



Quantum geometry of D-branes

Classical geometry ("branes wrapping p-cycles", gauge bundle configurations on top of them) makes sense only at weak coupling/large radius!



Quantum geometry of D-branes

Classical geometry ("branes wrapping p-cycles", gauge bundle configurations on top of them) makes sense only at weak coupling/large radius!



Need to develop formalism capable of describing the physics of general D-brane configurations (here: topological B-type D-branes), incl their continuous deformation families over the moduli space

....well developed techniques (mirror symmetry) mostly for non-generic (non-compact, non-intersecting, integrable) brane configurations branes only !









Landau-Ginzburg description of B-type D-branes



Matrix factorizations• BRST operator: $Q(x) = \pi J(x) + \overline{\pi} E(x) = \begin{pmatrix} J(x) \\ E(x) \end{pmatrix}$ thus SUSY condition implies a matrix factorization of W: $Q(x) \cdot Q(x) = W_{LG}(x) 1$ Total BRST operator $Q = Q + Q_{bulk}$
then squares to zero: $Q^2 = 0$ • Generalization for n LG fields: need N=2ⁿ boundary fermions, and
 $J_{N \times N} \cdot E_{N \times N} = E_{N \times N} \cdot J_{N \times N} = W_{LG} 1_{N \times N}$

Physical interpretation

• N... Chan-Paton labels of space-filling DD pairs

Boundary potentials J,E form a tachyon profile that describes condensation to given B-type D-brane configuration in IR limit



Geometrically: Maps J,E are sections of certain bundles
 Ker J, Ker E encode bundle data of branes: (r,c_{1,...}; u)

П



Kontsevich's category C_W

The LG model provides a concrete physical realization of a certain triangulated Z_2 -graded category C_W : all maps have explicit LG representatives

• objects: "complexes" (~composites of DD branes):



Kontsevich's category Cw

The LG model provides a concrete physical realization of a certain triangulated Z_2 -graded category C_W : all maps have explicit LG representatives

Category of Matrix factorizations is isomorphic to D(Coh(M)), the derived category of coherent sheaves on M = category of B-type D-branes!

Test example: branes on the elliptic curve





3x3 matrix factorization



Factor Labors contact $J_i = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^{(i)} x_1 & \alpha_2^{(i)} x_3 & \alpha_3^{(i)} x_2 \\ \alpha_3^{(i)} x_3 & \alpha_1^{(i)} x_2 & \alpha_2^{(i)} x_1 \\ \alpha_2^{(i)} x_2 & \alpha_3^{(i)} x_1 & \alpha_1^{(i)} x_3 \end{pmatrix}$ $E_i = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\alpha_1^{(i)} x_1^2 - \frac{\alpha_1^{(i)}}{\alpha_1^{(i)} \alpha_3^{(i)} x_2 x_3} & \frac{1}{\alpha_3^{(i)} x_2^2 - \frac{\alpha_3^{(i)}}{\alpha_1^{(i)} \alpha_2^{(i)} x_2^2} + \frac{\alpha_3^{(i)}}{\alpha_1^{(i)} \alpha_2^{(i)} x_1 x_3} \\ \frac{1}{\alpha_2^{(i)} x_3^2 - \frac{\alpha_2^{(i)}}{\alpha_1^{(i)} \alpha_3^{(i)} x_1 x_2} & \frac{1}{\alpha_1^{(i)} x_2^2 - \frac{\alpha_3^{(i)}}{\alpha_2^{(i)} \alpha_2^{(i)} x_1 x_3} & \frac{1}{\alpha_3^{(i)} x_1^2 - \frac{\alpha_3^{(i)}}{\alpha_3^{(i)} \alpha_3^{(i)} x_2 x_3} \\ \frac{1}{\alpha_2^{(i)} x_2^2 - \frac{\alpha_3^{(i)}}{\alpha_1^{(i)} \alpha_2^{(i)} x_1 x_3} & \frac{1}{\alpha_2^{(i)} x_1^2 - \frac{\alpha_2^{(i)}}{\alpha_1^{(i)} \alpha_3^{(i)} x_2 x_3} & \frac{1}{\alpha_1^{(i)} x_3^2 - \frac{\alpha_1^{(i)}}{\alpha_2^{(i)} \alpha_3^{(i)} x_1 x_2} \end{pmatrix}$

These satisfy $J_i E_i = E_i J_i = W_{LG} 1$ if the parameters satisfy the cubic equation themselves:

$$W_{LG}(\alpha_i) \equiv \alpha_1^{3} + \alpha_2^{3} + \alpha_3^{3} + a(\tau) \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 = 0$$

Thus the parameters parametrize the (jacobian) torus and can be represented by theta-sections:

 $lpha_\ell^{(i)} \sim \Theta \Big[rac{1-\ell}{3} - rac{1}{2} - rac{1}{2} \Big| \, 3u_i, 3 au \Big]$

 $u,\, au_{...}$ flat coordinates of open/closed moduli space (Kahler moduli in mirror A-model)

17



Superpotential on brane intersection I

• Compute 3-point disk correlators = Yukawa couplings Ψ_{AB}^{a} $W_{eff} = T_{a}T_{b}T_{c}\underbrace{\langle \Psi_{AB}^{a}\Psi_{BC}^{b}\Psi_{CA}^{c}\rangle}_{C_{abc}(\tau,u_{i})} + \dots$ D_{A} Ψ_{CA}^{c} D_{B} Ψ_{CA}^{c} Ψ_{BC}^{c} Ψ_{BC}^{b} $U_{Cabc}^{c}(\tau, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}) = \langle \Psi_{13}^{a}(u_{1}, u_{3})\Psi_{32}^{b}(u_{3}, u_{2})\Psi_{21}^{c}(u_{2}, u_{1}) \rangle$

Use super-residue formula (from localization of path integral) for our matrix-valued, moduli-dependent operators:

$$= \; rac{1}{2\pi i} \oint {
m Str} \Big[(rac{dQ}{dW})^{\otimes \wedge 3} \Psi^{(a)}_{13} \Psi^{(b)}_{32} \Psi^{(c)}_{21} \Big]$$

19

(A side remark)

- 90% of the actual work is not explained here The point is to determine proper "flat cohomology representatives" which includes their t-dependent normalization
- For the closed string, this is achieved by the Picard-Fuchs differential equations, which are based on the theory of Hodge variations ... the heart of mirror symmetry

For open strings, a suitable non-commutative variant is not known

• Developed an approach based on contact terms that mimics Hodge theory for matrix valued operators, and leads to a matrix diffeq of the form:

$$abla_tar{\Psi}_a(t) \;=\; d\left(rac{\phi\,ar{\Psi}_a}{dW}
ight)_+$$

Superpotential on brane intersection II

• Make heavy use of theta-function identities such as the addition formula:

 $heta_a[u_1] \cdot heta_b[u_2] \;=\; \sum heta_{a-b+c}[u_1\!-\!u_2] \; heta_{a+b+c}[u_1\!+\!u_2]$

(math: expresses product in Fukaya-category)

• Final result: theta functions

$$C_{111}(\tau,\xi) = e^{6\pi i \xi_1 \xi_2} q^{3\xi_2^2/2} \sum_m q^{3m^2/2} e^{6\pi i m\xi}$$

$$C_{123}(\tau,\xi) = e^{6\pi i \xi_1 \xi_2} q^{3\xi_2^2/2} \sum_m q^{3(m+1/3)^2/2} e^{6\pi i (m+1/3)\xi}$$

$$C_{132}(\tau,\xi) = e^{6\pi i \xi_1 \xi_2} q^{3\xi_2^2/2} \sum_m q^{3(m-1/3)^2/2} e^{6\pi i (m-1/3)\xi}$$

$$(\xi \equiv u_1 + u_2 + u_3 = \xi_1 + \tau\xi_2)$$

What's the interpretation of the q-series?

21











