LOCALIZATION on HOPF SURFACES

Davide Cassani

LPTHE - Université Paris 6

Indian Strings Meeting 2014 Puri, 19/12/2014

As all precious things,

exact results in QFT are highly desirable but rare



Supersymmetry has proven a very successful theoretical tool

In the last few years: many new exact results

about supersymmetric QFT in curved space

based on the technique of supersymmetric localization

- In this talk :
 - \diamond focus on partition function Z
 - \diamond study dependence of Z on geometry
 - probe the theory varying shape & size parameters



Outline

Will consider $S^1 \times S^3$ topology

as a complex manifold: Hopf surface



Compute the exact partition function of N=1 QFTs

(with an R-symmetry)

- reproduce old results (the index) with a different approach
- define a new interesting quantity (susy Casimir energy)
- put this in the context of gauge-gravity duality

Based on 1402.2278 with D. Martelli 1405.5144, 1410.6487 with B. Assel and D. Martelli

Localization

- Under some assumptions, the Euclidean supersymmetric path integral can be deformed by a susy-exact term, so that
 - \diamond it is dominated by supersymmetric configurations Φ_0
 - saddle point approximation becomes exact

huge simplification !

$$Z = \int \mathcal{D}\Phi_0 e^{-S[\Phi_0]} \frac{1}{\text{Sdet}[\text{kinetic operator for } \delta\Phi]}$$

often $\Phi_0 = \text{const}$, so $\mathcal{D}\Phi_0 \to d\Phi_0$
 \Rightarrow infinite-dimensional integral reduces to a finite one

In the last years: exact partition function computed for many theories on various geometries, in different dimensions.

Partition function with sources

Need to place our field theory on a Riemannian manifold, preserving susy

• Couple it to background fields :

$$S[\Phi; A_{\mu}, g_{\mu\nu}] = S_{0}[\Phi] + \int (A_{\mu}j^{\mu} + g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu} + ...)$$

$$f \qquad \uparrow \qquad \uparrow$$
background background curved metric curved metric tensor
$$f \qquad f \qquad \uparrow$$
supergravity multiplet super-current multiplet

Partition function :

$$Z[A_\mu,g_{\mu
u}] ~=~ \int {\cal D}\Phi\, e^{-S[\Phi;A_\mu,g_{\mu
u}]}$$

Supersymmetric backgrounds

• Which curved backgrounds preserve supersymmetry?

method : start from off-shell supergravity and take a rigid limit $\psi_{\mu}=0$

 $\delta\psi_{\mu}=0~~{
m constrains}~{
m background}~{
m metric}~{
m and}~{
m auxiliary}~{
m fields}$

Festuccia, Seiberg '11



Focus on second case : localization more powerful

• Choose $S^1 \times S^3$ topology.

Assel, DC, Martelli, also Closset, Shamir

• Choose $S^1 \times S^3$ topology.

Assel, DC, Martelli, also Closset, Shamir

ullet Complex manifolds with $S^1 imes S^3$ topology are Hopf surfaces ${\mathcal H}_{p,q}$

defined as a quotient of $\mathbb{C}^2 - (0,0)$ $(z_1,z_2) \sim (pz_1,qz_2)$

 $p = e^{-2\pi b_1}, \quad q = e^{-2\pi b_2}$: complex structure moduli

• Choose $S^1 \times S^3$ topology.

Assel, DC, Martelli, also Closset, Shamir

Complex manifolds with $S^1 imes S^3$ topology are Hopf surfaces $\mathcal{H}_{p,q}$

defined as a quotient of $\mathbb{C}^2 - (0,0)$ $(z_1,z_2) \sim (pz_1,qz_2)$

 $p = e^{-2\pi b_1}, \quad q = e^{-2\pi b_2}$: complex structure moduli

• two supercharges: complex Killing vector $K = b_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} + b_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_2} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}$ $S^1 = \int X \quad \rho, \varphi_1, \varphi_2$ $S^3 = \int V \quad \rho, \varphi_1, \varphi_2$

 S^3 as torus fibration over an interval; $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ for simplicity

• Choose $S^1 \times S^3$ topology.

Assel, DC, Martelli, also Closset, Shamir

• Complex manifolds with $S^1 imes S^3$ topology are Hopf surfaces ${\cal H}_{p,q}$

defined as a quotient of $\mathbb{C}^2 - (0,0)$ $(z_1,z_2) \sim (pz_1,qz_2)$

 $p = e^{-2\pi b_1}, \quad q = e^{-2\pi b_2}$: complex structure moduli

• two supercharges: complex Killing vector $K = b_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} + b_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_2} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}$ $K = b_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} + b_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_2} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}$ $S^1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_$

 S^3 as torus fibration over an interval; $b_1, b_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ for simplicity

• compatible metric has U(1)xU(1)xU(1) symmetry, still very general :

 $\mathrm{d}s^2 = \Omega^2(
ho)\mathrm{d} au^2 + f^2(
ho)\mathrm{d}
ho^2 + m_{IJ}(
ho)\mathrm{d}arphi_I\mathrm{d}arphi_J \qquad I,J=1,2$

- Consider partition function of an Euclidean theory on $\mathcal{H}_{p,q}$, with
 - vector multiplet for general gauge group
 - (charged) chiral multiplets, with superpotential

- Consider partition function of an Euclidean theory on $\mathcal{H}_{p,q}$, with
 - vector multiplet for general gauge group
 - (charged) chiral multiplets, with superpotential
- Adding a suitable susy-exact deformation term,

localization locus :

$$\Phi_0 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{flat connections } \mathcal{F} = 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\tau} = \text{const} \\ \text{all other fields vanish} \end{array} \right.$$

- Consider partition function of an Euclidean theory on $\mathcal{H}_{p,q}$, with
 - vector multiplet for general gauge group
 - (charged) chiral multiplets, with superpotential
- Adding a suitable susy-exact deformation term,

localization locus :

$$\Phi_0 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{flat connections } \mathcal{F} = 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\tau} = \text{const} \\ \text{all other fields vanish} \end{array} \right.$$

Integral over field fluctuations around localization locus :

 $\operatorname{Sdet}[\operatorname{kinetic}\operatorname{operator}\operatorname{for}\delta\Phi]$

- cancellations between bosonic and fermionic eigenvalues
- ♦ left with ∞ product over 3 integers (from Fourier modes on U(1)³)
- \diamond regularized using generalised version of Γ and ζ functions

defined as

$$\mathcal{I}(p,q) = \operatorname{tr}\left[(-1)^{F} p^{J+J'-\frac{R}{2}} q^{J-J'-\frac{R}{2}}\right]$$
fugacities

Romelsberger; Kinney et al.

refined Witten index $\operatorname{tr}(-1)^F$ counting certain BPS states

Result :
$$Z[\mathcal{H}_{p,q}] = e^{-\mathcal{F}(p,q)}\mathcal{I}(p,q)$$
prefactor supersymmetric index
$$\mathcal{I}(p,q) = \frac{(p;p)^{r_G}(q;q)^{r_G}}{|\mathcal{W}|} \int_{T^{r_G}} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i z} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \theta(z^{\alpha},p) \theta(z^{-\alpha},q) \prod_{J} \prod_{\rho \in \Delta_J} \Gamma_e(z^{\rho}(pq)^{\frac{r_J}{2}},p,q)$$
defined as

$$\mathcal{I}(p,q) = \operatorname{tr}\left[(-1)^{F} p^{J+J'-\frac{R}{2}} q^{J-J'-\frac{R}{2}}\right]$$
fugacities

refined Witten index $\operatorname{tr}(-1)^F$ counting certain BPS states

Romelsberger; Kinney et al.

• General arguments show that Z does not depend on Hermitian metric and is a holomorphic function of the complex structure parameters

Closset, Dumitrescu, Festuccia, Komargodski

- $Z[\mathcal{H}_{p,q}]$ conjectured to compute $\mathcal{I}(p,q)$
- \Rightarrow we have explicitly checked this. Found an extra contribution $\mathcal{F}(p,q)$

$$Z[\mathcal{H}_{p,q}] = e^{-\mathcal{F}(p,q)} \mathcal{I}(p,q)$$
index
$$\mathcal{F}(p,q) = \frac{4\pi}{3} (b_1 + b_2) (a - c) + \frac{4\pi}{27} \frac{(b_1 + b_2)^3}{b_1 b_2} (3 c - 2 a)$$

$$p = e^{-2\pi b_1}, \quad q = e^{-2\pi b_2}$$

$$a = \frac{3}{32} \left(3 \operatorname{tr} R^3 - \operatorname{tr} R \right), \quad c = \frac{1}{32} \left(9 \operatorname{tr} R^3 - 5 \operatorname{tr} R \right) \qquad R : \text{ fermionic} \\ \underset{\text{CFT central charges}}{\overset{\checkmark}{}} \qquad R : \text{ fermionic} \\ \end{array}$$

is this physical or ambiguous?

Ambiguities

- two choices of renormalisation scheme may differ by *finite* counterterms
- allowed counterterms are restricted by symmetries
- a physical observable should not depend on the chosen scheme

allowed finite counterterms parametrise ambiguities in the computation of partition function

We have classified the supersymmetric, local counterterms.

All finite ones vanish whenever two supercharges are preserved.

→ there is no ambiguity in the partition function on Hopf surfaces

physical (non-removable by supersymmetric local counterterm)

defines a supersymmetric Casimir energy

related to anomalies? which regularisation is correct?

in progress – Assel, DC, Di Pietro, Komargodski, Lorenzen, Martelli

• dominates Z at large N \rightarrow prediction for dual supergravity solutions

Gravity duals

 $\mathrm{e}^{-S_{\mathrm{gravity}}[M_5]} = Z[M_4]$

 $M_4 = \partial M_5$ QFT background fields \Leftrightarrow gravity boundary conditions

→ can explore new corners of AdS/CFT

• When $\partial M_5 = \mathcal{H}_{p,q}$, our prediction from localization (at large N):

$$S_{
m 5d\,sugra}[M_5]\,=\,rac{\pi^2}{54G_5}rac{(b_1+b_2)^3}{b_1b_2}$$

(entirely from prefactor)

New supergravity solution

We took a first step : S¹ Hopf - S³_{squashed} • considered $S^1 \times S^3_{squashed}$ **S**²

impose enhanced symmetry $U(1)xU(1)xU(1) \rightarrow SU(2)xU(1)xU(1)$

studied 5d supergravity susy equations with these boundary conditions



D.C., Martelli '14

New supergravity solution

D.C., Martelli '14

found a new one-parameter family of solutions



solution obtained in Lorentzian signature

analytic continuation $t \rightarrow i t$ yields a complex bulk metric

However, boundary metric and on-shell action remain real

New supergravity solution

on-shell action agrees with field theory formula, with

$$b_{1} = b_{2} = \beta, \qquad \beta = \frac{r_{S^{1}}}{r_{S_{Hopf}^{1}}}$$
field theory
prediction : $S_{5d sugra}[M_{5}] = \frac{\pi^{2}}{54G_{5}} \frac{(b_{1} + b_{2})^{3}}{b_{1}b_{2}} = \frac{4\pi^{2}}{27G_{5}}\beta$
gravity : $S_{5d sugra}[M_{5}] = \frac{4\pi^{2}}{27G_{5}}\beta + \dots \quad \leftarrow \text{terms independent}$

need better understanding of supersymmetric holographic renormalization

 \rightarrow something to learn about (supersymmetric) AdS/CFT !

Conclusions

• We saw an explicit computation of the partition function of N=1 gauge theories on a Hopf surface $\mathcal{H}_{p,q}$, allowing for a general metric

$$Z[\mathcal{H}_{p,q}] = \mathrm{e}^{-\mathcal{F}(p,q)}\mathcal{I}(p,q)$$

- First holographic check by constructing a new supergravity solution
- $\mathcal{F}(p,q)$ defines a supersymmetric Casimir energy

Future work :

- to explore more its (universal?) meaning in field theory
- to retrieve it in full generality in a holographic setup
 - → refine our understanding of gauge-gravity correspondence
- implications for matching black hole entropy?

... thank you !