 2)$. $\eta_{ab} = \text{Killing metric, } f_{abc} = \text{structure consts.}$
- Choose anzats and solve for components by imposing flatness:

$$a = L_1 - \kappa L_{-1} - \omega W_{-2} dx^+$$

$$\tilde{a} = (-L_{-1} + \tilde{\kappa} L_1 + \tilde{\omega} W_2) dx^- + \left(\sum_{a=-1}^{1} f^{(a)} L_a + \sum_{i=-2}^{2} g^{(i)} W_i\right) dx^+$$

$$A = b^{-1} \partial_r b dr + b^{-1} a b, \quad \tilde{A} = b \partial_r b^{-1} dr + b \tilde{a} b^{-1}.$$

where $b = e^{(r/l)L_0}$ is an $SL(3, \mathbb{R})$ element.

- Flatness for *a* implies : $\partial_{-}\kappa = 0$ and $\partial_{-}\omega = 0$
- Flatness for ã implies: Components can be solved for in terms of {κ, ω, f⁽⁻¹⁾, g⁽⁻²⁾}.

Further constraints among $\{\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{\omega}, f^{(-1)}, g^{(-2)}\}$ are the Ward identities for the boundary theory

$$(\partial_{+}+2\,\partial_{-}f^{(-1)}+f^{(-1)}\,\partial_{-})\,\tilde{\kappa}-\alpha^{2}\,(12\,\partial_{-}g^{(-2)}+8\,g^{(-2)}\partial_{-})\,\tilde{\omega}=\frac{1}{2}\partial_{-}^{3}f^{(-1)},$$

$$12 (\partial_{+} + 3 \partial_{-} f^{(-1)} + f^{(-1)} \partial_{-}) \tilde{\omega} + (10 \partial_{-}^{3} g^{(-2)} + 15 \partial_{-}^{2} g^{(-2)} \partial_{-} + 9 \partial_{-} g^{(-2)} \partial_{-}^{2} + 2 g^{(-2)} \partial_{-}^{3}) \tilde{\kappa} - 16 (2 \partial_{-} g^{(-2)} + g^{(-2)} \partial_{-}) \tilde{\kappa}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{-}^{5} g^{(-2)}.$$
(9)

• Note: That α enters only in the first of the equations.

- So far we have done the following:
 - ▶ Ansatz for *sl*(3, ℝ) gauge field.
 - Soved for EOM in terms of $\{\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{\omega}, f^{(-1)}, g^{(-2)}\}$.
 - These staisfy constraints, identical to Ward Identities on the boundary.
- ► Next, we would like these solutions to be variationally well defined by requiring the boundary theory have f⁽⁻¹⁾ & g⁽⁻²⁾ as the dynamical fields.

Boundary terms for the action

- For the solution to be variationally well defined, one needs to add boundary terms to the bulk action.
- Boundary term in action for fixed $\tilde{\kappa}$ and $\tilde{\omega}$

$$S_{bdy} = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int d^2 x \, tr \, (-L_0[\tilde{a}_+, \tilde{a}_-] + 2 \,\tilde{\kappa}_0 \, L_1 \, \tilde{a}_+ + \frac{1}{2 \,\alpha} \, W_0\{\tilde{a}_+, \tilde{a}_-\} \\ + \frac{1}{3} \tilde{a}_+ \, \tilde{a}_- + 2 \,\tilde{\omega}_0 \, W_2 \tilde{a}_+).$$
(10)

The above form is demanded by requiring:

$$\delta S_{total} = -\frac{k}{2\pi} \int d^2 x \left[\left(\tilde{\kappa} - \tilde{\kappa}_0 \right) \delta f^{(-1)} + 4 \, \alpha^2 \left(\tilde{\omega} - \tilde{\omega}_0 \right) \delta g^{(-2)} \right]$$
(11)

Cases studied for fixed and constant values κ̃ = κ̃₀ and ω̃ = ω̃₀

▶ Solve the Ward identities for $f^{(-1)}$ and $g^{(-2)}$ for constants $\tilde{\kappa}_0 \& \tilde{\omega}_0$

$$\partial_{-}^{3} f^{(-1)} + 24 \,\alpha^{2} \,\tilde{\omega}_{0} \,\partial_{-} g^{(-2)} - 4 \,\tilde{\kappa}_{0} \,\partial_{-} f^{(-1)} = 0$$

$$\partial_{-}^{5} g^{(-2)} - 20 \,\tilde{\kappa}_{0} \,\partial_{-}^{3} g^{(-2)} + 64 \,\tilde{\kappa}_{0}^{2} \,\partial_{-} g^{(-2)} - 72 \,\tilde{\omega}_{0} \,\partial_{-} f^{(-1)} = 0 \quad (12)$$

► 1.
$$\tilde{\kappa}_0 \neq 0$$
, $\tilde{\omega}_0 \neq 0$ & $\partial_-\{f^{(-1)}, g^{(-2)}\} = 0$:
 $f^{(-1)} = f(x^+), \quad g^{(-2)} = g(x^+).$ (13)

This trivially solves the above equations. This can be viewed as a spin-3 generalisation of the boundary conditions of Compère *et al.*

► 2.
$$\tilde{\omega}_{0} = 0$$
 and $\tilde{\kappa}_{0} \neq 0$:

$$f^{(-1)} = f_{\kappa}(x^{+}) + g_{\kappa}(x^{+}) e^{2\sqrt{\tilde{\kappa}_{0}}x^{-}} + \bar{g}_{\kappa}(x^{+}) e^{-2\sqrt{\tilde{\kappa}_{0}}x^{-}},$$

$$g^{(-2)} = f_{\omega}(x^{+}) + g_{\omega}(x^{+}) e^{2\sqrt{\tilde{\kappa}_{0}}x^{-}} + \bar{g}_{\omega}(x^{+}) e^{-2\sqrt{\tilde{\kappa}_{0}}x^{-}} + h_{\omega}(x^{+}) e^{4\sqrt{\tilde{\kappa}_{0}}x^{-}}$$

$$+ \bar{h}_{\omega}(x^{+}) e^{-4\sqrt{\tilde{\kappa}_{0}}x^{-}}$$
(14)

 ${ ilde \kappa}_0 = -1/4 \implies$ boundary of global AdS_3

► 3.
$$\tilde{\omega}_0 = 0$$
 and $\tilde{\kappa}_0 = 0$ gives:

$$f^{(-1)} = f_{-1}(x^+) + x^- f_0(x^+) + (x^-)^2 f_1(x^+), \qquad (15)$$

$$g^{(-2)} = g_{-2}(x^+) + x^- g_{-1}(x^+) + (x^-)^2 g_0(x^+) + (x^-)^3 g_1(x^+) + (x^-)^4 g_2(x^+)$$

Suitable for non-compact x^+ and x^- (boundary of Poincare or Euclidean AdS_3)

Charge and asymptotic symmetry

- We would like to compute the Poisson brackets between the functions parametrizing the space of solutions.
- To this effect we would have to compute the residual gauge parameters which keeps the form of the gauge field same.
- ► And also compute the charge Q_Λ, associated with the these residual gauge transformations.

Change in the asymptotic charge in CS theory associated with residual gauge transformation Λ: [Barnich, Brandt]

$$\delta Q_{\Lambda} = -\frac{k}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi \ tr[\Lambda \,\delta A_{\phi}]. \tag{16}$$

These are trivially integrable.

Construct Poisson brackets by demanding above charges generate required variation of solution space parameters *F*(*x*):

$$\delta_{\Lambda}\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}) = \{Q_{\Lambda}, \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x})\},\tag{17}$$

where $\delta_{\Lambda} \mathcal{F}$ is read from $\delta_{\Lambda} \mathcal{A} = d\Lambda + [\mathcal{A}, \Lambda]$

• Left sector: for $\Lambda = \lambda^{(i)}L_i + \eta^{(m)}W_m$, $\delta a = d\Lambda + [a, \Lambda]$

$$Q_{(\lambda,\eta)} = -\frac{k}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \left[\lambda \kappa - 4 \alpha^2 \eta \omega\right]$$
(18)

where $\lambda=\lambda^{(1)}$ & $\eta=\eta^{(2)}$ are gauge transformation parameters.

This implies the following Poisson brackets:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{k}{2\pi} \left\{ \kappa(x^{+}), \kappa(\tilde{x}^{+}) \right\} &= -\kappa'(x^{+}) \,\delta(\Delta x^{+}) - 2 \,\kappa(x^{+}) \,\delta'(\Delta x^{+}) + \frac{1}{2} \,\delta'''(\Delta x^{+}), \\ -\frac{k}{2\pi} \left\{ \kappa(x^{+}), \omega(\tilde{x}^{+}) \right\} &= -2 \,\omega'(x^{+}) \,\delta(\Delta x^{+}) - 3 \,\omega(x^{+}) \,\delta'(\Delta x^{+}), \\ -\frac{2k\alpha^{2}}{\pi} \left\{ \omega(x^{+}), \omega(\tilde{x}^{+}) \right\} &= \frac{8}{3} [\kappa^{2}(x^{+}) \,\delta'(\Delta x^{+}) + \kappa(x^{+}) \,\kappa'(x^{+}) \delta(\Delta x^{+})] \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{6} [5 \kappa(x^{+}) \delta'''(\Delta x^{+}) + \kappa'''(x^{+}) \delta(\Delta x^{+})] \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{4} [3 \kappa''(x^{+}) \delta'(\Delta x^{+}) + 5 \kappa'(x^{+}) \delta''(x^{+} - \tilde{x}^{+})] + \frac{1}{24} \delta^{(5)}(\Delta x^{+}) \end{aligned}$$
(19)

▶ this is the *W*₃ algebra. [Campoleoni, Fredenhagen, Pfenninger, Theisen]

 \blacktriangleright Right sector: Solve for residual gauge transformation parameters $\tilde{\Lambda}$:

$$\tilde{\Lambda} = \tilde{\lambda}^{i} L_{i} + \tilde{\eta}^{m} W_{m}, \qquad (20)$$

which keep \tilde{a} form-invariant and $\delta \tilde{\kappa} = 0 \& \delta \tilde{\omega} = 0$

► 1.
$$\tilde{\kappa}_0 \neq 0$$
, $\tilde{\omega}_0 \neq 0$, $\partial_- f^{(-1)} = \partial_- g^{(-2)} = 0$

Residual gauge transformation parameters are

$$\tilde{\lambda}^{(-1)} = \tilde{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}^+), \quad \tilde{\eta}^{(-2)} = \tilde{\eta}(\mathbf{x}^+).$$
(21)

These induce:

$$\delta f^{(-1)} = \partial_+ \tilde{\lambda}, \ \delta g^{(-2)} = \partial_+ \tilde{\eta}.$$
 (22)

Charge under above Λ :

$$Q_{\tilde{a}} = \frac{k}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi \, 2 \left[\tilde{\lambda} \left(\tilde{\kappa}_{0} \, f - 6 \, \alpha^{2} \, \tilde{\omega}_{0} \, g \right) + \tilde{\eta} \, 2 \, \alpha^{2} \left(\frac{8}{3} \tilde{\kappa}_{0}^{2} \, g - 3 \, \tilde{\omega}_{0} \, f \right) \right]$$
(23)

$$\{f(x^{+}), f(\tilde{x}^{+})\} = -\frac{\pi}{k} \frac{\tilde{\kappa}_{0}^{2}}{\Delta} \delta'(\Delta x^{+}), \quad \{g(x^{+}), g(\tilde{x}^{+})\} = -\frac{\pi}{k} \frac{3 \tilde{\kappa}_{0}}{16 \Delta \alpha^{2}} \delta'(\Delta x^{+}),$$
$$\{f(x^{+}), g(\tilde{x}^{+})\} = -\frac{\pi}{k} \frac{9 \tilde{\omega}_{0}}{8 \Delta} \delta'(\Delta x^{+})$$
(24)

where

$$\Delta = \tilde{\kappa}_0^3 - \frac{27}{4} \alpha^2 \tilde{\omega}_0^2 \tag{25}$$

▶ \implies $u(1) \oplus u(1)$ Kač-Moody current for suitable combination of f & g.

► 2. $\tilde{\kappa}_0 = -\frac{1}{4}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_0 = 0$ residual gauge transformation parameters are $\tilde{\lambda}^{(-1)} = \lambda_f(x^+) + \lambda_g(x^+) e^{ix^-} + \bar{\lambda}_{\bar{g}}(x^+) e^{-ix^-}$ $\tilde{\eta}^{(-2)} = \eta_f(x^+) + \eta_g(x^+) e^{ix^-} + \bar{\eta}_{\bar{g}}(x^+) e^{-ix^-} + \eta_h(x^+) e^{2ix^-}$ $+ \bar{\eta}_{\bar{h}}(x^+) e^{-2ix^-}$ (26)

 One can collect the solution space parameters and the (residual) gauge transformation parameters in:

Notice that the parameters 8 in each set.

In this notation, the action of the residual gauge parameters λ on the sol. space parameters J can be summarized:

$$\delta J^{a} = \partial_{+}\lambda^{a} - i \hat{f}^{a}{}_{bc}J^{b}\lambda^{c}$$

$$Q[\lambda^{a}] = -\frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi \,\hat{\eta}_{ab} \,\lambda^{a}J^{b}$$
(27)

the expression for the charge can also be writen compactly as done above.

The associated Poisson bracket algebra is:

$$\{J^{a}(x^{+}), J^{b}(\tilde{x}^{+})\} = i\hat{f}^{ab}_{\ c} J^{c}(x^{+}) \,\delta(\Delta x^{+}) + \frac{k}{4\pi} \hat{h}^{ab} \delta'(\Delta x^{+}).$$
(28)

where $\hat{\eta}_{ab} \& \hat{f}_{abc}$ are the ones obtained from $\eta_{ab} \& f_{abc}$ by replacing:

$$\alpha^2 \to -\alpha^2.$$

► ⇒ Had we started with a sl(3, R) gauge group, we would end up with an su(1,2) Kač-Moody current with central extension k = c/6.(and vice versa)

► 3.
$$\tilde{\kappa}_0 = 0 \& \tilde{\omega}_0 = 0$$

 $\tilde{\lambda}^{(-1)}_{(-2)} = \lambda_{-1}(x^+) + x^- \lambda_0(x^+) + (x^-)^2 \lambda_1(x^+)$
 $\tilde{\eta}^{(-2)} = \eta_{-2}(x^+) + x^- \eta_{-1}(x^+) + (x^-)^2 \eta_0(x^+) + (x^-)^3 \eta_1(x^+)$
 $+ (x^-)^4 \eta_2(x^+)$ (29)

Collecting the parametrizing functions as before:

$$\{J^{a}, a = 1, \cdots, 8\} = \{f_{-1}, f_{0}, f_{1}, g_{-2}, g_{-1}, g_{0}, g_{1}, g_{2}\} \\ \{\lambda^{a}, a = 1, \cdots, 8\} = \{\lambda_{-1}, \lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \eta_{-2}, \eta_{-1}, \eta_{0}, \eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\}$$

The expression for the change in the sol. space parameters and the associted charge simplifies to:

$$\delta J^{a} = \partial_{+}\lambda^{a} - f^{a}{}_{bc}J^{b}\lambda^{c}$$

$$Q[\tilde{\lambda}] = \frac{k}{4\pi}\int dx^{+}\eta_{ab}J^{a}\lambda^{b}$$
(30)

The Poisson brackets are:

$$\{J^{a}(x^{+}), J^{b}(\tilde{x}^{+})\} = f^{ab}{}_{c} J^{c}(x^{+}) \delta(\Delta x^{+}) - \frac{k}{4\pi} \eta^{ab} \delta'(\Delta x^{+})$$
(31)

Further directions

- It would be interesting to see what current algebras does one get once more spins are included.
- ► Different choices of asymptotic symmetry algebra ⇒ diff. chiral induced W-gravities; further study of this analogy is required.
- Generalisations to include super-gravities and higher-spin supergravity of these considerations.

....Thank You.