

The embedding problem of infinitely divisible probability measures on Lie groups

Riddhi Shah

School of Physical Sciences
Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi
rshah@jnu.ac.in

Indo-French 2016
IMSc, Chennai
January 11–23, 2016

Abstract

We discuss infinitely divisible measure on Lie groups. We discuss the conditions under which an infinitely divisible measure can be embedded in a continuous one-parameter convolution semigroup of probability measures. We survey known results and discuss the latest results obtained (jointly with Dani and Guivarc'h). We also mention some techniques developed by Dani and McCrudden which gives the embeddability in some new cases.

Plan of Talk

- ▶ Introduction to the Embedding Problem
 - Infinitely Divisible Measures
 - Embeddable Measures
- ▶ Known Results
- ▶ 'new' results on Lie Groups
- ▶ Techniques: Fourier Analysis, Concentration Functions of Measures, Structure Theory of Lie Groups and Algebraic Groups

Introduction

Let G be a locally compact Hausdorff topological group.

Introduction

Let G be a locally compact Hausdorff topological group.

Let $P(G)$ denote the convolution semigroup of probability measures endowed with weak* topology.

Introduction

Let G be a locally compact Hausdorff topological group.

Let $P(G)$ denote the convolution semigroup of probability measures endowed with weak* topology.

For measures $\mu, \nu \in P(G)$, the convolution of μ and ν is denoted by $\mu * \nu$ and it is defined as follows: For a Borel set B of G ,

$$\mu * \nu(B) = \int_G \mu(Bx^{-1}) d\nu(x).$$

Introduction

Let G be a locally compact Hausdorff topological group.

Let $P(G)$ denote the convolution semigroup of probability measures endowed with weak* topology.

For measures $\mu, \nu \in P(G)$, the convolution of μ and ν is denoted by $\mu * \nu$ and it is defined as follows: For a Borel set B of G ,

$$\mu * \nu(B) = \int_G \mu(Bx^{-1}) d\nu(x).$$

Equivalently, for a bounded continuous real valued function f

$$\mu * \nu(f) = \int_G f(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y),$$

where xy denotes the group operation in G

Introduction

Let G be a locally compact Hausdorff topological group.

Let $P(G)$ denote the convolution semigroup of probability measures endowed with weak* topology.

For measures $\mu, \nu \in P(G)$, the convolution of μ and ν is denoted by $\mu * \nu$ and it is defined as follows: For a Borel set B of G ,

$$\mu * \nu(B) = \int_G \mu(Bx^{-1}) d\nu(x).$$

Equivalently, for a bounded continuous real valued function f

$$\mu * \nu(f) = \int_G \int_G f(xy) d\mu(x) d\nu(y),$$

where xy denotes the group operation in G

It is the smallest topology in which, $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$, if and only if for every bounded continuous function f ,

$$\mu_n(f) \rightarrow \mu(f),$$

Infinitely Divisible Probability Measures

A measure $\mu \in P(G)$ is said to be **infinitely divisible** if for every natural number n , μ has an n th convolution root in $P(G)$.

Infinitely Divisible Probability Measures

A measure $\mu \in P(G)$ is said to be **infinitely divisible** if for every natural number n , μ has an n th convolution root in $P(G)$.

i.e. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\lambda_n \in P(G)$, such that

$$\mu = \lambda_n^n = \lambda_n * \cdots * \lambda_n \text{ (} n \text{ times)}.$$

Infinitely Divisible Probability Measures

A measure $\mu \in P(G)$ is said to be **infinitely divisible** if for every natural number n , μ has an n th convolution root in $P(G)$.

i.e. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\lambda_n \in P(G)$, such that

$$\mu = \lambda_n^n = \lambda_n * \cdots * \lambda_n \text{ (} n \text{ times)}.$$

Examples: Dirac measures on \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{R}^n , S^1 or \mathbb{Q}_p , exponential measures.

Embeddable Measures

A measure $\mu \in P(G)$ is said to be **embeddable** if there exists a continuous one parameter convolution semigroup $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ in $P(G)$ such that $\mu_1 = \mu$.

Embeddable Measures

A measure $\mu \in P(G)$ is said to be **embeddable** if there exists a continuous one parameter convolution semigroup $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ in $P(G)$ such that $\mu_1 = \mu$.

i.e. $t \mapsto \mu_t$ from $\mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow P(G)$ is a continuous homomorphism and $\mu_1 = \mu$.

Embeddable Measures

A measure $\mu \in P(G)$ is said to be **embeddable** if there exists a continuous one parameter convolution semigroup $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ in $P(G)$ such that $\mu_1 = \mu$.

i.e. $t \mapsto \mu_t$ from $\mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow P(G)$ is a continuous homomorphism and $\mu_1 = \mu$.

Examples: Poisson (exponential) measures:

$\exp t\lambda = \delta_e + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \lambda^n$ (suitably normalised), Gaussian Measures, Dirac measures on \mathbb{R}^n , on S^1 , or on any connected nilpotent Lie group - more generally, Dirac measures supported on elements coming from one-parameter subgroups.

Embeddable Measures

A measure $\mu \in P(G)$ is said to be **embeddable** if there exists a continuous one parameter convolution semigroup $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ in $P(G)$ such that $\mu_1 = \mu$.

i.e. $t \mapsto \mu_t$ from $\mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow P(G)$ is a continuous homomorphism and $\mu_1 = \mu$.

Examples: Poisson (exponential) measures:

$\exp t\lambda = \delta_e + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \lambda^n$ (suitably normalised), Gaussian Measures, Dirac measures on \mathbb{R}^n , on S^1 , or on any connected nilpotent Lie group - more generally, Dirac measures supported on elements coming from one-parameter subgroups.

Note that **every embeddable measure is infinitely divisible**, for if μ is embeddable in $\{\mu_t\}_{t \geq 0}$, then $\mu = \mu_1 = \mu_{1/n}^n$, for all n .

The Embedding Problem

Conversely, **is every infinitely divisible measure embeddable** (in a continuous one-parameter convolution semigroup)?

The Embedding Problem

Conversely, **is every infinitely divisible measure embeddable** (in a continuous one-parameter convolution semigroup)?

There is a long-standing conjecture attributed to **K. R. Parthasarathy** that every infinitely divisible measure on a connected Lie group is embeddable.

The Embedding Problem

Conversely, **is every infinitely divisible measure embeddable** (in a continuous one-parameter convolution semigroup)?

There is a long-standing conjecture attributed to **K. R. Parthasarathy** that every infinitely divisible measure on a connected Lie group is embeddable.

Why the embedding problem?

The Embedding Problem

Conversely, **is every infinitely divisible measure embeddable** (in a continuous one-parameter convolution semigroup)?

There is a long-standing conjecture attributed to **K. R. Parthasarathy** that every infinitely divisible measure on a connected Lie group is embeddable.

Why the embedding problem?

On \mathbb{R}^n , any embeddable measure is a convolution of a Dirac measure, a Gaussian measure and a Poisson measure. In general the structure of a continuous one-parameter convolution semigroup is well understood. Also, embeddable measures can be approximated by Poisson (exponential) measures. Infinitely divisible/embeddable measures appear in the central limit theory as limits of commutative infinitesimal triangular systems of measures.

The embedding problems is solved for the following:

The embedding problems is solved for the following:

\mathbb{R}^n (Lévy); in fact every infinitely divisible measure on \mathbb{R}^n has a unique n th root and since the root set of a measure on \mathbb{R}^n is a relatively compact set, there is an embedding.

The embedding problems is solved for the following:

\mathbb{R}^n (Lévy); in fact every infinitely divisible measure on \mathbb{R}^n has a unique n th root and since the root set of a measure on \mathbb{R}^n is a relatively compact set, there is an embedding.

Compact Lie groups

The embedding problems is solved for the following:

\mathbb{R}^n (Lévy); in fact every infinitely divisible measure on \mathbb{R}^n has a unique n th root and since the root set of a measure on \mathbb{R}^n is a relatively compact set, there is an embedding.

Compact Lie groups

Compactly generated (connected) nilpotent Lie group (Burrell and McCrudden).

Known Results

The embedding problems is solved for the following:

\mathbb{R}^n (Lévy); in fact every infinitely divisible measure on \mathbb{R}^n has a unique n th root and since the root set of a measure on \mathbb{R}^n is a relatively compact set, there is an embedding.

Compact Lie groups

Compactly generated (connected) nilpotent Lie group (Burrell and McCrudden).

On p -adic linear groups (R. Shah)

More on embedding:

If μ is a **symmetric** measure with **symmetric roots** then each symmetric n th-root is unique and we can get an embedding of μ .

More on embedding:

If μ is a **symmetric** measure with **symmetric roots** then each symmetric n th-root is unique and we can get an embedding of μ .

On a connected Lie group, if the **support of μ** is '**large**', i.e. the group generated by the support of μ is dense, and if μ is infinitely divisible then it is embeddable (**McCrudden**).

More on embedding:

If μ is a **symmetric** measure with **symmetric roots** then each symmetric n th-root is unique and we can get an embedding of μ .

On a connected Lie group, if the **support of μ** is '**large**', i.e. the group generated by the support of μ is dense, and if μ is infinitely divisible then it is embeddable (**McCrudden**).

Closed linear groups, simply connected Lie groups, connected semisimple Lie groups.

More on embedding:

If μ is a **symmetric** measure with **symmetric roots** then each symmetric n th-root is unique and we can get an embedding of μ .

On a connected Lie group, if the **support of μ** is '**large**', i.e. the group generated by the support of μ is dense, and if μ is infinitely divisible then it is embeddable (**McCrudden**).

Closed linear groups, simply connected Lie groups, connected semisimple Lie groups. More generally, a connected Lie group which has a **linear representation with discrete kernel** (**Dani and McCrudden**(1992)).

More on embedding:

If μ is a **symmetric** measure with **symmetric roots** then each symmetric n th-root is unique and we can get an embedding of μ .

On a connected Lie group, if the **support of μ** is '**large**', i.e. the group generated by the support of μ is dense, and if μ is infinitely divisible then it is embeddable (**McCrudden**).

Closed linear groups, simply connected Lie groups, connected semisimple Lie groups. More generally, a connected Lie group which has a **linear representation with discrete kernel** (**Dani and McCrudden**(1992)).

In fact they later labelled this class of Lie groups as **class \mathcal{C}** . They also characterised this class as follows:

Lie Groups in Class \mathcal{C}

Let R be the solvable radical of a connected Lie group G . Then $\overline{[R, R]}$ is connected and nilpotent and it has a maximal torus which they call the \mathcal{C} -kernel of G which is characteristic. Since G is connected, the \mathcal{C} -kernel is central.

Lie Groups in Class \mathcal{C}

Let R be the solvable radical of a connected Lie group G . Then $\overline{[R, R]}$ is connected and nilpotent and it has a maximal torus which they call the \mathcal{C} -kernel of G which is characteristic. Since G is connected, the \mathcal{C} -kernel is central.

A connected Lie group G is in class \mathcal{C} if and only if the \mathcal{C} -kernel of G is trivial.

Lie Groups in Class \mathcal{C}

Let R be the solvable radical of a connected Lie group G . Then $\overline{[R, R]}$ is connected and nilpotent and it has a maximal torus which they call the \mathcal{C} -kernel of G which is characteristic. Since G is connected, the \mathcal{C} -kernel is central.

A connected Lie group G is in class \mathcal{C} if and only if the \mathcal{C} -kernel of G is trivial.

Note that, for any connected Lie group G , the quotient group G/T is in class \mathcal{C} , where T is the maximal compact (connected) central subgroup.

Lie Groups in Class \mathcal{C}

Let R be the solvable radical of a connected Lie group G . Then $\overline{[R, R]}$ is connected and nilpotent and it has a maximal torus which they call the \mathcal{C} -kernel of G which is characteristic. Since G is connected, the \mathcal{C} -kernel is central.

A connected Lie group G is in class \mathcal{C} if and only if the \mathcal{C} -kernel of G is trivial.

Note that, for any connected Lie group G , the quotient group G/T is in class \mathcal{C} , where T is the maximal compact (connected) central subgroup.

Every infinitely divisible measure on a connected Lie group G is embeddable if the \mathcal{C} -kernel of G is trivial.

Lie Groups in Class \mathcal{C}

Let R be the solvable radical of a connected Lie group G . Then $\overline{[R, R]}$ is connected and nilpotent and it has a maximal torus which they call the \mathcal{C} -kernel of G which is characteristic. Since G is connected, the \mathcal{C} -kernel is central.

A connected Lie group G is in class \mathcal{C} if and only if the \mathcal{C} -kernel of G is trivial.

Note that, for any connected Lie group G , the quotient group G/T is in class \mathcal{C} , where T is the maximal compact (connected) central subgroup.

Every infinitely divisible measure on a connected Lie group G is embeddable if the \mathcal{C} -kernel of G is trivial.

In particular the above holds for a connected Lie group G without any compact central subgroup of positive dimension.

Embedding problem on class \mathcal{C} Lie Groups

Moreover, for any infinitely divisible measure μ on a connected Lie group G , there exists $\{z_n\}$ in G such that $z_n T$ centralises $\pi(\mu)$ in G/T , T as above, such that $z_n \mu z_n^{-1} \rightarrow \lambda$ and λ is embeddable. (This is called the weak embedding of μ .)

Embedding problem on class \mathcal{C} Lie Groups

Moreover, for any infinitely divisible measure μ on a connected Lie group G , there exists $\{z_n\}$ in G such that $z_n T$ centralises $\pi(\mu)$ in G/T , T as above, such that $z_n \mu z_n^{-1} \rightarrow \lambda$ and λ is embeddable. (This is called the weak embedding of μ .)

Observe that $z_n(\mu * \omega_T)z_n^{-1} = \mu * \omega_T = \lambda * \omega_T$, and hence $\mu * \omega_T$ is embeddable, where ω_T is the Haar measure supported on T . (One can also replace T by the \mathcal{C} -kernel of G).

Embedding problem on class \mathcal{C} Lie Groups

Moreover, for any infinitely divisible measure μ on a connected Lie group G , there exists $\{z_n\}$ in G such that $z_n T$ centralises $\pi(\mu)$ in G/T , T as above, such that $z_n \mu z_n^{-1} \rightarrow \lambda$ and λ is embeddable. (This is called the weak embedding of μ .)

Observe that $z_n(\mu * \omega_T)z_n^{-1} = \mu * \omega_T = \lambda * \omega_T$, and hence $\mu * \omega_T$ is embeddable, where ω_T is the Haar measure supported on T . (One can also replace T by the \mathcal{C} -kernel of G).

McCrudden has two survey articles which capture the early developments until 2001: In the proceedings of “Positivity in Lie Theory” and the proceedings of the CIMPA-TIFR School.

Unknown cases

For which class of Lie groups was the embedding problem not known (until a few years ago)? There was a long gap between 1992 and 2008.

Unknown cases

For which class of Lie groups was the embedding problem not known (until a few years ago)? There was a long gap between 1992 and 2008.

Any generic infinitely divisible measure on a connected Lie group unless it is one of the cases mentioned above.

Unknown cases

For which class of Lie groups was the embedding problem not known (until a few years ago)? There was a long gap between 1992 and 2008.

Any generic infinitely divisible measure on a connected Lie group unless it is one of the cases mentioned above. (Of course, one can sometime get the embedding for a specific measure on a specific group -for e.g. measures which are symmetric or have large support as mentioned before).

Unknown cases

For which class of Lie groups was the embedding problem not known (until a few years ago)? There was a long gap between 1992 and 2008.

Any generic infinitely divisible measure on a connected Lie group unless it is one of the cases mentioned above. (Of course, one can sometime get the embedding for a specific measure on a specific group -for e.g. measures which are symmetric or have large support as mentioned before).

Compact extensions of Heisenberg groups which have a non-trivial compact connected central subgroup T and any linear representation has T in the kernel.

Unknown cases

For which class of Lie groups was the embedding problem not known (until a few years ago)? There was a long gap between 1992 and 2008.

Any generic infinitely divisible measure on a connected Lie group unless it is one of the cases mentioned above. (Of course, one can sometime get the embedding for a specific measure on a specific group -for e.g. measures which are symmetric or have large support as mentioned before).

Compact extensions of Heisenberg groups which have a non-trivial compact connected central subgroup T and any linear representation has T in the kernel.

For e.g. the group of this type with the smallest dimension possible for which the embedding problem was not solved is the so-called Walnut Group.

Walnut Group

The **Walnut** group is defined as follows:

Take a 3-dimensional **Heisenberg** group H with the one-dimensional center Z . Now take a **discrete** subgroup D in the center and take $N = H/D$. Then the center of N is $T = Z/D$ which is a one-dimensional torus.

Walnut Group

The **Walnut** group is defined as follows:

Take a 3-dimensional **Heisenberg** group H with the one-dimensional center Z . Now take a **discrete** subgroup D in the center and take $N = H/D$. Then the center of N is $T = Z/D$ which is a one-dimensional torus.

There is a canonical action of $SL(2, R)$ on H which fixes elements of the center. So this action extends to the action on N .

Walnut Group

The **Walnut** group is defined as follows:

Take a 3-dimensional **Heisenberg** group H with the one-dimensional center Z . Now take a **discrete** subgroup D in the center and take $N = H/D$. Then the center of N is $T = Z/D$ which is a one-dimensional torus.

There is a canonical action of $SL(2, R)$ on H which fixes elements of the center. So this action extends to the action on N . Let K be the subgroup of $SL(2, R)$ which is the group of rotations. Take $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes N$ and take $W = K \ltimes N \subset G$. This W is called the **Walnut** group, it is a four dimensional solvable group. It turns out that any linear representation of G or W will have T in its kernel.

Walnut Group

The **Walnut** group is defined as follows:

Take a 3-dimensional **Heisenberg** group H with the one-dimensional center Z . Now take a **discrete** subgroup D in the center and take $N = H/D$. Then the center of N is $T = Z/D$ which is a one-dimensional torus.

There is a canonical action of $SL(2, R)$ on H which fixes elements of the center. So this action extends to the action on N . Let K be the subgroup of $SL(2, R)$ which is the group of rotations. Take $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \ltimes N$ and take $W = K \ltimes N \subset G$. This W is called the **Walnut** group, it is a four dimensional solvable group. It turns out that any linear representation of G or W will have T in its kernel.

(Neither G nor W belongs to class \mathcal{C} since $[N, N] = T$ as you can see below.)

Note that the action of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ on H is given by the following:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} (a, b, c) = (x_1 a + x_2 b, x_3 a + x_4 b, c + c'),$$

$$\text{where } c' = \frac{1}{2}a^2x_1x_3 + abx_2x_3 + \frac{1}{2}b^2x_2x_4,$$

Note that the action of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ on H is given by the following:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} (a, b, c) = (x_1 a + x_2 b, x_3 a + x_4 b, c + c'),$$

$$\text{where } c' = \frac{1}{2}a^2x_1x_3 + abx_2x_3 + \frac{1}{2}b^2x_2x_4,$$

where (a, b, c) stands for the 3×3 unipotent matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Note that the action of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ on H is given by the following:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} (a, b, c) = (x_1 a + x_2 b, x_3 a + x_4 b, c + c'),$$

$$\text{where } c' = \frac{1}{2}a^2x_1x_3 + abx_2x_3 + \frac{1}{2}b^2x_2x_4,$$

where (a, b, c) stands for the 3×3 unipotent matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Note that c is in the center Z of H which is fixed by the action and the quotient H/Z is isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 and $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ acts linearly on it.

Note that the action of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ on H is given by the following:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} (a, b, c) = (x_1 a + x_2 b, x_3 a + x_4 b, c + c'),$$

$$\text{where } c' = \frac{1}{2}a^2 x_1 x_3 + abx_2 x_3 + \frac{1}{2}b^2 x_2 x_4,$$

where (a, b, c) stands for the 3×3 unipotent matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Note that c is in the center Z of H which is fixed by the action and the quotient H/Z is isomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 and $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ acts linearly on it.

In particular, the action of the rotation group on the Heisenberg group H is defined as follows:

$$T_\theta(a, b, c) = (a \cos \theta - b \sin \theta, a \sin \theta + b \cos \theta, c + c'),$$

$$\text{where } c' = \frac{1}{2}a^2 \cos \theta \sin \theta - ab \sin^2 \theta - \frac{1}{2}b^2 \sin \theta \cos \theta.$$

Embedding Theorems (with Dani and Guivarc'h)

Theorem 1: Let G be a semidirect product of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and N as above. Let M be a closed connected subgroup of G . Then any infinitely divisible measure on M is embeddable in $P(M)$. In particular, every infinitely divisible measure on the Walnut group is embeddable.

Embedding Theorems (with Dani and Guivarc'h)

Theorem 1: Let G be a semidirect product of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and N as above. Let M be a closed connected subgroup of G . Then any infinitely divisible measure on M is embeddable in $P(M)$. In particular, every infinitely divisible measure on the Walnut group is embeddable.

The above is proven with the help of the following general result which is somewhat technical and has some conditions on the group and on the measure.

Main Theorem

Main Theorem: Let G be a Lie group admitting a surjective continuous homomorphism $p : G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ onto an almost algebraic group \tilde{G} , such that $\ker p$ is contained in the center of G and $(\ker p)^0$ is compact. Let $T = (\ker p)^0$ and let $q : G \rightarrow G/T$ be the natural quotient homomorphism. Let μ belong to $P(G)$ be such that $q(\mu)$ has no idempotent factor. If μ is infinitely divisible, then μ is embeddable.

Main Theorem

Main Theorem: Let G be a Lie group admitting a surjective continuous homomorphism $p : G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ onto an almost algebraic group \tilde{G} , such that $\ker p$ is contained in the center of G and $(\ker p)^0$ is compact. Let $T = (\ker p)^0$ and let $q : G \rightarrow G/T$ be the natural quotient homomorphism. Let μ belong to $P(G)$ be such that $q(\mu)$ has no idempotent factor. If μ is infinitely divisible, then μ is embeddable.

The first condition is satisfied if $G/[\overline{G}, G]$ is compact or more generally, if $G/[\overline{G}, G]N$ is compact, where N is the nilradical of G . The latter condition is equivalent to the condition that the solvable radical of G is a compact extension of the nilradical.

Main Theorem

Main Theorem: Let G be a Lie group admitting a surjective continuous homomorphism $p : G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ onto an almost algebraic group \tilde{G} , such that $\ker p$ is contained in the center of G and $(\ker p)^0$ is compact. Let $T = (\ker p)^0$ and let $q : G \rightarrow G/T$ be the natural quotient homomorphism. Let μ belong to $P(G)$ be such that $q(\mu)$ has no idempotent factor. If μ is infinitely divisible, then μ is embeddable.

The first condition is satisfied if $G/\overline{[G, G]}$ is compact or more generally, if $G/\overline{[G, G]N}$ is compact, where N is the nilradical of G . The latter condition is equivalent to the condition that the solvable radical of G is a compact extension of the nilradical. The second condition is satisfied for e.g. if the support of μ is contained in the nilradical of N , (as N/T is simply connected and has no non-trivial compact subgroups). It can also be bypassed in some cases.

Using this general theorem, we prove the following:

Using this general theorem, we prove the following:

Theorem 2: An infinitely divisible measure μ on a connected Lie group G is embeddable if (1) the support of μ is contained in the nilradical of G or (2) if $G(\mu)/\overline{[G(\mu), G(\mu)]}$ is compact.

Using this general theorem, we prove the following:

Theorem 2: An infinitely divisible measure μ on a connected Lie group G is embeddable if (1) the support of μ is contained in the nilradical of G or (2) if $G(\mu)/\overline{[G(\mu), G(\mu)]}$ is compact.

Condition (2) is satisfied for e.g. if the group $G(\mu)$ has property T.

Using this general theorem, we prove the following:

Theorem 2: An infinitely divisible measure μ on a connected Lie group G is embeddable if (1) the support of μ is contained in the nilradical of G or (2) if $G(\mu)/\overline{[G(\mu), G(\mu)]}$ is compact.

Condition (2) is satisfied for e.g. if the group $G(\mu)$ has property T.

To apply the main Theorem, one has to find a proper closed connected subgroup containing the support of μ on which μ is infinitely divisible and those technical conditions in the main theorem are satisfied.

Using this general theorem, we prove the following:

Theorem 2: An infinitely divisible measure μ on a connected Lie group G is embeddable if (1) the support of μ is contained in the nilradical of G or (2) if $G(\mu)/\overline{[G(\mu), G(\mu)]}$ is compact.

Condition (2) is satisfied for e.g. if the group $G(\mu)$ has property T.

To apply the main Theorem, one has to find a proper closed connected subgroup containing the support of μ on which μ is infinitely divisible and those technical conditions in the main theorem are satisfied. For e.g. in (1), we can take the subgroup $H = QN$, where N is the nilradical and Q is the smallest closed normal subgroup such that G/Q is compact. H has a desired representation as in the main Theorem and also μ is infinitely divisible on H .

Using this general theorem, we prove the following:

Theorem 2: An infinitely divisible measure μ on a connected Lie group G is embeddable if (1) the support of μ is contained in the nilradical of G or (2) if $G(\mu)/\overline{[G(\mu), G(\mu)]}$ is compact.

Condition (2) is satisfied for e.g. if the group $G(\mu)$ has property T.

To apply the main Theorem, one has to find a proper closed connected subgroup containing the support of μ on which μ is infinitely divisible and those technical conditions in the main theorem are satisfied. For e.g. in (1), we can take the subgroup $H = QN$, where N is the nilradical and Q is the smallest closed normal subgroup such that G/Q is compact. H has a desired representation as in the main Theorem and also μ is infinitely divisible on H . When condition (2) is satisfied, H is chosen to be a subgroup of Q as above such that $H/\overline{[H, H]}$ is compact.

Idea of Proof

The idea is to find a set of roots in a relatively compact set. This gives a rational embedding which extends to a real embedding.

Idea of Proof

The idea is to find a set of roots in a relatively compact set. This gives a rational embedding which extends to a real embedding.

First assume that G is an algebraic group. Then we use the fact that the root-set of μ is relatively compact modulo the centraliser $Z(\mu)$ of the support of μ .

Idea of Proof

The idea is to **find a set of roots in a relatively compact set**. This gives a rational embedding which extends to a real embedding.

First assume that G is an **algebraic** group. Then we use the fact that the root-set of μ is relatively compact modulo the **centraliser $Z(\mu)$** of the support of μ . I.e. if $\{\lambda_i\}$ is a sequence in the root-set of μ , then $\{\lambda_i y_i = \lambda_i * \delta_{y_i}\}$ is **relatively compact** for some sequence $\{y_i\} \in Z(\mu)$. (This is due to **Dani and MacCradden**).

Idea of Proof

The idea is to find a set of roots in a relatively compact set. This gives a rational embedding which extends to a real embedding.

First assume that G is an algebraic group. Then we use the fact that the root-set of μ is relatively compact modulo the centraliser $Z(\mu)$ of the support of μ . I.e. if $\{\lambda_i\}$ is a sequence in the root-set of μ , then $\{\lambda_i y_i = \lambda_i * \delta_{y_i}\}$ is relatively compact for some sequence $\{y_i\} \in Z(\mu)$. (This is due to Dani and MacCruden).

Now for a particular subgroup L containing the support of μ , it follows that $\lambda_i y_i = z_i \lambda_i z_i^{-1}$ for some $z_i \in Z(\mu) \cap N$ in L ; where N is a simply connected nilpotent group in L .

Idea of Proof

The idea is to find a set of roots in a relatively compact set. This gives a rational embedding which extends to a real embedding.

First assume that G is an algebraic group. Then we use the fact that the root-set of μ is relatively compact modulo the centraliser $Z(\mu)$ of the support of μ . I.e. if $\{\lambda_i\}$ is a sequence in the root-set of μ , then $\{\lambda_i y_i = \lambda_i * \delta_{y_i}\}$ is relatively compact for some sequence $\{y_i\} \in Z(\mu)$. (This is due to Dani and MacCruden).

Now for a particular subgroup L containing the support of μ , it follows that $\lambda_i y_i = z_i \lambda_i z_i^{-1}$ for some $z_i \in Z(\mu) \cap N$ in L ; where N is a simply connected nilpotent group in L .

Note that if λ_i is an n -th root of μ , then so is $z_i \lambda_i z_i^{-1}$ since $z_i \in Z(\mu)$; as $(z_i \lambda_i z_i^{-1})^n = z_i \lambda_i^n z_i^{-1} = z_i \mu z_i^{-1} = \mu$.

Let $p : G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ be a continuous homomorphism where \tilde{G} is an algebraic group.

Let $p : G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ be a continuous homomorphism where \tilde{G} is an algebraic group. In case of the Walnut group, we use the map $p : W \rightarrow K \ltimes N/T = K \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2$ whose kernel T is the central torus.

Let $p : G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ be a continuous homomorphism where \tilde{G} is an algebraic group. In case of the Walnut group, we use the map $p : W \rightarrow K \ltimes N/T = K \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2$ whose kernel T is the central torus.

Applying the above for $p(\mu)$ on \tilde{G} with roots $p(\lambda_i)$, we get $\{x_i \lambda_i x_i^{-1}\}$ is relatively compact, where λ_i are roots of μ and x_i are such that $p(x_i) = z_i \in Z(p(\mu))$, i.e. $x_i \in p^{-1}(Z(p(\mu)))$ which is usually larger than $Z(\mu)$.

Let $p : G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ be a continuous homomorphism where \tilde{G} is an algebraic group. In case of the **Walnut** group, we use the map $p : W \rightarrow K \ltimes N/T = K \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2$ whose kernel **T is the central torus**.

Applying the above for $p(\mu)$ on \tilde{G} with roots $p(\lambda_i)$, we get **$\{x_i \lambda_i x_i^{-1}\}$ is relatively compact**, where λ_i are roots of μ and x_i are such that $p(x_i) = z_i \in Z(p(\mu))$, i.e. $x_i \in p^{-1}(Z(p(\mu)))$ which is usually larger than $Z(\mu)$.

Here $p(x_i \mu x_i^{-1}) = z_i p(\mu) z_i^{-1} = p(\mu)$ and since the kernel of p is T which is compact, **$\{x_i \mu x_i^{-1}\}$ is relatively compact**. Then we show using an earlier result on **concentration functions of measures** that all the limit points of $\{x_i \mu x_i^{-1}\}$ are of the form $\tau(\mu)$ where τ is an automorphism which fixes T pointwise.

We consider the group $I(\mu) = \{x \in G \mid x\mu x^{-1} = \mu\}$. Using the following theorem, we get that $\{x_i\}/I(\mu)$ is relatively compact, i.e. x_i 's can be replaced by elements of $I(\mu)$ and in particular, we get that $x_i \lambda_i x_i^{-1}$'s are roots of μ .

We consider the group $I(\mu) = \{x \in G \mid x\mu x^{-1} = \mu\}$. Using the following theorem, we get that $\{x_i\}/I(\mu)$ is relatively compact, i.e. x_i 's can be replaced by elements of $I(\mu)$ and in particular, we get that $x_i \lambda_i x_i^{-1}$'s are roots of μ .

This way, we get a relatively compact set of roots and its fractional powers 'upto' μ and we can choose a rational embedding which extends to a real embedding.

We consider the group $I(\mu) = \{x \in G \mid x\mu x^{-1} = \mu\}$. Using the following theorem, we get that $\{x_i\}/I(\mu)$ is relatively compact, i.e. x_i 's can be replaced by elements of $I(\mu)$ and in particular, we get that $x_i \lambda_i x_i^{-1}$'s are roots of μ .

This way, we get a relatively compact set of roots and its fractional powers 'upto' μ and we can choose a rational embedding which extends to a real embedding.

Theorem 3: Let K be a compact connected subgroup of $GL(d, \mathbb{R})$, $d \geq 2$, such that the K -action on \mathbb{R}^d has no nonzero fixed point. Let λ be a K -invariant probability measure on \mathbb{R}^d such that $\lambda(V) = 0$ for any proper subspace V of \mathbb{R}^d . Then λ^d has a density in \mathbb{R}^d . In particular, $\hat{\lambda}$ vanishes at infinity.

Joint work with S.G. Dani and Yves Guivarc'h; Math. Zeit.

Some observations and some new cases

Suppose μ is supported on the center of the group G , then μ is embeddable as $x_i \mu x_i^{-1} \rightarrow \lambda = \mu$ which is embeddable as λ is so.

Some observations and some new cases

Suppose μ is supported on the center of the group G , then μ is embeddable as $x_i \mu x_i^{-1} \rightarrow \lambda = \mu$ which is embeddable as λ is so. From the result of weak embeddability by Dani and McCrudden mentioned earlier, one can deduce that μ is infinitely divisible on $H = G(\mu)M$, where $M = N^0(\mu * \omega_T)$ is the connected component of the normaliser of $G(\mu * \omega_T) = G(\mu)T$.

Some observations and some new cases

Suppose μ is supported on the center of the group G , then μ is embeddable as $x_i \mu x_i^{-1} \rightarrow \lambda = \mu$ which is embeddable as λ is so.

From the result of weak embeddability by Dani and McCrudden mentioned earlier, one can deduce that μ is infinitely divisible on $H = G(\mu)M$, where $M = N^0(\mu * \omega_T)$ is the connected component of the normaliser of $G(\mu * \omega_T) = G(\mu)T$.

Observe that $G(\mu)T$ is normal in H and it is connected and nilpotent if $G(\mu)$ is connected and nilpotent. In particular, μ is supported on the nilradical of H . Hence we can get the following:

Some observations and some new cases

Suppose μ is supported on the center of the group G , then μ is embeddable as $x_i \mu x_i^{-1} \rightarrow \lambda = \mu$ which is embeddable as λ is so.

From the result of weak embeddability by Dani and McCrudden mentioned earlier, one can deduce that μ is infinitely divisible on $H = G(\mu)M$, where $M = N^0(\mu * \omega_T)$ is the connected component of the normaliser of $G(\mu * \omega_T) = G(\mu)T$.

Observe that $G(\mu)T$ is normal in H and it is connected and nilpotent if $G(\mu)$ is connected and nilpotent. In particular, μ is supported on the nilradical of H . Hence we can get the following:

If μ is infinitely divisible on a connected Lie group G such that $G(\mu)$ is connected and nilpotent, then μ is embeddable.

If $G(\mu)$ is a discrete group, then $M/T = Z^0(\pi(\mu))$, i.e. it centralises $G(\pi(\mu))$, where $\pi : G \rightarrow G/T$. Also, the commutator subgroup of $\pi^{-1}(Z(\pi(\mu)))$ is contained in $Z(\mu)$, using this we can choose x_i as above in $Z(\mu)$ and hence we get the following:

If $G(\mu)$ is a discrete group, then $M/T = Z^0(\pi(\mu))$, i.e. it centralises $G(\pi(\mu))$, where $\pi : G \rightarrow G/T$. Also, the commutator subgroup of $\pi^{-1}(Z(\pi(\mu)))$ is contained in $Z(\mu)$, using this we can choose x_i as above in $Z(\mu)$ and hence we get the following:

If μ is infinitely divisible on a connected Lie group G such that $G(\mu)$ is discrete, then μ is embeddable.

If $G(\mu)$ is a discrete group, then $M/T = Z^0(\pi(\mu))$, i.e. it centralises $G(\pi(\mu))$, where $\pi : G \rightarrow G/T$. Also, the commutator subgroup of $\pi^{-1}(Z(\pi(\mu)))$ is contained in $Z(\mu)$, using this we can choose x_i as above in $Z(\mu)$ and hence we get the following:

If μ is infinitely divisible on a connected Lie group G such that $G(\mu)$ is discrete, then μ is embeddable.

We can also prove the following:

If $G(\mu)$ is a discrete group, then $M/T = Z^0(\pi(\mu))$, i.e. it centralises $G(\pi(\mu))$, where $\pi : G \rightarrow G/T$. Also, the commutator subgroup of $\pi^{-1}(Z(\pi(\mu)))$ is contained in $Z(\mu)$, using this we can choose x_i as above in $Z(\mu)$ and hence we get the following:

If μ is infinitely divisible on a connected Lie group G such that $G(\mu)$ is discrete, then μ is embeddable.

We can also prove the following:

Let μ be a probability measure on a connected Lie group G . Suppose for a nilpotent normal subgroup N , μ is infinitely divisible on $\overline{G(\mu)N}$, then μ is embeddable.

If $G(\mu)$ is a discrete group, then $M/T = Z^0(\pi(\mu))$, i.e. it centralises $G(\pi(\mu))$, where $\pi : G \rightarrow G/T$. Also, the commutator subgroup of $\pi^{-1}(Z(\pi(\mu)))$ is contained in $Z(\mu)$, using this we can choose x_i as above in $Z(\mu)$ and hence we get the following:

If μ is infinitely divisible on a connected Lie group G such that $G(\mu)$ is discrete, then μ is embeddable.

We can also prove the following:

Let μ be a probability measure on a connected Lie group G . Suppose for a nilpotent normal subgroup N , μ is infinitely divisible on $\overline{G(\mu)N}$, then μ is embeddable.

Here, neither $G(\mu)$ nor N is connected.

If $G(\mu)$ is a discrete group, then $M/T = Z^0(\pi(\mu))$, i.e. it centralises $G(\pi(\mu))$, where $\pi : G \rightarrow G/T$. Also, the commutator subgroup of $\pi^{-1}(Z(\pi(\mu)))$ is contained in $Z(\mu)$, using this we can choose x_i as above in $Z(\mu)$ and hence we get the following:

If μ is infinitely divisible on a connected Lie group G such that $G(\mu)$ is discrete, then μ is embeddable.





We can also prove the following:

Let μ be a probability measure on a connected Lie group G . Suppose for a nilpotent normal subgroup N , μ is infinitely divisible on $\overline{G(\mu)N}$, then μ is embeddable.






Here, neither $G(\mu)$ nor N is connected.

Now there is a new survey by F. Ledrappier and R. Shah in Contemporary Mathematics, 631 (2015), a volume dedicated to Dani on his 65th Birthday.





References I

-  S. G. Dani and M. McCrudden, *Factors, roots and embeddability of measures on Lie groups*. Math. Zeit. **199**, (1988) 369385.
-  S. G. Dani and M. McCrudden, *On the factor sets of measures and local tightness of convolution semigroups over Lie groups*, J. Theoret. Probab. **1**, (1988) 357–370.
-  S. G. Dani and M. McCrudden, *Embeddability of infinitely divisible distributions on linear Lie groups*, Invent. Math. **110**, (1992) 237–261.
-  S. G. Dani and M. McCrudden, *Infinitely divisible probabilities on discrete linear groups*, J. Theoret. Probab. **9**, (1996) 357–370.

References II

-  S. G. Dani and M. McCrudden, *Convolution roots and embeddings of probability measures on Lie groups*, Adv. Math. **209** (2007), 198–211.
-  S. G. Dani, Y. Guivarc'h and R. Shah, *On the embeddability of certain infinitely divisible probability measures on Lie Groups*, **272** (2012), 361–379.
-  F. Ledrappier and R. Shah, *Dani's work on probability measures on groups*, Contemporary Mathematics, 631 (2015).
-  M. McCrudden, *Factors and roots of large measures on connected Lie groups*, Math. Zeit. **177** (1981), 315–322.
-  M. McCrudden, *An introduction to the embedding problem for probabilities on locally compact groups*, Positivity in Lie theory: Open problems, 1998, pp. 147–164.

References III

-  M. McCrudden, *The embedding problem for probabilities on locally compact groups*, Probability Measures on Groups: Recent Directions and Trends, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2006, pp. 331–363.
-  K. R. Parthasarathy, *On the imbedding of an infinitely divisible distribution in a one-parameter convolution semigroup*, Theoret. Prob. Appl. **12** (1967), 373–380.
-  R. Shah, *Infinitely divisible measures on p -adic groups*, J. Theoret. Probab. **4**, (1991) 391–405.
-  R. Shah, *The central limit problem on locally compact groups*, Isr. J. Math., **110** (1999), 189–218.

THANK YOU