

On Spacetime Entanglement

with E. Bianchi, M. Headrick, J. Rao, S. Sugishita & J. Wien

Black Hole Entropy:

• Bekenstein and Hawking: "black holes carry entropy!"

$$S_{BH} = \frac{k_B c^3}{\hbar} \frac{\mathcal{A}}{4G}$$

• "horizons carry entropy!": de Sitter space and Rindler wedge

Black Hole Entropy:

• Bekenstein and Hawking: "black holes carry entropy!"



• "horizons carry entropy!": de Sitter space and Rindler wedge

Black Hole Entropy:

• Bekenstein and Hawking: "black holes carry entropy!"



- "horizons carry entropy!": de Sitter space and Rindler wedge
- window into quantum gravity?!?

Spacetime Entanglement Conjecture

 in a theory of quantum gravity, for any sufficiently large region A in a smooth background, consider entanglement entropy between dof describing A and Ā; contribution describing short-range entanglement is finite and described in terms of geometry of entangling surface with leading term:



 higher order terms controlled by higher curvature gravitational couplings, similar to Wald entropy (RM, Pourhasan & Smolkin)

Entanglement Entropy in QFT

- <u>entanglement entropy</u>: general diagnostic to give a quantitative measure of entanglement using entropy to detect correlations between two subsystems
- in QFT, typically introduce a (smooth) boundary or entangling surface Σ which divides the space into two separate regions
- integrate out degrees of freedom in "outside" region
- remaining dof are described by a density matrix ρ_A

 \longrightarrow calculate von Neumann entropy: $S_{EE} = -Tr \left[\rho_A \log \rho_A \right]$



Entanglement Entropy in QFT

- remaining dof are described by a density matrix ρ_A

 \longrightarrow calculate von Neumann entropy: $S_{EE} = -Tr \left[\rho_A \log \rho_A \right]$



- result is UV divergent!
- must regulate calculation: $\delta =$ short-distance cut-off

$$S = c_0 \frac{R^{d-2}}{\delta^{d-2}} + c_2 \frac{R^{d-4}}{\delta^{d-4}} + \cdots \qquad d = \text{spacetime dimension}$$

Entanglement Entropy in QFT

- remaining dof are described by a density matrix ρ_A

 \longrightarrow calculate von Neumann entropy: $S_{EE} = -Tr \left[\rho_A \log \rho_A \right]$



• result is UV divergent!

geo

• must regulate calculation: $\delta =$ short-distance cut-off

$$S = c_0 \frac{R^{d-2}}{\delta^{d-2}} + c_2 \frac{R^{d-4}}{\delta^{d-4}} + \cdots \qquad d = \text{spacetime dimension}$$

metric structure, eg, $S = \tilde{c}_0 \frac{\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}}{\delta^{d-2}} + \tilde{c}_2 \frac{\oint_{\Sigma} \text{``curvature''}}{\delta^{d-4}} + \cdots$

- → geometric structure, eg, $S = \tilde{c}_0 \frac{\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}}{\delta^{d-2}} + \tilde{c}_2 \frac{\oint_{\Sigma} "curvature"}{\delta^{d-4}} + \cdots$
- SE Conjecture suggests quantum gravity regulates these divergences for general regions and produces a simple universal result governed by couplings of gravitational action

- → geometric structure, eg, $S = \tilde{c}_0 \frac{\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}}{\delta^{d-2}} + \tilde{c}_2 \frac{\oint_{\Sigma} "curvature"}{\delta^{d-4}} + \cdots$
- SE Conjecture suggests quantum gravity regulates these divergences for general regions and produces a simple universal result governed by couplings of gravitational action
- recall Sorkin's suggestion for origin of black hole entropy:

$$S_{EE} = \tilde{c}_0 \frac{\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}}{\delta^{d-2}} + \cdots$$
 VS $S_{BH} = 2\pi \frac{\mathcal{A}_{horizon}}{\ell_P^{d-2}}$

 \rightarrow "area law" suggestive of BH formula if $\delta \simeq \ell_P$

(Sorkin `84; Bombelli, Koul, Lee & Sorkin; Srednicki; Frolov & Novikov; . . .)

- \longrightarrow geometric structure, eg, $S = \tilde{c}_0 \frac{\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}}{\delta^{d-2}} + \tilde{c}_2 \frac{\oint_{\Sigma} "curvature"}{\delta^{d-4}} + \cdots$
- SE Conjecture suggests quantum gravity regulates these divergences for general regions and produces a simple universal result governed by couplings of gravitational action
- recall Sorkin's suggestion for origin of black hole entropy:

$$S_{EE} = \tilde{c}_0 \frac{\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}}{\delta^{d-2}} + \cdots \qquad \text{VS} \qquad S_{BH} = 2\pi \frac{\mathcal{A}_{horizon}}{\ell_P^{d-2}}$$

→ "area law" suggestive of BH formula if $\delta \simeq \ell_P$ (Sorkin `84; Bombelli, Koul, Lee & Sorkin; Srednicki; Frolov & Novikov; . . .)

• problems?: leading QFT singularities are regulator dependent

represent renormalization of gravity couplings(Susskind & Uglum; ...)

- \longrightarrow geometric structure, eg, $S = \tilde{c}_0 \frac{\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}}{\delta^{d-2}} + \tilde{c}_2 \frac{\oint_{\Sigma} "curvature"}{\delta^{d-4}} + \cdots$
- SE Conjecture suggests quantum gravity regulates these divergences for general regions and produces a simple universal result governed by couplings of gravitational action
- recall Sorkin's suggestion for origin of black hole entropy:

$$S_{EE} = \tilde{c}_0 \frac{\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}}{\delta^{d-2}} + \cdots \qquad \text{VS} \qquad S_{BH} = 2\pi \frac{\mathcal{A}_{horizon}}{\ell_P^{d-2}}$$

→ "area law" suggestive of BH formula if $\delta \simeq \ell_P$ (Sorkin `84; Bombelli, Koul, Lee & Sorkin; Srednicki; Frolov & Novikov; . . .)

- problems?: leading QFT singularities are regulator dependent
- represent renormalization of gravity couplings(Susskind & Uglum; ...)
- active topic in 90's but various "technical issues" left unresolved, eg, contributions of vectors or non-minimally coupled scalars
- interest in $S_{BH} = S_{EE}$ had some revival recently

(Jacobson & Satz; Cooperman & Luty; Donnelly & Wall; . . .)

• recall Sorkin's suggestion for origin of black hole entropy:

$$S_{EE} = \tilde{c}_0 \frac{\mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}}{\delta^{d-2}} + \cdots \qquad \text{VS} \qquad S_{BH} = 2\pi \frac{\mathcal{A}_{horizon}}{\ell_P^{d-2}}$$

→ "area law" suggestive of BH formula if $\delta \simeq \ell_P$

(Sorkin `84; Bombelli, Koul, Lee & Sorkin; Srednicki; Frolov & Novikov; . . .)

• problems?: leading QFT singularities are regulator dependent

represent renormalization of gravity couplings(Susskind & Uglum; ...)



same renormalization works for general entangling surface!!

(Bianchi & RM)

AdS/CFT Correspondence:



Are there boundary observables corresponding to S_{BH} for "general" surfaces in bulk?



Holographic Entanglement Entropy:



Lessons from Holographic EE:

(entanglement entropy)_{boundary} = (entropy of extremal surface)_{bulk}

• R&T prescription assigns gravitational entropy $S_{BH} = \mathcal{A}/(4G_N)$ to "unconventional" bulk surfaces/regions :

not black hole! not horizon! not boundary of causal domain!

indicates S_{BH} applies more broadly but more examples?

Lessons from Holographic EE:

(entanglement entropy)_{boundary} = (entropy of extremal surface)_{bulk}

• R&T prescription assigns gravitational entropy $S_{BH} = \mathcal{A}/(4G_N)$ to "unconventional" bulk surfaces/regions :

not black hole! not horizon! not boundary of causal domain!

indicates S_{BH} applies more broadly but more examples?

- $S_{\rm BH}$ on other surfaces speculated to give new entropic measures of entanglement in boundary theory

causal holographic information (Hubeny & Rangamani; H, R & Tonni; Freivogel & Mosk; Kelly & Wall; ...)

—> entanglement between high and low scales

(Balasubramanian, McDermott & van Raamsdonk)

Lessons from Holographic EE:

(entanglement entropy)_{boundary} = (entropy of extremal surface)_{bulk}

• R&T prescription assigns gravitational entropy $S_{BH} = \mathcal{A}/(4G_N)$ to "unconventional" bulk surfaces/regions :

not black hole! not horizon! not boundary of causal domain!

• indicates S_{BH} applies more broadly but more examples?

- $S_{\rm BH}$ on other surfaces speculated to give new entropic measures of entanglement in boundary theory

causal holographic information (Hubeny & Rangamani; H, R & Tonni; Freivogel & Mosk; Kelly & Wall; ...)

entanglement between high and low scales (Balasubramanian, McDermott & van Raamsdonk)

hole-ographic spacetime (Balasubramanian, Chowdhury, Czech, de Boer & Heller)

two new ideas:

• **residual entropy**: collective uncertainty associated with family of observers confined to finite time strip; maximum entropy of global density matrix consistent with density matrices of subsy's



(Balasubramanian, Chowdhury, Czech, de Boer & Heller)

arXiv:1310.4204 [hep-th]

two new ideas:

 residual entropy: collective uncertainty associated with family of observers confined to finite time strip; maximum entropy of global density matrix consistent with density matrices of subsy's



(Balasubramanian, Chowdhury, Czech, de Boer & Heller)

arXiv:1310.4204 [hep-th]

differential entropy:

$$E = \sum \left(S(I_j) - S(I_j \cap I_{j+1}) \right)$$

boundary observables which yield gravitational entropy of closed curves inside of d=3 AdS space with certain continuum limit

two new ideas:

 residual entropy: collective uncertainty associated with family of observers confined to finite time strip; maximum entropy of global density matrix consistent with density matrices of subsy's

(Balasubramanian, Chowdhury, Czech, de Boer & Heller)

arXiv:1310.4204 [hep-th]

Conjecture:

residual entropy = differential entropy

differential entropy:

$$E = \sum \left(S(I_j) - S(I_j \cap I_{j+1}) \right)$$

boundary observables which yield gravitational entropy of closed curves inside of d=3 AdS space with certain continuum limit

two new ideas:

 residual entropy: collective uncertainty associated with family of observers confined to finite time strip; maximum entropy of global density matrix consistent with density matrices of subsy's

(Balasubramanian, Chowdhury, Czech, de Boer & Heller)

arXiv:1310.4204 [hep-th]



curves inside of d=3 AdS space with certain continuum limit













consider AdS₃ in global coordinates now extend the number of intervals to **cover the entire bdry**

14 I_6 **1**5









(Balasubramanian, Chowdhury, Czech, de Boer & Heller)

- keep length of intervals is fixed but take number of intervals to infinity
- outer envelope becomes a smooth circle of constant radius



(Balasubramanian, Chowdhury, Czech, de Boer & Heller)

- keep length of intervals is fixed but take number of intervals to infinity
- outer envelope becomes a smooth circle of constant radius
- surprise is that the geometric inequality is precisely saturated!



(Balasubramanian, Chowdhury, Czech, de Boer & Heller)

- prescription extends to general curves in the bulk with $\hat{S} \propto$ length of curve
- geometric inequality is again saturated!



"hole-ographic spacetime" & differential entropy:

 calculate "gravitational entropy" of general closed curves in bulk of d=3 AdS space, in terms of entanglement entropies of regions covering time slice on boundary

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}}{4G_N} \le \sum \left(S(I_j) - S(I_j \cap I_{j+1}) \right)$$

(RM, Rao & Sugishita: arXiv:1403.3416) (Headrick, RM & Wien: arXiv:1408.4770)

- extends to higher dimensions
- —> extends to more general holographic backgrounds
- extends to certain higher curvature theories in the bulk (ie, Lovelock gravity)

extends to bulk surfaces that vary in space and time

(RM, Rao & Sugishita: arXiv:1403.3416) (Headrick, RM & Wien: arXiv:1408.4770)

Salient lessons:

- HEE evaluated by extremizing an entropy functional in bulk
- ➢ boundary data: two "independent" surfaces defining family of intervals: $\vec{\gamma}_L(\lambda) = \{t_L(\lambda), x_R(\lambda)\}; \vec{\gamma}_R(\lambda) = \{t_R(\lambda), x_R(\lambda)\}$
- define boundary intervals by finding extremal HEE surface which is tangent to bulk surface at each point



- general "hole-ographic" construction can be packaged very simply using lessons from classical mechanics
- consider on-shell action: $S_{on} = \int_{s_i, q_i^a}^{s_f, q_f^a} ds \ \mathcal{L}(q^a, \partial_s q^a)$
- varying boundary conditions:

$$\delta S_{on} = p_f^a \,\delta q_f^a - E_f \,\delta s_f - p_i^a \,\delta q_i^a + E_i \,\delta s_i + \int ds [eom \cdot \delta q]$$

- general "hole-ographic" construction can be packaged very simply using lessons from classical mechanics
- consider on-shell action: $S_{on} = \int_{s_i, q_i^a}^{s_f, q_f^a} ds \ \mathcal{L}(q^a, \partial_s q^a)$
- varying boundary conditions:

$$\delta S_{on} = p_f^a \,\delta q_f^a - E_f \,\delta s_f - p_i^a \,\delta q_i^a + E_i \,\delta s_i + \int ds [eom \cdot \delta q]$$

• consider family of boundary conditions: $\{s_i(\lambda), q_i^a(\lambda)\}, \{s_f(\lambda), q_f^a(\lambda)\}$

$$\partial_{\lambda}S_{on} = p_f^a \,\partial_{\lambda}q_f^a - H_f \,\partial_{\lambda}s_f - p_i^a \,\partial_{\lambda}q_i^a + H_i \,\partial_{\lambda}s_i$$

r

- general "hole-ographic" construction can be packaged very simply using lessons from classical mechanics
- consider on-shell action: $S_{on} = \int_{s_i, q_i^a}^{s_f, q_f^a} ds \ \mathcal{L}(q^a, \partial_s q^a)$
- varying boundary conditions:

$$\delta S_{on} = p_f^a \, \delta q_f^a - E_f \, \delta s_f - p_i^a \, \delta q_i^a + E_i \, \delta s_i + \int ds [eom \cdot \delta q]$$
periodic

• consider family of boundary conditions: $\{s_i(\lambda), q_i^a(\lambda)\}, \{s_f(\lambda), q_f^a(\lambda)\}$

$$0 = \int_0^1 d\lambda \left[p_f^a \,\partial_\lambda q_f^a - H_f \,\partial_\lambda s_f - p_i^a \,\partial_\lambda q_i^a + H_i \,\partial_\lambda s_i \right]$$

- general "hole-ographic" construction can be packaged very simply using lessons from classical mechanics
- consider on-shell action: $S_{on} = \int_{s_i, q_i^a}^{s_f, q_f^a} ds \ \mathcal{L}(q^a, \partial_s q^a)$
- varying boundary conditions:

$$\delta S_{on} = p_f^a \, \delta q_f^a - E_f \, \delta s_f - p_i^a \, \delta q_i^a + E_i \, \delta s_i + \int ds [eom \cdot \delta q]$$
periodic

• consider family of boundary conditions: $\{s_i(\lambda), q_i^a(\lambda)\}, \{s_f(\lambda), q_f^a(\lambda)\}$

$$0 = \int_0^1 d\lambda \left[p_f^a \,\partial_\lambda q_f^a - H_f \,\partial_\lambda s_f - p_i^a \,\partial_\lambda q_i^a + H_i \,\partial_\lambda s_i \right]$$

• further require reparametrization invariance: $s \rightarrow \tilde{s}(s)$

 \longrightarrow vanishing energy: H = 0

- general "hole-ographic" construction can be packaged very simply using lessons from classical mechanics
- consider on-shell action: $S_{on} = \int_{s_i, q_i^a}^{s_f, q_f^a} ds \ \mathcal{L}(q^a, \partial_s q^a)$
- varying boundary conditions:

$$\delta S_{on} = p_f^a \, \delta q_f^a - E_f \, \delta s_f - p_i^a \, \delta q_i^a + E_i \, \delta s_i + \int ds [eom \cdot \delta q]$$
periodic

• consider family of boundary conditions: $\{s_i(\lambda), q_i^a(\lambda)\}, \{s_f(\lambda), q_f^a(\lambda)\}$

$$\int_0^1 d\lambda \ p_f^a \ \partial_\lambda q_f^a = \int_0^1 d\lambda \ p_i^a \ \partial_\lambda q_i^a$$

• further require reparametrization invariance: $s \rightarrow \tilde{s}(s)$

 \longrightarrow vanishing energy: H = 0

classical mechanics lemma:

 apply lemma to entropy problem with end-point data:

$$\{s_i(\lambda), q_i^a(\lambda)\} = \{s = 0, z = 0, x_L(\lambda), t_L(\lambda)\}$$

$$\{s_f(\lambda), q_f^a(\lambda)\} = \{s_{tang}(\lambda), z_B(\lambda), x_B(\lambda), t_B(\lambda)\}$$

extremal surface, $z_B(\lambda), x_B(\lambda), t_B(\lambda)$

 $x_L(\lambda), t_L(\lambda)$

boundary

"massage" using reparam. invariance of entropy functional

bulk surface

classical mechanics lemma:

 apply lemma to entropy problem with end-point data:

$$\{s_i(\lambda), q_i^a(\lambda)\} = \{s = 0, z = 0, x_L(\lambda), t_L(\lambda)\}$$

$$\{s_f(\lambda), q_f^a(\lambda)\} = \{s_{tang}(\lambda), z_B(\lambda), x_B(\lambda), t_B(\lambda)\}$$



 $x_L(\lambda), t_L(\lambda)$

boundary

• "massage" using reparam. invariance of entropy functional



for general surfaces in general backgrounds (with generalized planar symmetry)

bulk surface

$$\int_{0}^{1} d\lambda \ \mathcal{L}(q_{B}^{a}, \partial_{\lambda}q_{B}^{a}) = -\int_{0}^{1} d\lambda \ \frac{dq_{L}^{a}}{d\lambda} \ \frac{dS_{EE}}{dq_{L}^{a}}$$

Gravitational Entropy Differential Entropy

for general surfaces in general backgrounds (with generalized planar symmetry)

"hole-ography": robust entry in holographic dictionary

eg, extends from AdS_3 to higher dimensions, higher curvatures, general holographic backgrounds

$$\int_{0}^{1} d\lambda \ \mathcal{L}(q_{B}^{a}, \partial_{\lambda}q_{B}^{a}) = -\int_{0}^{1} d\lambda \ \frac{dq_{L}^{a}}{d\lambda} \ \frac{dS_{EE}}{dq_{L}^{a}}$$

Gravitational Entropy Differential Entropy

for general surfaces in general backgrounds (with g.p.s.)

• generalized planar symmetry:

$$ds^{2} = g_{ij}(x) dx^{i} dx^{j} + g_{ab}(x, y) dy^{a} dy^{b}$$

$$x^{i} = \{t, x, z\} \qquad y^{a} = d - 2 \text{ "planar" coord's}$$
along with det[$g_{ab}(x, y)$] = $f(x) h(y)$

$$\int_{0}^{1} d\lambda \ \mathcal{L}(q_{B}^{a}, \partial_{\lambda}q_{B}^{a}) = -\int_{0}^{1} d\lambda \ \frac{dq_{L}^{a}}{d\lambda} \ \frac{dS_{EE}}{dq_{L}^{a}}$$
Gravitational Entropy Differential Entropy
for general surfaces in general backgrounds (with g.p.s.)
• **generalized planar symmetry:**

$$ds^{2} = g_{ij}(x) \ dx^{i} \ dx^{j} + g_{ab}(x, y) \ dy^{a} \ dy^{b}$$

$$x^{i} = \{t, x, z\} \qquad y^{a} = d - 2 \text{ "planar" coord's}$$

along with det $[g_{ab}(x,y)] = f(x)h(y)$

 very broad class of backgrounds including: Dp-brane throats, Lifshitz and Schrödinger geometries, black holes in previous backgrounds, AdS-Vaidya metrics, ...

- "differential entropy" defined a boundary observable where input is a family of intervals in boundary geometry
- have bulk-to-boundary construction: start with bulk surface and construct corresponding boundary data, ie, $\vec{\gamma}_L(\lambda)$ and $\vec{\gamma}_R(\lambda)$
- boundary-to-bulk construction?? can we reverse engineer bulk surface from boundary data??

- "differential entropy" defined a boundary observable where input is a family of intervals in boundary geometry
- have bulk-to-boundary construction: start with bulk surface and construct corresponding boundary data, ie, $\vec{\gamma}_L(\lambda)$ and $\vec{\gamma}_R(\lambda)$
- boundary-to-bulk construction?? can we reverse engineer bulk surface from boundary data??





in general, seems answer may be NO!?!?

- "differential entropy" defined a boundary observable where input is a family of intervals in boundary geometry
- have bulk-to-boundary construction: start with bulk surface and construct corresponding boundary data, ie, $\vec{\gamma}_L(\lambda)$ and $\vec{\gamma}_R(\lambda)$
- boundary-to-bulk construction?? can we reverse engineer bulk surface from boundary data??





in general, seems answer may be NO!?!?

- "differential entropy" defined a boundary observable where input is a family of intervals in boundary geometry
- have bulk-to-boundary construction: start with bulk surface and construct corresponding boundary data, ie, $\vec{\gamma}_L(\lambda)$ and $\vec{\gamma}_R(\lambda)$
- boundary-to-bulk construction?? can we reverse engineer bulk surface from boundary data??



are these intervals still associated with some bulk surface??

- boundary-to-bulk construction?? can we reverse engineer bulk surface from boundary data??
- look at "guts" of proof of bulk-to-boundary construction*
 - Sufficient for curves to intersect light sheet emerging from neighbour: $\frac{\partial_{\lambda} x_B^i}{|\partial_{\lambda} x_B|} = \frac{\partial_s x_B^i}{|\partial_s x_B|} + k^i \quad \text{with} \begin{bmatrix} k \cdot k = 0 \\ k \cdot \partial_s x_B^i = 0 \end{bmatrix}$



* rest only applies for Einstein gravity in bulk and S_{BH}=A/4G

- boundary-to-bulk construction?? can we reverse engineer bulk surface from boundary data??
- look at "guts" of proof of bulk-to-boundary construction*
 - Sufficient for curves to intersect light sheet emerging from neighbour: $\frac{\partial_{\lambda} x_B^i}{|\partial_{\lambda} x_B|} = \frac{\partial_s x_B^i}{|\partial_s x_B|} + k^i \quad \text{with} \begin{bmatrix} k \cdot k = 0 \\ k \cdot \partial_s x_B^i = 0 \end{bmatrix}$



- boundary-to-bulk construction?? can we reverse engineer bulk surface from boundary data??
- look at "guts" of proof of bulk-to-boundary construction*
 - Sufficient for curves to intersect light sheet emerging from neighbour: $\frac{\partial_{\lambda} x_B^i}{|\partial_{\lambda} x_B|} = \frac{\partial_s x_B^i}{|\partial_s x_B|} + k^i \quad \text{with} \begin{bmatrix} k \cdot k = 0 \\ k \cdot \partial_s x_B^i = 0 \end{bmatrix}$



- boundary-to-bulk construction?? can we reverse engineer bulk surface from boundary data??
- look at "guts" of proof of bulk-to-boundary construction*
 - sufficient for curves to intersect light sheet emerging from neighbour: $\frac{\partial_{\lambda} x_B^i}{|\partial_{\lambda} x_B|} = \frac{\partial_s x_B^i}{|\partial_s x_B|} + k^i$ with $\begin{cases} k \cdot k = 0 \\ k \cdot \partial_s x_B^i = 0 \end{cases}$ $\partial(M)$ "enveloping surface" two surfaces boundaries between * rest only applies for Einstein Unation Space ike Downe

Time strips:

(Balasubramanian, Chowdhury, Czech, de Boer & Heller)

• observations limited to be within a finite "time strip"



Time strips:

(Balasubramanian, Chowdhury, Czech, de Boer & Heller)

observations limited to be within a finite "time strip"



• time strip alone does not fix "protocol" & differential entropy



Time strips:

• observations limited to be within a finite "time strip"



- many families of observers for the same time strip
- Question: what is most effective protocol to minimize the differential entropy for a given time strip?*

(* Hint: not maximum proper time protocol)

More questions:

Beyond generalized planar symmetry

→ improved by approach of Czech, Dong & Sully strategy is to foliate bulk surface with codimension one "loops" and use as b.c. (like alignment of tangent vectors) to construct extremal surfaces and corresponding "loops" in boundary theory





• how general is construction?

More questions:

Beyond generalized planar symmetry

→ improved by approach of Czech, Dong & Sully



- how general is construction?
- covariant formulation of differential entropy?
- tiling boundary with finite regions?

Conclusions:

- holographic S_{EE} suggests new perspectives
 - quantum information & entanglement may yield important insights to fundamental issues in quantum gravity



- spacetime entanglement: $S_{\rm BH}$ applies for generic large regions
- "hole-ography" (ie, gravitational entropy = differential entropy) points to a precise definition in AdS/CFT context
- "differential operators": new insights on quantum gravity in AdS

