INO and its Physics Possibilities Raj Gandhi*



Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Allahabad, India

* For the INO Collaboration



The INO Detector is a proposed 50-100 kT magnetized Iron Calorimeter, to be located in PUSHEP, in the Southern part of India.



- The INO Detector is a proposed 50-100 kT magnetized Iron Calorimeter, to be located in PUSHEP, in the Southern part of India.
- The INO Collaboration presently consists of about 60 people from sixteen institutes and universities across India.

Introduction . . .

- The INO Detector is a proposed 50-100 kT magnetized Iron Calorimeter, to be located in PUSHEP, in the Southern part of India.
- The INO Collaboration presently consists of about 60 people from sixteen institutes and universities across India.
- Work on the prototype, magnet design and detector R and D, simulation, manpower recruitment and training is ongoing. A detailed Interim Report is available on the INO website http://www.imsc.res.in

Introduction . . .

- The INO Detector is a proposed 50-100 kT magnetized Iron Calorimeter, to be located in PUSHEP, in the Southern part of India.
- The INO Collaboration presently consists of about 60 people from sixteen institutes and universities across India.
- Work on the prototype, magnet design and detector R and D, simulation, manpower recruitment and training is ongoing. A detailed Interim Report is available on the INO website http://www.imsc.res.in
- The proposal is under review both domestically and by an International panel and a final funding decision is expected very soon.



- Magnetized calorimeter.
- 140 horizontal iron plates each 6 cm thick, interspersed with Glass RPC.
- Modular structure.



Will fulfill the need of the MONOLITH detector proposed earlier for Gran Sasso.

The Detector ...

- Will fulfill the need of the MONOLITH detector proposed earlier for Gran Sasso.
- Technological capabilities for construction/fabrication exist within the country, but sufficient trained manpower is a challenging goal towards which more efforts are needed.

The Detector . . .

- Will fulfill the need of the MONOLITH detector proposed earlier for Gran Sasso.
- Technological capabilities for construction/fabrication exist within the country, but sufficient trained manpower is a challenging goal towards which more efforts are needed.
- Estimated timescale from approval is 5 years for 50 kT, though design may allow earlier operation of completed modules

The Detector . . .

- Will fulfill the need of the MONOLITH detector proposed earlier for Gran Sasso.
- Technological capabilities for construction/fabrication exist within the country, but sufficient trained manpower is a challenging goal towards which more efforts are needed.
- Estimated timescale from approval is 5 years for 50 kT, though design may allow earlier operation of completed modules
- Estimated cost is about USD 100 million







Height of peak is 2207 m.

- Hydroelectric power project with access roads, large caverns at 500 m depth and 13 km of tunnels already adjoin the proposed site, thus Geotechnical knowledge of area exists.
- Few hours drive from Bangalore International Airport.

INO will essentially be an efficient muon detector with charge identification capability. It has the potential to provide useful and significant information on the following issues:

- INO will essentially be an efficient muon detector with charge identification capability. It has the potential to provide useful and significant information on the following issues:
 - Sharpen the precision in atmospheric oscillation parameters and observe the elusive oscillatory dip and rise in the event rate

- INO will essentially be an efficient muon detector with charge identification capability. It has the potential to provide useful and significant information on the following issues:
 - Sharpen the precision in atmospheric oscillation parameters and observe the elusive oscillatory dip and rise in the event rate
 - Determine the octant of θ_{23}

- INO will essentially be an efficient muon detector with charge identification capability. It has the potential to provide useful and significant information on the following issues:
 - Sharpen the precision in atmospheric oscillation parameters and observe the elusive oscillatory dip and rise in the event rate
 - Determine the octant of θ_{23}
 - Observe distinct signatures of matter effects and the Mass Hierarchy in Atmospheric Neutrinos

- INO will essentially be an efficient muon detector with charge identification capability. It has the potential to provide useful and significant information on the following issues:
 - Sharpen the precision in atmospheric oscillation parameters and observe the elusive oscillatory dip and rise in the event rate
 - Determine the octant of θ_{23}
 - Observe distinct signatures of matter effects and the Mass Hierarchy in Atmospheric Neutrinos
 - Make much-needed measurements of VHE muons (10-300 TeV) via the pair meter technique

- INO will essentially be an efficient muon detector with charge identification capability. It has the potential to provide useful and significant information on the following issues:
 - Sharpen the precision in atmospheric oscillation parameters and observe the elusive oscillatory dip and rise in the event rate
 - Determine the octant of θ_{23}
 - Observe distinct signatures of matter effects and the Mass Hierarchy in Atmospheric Neutrinos
 - Make much-needed measurements of VHE muons (10-300 TeV) via the pair meter technique
 - Test for CPT violation, Lorentz Invariance and the presence of long-range forces

- In its second phase, INO can function as a detector for a neutrino factory exploiting the rich physics potential possible with it due to its muon charge identification capability.
- Its distances both from CERN (7145 km) and from JHF (6556 km) are close to the magic baseline distance of 7000 km

- In its second phase, INO can function as a detector for a neutrino factory exploiting the rich physics potential possible with it due to its muon charge identification capability.
- Its distances both from CERN (7145 km) and from JHF (6556 km) are close to the magic baseline distance of 7000 km
 - Determination of the mass hierarchy

- In its second phase, INO can function as a detector for a neutrino factory exploiting the rich physics potential possible with it due to its muon charge identification capability.
- Its distances both from CERN (7145 km) and from JHF (6556 km) are close to the magic baseline distance of 7000 km
 - Determination of the mass hierarchy
 - Detect CP violation in the neutrino sector, in conjunction with a second appropriately positioned detector.

- In its second phase, INO can function as a detector for a neutrino factory exploiting the rich physics potential possible with it due to its muon charge identification capability.
- Its distances both from CERN (7145 km) and from JHF (6556 km) are close to the magic baseline distance of 7000 km
 - Determination of the mass hierarchy
 - Detect CP violation in the neutrino sector, in conjunction with a second appropriately positioned detector.
 - Improve the precision on θ_{13} considerably

Measurements of Atmospheric Oscillation Parameters





Clean detection of L/E dip possible within about 2 years of running.

Comparison with Long Baseline Experiments

■
$$3\sigma$$
 spread ($\Delta m^2_{13} = 2 \times 10^{-3}$ eV², $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.5$).

	$ \Delta m^2{}_{13} $	$\sin^2 \theta_{23}$
current	44%	39%
MINOS+CNGS	13%	39%
T2K	6%	23%
Nova	13%	43%
INO, 50 kton, 5 years	10%	30%

M. Lindner, hep-ph/0503101

Table refers to the older NO ν A proposal; the revised March 2005 NO ν A detector is expected to be competitive with T2K.

Comparison with Long baseline Experiments



Is θ_{23} maximal?

Measuring the Deviation of θ_{23} from maximality . . .

The difference between U/D ratios for neutrinos and anti-neutrinos is sensitive to the deviation of θ_{23} from maximality.

Measuring the Deviation of θ_{23} from maximality . . .

- The difference between U/D ratios for neutrinos and anti-neutrinos is sensitive to the deviation of θ_{23} from maximality.
- A non maximal θ_{23} has important implications for model building.



Raj Gandhi, NNN06, Sep 21 2006, Seattle – p.14/43

The Mass Hierarchy, its Significance and Detection

So far we only know $|\Delta m^2_{31}|$ and not its Sign



The importance of the mass hierarchy lies in its capability to discriminate between various types of models for unification, and thus in its ability to narrow the focus of the quest for physics beyond the Standard Model.

- The importance of the mass hierarchy lies in its capability to discriminate between various types of models for unification, and thus in its ability to narrow the focus of the quest for physics beyond the Standard Model.
- A large class of GUTS use the Type I seesaw mechanism to unify quarks and leptons. Several positive features are lost if in such models the neutrino hierarchy is *inverted* rather than *normal*

- The importance of the mass hierarchy lies in its capability to discriminate between various types of models for unification, and thus in its ability to narrow the focus of the quest for physics beyond the Standard Model.
- A large class of GUTS use the Type I seesaw mechanism to unify quarks and leptons. Several positive features are lost if in such models the neutrino hierarchy is *inverted* rather than *normal*
- An inverted hierarchy on the other hand would generally require m_1, m_2 to be degenerate, hinting towards an additonal global symmetry in the lepton sector.

- The importance of the mass hierarchy lies in its capability to discriminate between various types of models for unification, and thus in its ability to narrow the focus of the quest for physics beyond the Standard Model.
- A large class of GUTS use the Type I seesaw mechanism to unify quarks and leptons. Several positive features are lost if in such models the neutrino hierarchy is *inverted* rather than *normal*
- An inverted hierarchy on the other hand would generally require m_1, m_2 to be degenerate, hinting towards an additonal global symmetry in the lepton sector.
- It would also favour theories utilising the Type II seesaw mechanism with additional Higgs triplets.

- The importance of the mass hierarchy lies in its capability to discriminate between various types of models for unification, and thus in its ability to narrow the focus of the quest for physics beyond the Standard Model.
- A large class of GUTS use the Type I seesaw mechanism to unify quarks and leptons. Several positive features are lost if in such models the neutrino hierarchy is *inverted* rather than *normal*
- An inverted hierarchy on the other hand would generally require m_1, m_2 to be degenerate, hinting towards an additonal global symmetry in the lepton sector.
- It would also favour theories utilising the Type II seesaw mechanism with additional Higgs triplets.
- The type of hierarchy impacts the effectiveness of *leptogenesis* in most theoretical models.

Plot of Probabilities ...

R. Gandhi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 051801 (2005); hep-ph/0411252



Plot of Probabilities . . .

R. Gandhi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 051801 (2005); hep-ph/0411252



Measuring the Up/Down Asymmetry



D. Indumathi and M. Murthy, hep-ph/0407336

Plot of Probabilities . . .

R. Gandhi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 051801 (2005); hep-ph/0411252



Survival Probability : θ_{13} sensitivity . . .



Results for an Iron Calorimeter type detector

Results : Iron Calorimeter, 1000 kt-yr . . .

L = 6000 to 9700 Km, E = 5 to 10 GeV



Asymmetry in Up-Down Event Rates ...



D. Indumathi and M.V.N. Murthy; hep-ph/0407336

Results : Iron Calorimeter, 1000 kt-yr . . .

L = 8000 to 10700 Km, E = 4 to 8 GeV



Raj Gandhi, NNN06, Sep 21 2006, Seattle – p.25/43

Results : Iron Calorimeter, 1000 kt-yr . . .

L = 6000 to 9700 Km, E = 5 to 10 GeV



Bin by bin χ^2 -analysis

- Results for a iron calorimeter detect
 χ² analysis of μ⁻ event in 24 L/E bins
 15% energy and 15° angular resolution
 10% systematic error
 85% efficiency

$\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$	$rac{\chi^2_{ m min}}{500}$ kt yr	$\chi^2_{ m min}$ 1000 kt yr
0.05	2.7	3.7
0.1	6.6	8.9

Gandhi et al. work in progress.

Bin by bin χ^2 -analysis



The Importance of Detector Resolution



Petcov and Schwetz, hep-ph/0511277

Bin by bin χ^2 -analysis

 Results for a iron calorimeter detectc χ² analysis of μ⁻ event in 24 L/E bins 15% energy and 15° angular resolution 10% systematic error 85% efficiency 	$\frac{\sin^2 2\theta_{13}}{0.05}$ 0.1	$\frac{\chi^2_{min}}{500 \text{ kt yr}}$ 2.7 6.6	$\frac{\chi^2_{min}}{1000 \text{ kt yr}}$ 3.7 8.9		
• Marginalized over Δm_{31}^2 , $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$, $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$	Gandhi e	Gandhi et al. work in progres			

Comparison with water-Cerenkov detector

• No charge sensitivity:
$$N_{\mu} = N_{\mu}^{+} + N_{\mu}^{-}$$

$\sin^2 2\theta_{13}$	$\chi^2_{ m min}$ (6 Mt yr)
0.05	1.9
0.1	4.4

Gandhi et al., hep-ph/0406145

INO as a Detector for VHE Cosmic Ray Muons

Why study VHE muons from CR?

Such studies could help resolve an important open question regarding the Cosmic Ray Spectrum, *i.e.* the origin of the knee.

Why study VHE muons from CR?

- Such studies could help resolve an important open question regarding the Cosmic Ray Spectrum, *i.e.* the origin of the knee.
- These studies would be very useful for all UHE neutrino telescopes like AMANDA, ICECUBE etc, since muons and neutrinos at these energies constitute their most important background. At present there is little or no data on the energy spectrum of muons above ~ 10 TeV.

Why study VHE muons from CR?

- Such studies could help resolve an important open question regarding the Cosmic Ray Spectrum, *i.e.* the origin of the knee.
- These studies would be very useful for all UHE neutrino telescopes like AMANDA, ICECUBE etc, since muons and neutrinos at these energies constitute their most important background. At present there is little or no data on the energy spectrum of muons above ~ 10 TeV.
- These studies would provide crucial data towards understanding the prompt contribution to VHE muon fluxes

The Pair Meter Technique

Muon energy measurement methods which work well in the GeV range (magnetic spectrometry or measuring Cerenkov radiation) are rendered impractical in the TeV range primarily due to requirements of size imposed by the combination of high energies and a steeply falling spectrum.

The Pair Meter Technique

- Muon energy measurement methods which work well in the GeV range (magnetic spectrometry or measuring Cerenkov radiation) are rendered impractical in the TeV range primarily due to requirements of size imposed by the combination of high energies and a steeply falling spectrum.
- The pair meter technique skirts some of these difficulties by relying on a somewhat indirect method, *i.e.* the measurements of the energy and frequency of electron-positron pair cascades produced by the passage of a high energy muon in dense matter.

The Pair Meter Technique

- Muon energy measurement methods which work well in the GeV range (magnetic spectrometry or measuring Cerenkov radiation) are rendered impractical in the TeV range primarily due to requirements of size imposed by the combination of high energies and a steeply falling spectrum.
- The pair meter technique skirts some of these difficulties by relying on a somewhat indirect method, *i.e.* the measurements of the energy and frequency of electron-positron pair cascades produced by the passage of a high energy muon in dense matter.
- The cross section for e^+e^- pair production by a muon with energy E_{μ} with energy transfer above a threshold E_0 grows as $ln^2(2m_eE_{\mu}/m_{\mu}E_0)$, where m_{μ} and m_e are the muon and electron masses respectively.

VHE Muon Detection in INO ...



Raj Gandhi, NNN06, Sep 21 2006, Seattle – p.31/43

VHE muons entering INO in 5 years

Number of muons per solid angle entering the detector in 5 years								
$E_{\mu}(TeV)$	conv+TIG	conv	TIG	PRS1	PRS2	PRS3		
1	1.035×10^{7}	$1.03 imes 10^7$	37461	55482	95489	136871		
10	52486	51282	1204	2952	5341	10443		
50	770	696	74	236	431	1104		
100	127	106	21	73	134	387		
200	22	16	6	22	40	129		
300	8	5	3	11	19	66		
400	4	2	2	6	11	41		
500	2	1	1	4	7	28		
600	1.5	1	.5	3	5	20		
700	1	.5	.5	4	7.5	31		
800	.8	.35	.5	1.5	3	12		
900	.65	.25	.37	1.25	2.5	10		
1000	.5	.2	.3	1	2	4		
10000	.0025	.0003	.0022	.007	.013	.08		

Table 2: Number of muons per solid angle entering the detector over 5 years for of the entering muon, E_{μ} .

R. Gandhi and S.Panda, hep-ph/0512179

Cascade production above various thresholds by VHE muons

	Number of cascades per muon for different thresholds E_0 in Ge				GeV					
E_{μ}	E^{s}_{μ}	5	10	20	50	100	300	500	1000	5000
1	6.1	3.08	2.56	3.78						
10	40.26	17.28	10.99	6.43	3.08	2.56				
20	83.16	25.3	17.28	10.99	5.34	3.08				
50	205	38.58	28.26	19.67	10.99	6.43	2.78	2.56		
100	407.58	50.63	38.58	28.26	17.28	10.99	4.58	3.08	2.56	
200	813	64.43	50.63	38.58	25.30	17.28	8.11	5.34	3.08	
300	1218	73.3	58.49	45.42	30.8	21.76	10.99	7.46	4.19	
400	1624	79.96	64.43	50.63	35.06	25.3	13.39	9.33	5.34	
500	2029	85.33	69.24	54.89	38.58	28.26	15.45	10.99	6.43	2.56
600	2435	89.85	73.3	58.49	41.58	30.8	17.28	12.47	7.46	2.58
700	2841	93.76	76.83	61.64	44.21	33.05	18.91	13.82	8.43	2.6
800	3246	97.23	79.96	64.43	46.56	35.06	20.4	15.06	9.33	2.72
900	3652	100.33	82.77	66.95	48.69	36.9	21.76	16.21	10.18	2.89
1000	4057	103.16	85.33	69.24	50.63	38.58	23.02	17.28	10.99	3.08
10000	40554	174.84	151.24	129.38	103.16	85.33	60.63	50.63	38.58	17.28

Table 3: Number of cacades above thresholds $E_0 = 5, 10, 20, 50, 100, 300, 500, 1000, 5000$ GeV p muon. Here E_{μ} is the energy of the muon in TeV entering the detector, and E_{μ}^{s} is its corresponding energy in TeV at the surface of the earth, assuming it traversed a depth of rock corresponding $3.5 \times 10^5 \, gm/cm^2$.

R. Gandhi and S. Panda, hep-ph/0512179

Bounds on CPT/Lorentz Violation

Sensitivity of Neutrino-Antineutrino Event Ratios to CPT.....



Raj Gandhi, NNN06, Sep 21 2006, Seattle – p.35/43

Sensitivity of Neutrino-Antineutrino Event Ratios to CPT.....



Raj Gandhi, NNN06, Sep 21 2006, Seattle – p.36/43

The Iron Calorimeter as an End- Detector for a Neutrino Factory

The Utility of a "Magic Baseline Detector"



R. Gandhi and W. Winter, work in progress

The Iron Calorimeter as an End- Detector for a Beta Beam

Physics from a Beta Beam . . .

- The proximity to the magic baseline distance leads again to physics uncluttered by CP degeneracies.
- One obtains sensitivity to both the hierarchy and to θ_{13} .

Physics from a Beta Beam . . .

- The proximity to the magic baseline distance leads again to physics uncluttered by CP degeneracies.
- One obtains sensitivity to both the hierarchy and to θ_{13} .

Beta Beam Results...



Beta Beam results.....



S. Agarwalla, A. Raychaudhuri and A. Samanta, hep-ph 0505015



- INO, a 50-100 kT magnetized iron calorimeter would usefully buttress the presently planned program of neutrino experiments worldwide.
- Using atmospheric neutrinos, a 100 kT detector has the potential to illuminate one of the most important questions in neutrino physics, the mass hierarchy
- It would provide improved precision on atmospheric neutrino parameters, improved bounds on CPT/Lorentz violation, crucial data on VHE muons for the CR and PQCD communities and, in its second phase, be an excellent end detector for neutrino factories/beta beams.
- At present INO, after an intensive feasibility study, is close to a final funding decision.

I thank my collaborators, A. Datta, P. Ghoshal, S. Goswami, P. Mehta and S. Uma Sankar