Monodromy and Arithmetic Groups

T.N.Venkataramana

Indo-French Conference, Chennai

January 15, 2016

T.N.Venkataramana ()

Monodromy and Arithmetic Groups

January 15, 2016 1 / 22

(Peter Sarnak) A finitely generated subgroup $\Gamma \subset SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is said to be **thin** if it has infinite index in $G(\mathbb{Z})$ where G is the Zariski closure of Γ in SL_n .

伺 ト イ ヨ ト イ ヨ

(Peter Sarnak) A finitely generated subgroup $\Gamma \subset SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is said to be **thin** if it has infinite index in $G(\mathbb{Z})$ where G is the Zariski closure of Γ in SL_n . Otherwise, Γ is said to be **arithmetic**.

< 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

(Peter Sarnak) A finitely generated subgroup $\Gamma \subset SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is said to be **thin** if it has infinite index in $G(\mathbb{Z})$ where G is the Zariski closure of Γ in SL_n . Otherwise, Γ is said to be **arithmetic**.

It is easy to show that there are many thin groups but hard to tell if a *given* group is one. In general it is impossible to decide if a group Γ is thin or arithmetic.

A (10) A (10)

(Peter Sarnak) A finitely generated subgroup $\Gamma \subset SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is said to be **thin** if it has infinite index in $G(\mathbb{Z})$ where G is the Zariski closure of Γ in SL_n . Otherwise, Γ is said to be **arithmetic**.

It is easy to show that there are many thin groups but hard to tell if a *given* group is one. In general it is impossible to decide if a group Γ is thin or arithmetic.

Examples of arithmetic groups: $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$, $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ or subgroups of finite index in them.

The complex algebraic group $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ may be viewed as the real algebraic subgroup of $SL(\mathbb{R}^4)$ which commutes with the complex multiplication by $i : \mathbb{C}^2 \simeq \mathbb{R}^4$.

< 17 ▶

The complex algebraic group $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ may be viewed as the real algebraic subgroup of $SL(\mathbb{R}^4)$ which commutes with the complex multiplication by $i : \mathbb{C}^2 \simeq \mathbb{R}^4$. Similarly, the group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}])$ may be viewed as the subgroup of $SL(\mathbb{Z}^4)$ which commutes with the multiplication by $\sqrt{2} : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]^2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^4$. Thus $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}])$ is an arithmetic group in the foregoing sense.

A (10) A (10)

The complex algebraic group $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ may be viewed as the real algebraic subgroup of $SL(\mathbb{R}^4)$ which commutes with the complex multiplication by $i : \mathbb{C}^2 \simeq \mathbb{R}^4$. Similarly, the group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}])$ may be viewed as the subgroup of $SL(\mathbb{Z}^4)$ which commutes with the multiplication by $\sqrt{2} : \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]^2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^4$. Thus $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}])$ is an arithmetic group in the foregoing sense.

Similarly, if \mathcal{G} is a linear algebraic group defined over a number field, there is a linear algebraic group G defined over \mathbb{Q} such that $G(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{G}(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R})$ and $G(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathcal{G}(O_K)$, where O_K is the ring of integers in the number field K. G is group obtained from \mathcal{G} by the "Weil restriction of scalars" from K to \mathbb{Q} . The sign \simeq means that the groups are *commensurable*.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

To define monodromy, we begin with a locally trivial fibration $X \rightarrow S$ of manifolds with all fibres diffeomorphic to a fixed manifold *F*.

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

To define monodromy, we begin with a locally trivial fibration $X \to S$ of manifolds with all fibres diffeomorphic to a fixed manifold *F*. We then get a local system of *S*, with fibre $H^*(F, \mathbb{Z})$

A (10) A (10) A (10)

To define monodromy, we begin with a locally trivial fibration $X \to S$ of manifolds with all fibres diffeomorphic to a fixed manifold F. We then get a local system of S, with fibre $H^*(F, \mathbb{Z})$ and thus a representation $\pi_1(S) \to Aut(H^*(F, \mathbb{Z})) = GL_N(\mathbb{Z})$.

To define monodromy, we begin with a locally trivial fibration $X \to S$ of manifolds with all fibres diffeomorphic to a fixed manifold F. We then get a local system of S, with fibre $H^*(F, \mathbb{Z})$ and thus a representation $\pi_1(S) \to Aut(H^*(F, \mathbb{Z})) = GL_N(\mathbb{Z})$.

The image of this representation is called the *monodromy* of the fibration.

From now on, we assume that the fibration $X \rightarrow S$ is such that both X and S are complex points of algebraic varieties and that the fibres are smooth projective varieties, all of which are diffeomorphic to a fixed manifold F.

From now on, we assume that the fibration $X \rightarrow S$ is such that both X and S are complex points of algebraic varieties and that the fibres are smooth projective varieties, all of which are diffeomorphic to a fixed manifold F.

We get, as before, the monodromy representation $\pi_1(S) \rightarrow Aut(H^*(F,\mathbb{Z}))$. In this setting, Griffiths and Schmid (1971, Discrete Subgroups of Lie Groups and Applications to moduli, International Colluquium, Bombay 1973) conjectured that the monodromy group should always be an arithmetic group.

A (10) A (10)

From now on, we assume that the fibration $X \rightarrow S$ is such that both X and S are complex points of algebraic varieties and that the fibres are smooth projective varieties, all of which are diffeomorphic to a fixed manifold F.

We get, as before, the monodromy representation $\pi_1(S) \rightarrow Aut(H^*(F,\mathbb{Z}))$. In this setting, Griffiths and Schmid (1971, Discrete Subgroups of Lie Groups and Applications to moduli, International Colluquium, Bombay 1973) conjectured that the monodromy group should always be an arithmetic group.

However, this conjecture is false in general and it is not clear what are the (geometric) hypotheses to be made on the fibration, which ensure that the monodromy is arithmetic. We first look at cases where the monodromy is arithmetic.

An Example

Take $S = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$. For *X*, we take the Legendre family of of elliptic curves $X = \{(x, y, \lambda) : y^2 = x(x - 1)(x - \lambda), \lambda \in S \text{ with the fibration being the projection to the <math>\lambda$ part.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨ

An Example

Take $S = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$. For *X*, we take the Legendre family of of elliptic curves $X = \{(x, y, \lambda) : y^2 = x(x - 1)(x - \lambda), \lambda \in S \text{ with the fibration being the projection to the <math>\lambda$ part.

The fundamental group of *S* is the free group on two generators, h_0, h_∞ given by small loops going around 0 and ∞ .

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨ

Take $S = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$. For *X*, we take the Legendre family of of elliptic curves $X = \{(x, y, \lambda) : y^2 = x(x - 1)(x - \lambda), \lambda \in S \text{ with the fibration being the projection to the <math>\lambda$ part.

The fundamental group of *S* is the free group on two generators, h_0, h_∞ given by small loops going around 0 and ∞ .

The monodromy in this case is the representation

$$\pi_1(\mathcal{S}) o GL(H^1(F,\mathbb{Z})) = GL_2(\mathbb{Z})$$
 given by $h_0 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and

 $h_{\infty} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. These two matrices generate the principal congruence subgroup of level 2 in $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. In particular, the monodromy is arithmetic.

Take for *S* the space $f = (x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_n)$ of monic polynomials of degree *n* with distinct roots. Consider the family $\{(y, x, f) : y^2 = f(x)\}$ of hyperelliptic curves. All these curves have the same genus *g* and the monodromy group preserves a symplectic form (the intersection form) on H^1 of these curves.

A (10) A (10)

Take for *S* the space $f = (x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_n)$ of monic polynomials of degree *n* with distinct roots. Consider the family $\{(y, x, f) : y^2 = f(x)\}$ of hyperelliptic curves. All these curves have the same genus *g* and the monodromy group preserves a symplectic form (the intersection form) on H^1 of these curves.

Theorem

(A'Campo, Commentri Math. Helvetici, 54 (1979)) The monodromy group has finite index in $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Take for *S* the space $f = (x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_n)$ of monic polynomials of degree *n* with distinct roots. Consider the family $\{(y, x, f) : y^2 = f(x)\}$ of hyperelliptic curves. All these curves have the same genus *g* and the monodromy group preserves a symplectic form (the intersection form) on H^1 of these curves.

Theorem

(A'Campo, Commentri Math. Helvetici, 54 (1979)) The monodromy group has finite index in $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$.

The fibres of the family $X \rightarrow S$ are double covers of the projective line which ramify at *n* distinct points and possibly at infinity.

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

The Main Result

We keep the same base *S* of monic polynomials *f* of degree *n* with distinct roots, but consider the family $X = \{(x, y, f) : y^d = f(x)\}$ for a fixed integer $d \ge 3$. The fibres are cyclic covers of degree *d* of the projective line ramified at *n* distinct points and maybe at infinity.

< 🗐 🕨

The Main Result

We keep the same base *S* of monic polynomials *f* of degree *n* with distinct roots, but consider the family $X = \{(x, y, f) : y^d = f(x)\}$ for a fixed integer $d \ge 3$. The fibres are cyclic covers of degree *d* of the projective line ramified at *n* distinct points and maybe at infinity.

The generator *T* of the group $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ acts on the cyclic cover $y^d = f(x)$ by sending *y* to $y\omega$ for a primitive *d*-th root of unity ω . It is easy to see that the monodromy action commutes with the action of *T*, and hence $\Gamma \subset Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})^T$, the subgroup of the symplectic group which commutes with *T*.

The Main Result

We keep the same base *S* of monic polynomials *f* of degree *n* with distinct roots, but consider the family $X = \{(x, y, f) : y^d = f(x)\}$ for a fixed integer $d \ge 3$. The fibres are cyclic covers of degree *d* of the projective line ramified at *n* distinct points and maybe at infinity.

The generator *T* of the group $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ acts on the cyclic cover $y^d = f(x)$ by sending *y* to $y\omega$ for a primitive *d*-th root of unity ω . It is easy to see that the monodromy action commutes with the action of *T*, and hence $\Gamma \subset Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})^T$, the subgroup of the symplectic group which commutes with *T*.

Theorem

(T.N. Venkataramana, Annals of Math 179 (2014) The monodromy of this family is arithmetic, provided $n \ge d + 1$. More precisely, Γ has finite index in $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})^{T}$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

If we take d = 18 and n = 4, then the monodromy is thin (i.e. not arithmetic) by the theory of Deligne and Mostow. Therefore, some condition on n, d is certainly needed for monodromy to be arithmetic.

If we take d = 18 and n = 4, then the monodromy is thin (i.e. not arithmetic) by the theory of Deligne and Mostow. Therefore, some condition on n, d is certainly needed for monodromy to be arithmetic. If we use the main theorem plus results of Deligne-Mostow (Publ IHES, 63 (1978) and Mcmullen (Braid Groups and Hodge Theory, Math Annalen, 355 (2013)), we obtain

Corollary

If d = 3, 4, 6 then the monodromy is arithmetic for all n.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Let $d \ge 2$ and $1 \le k_1, \dots, k_n \le d-1$ and be integers and suppose d, k_1, \dots, k_n are coprime. Consider the open curve $C = C_a : y^d = (x - a_1)^{k_1} \cdots (x - a_n)^{k_n}$ where a_i are distinct complex numbers. The curve C_a is a compact Riemann surface F_a with finitely many punctures. The genus g of F_a is fixed independent of a.

Let $d \ge 2$ and $1 \le k_1, \dots, k_n \le d-1$ and be integers and suppose d, k_1, \dots, k_n are coprime. Consider the open curve $C = C_a : y^d = (x - a_1)^{k_1} \cdots (x - a_n)^{k_n}$ where a_i are distinct complex numbers. The curve C_a is a compact Riemann surface F_a with finitely many punctures. The genus g of F_a is fixed independent of a.

Take for *S* the open subset of \mathbb{C}^n whose entries are all distinct. Then the monodromy of the family *X* of the compact Riemann surfaces F_a lies in $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Let $d \ge 2$ and $1 \le k_1, \dots, k_n \le d-1$ and be integers and suppose d, k_1, \dots, k_n are coprime. Consider the open curve $C = C_a : y^d = (x - a_1)^{k_1} \cdots (x - a_n)^{k_n}$ where a_i are distinct complex numbers. The curve C_a is a compact Riemann surface F_a with finitely many punctures. The genus g of F_a is fixed independent of a.

Take for *S* the open subset of \mathbb{C}^n whose entries are all distinct. Then the monodromy of the family *X* of the compact Riemann surfaces F_a lies in $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem

(T.N. Venkataramana, Invent Math, 197 (2014)) If all the k_i are co-prime to d, and $n \ge 2d + 1$ then the foregoing monodromy is arithmetic.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Let $d \ge 2$ and $1 \le k_1, \dots, k_n \le d-1$ and be integers and suppose d, k_1, \dots, k_n are coprime. Consider the open curve $C = C_a : y^d = (x - a_1)^{k_1} \cdots (x - a_n)^{k_n}$ where a_i are distinct complex numbers. The curve C_a is a compact Riemann surface F_a with finitely many punctures. The genus g of F_a is fixed independent of a.

Take for *S* the open subset of \mathbb{C}^n whose entries are all distinct. Then the monodromy of the family *X* of the compact Riemann surfaces F_a lies in $Sp_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem

(T.N. Venkataramana, Invent Math, 197 (2014)) If all the k_i are co-prime to d, and $n \ge 2d + 1$ then the foregoing monodromy is arithmetic.

As before, it is not true in general that the monodromy is arithmetic (in the range $n \le d$). There is a general criterion by Deligne-Mostow which tells us when the monodromy is not arithmetic (i.e. is thin).

The fundamental group of the base

The monodromy in our situation acts on $H^1_{\mathbb{C}} = H^1$ with \mathbb{C} -coefficients, of the curve (the fibre) and we need to understand the fundamental group of the base as well as the irreducible components of the representation. The base *S* is the space of monic polynomials of degree *n* with distinct roots.

The fundamental group of the base

The monodromy in our situation acts on $H^1_{\mathbb{C}} = H^1$ with \mathbb{C} -coefficients, of the curve (the fibre) and we need to understand the fundamental group of the base as well as the irreducible components of the representation. The base *S* is the space of monic polynomials of degree *n* with distinct roots.

One can show that $\pi_1(S)$ is the Artin braid group B_n on *n*-strands. It has generators $s_i(1 \le i \le n-1)$ with relations $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$ $|i-j| \ge 2$) and the braiding relations $s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}$ for $1 \le i \le n-2$.

The fundamental group of the base

The monodromy in our situation acts on $H^1_{\mathbb{C}} = H^1$ with \mathbb{C} -coefficients, of the curve (the fibre) and we need to understand the fundamental group of the base as well as the irreducible components of the representation. The base *S* is the space of monic polynomials of degree *n* with distinct roots.

One can show that $\pi_1(S)$ is the Artin braid group B_n on *n*-strands. It has generators $s_i(1 \le i \le n-1)$ with relations $s_i s_j = s_j s_i$ $|i-j| \ge 2$) and the braiding relations $s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}$ for $1 \le i \le n-2$.

The braid group B_n acts on $H^1_{\mathbb{C}}$. The latter has an action by $T \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, and splits into $(B_n$ -stable) eigenspaces V_f with eigenvalue ω^f , for each $f \in \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$. The intersection form on H^1 extends to a Hermitian form hon $H^1_{\mathbb{C}}$. Suppose the signature of the restriction of h to V_f is (p_f, q_f) . Thus $Sp_{2g}^T(\mathbb{R}) = \prod_f U(p_f, q_f)$.

イロト イヨト イヨト ・ ヨトー

The Burau Representation

We define the Burau representation $\rho : B_n \to GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}])$. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ and R^{n-1} the free R module of rank n-1 with standard basis e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} . B_n has the standard generators s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} . Each s_i acts on e_j as follows. $s_i(e_j) = e_j$ if $|j - i| \ge 2$. $s_i(e_i) = -qe_i$, $s_i(e_{i-1}) = e_{i-1} + qe_i$, $s_i(e_{i+1}) = e_i + e_{i+1}$. Restricted to the submodule generated by e_{i-1}, e_i, e_{i+1} , the matrix of s_i has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ q & -q & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus each s_i acts by a generalised reflection.

★個 医 ★ 国 医 ★ 国 医 三 国

The Burau Representation

We define the Burau representation $\rho : B_n \to GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}])$. Let $R = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ and R^{n-1} the free R module of rank n-1 with standard basis e_1, \dots, e_{n-1} . B_n has the standard generators s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} . Each s_i acts on e_j as follows. $s_i(e_j) = e_j$ if $|j - i| \ge 2$. $s_i(e_i) = -qe_i$, $s_i(e_{i-1}) = e_{i-1} + qe_i$, $s_i(e_{i+1}) = e_i + e_{i+1}$. Restricted to the submodule generated by e_{i-1}, e_i, e_{i+1} , the matrix of s_i has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ q & -q & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus each s_i acts by a generalised reflection.

Suppose *q* is specialised to the *d*-th root of unity ω^{f} . We then get the Burau representation evaluated at ω^{f} , as the specialisation $\rho_{f} : B_{n} \to GL_{n-1}(R) \to GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}[\omega^{f}]).$

The Burau representation ρ is absolutely irreducible. When specialised to a primitive *d*-th root of unity, it (i.e. ρ_d) continues to be irreducible, except when *d* divides *n*, in which case there is a one dimnesional space $\mathbb{C}v$ generated by an invariant vector and the quotient $\overline{\rho}_d$ by this line is irreducible. We write $\overline{\rho}_d = \rho_d$ even when ρ_d is irreducible.

The Burau representation ρ is absolutely irreducible. When specialised to a primitive *d*-th root of unity, it (i.e. ρ_d) continues to be irreducible, except when *d* divides *n*, in which case there is a one dimnesional space $\mathbb{C}v$ generated by an invariant vector and the quotient $\overline{\rho}_d$ by this line is irreducible. We write $\overline{\rho}_d = \rho_d$ even when ρ_d is irreducible.

The monodromy representation is the direct sum $\oplus \overline{\rho}_e$ where the sum runs through all the divisors $e \neq 1$ of *d*.

A Hermitian form on the Burau representation

The ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ has an involution defined by sending q to its inverse. On the free R module R^{n-1} (with respect to the standard basis e_i), we define a Hermitian form h by setting $h(e_i, e_j) = 0$ if $|i-j| \ge 2$, $h(e_i, e_i) = \frac{(q+1)^2}{q}$ and $h(e_i, e_{i+1}) = \frac{q+1}{q}$.

A Hermitian form on the Burau representation

The ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ has an involution defined by sending q to its inverse. On the free R module R^{n-1} (with respect to the standard basis e_i), we define a Hermitian form h by setting $h(e_i, e_j) = 0$ if $|i-j| \ge 2$, $h(e_i, e_i) = \frac{(q+1)^2}{q}$ and $h(e_i, e_{i+1}) = \frac{q+1}{q}$.

The braid group B_n preserves this Hermitian form under the Burau action, and hence $\rho: B_n \to U(h)(R)$, the unitary group of the form *h*. We also get, by composition, the representation $\rho_d: B_n \to U(h)(\mathbb{Z}[\omega])$ where ω is a primitive *d*-th root of unity. The latter ring $O_d = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ is the ring of integers in the *d*-th cyclotomic extension $\mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/d})$ of \mathbb{Q} .

A Hermitian form on the Burau representation

The ring $R = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$ has an involution defined by sending q to its inverse. On the free R module R^{n-1} (with respect to the standard basis e_i), we define a Hermitian form h by setting $h(e_i, e_j) = 0$ if $|i-j| \ge 2$, $h(e_i, e_i) = \frac{(q+1)^2}{q}$ and $h(e_i, e_{i+1}) = \frac{q+1}{q}$.

The braid group B_n preserves this Hermitian form under the Burau action, and hence $\rho: B_n \to U(h)(R)$, the unitary group of the form *h*. We also get, by composition, the representation $\rho_d: B_n \to U(h)(\mathbb{Z}[\omega])$ where ω is a primitive *d*-th root of unity. The latter ring $O_d = \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ is the ring of integers in the *d*-th cyclotomic extension $\mathbb{Q}(e^{2\pi i/d})$ of \mathbb{Q} .

The arithmeticity of the monodromy follows from the

Theorem

If $n \ge 2d + 1$, then the image of ρ_d has finite index in the arithmetic group $U(h)(O_d)$.

Before describing the proof, we describe why the condition $n \ge 2d + 1$ appears. Return to the definition of a thin group. Under certain conditions, the monodromy group $\Gamma \subset GL_N(\mathbb{Z})$ is thin.

< 回 > < 三 > < 三 >

Before describing the proof, we describe why the condition $n \ge 2d + 1$ appears. Return to the definition of a thin group. Under certain conditions, the monodromy group $\Gamma \subset GL_N(\mathbb{Z})$ is thin. Suppose for a moment, that $\Gamma \subset SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]) \subset SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{R})$.

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Before describing the proof, we describe why the condition $n \ge 2d + 1$ appears. Return to the definition of a thin group. Under certain conditions, the monodromy group $\Gamma \subset GL_N(\mathbb{Z})$ is thin.Suppose for a moment, that $\Gamma \subset SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]) \subset SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. The projection of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ into \mathbb{R} via the embedding $a + b\sqrt{2} \mapsto a + b\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ has dense image; from this it follows that the projection of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}])$ into each of the two factors is dense. The same thing holds for Γ if Γ has finite index (strong approximation).

Before describing the proof, we describe why the condition $n \ge 2d + 1$ appears. Return to the definition of a thin group. Under certain conditions, the monodromy group $\Gamma \subset GL_N(\mathbb{Z})$ is thin. Suppose for a moment, that $\Gamma \subset SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]) \subset SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. The projection of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ into \mathbb{R} via the embedding $a + b\sqrt{2} \mapsto a + b\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{R}$ has dense image; from this it follows that the projection of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}])$ into each of the two factors is dense. The same thing holds for Γ if Γ has finite index (strong approximation).

If we can show that one of the projections of Γ has discrete image, then it follows that Γ cannot have finite index, i.e. Γ is thin. This is the strategy of Deligne-Mostow.

Consider the family (as the a_i vary while remaining distinct from each other, of curves $y^d = (x - a_1)^{k_1} \cdots (x - a_n)^{k_n}$, with $1 \le k_i \le d - 1$. Since $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ acts on H^1 of this curve, we may consider the " $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ -primitive part of H^1 which, by definition, is a sum of eigenspaces M_f for the generator T of the cyclic group, with eigenvalues *primitive* d-th roots of unity of the form ω^f . Consider the family (as the a_i vary while remaining distinct from each other, of curves $y^d = (x - a_1)^{k_1} \cdots (x - a_n)^{k_n}$, with $1 \le k_i \le d - 1$. Since $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ acts on H^1 of this curve, we may consider the " $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ -primitive part of H^1 which, by definition, is a sum of eigenspaces M_f for the generator T of the cyclic group, with eigenvalues *primitive* d-th roots of unity of the form ω^f .

The monodromy Γ lies in $U(h)(O_d)$ where *h* is the intersection form, and O_d is the ring of integers in the *d*-th cyclotomic extension of \mathbb{Q} . Hence $U(h)(K \otimes \mathbb{R}) = \prod_f U(p_f, q_f)$. Deligne-Mostow prove that under certain conditions, the projection to the *f*-th factor is discrete.

Consider the family (as the a_i vary while remaining distinct from each other, of curves $y^d = (x - a_1)^{k_1} \cdots (x - a_n)^{k_n}$, with $1 \le k_i \le d - 1$. Since $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ acts on H^1 of this curve, we may consider the " $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ -primitive part of H^1 which, by definition, is a sum of eigenspaces M_f for the generator T of the cyclic group, with eigenvalues *primitive* d-th roots of unity of the form ω^f .

The monodromy Γ lies in $U(h)(O_d)$ where *h* is the intersection form, and O_d is the ring of integers in the *d*-th cyclotomic extension of \mathbb{Q} . Hence $U(h)(K \otimes \mathbb{R}) = \prod_f U(p_f, q_f)$. Deligne-Mostow prove that under certain conditions, the projection to the *f*-th factor is discrete.

Write $\mu_i = \{\frac{k_i f}{d}\}$ where, for real x, $\{x\}$ denotes the fractional part of x. Write $\mu_{\infty} = 2 - \sum_{i} \mu_{i}$.

(Deligne-Mostow) Assume that for all suffices (including ∞), we have $\mu_i + \mu_j < 1$. (1) The unitary group of the hermitian form h on M_f is U(n-2,1) if and only if $0 < \mu_{\infty} < 1$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

(Deligne-Mostow) Assume that for all suffices (including ∞), we have $\mu_i + \mu_j < 1$. (1) The unitary group of the hermitian form h on M_f is U(n-2,1) if and only if $0 < \mu_{\infty} < 1$.

(2) Assume (1). The projection to the *f*-th factor of Γ is discrete if for all suffices *i*, *j* (including ∞), the condition $\frac{1}{1-\mu_i-\mu_j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ if miu_i $\neq \mu_j$ holds. If $\mu_i = \mu_j$ then this reciprocal is allowed to be half integral.

(Deligne-Mostow) Assume that for all suffices (including ∞), we have $\mu_i + \mu_j < 1$. (1) The unitary group of the hermitian form h on M_f is U(n-2,1) if and only if $0 < \mu_{\infty} < 1$.

(2) Assume (1). The projection to the f-th factor of Γ is discrete if for all suffices *i*, *j* (including ∞), the condition $\frac{1}{1-\mu_i-\mu_j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $\min_i \neq \mu_j$ holds. If $\mu_i = \mu_j$ then this reciprocal is allowed to be half integral. The projection of Γ is then a lattice in U(n-2, 1).

(3) If there is another f' such that $U(p_{f'}, q_{f'})$ is non-compact, then the f-th projection is a non-arithmetic lattice in U(n-2, 1). Moreover, Γ is thin.

(Deligne-Mostow) Assume that for all suffices (including ∞), we have $\mu_i + \mu_j < 1$. (1) The unitary group of the hermitian form h on M_f is U(n-2,1) if and only if $0 < \mu_{\infty} < 1$.

(2) Assume (1). The projection to the *f*-th factor of Γ is discrete if for all suffices *i*, *j* (including ∞), the condition $\frac{1}{1-\mu_i-\mu_j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $\min_i \neq \mu_j$ holds. If $\mu_i = \mu_j$ then this reciprocal is allowed to be half integral. The projection of Γ is then a lattice in U(n-2, 1).

(3) If there is another f' such that $U(p_{f'}, q_{f'})$ is non-compact, then the f-th projection is a non-arithmetic lattice in U(n-2, 1). Moreover, Γ is thin.

For example, take d = 18, n = 4 and each $k_i = 1$. Take $f = 7 \in (\mathbb{Z}/18\mathbb{Z})^*$. Then $\mu_i = \frac{7}{18}$, and $\mu_{\infty} = 2 - 4\frac{7}{18} = \frac{4}{9}$ lies between 0 and 1. Moreover, $\frac{1}{1-\mu_i-\mu_j} = \frac{1}{1-14/18} = \frac{9}{2}$ is a half integer. Then $U(p_7, q_7) \simeq U(2, 1)$ and $U(p_5, q_5) \simeq U(2, 1)$. Hence the monodromy is thin.

If we are to have rank one factors, then by the above theorem, $\mu_{\infty} > 0$ that is $\sum \{\frac{k_i f}{d}\} < 2$. Since each $\mu_i \ge \frac{1}{d}$, it follows that $2 \ge n(\frac{1}{d})$ i.e. $n \le 2d$. Thus, if we take $n \ge 2d + 1$, then none of the factors of the unitary group $U(h)K \otimes \mathbb{R}$) will have real rank one. Therefore, the above criterion is not applicable.

The proof consists in showing that there are many unipotent elements in the mondromy group, in the range $n \ge 2d + 1$. Take n = 2d, to begin with. Then the Hermitian form is degenerate (has a one dmensional kernel). The representation ρ_d of B_n is reducible, since *n* is divisible by *d*: it has a one dimensional invariant subspace, with the quotient being the representation $\overline{\rho}_d$ and hence the matrices of the monodromy group

are of the form
$$ho_d(g) = egin{pmatrix} 1 & ** \ 0 & \overline{
ho}_d(g) \end{pmatrix}$$
 for $g \in B_n.$

The proof consists in showing that there are many unipotent elements in the mondromy group, in the range $n \ge 2d + 1$. Take n = 2d, to begin with. Then the Hermitian form is degenerate (has a one dmensional kernel). The representation ρ_d of B_n is reducible, since n is divisible by d: it has a one dimensional invariant subspace, with the quotient being the representation $\overline{\rho}_d$ and hence the matrices of the monodromy group

are of the form
$$ho_{d}(g) = egin{pmatrix} 1 & ** \ 0 & \overline{
ho}_{d}(g) \end{pmatrix}$$
 for $g \in B_n.$

We now look at the subgroup $B_{n-1} \subset B_n$, acting only on the first n-1 strands. The restriction of $\overline{\rho_d}$ to the smaller group is simply the Burau for the smaller group. Thus the matrices of B_{n-1} are of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_d(g) \end{pmatrix}$; it can be shown that there exist central elements *c* in B_{n-1} whose image in the quotient are scalars $\lambda \neq 1$.

Getting many unipotents

We now consider the commutator

$$[\rho_d(g), \rho_d(c)] = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ** \\ 0 & \overline{\rho}_d(g) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $* \neq 0$. This is a nontrivial unipotent element in the unipotent radical of the unitary group U(h) (remember that *h* is degenerate).

Getting many unipotents

We now consider the commutator

$$[\rho_d(g), \rho_d(c)] = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ** \\ 0 & \overline{\rho}_d(g) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $* \neq 0$. This is a nontrivial unipotent element in the unipotent radical of the unitary group U(h) (remember that *h* is degenerate).

By conjugating this unipotent with arbitrary elements of $\rho_d(g)$ we get an arithmetic subgroup of the unipotent radical of U(h) inside the monodromy group.

Getting many unipotents

We now consider the commutator

$$[\rho_d(g), \rho_d(c)] = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ** \\ 0 & \overline{\rho}_d(g) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $* \neq 0$. This is a nontrivial unipotent element in the unipotent radical of the unitary group U(h) (remember that *h* is degenerate).

By conjugating this unipotent with arbitrary elements of $\rho_d(g)$ we get an arithmetic subgroup of the unipotent radical of U(h) inside the monodromy group.

If we now take n = 2d + 1, the conclusion of the preceding paragraph says: the image of B_{n-1} under the Burau representation of B_n contains an arithmetic subgroup of the unipotent radical of a parabolic subgroup, namely, the one which preserves the flag

$$\mathbb{C}\boldsymbol{\nu}\subset\boldsymbol{\nu}^{\perp}\subset\mathbb{C}^{n-1}.$$

The arithmeticity follows from

A criterion for Arithmeticity

The following is a criterion for arithmeticity:

크

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

A criterion for Arithmeticity

The following is a criterion for arithmeticity:

Suppose that *G* is an absolutely simple linear algebraic group defined over a number field *K*; denote by O_K the ring of integers in *K*. Suppose *G* is such that

$$\infty - \mathit{rank}(G) = \sum_{v \ archimedean} \mathit{K_v} - \mathit{rank}(G) \geq 2,$$

and $K - rank(G) \ge 1$. Suppose that $\Gamma \subset G(O_K)$ is a Zariski dense subgroup in *G*, such that the intersection of Γ with the integer points $U(O_K)$ has finite index in $U(O_K)$ where *U* is the unipotent radical of a maximal parabolic subgroup of *G* defined over *K*. Then (M.S.Raghunathan, Pacific Journal of math, 152 (1992), and T.N.Venkataramana, Systems of Generators, Pacific Journal of math, 166 (1994))) Γ is arithmetic, i.e. Γ has finite index in $G(O_K)$.

3

A criterion for Arithmeticity

The following is a criterion for arithmeticity:

Suppose that *G* is an absolutely simple linear algebraic group defined over a number field *K*; denote by O_K the ring of integers in *K*. Suppose *G* is such that

$$\infty - rank(G) = \sum_{v \ archimedean} K_v - rank(G) \geq 2,$$

and $K - rank(G) \ge 1$. Suppose that $\Gamma \subset G(O_K)$ is a Zariski dense subgroup in *G*, such that the intersection of Γ with the integer points $U(O_K)$ has finite index in $U(O_K)$ where *U* is the unipotent radical of a maximal parabolic subgroup of *G* defined over *K*. Then (M.S.Raghunathan, Pacific Journal of math, 152 (1992), and T.N.Venkataramana, Systems of Generators, Pacific Journal of math, 166 (1994))) Γ is arithmetic, i.e. Γ has finite index in $G(O_K)$.

This immediately implies that the image of the Burau representation ρ_d is arithmetic provided n = 2d + 1. Then a "bootstrapping" plus

Thank you for your attention.

æ

(a)