Status report on INO

Outline of talk

1. Introduction

2. Physics goals, choice of detector & site

3. Status of project – magnet, RPC, electronics & DAq, environmental clearance, other experiments

4. Outlook & summary

V.M. Datar (BARC, Mumbai)

on behalf of the INO collaboration

27 May 2008, Neutrino 08

INO Collaboration

Collaborating institutions/universities

AMU, BHU, BARC, CU, DU, HRI, UoH, HPU, IITB, IITKh, IGCAR, IMSc, IOP, LU, NBU, PU, PRL, SINP, SMIT, TIFR, VECC



14April 2008, BARC, Mumbai

1. Introduction

Motivation for INO – some background

 \triangleright Cosmic ray programme initiated by Bhabha in '40s led to underground experiments at the deepest mine at KGF (2.3 km)

Atmospheric neutrinos first detected in 1965 at KGF

≻ First proton decay experiment to get off the ground ('80s)

Closure of deepest parts of KGF ~ '90 (economic unviability)

➢ HEP community shifted to accelerators though some cosmicray experiments continue at Ooty, Pachmarhi, Leh (HANLE)

➢ Push for a domestic experiment to revive "exptl" culture and attract good students

➢ INO collaboration set up in 2002 through initiative of Dept. of Atomic Energy India based Neutrino Observatory (INO)

Aims to build an underground laboratory for science with neutrino physics as a major activity

1st phase

> Physics, Detector R & D, Site finalisation & clearances, HRD

Construction of underground lab & ICAL detector

2nd phase

> Physics with atmospheric neutrinos, high energy muon spectra

3rd phase

> Physics with beams from neutrino factories

≻ Interim report was submitted on May 1, 2005 to Chairman, DAE

➤ Updated report reviewed by 7 international experts in 2006

Report and more information about INO available at <u>http://www.imsc.res.in/~ino</u>

2. Physics goals & choice of detector

Measurements with atmospheric neutrinos and cosmic muons at INO

- direct observation of oscillation (fall & rise)
- > precision measurement of oscillation parameters
- > neutrino mass hierarchy (if $\theta_{13} > 7^\circ$)
- CPT violation in neutrino sector

➤ Kolar events (tracks emerging from possible long lived particle produced in cosmic ray interaction with rock near proton decay detector)

> 1-100 TeV cosmic muon flux measurement by pair counting technique

Using accelerator produced neutrinos (J-PARC, CERN, Fermilab)
➢ Long baseline experiment at Pushep (6560, 7150, 11300 km) mass hierarchy, magic baseline (~ 7200 km) allows clean measurement of small θ₁₃, with nearer detector (e.g. 3000 km) for δ_{CP}

Need neutrino factories producing ~ $10^{21} v$ /yr /straight section

► Beta beams (v_e from ultra-relativistic beta decaying RIBs such as ⁸B, ⁸Li) 6 × 10¹⁸ v_e /yr for v_μ appearance experiments

Other experiments at INO

 \succ search for 0v2β in ¹²⁴Sn via cryogenic bolometer (*feasibility ongoing*)

> nuclear cross sections for astrophysics using 500 kV accelerator



Simulated up & down going muons from CC μ interactions $\sin^2\theta_{23} = 0.5$, $\exposure = 250$ kt.yr Red : downward μ Blue : upward μ

Observing fall and rise of up/down $v_{\mu} \Rightarrow$ precise Δm_{23}^2

Exclusion plot from simulated ICAL data for $\Delta m^2 - \sin^2 2\theta_{23}$



Appearance/survival probabilities for $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_e$, v_{τ} , v_{μ} in vacuum and matter for normal and inverted hierarchies & event rates for atmos. v_{μ}



L = 6000 to 9700 Km, E = 5 to 10 GeV



R. Gandhi et al., hep-ph/0411252

If θ_{13} vanishingly small....

Gandhi, Ghoshal, Goswami, Umasankar, hep-ph/0805.3474 What if $\sin^2 2\theta_{13} \ll 10^{-1}$? Assuming $\theta_{13} = 0$ it has been shown that

$$P^m_{\mu\mu}(NH) - P^m_{\mu\mu}(IH) = 2\alpha \Delta c_{12}^2 \sin 2\Delta$$

where $\Delta = |\Delta_{31}|L/4E$ and $\alpha = \Delta_{21}/|\Delta_{31}|$

> To observe this difference $L/E \sim 10^4$ km/GeV needed

> Suited for atmospheric neutrinos, large v flux ~ 1- 2 GeV and L ~ 9000 - 13000 km

Effect does not have matter term so no charge ID needed

► Reduction in error in $|\Delta_{31}| < 0.5 \Delta_{12}$ crucial

At ~ 2.3σ level and 1 Mton.yr NH or IH can be established

Search for CPT violation

Ratio of total up+down atmospheric v_{μ} and anti- v_{μ}





2. (contd) Choice of detector and site

Existing detectors worldwide

- ➤ water Cerenkov (50 kT SuperKamioka)
- MINOS (5 kT Fe calorimetric detector)
- ➢ OPERA at Gran Sasso

Our choice

- ➢ Detector : physics reach, current technology ⇒
 INO Collab. chose a 50-100 kT Iron Calorimeter (ICAL)
 (*inspired by the MONOLITH proposal for Gran Sasso*)
- Site requirement : > 1 km rock cover all around detector
 Preferred site : Pushep (near Ooty, Tamilnadu)

Schematic of 50 kton Iron Calorimeter (ICAL)



Magnetic field using low carbon steel (B ~ 1.3 Tesla)
 nsec timing (from RPC) ⇒ up/down discrimination of muons
 X-Y-Z tracking by RPC ⇒ p/q ⇒ L/E for µ⁺ and µ⁻ events

Requirements of active detector

- ➢ Position resolution ~ 2 cm, time resolution ~ 1 nsec
 track(s) of secondary charged particles ⇒ p_v, fast timing ⇒
 up-down, both of these ⇒ charge ID (µ⁺ or µ⁻) ⇒ v_µ or anti-v_µ
 ➢ Modular design
- ➤ Large size (total area for 50 kT detector ~ $10^5 \text{ m}^2 \Rightarrow 4 \text{ M strips}$)
 Options :

Plastic scintillator strips, large area gas detectors

RPC *appears* to be the better option due to simplicity (float glass, graphite paint, polycarbonate spacers) & cost/m² (RPC ~ \$425 + 15/yr compared to plastic ~ \$970). With SiPMTs on horizon
+ dedicated extruding machine this option is worth evaluating

What ICAL can and cannot do

- ✓ Measure $\nu_{\mu}(\overline{\nu}_{\mu})$ induced $\mu^{-}(\mu^{+})$
- × *Poor* for $v_e(\overline{v}_e)$ since thickness of Fe (6 cm) ~ 3 × L_{rad}

✓ Muon charge identification (~ 95% for E > 1 GeV if large part of track visible)

- ✓ Muon energy measurement $\sigma_E \sim 15\%$ at 5 GeV
- ✓ Muon direction reconstruction $\sigma_{\theta} \sim 10\%$
- ✓ Neutrino L, E reconstruction $\sigma_E \sim 25\%$, $\sigma_L \sim 18\%$ for atmos. ν_{μ}

Location of INO site



PUSHEP : 11.5°N 76.6°E, 6.5 km from Masinagudi, 96.5 km from Mysore, 5 hrs from Bangalore, Coimbatore, Calicut

3. Status of project

ICAL Magnet

➤ Magnetic field B large enough (1- 1.5 Tesla) to enable p measurement for 1-10 GeV muons produced by v_{μ} interactions with detector

- Magnetic steel/soft iron should be reasonably cheap (50 ktons!)
- Piecewise uniformity
- > Modularity, access for maintainance of RPC & electronics
- > Optimum copper to steel ratio
- Mechanical stability

Possible configurations:

For a magnetic field over ~ 10^4 m³ toroidal Fe-free design possible,

but designs with field returning within iron plates simpler:

MINOS (cylindrical symmetry)

MONOLITH proposal ("rectangular")

Other geometries

We decided to go for the "rectangular" design for simplicity



1st step: Build a prototype ICAL detector to be tested with cosmic muons and, later, at a test beam facility

Field along & normal the plane of the steel plates in 16 kton module



Effect of gap in steel plates 0 mm:2 mm:10 mm

1.0:0.97:0.70

More studies necessary – assembly scheme, mechanical stability, transient and error analysis

Mechanical design and assembly project report being prepared by Tata Consulting Engineers (TCE), Mumbai

≻Draft Report expected by end June, 2008

Important features...

>16 kton module will rest on 0.6 m \times 0.6 m \times 1 m (H) RCC posts

➤ Movable service lift on rails on either side of ICAL module along tunnel length

> Provision for removing upto 4 RPC modules from side

➢ Slot for Cu coils for DC current excitation & provision for support structure for coils

Proposed layout of Fe plates and Cu coils

All Middle Layers of the Detector Stack are not shown in this drawing for clarity of arrangement



4 m \times 2 m Fe plates stacked on 99 nos of equally spaced (1.5 m apart) 0.6 m \times 0.6 m RCC posts of height \sim 0.5 m

View of proposed layout of ICAL in main INO cavern



Coil winding issues

➢ Nominal ampere turns for 16 kton module (CR 1010 low carbon steel) – 50,000

>Dimensions of coil -12 m (ht) $\times 8$ m (wide)

Total external dimensions in cross section not to exceed 60 cm \times 80 cm

Coil in 2/many parts OR many smaller coils (e.g. 4 m ht)

Vendor(s) for such large sized coils have to be developed

(2 companies have shown interest)

Resistive Plate Chamber (RPC) R & D

Glass and bakelite RPC R&D being pursued at TIFR (Mumbai)
 & VECC+SINP (Kolkata)

- > 1 m² glass RPCs work for long in *avalanche* mode
- $> 0.1 \text{ m}^2$ bakelite RPC in *streamer* mode
- Both will be deployed in prototype detector at VECC, Kolkata

Development of large area glass RPCs



















Cosmic ray test stand for 1 m² glass RPCs at TIFR





Charge distribution



Timing spectrum

Development of bakelite RPC with silicone coating



Prototype ICAL magnet & electronics





- > 13 layers of 5 cm thick soft iron, 12 layers of 1 m \times 1 m RPCs
- > ~ 800 channels of preamp, timing discriminators
- ➢ being set up at VECC, Kolkata

Some details of prototype magnet

Low carbon steel scavenged from dismantled 330 ton MHD magnet (BARC-BHEL) at Trichy

➢ Fabrication order was placed with Pune vendor (Milman) included assembly, testing with power supply and field measurement

Asssembly at VECC complete including Cu coils (4 × 5 turns, hollow conductor), 500 A DC power supply awaited



Some photos of prototype ICAL magnet at VECC, Kolkata (Oct'07)



Electronics scheme for prototype



In house electronics for prototype

8-ch preamps

16-ch digital FE

32 ch digital front end Control & data router



Trigger & TDC router Data & monitor control & readout

Final trigger

Cavern layout and perspective



 \triangleright Cavern size 26 m (W) × 25 m (H) × 132 m (L) with RCC columns every 6 m for 25 ton crane

➤ 4 floors, lift, staircase between ICAL modules, 2 m wide platform on sides for PS, control panels

3.4 Environment and forest clearances

- ➢ Rapid EIA Study : SACON, Coimbatore- June 2007
- ➢ Infrastructure Detailed Project Report : DAE Engg. task force & Tamilnadu Electicity Board – June 2007
- Environmental Impact and Management Report : CARE-EARTH, Chennai - April 2008



Work plan for DBD experiment

Make a prototype bolometric detector of ¹²⁴Sn

I a) Make a natural Sn bolometric detector ~ 0.5–1 kg (TIFR, BARC) Refurbish an old refrigerator (Cooling power ~20μW at 30 mK) *Will serve as a test bench for optimizing the various aspects of milli-Kelvin bolometry. Expected energy resolution* ~ 0.5%

b) Radiation background studies: measurements & simulations (IIT-KGP, SINP, VECC)

c) Reliable NTME calculations (Univ. of Lucknow, IIT-KGP, PRL, IOP)

II a) Enrichment of 124 Sn (> 50%) (BARC & IIT- KGP)

b) Sensor development

c) Build ~ 1 kg enriched 124 Sn detector (TIFR, BARC)

III Preparation of DPR

Estimated cost and schedule

Rs (crores)

11th plan 12th plan

TOTAL	470 +	- 450 = 920	0 (230 M\$)
DST	100	100	
Mysore Centre	50		
Contingencies	30	20	
Salaries	15		
Detector (RPC, electronics, DAQ)	75	130	
Soft iron 50 kton @Rs 60/kg	100	200	
Infrastructure (underground lab, services	s) 100		

> Financial sanction expected ~ 3^{rd} quarter 2008

➢ Phase 1 − 12-18 months: Details planning of infrastructure, permissions, detector design (engg)

> Phase 2 - 22 months: Tunnel excavation, procurement of detector components and start of fabrication

➢ Phase 3 − 12-18 months: Assembly of detector modules

> Data taking with 1^{st} module 5 years after t = 0

 \geq 2 more modules in 8 years after t = 0

Summary of present status of INO

- Interim Project Report sent for review to 7 experts
 - generally very positive and encouraging
- Site and infrastructure related Detailed Project Report
 (DPR) prepared by ETF & TNEB (June2007)
- > ICAL prototype being assembled at VECC, Kolkata
- Design of 16 kT ICAL magnet module in progress
- R&D on glass RPC for longer lifespan in progress
- Vendor development (RPC related, gas recirculation
 & purification, electronics, magnet...) ongoing
- > INO proposal with DAE for AEC, Cabinet approvals
- ➤ MoEF clearance given subject to Min. of Forests OK

We seek and welcome collaboration with members of the international physics community Thank you

Additional slides

Octant ambiguity at ICAL



Discrimination between $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{\tau}$ and $v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{s}$



From INO Report (May 2006)

"This is an open collaboration and it is hoped that many more physicists from within and outside the country will join in this effort. The success of this project crucially depends upon international participation and support."