

Invariant manifolds and semi-conjugacy

Marc Chaperon
Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu-Paris Rive Gauche
Université Paris 7

Indo-French Conference
Chennai, January 13, 2016

A few references

A few references

R. McGehee, E. A. Sander, A new proof of the stable manifold theorem, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **47**, no. 4 (1996), 497–513.

A few references

R. McGehee, E. A. Sander, A new proof of the stable manifold theorem, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **47**, no. 4 (1996), 497–513.

M.C., Generating maps, invariant manifolds, conjugacy. *Journal of Geometry and Physics* **87** (2015), 76–85.

A few references

R. McGehee, E. A. Sander, A new proof of the stable manifold theorem, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **47**, no. 4 (1996), 497–513.

M.C., Generating maps, invariant manifolds, conjugacy. *Journal of Geometry and Physics* **87** (2015), 76–85.

——, Modest proposal for preventing functional analysis from being a burden to invariant manifold theory, to appear.

Introduction - Generating maps

Introduction - Generating maps

Idea: represent the graph of a map or correspondence h between product spaces $X_0 \times Y_0$ and $X_1 \times Y_1$ in a “skewed coordinate system” (McGehee),

Introduction - Generating maps

Idea: represent the graph of a map or correspondence h between product spaces $X_0 \times Y_0$ and $X_1 \times Y_1$ in a “skewed coordinate system” (McGehee),

i.e., as the graph $x_1 = F(x_0, y_1), y_0 = G(x_0, y_1)$ of a map (“generating map”) $(F, G) : X_0 \times Y_1 \rightarrow X_1 \times Y_0$.

Introduction - Generating maps

Idea: represent the graph of a map or correspondence h between product spaces $X_0 \times Y_0$ and $X_1 \times Y_1$ in a “skewed coordinate system” (McGehee),

i.e., as the graph $x_1 = F(x_0, y_1), y_0 = G(x_0, y_1)$ of a map (“generating map”) $(F, G) : X_0 \times Y_1 \rightarrow X_1 \times Y_0$.

“Hyperbolic” example. $E = E_s \times E_u$ (Banach spaces),
 $H = (f, g) : (E, 0) \rightarrow (E, 0)$ local C^1 map, $DH(0) = A_s \times A_u$,
 A_u invertible, $|A_s| < 1 < |A_u^{-1}|^{-1}$.

Introduction - Generating maps

Idea: represent the graph of a map or correspondence h between product spaces $X_0 \times Y_0$ and $X_1 \times Y_1$ in a “skewed coordinate system” (McGehee),

i.e., as the graph $x_1 = F(x_0, y_1)$, $y_0 = G(x_0, y_1)$ of a map (“generating map”) $(F, G) : X_0 \times Y_1 \rightarrow X_1 \times Y_0$.

“Hyperbolic” example. $E = E_s \times E_u$ (Banach spaces),
 $H = (f, g) : (E, 0) \rightarrow (E, 0)$ local C^1 map, $DH(0) = A_s \times A_u$,
 A_u invertible, $|A_s| < 1 < |A_u^{-1}|^{-1}$.

Invert the second component with respect to the second variable: $(x, y) \mapsto (x, g(x, y))$ local diffeomorphism, local inverse $(x, y') \mapsto (x, G(x, y'))$.

Introduction - Generating maps

Idea: represent the graph of a map or correspondence h between product spaces $X_0 \times Y_0$ and $X_1 \times Y_1$ in a “skewed coordinate system” (McGehee),

i.e., as the graph $x_1 = F(x_0, y_1), y_0 = G(x_0, y_1)$ of a map (“generating map”) $(F, G) : X_0 \times Y_1 \rightarrow X_1 \times Y_0$.

“Hyperbolic” example. $E = E_s \times E_u$ (Banach spaces),
 $H = (f, g) : (E, 0) \rightarrow (E, 0)$ local C^1 map, $DH(0) = A_s \times A_u$,
 A_u invertible, $|A_s| < 1 < |A_u^{-1}|^{-1}$.

Invert the second component with respect to the second variable: $(x, y) \mapsto (x, g(x, y))$ local diffeomorphism, local inverse $(x, y') \mapsto (x, G(x, y'))$.

If $F(x, y') := f(x, G(x, y'))$ then, **near 0**,
graph $H = \{(x, y, x', y') : x' = F(x, y'), y = G(x, y')\}$.

Introduction - Generating maps

Idea: represent the graph of a map or correspondence h between product spaces $X_0 \times Y_0$ and $X_1 \times Y_1$ in a “skewed coordinate system” (McGehee),

i.e., as the graph $x_1 = F(x_0, y_1), y_0 = G(x_0, y_1)$ of a map (“generating map”) $(F, G) : X_0 \times Y_1 \rightarrow X_1 \times Y_0$.

“Hyperbolic” example. $E = E_s \times E_u$ (Banach spaces),
 $H = (f, g) : (E, 0) \rightarrow (E, 0)$ local C^1 map, $DH(0) = A_s \times A_u$,
 A_u invertible, $|A_s| < 1 < |A_u^{-1}|^{-1}$.

Invert the second component with respect to the second variable: $(x, y) \mapsto (x, g(x, y))$ local diffeomorphism, local inverse $(x, y') \mapsto (x, G(x, y'))$.

If $F(x, y') := f(x, G(x, y'))$ then, near 0,
graph $H = \{(x, y, x', y') : x' = F(x, y'), y = G(x, y')\}$.

?

Introduction - Stable manifolds à la McGehee-Sander

Shut yourself in a small box Z : $r > 0$ small,

Shut yourself in a small box Z : $r > 0$ small,

$$Z = X \times Y = \{(x, y) \in E_s \times E_u : \max\{|x|, |y|\} \leq r\}.$$

Shut yourself in a small box Z : $r > 0$ small,

$$Z = X \times Y = \{(x, y) \in E_s \times E_u : \max\{|x|, |y|\} \leq r\}.$$

$$\lambda := \text{Lip } F|_Z (\simeq |A_s|) < 1, \quad \mu := \text{Lip } G|_Z (\simeq |A_u^{-1}|) < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow (F, G)(Z) \subset Z.$$

Introduction - Stable manifolds à la McGehee-Sander

Shut yourself in a small box Z : $r > 0$ small,

$$Z = X \times Y = \{(x, y) \in E_s \times E_u : \max\{|x|, |y|\} \leq r\}.$$

$$\lambda := \text{Lip } F|_Z (\simeq |A_s|) < 1, \quad \mu := \text{Lip } G|_Z (\simeq |A_u^{-1}|) < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow (F, G)(Z) \subset Z.$$

$(F, G) : Z \rightarrow Z$ generating map of $H|_{Z \cap h^{-1}(Z)}$ viewed as the correspondence h of Z into itself (map $Z \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Z)$)

$$h(z) := \begin{cases} \{H(z)\} & \text{if } H(z) \in Z, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise:} \end{cases}$$

Shut yourself in a small box Z : $r > 0$ small,

$$Z = X \times Y = \{(x, y) \in E_s \times E_u : \max\{|x|, |y|\} \leq r\}.$$

$$\lambda := \text{Lip } F|_Z (\simeq |A_s|) < 1, \quad \mu := \text{Lip } G|_Z (\simeq |A_u^{-1}|) < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow (F, G)(Z) \subset Z.$$

$(F, G) : Z \rightarrow Z$ generating map of $H|_{Z \cap h^{-1}(Z)}$ viewed as the correspondence h of Z into itself (map $Z \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Z)$)

$$h(z) := \begin{cases} \{H(z)\} & \text{if } H(z) \in Z, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise:} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{graph } h = \{(x, y, x', y') \in Z^2 : x' = F(x, y'), y = G(x, y')\}.$$

Shut yourself in a small box Z : $r > 0$ small,

$$Z = X \times Y = \{(x, y) \in E_s \times E_u : \max\{|x|, |y|\} \leq r\}.$$

$$\lambda := \text{Lip } F|_Z (\simeq |A_s|) < 1, \mu := \text{Lip } G|_Z (\simeq |A_u^{-1}|) < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow (F, G)(Z) \subset Z.$$

$(F, G) : Z \rightarrow Z$ generating map of $H|_{Z \cap h^{-1}(Z)}$ viewed as the correspondence h of Z into itself (map $Z \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Z)$)

$$h(z) := \begin{cases} \{H(z)\} & \text{if } H(z) \in Z, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise:} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{graph } h = \{(x, y, x', y') \in Z^2 : x' = F(x, y'), y = G(x, y')\}.$$

Orbit of length ℓ of h : $(z_0, \dots, z_\ell) \in Z^{\ell+1}$ with $z_{j+1} \in h(z_j)$.

Shut yourself in a small box Z : $r > 0$ small,

$$Z = X \times Y = \{(x, y) \in E_s \times E_u : \max\{|x|, |y|\} \leq r\}.$$

$$\lambda := \text{Lip } F|_Z (\simeq |A_s|) < 1, \mu := \text{Lip } G|_Z (\simeq |A_u^{-1}|) < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow (F, G)(Z) \subset Z.$$

$(F, G) : Z \rightarrow Z$ generating map of $H|_{Z \cap h^{-1}(Z)}$ viewed as the correspondence h of Z into itself (map $Z \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Z)$)

$$h(z) := \begin{cases} \{H(z)\} & \text{if } H(z) \in Z, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise:} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{graph } h = \{(x, y, x', y') \in Z^2 : x' = F(x, y'), y = G(x, y')\}.$$

Orbit of length ℓ of h : $(z_0, \dots, z_\ell) \in Z^{\ell+1}$ with $z_{j+1} \in h(z_j)$.

ℓ^{th} iterate of h : correspondence h^ℓ of Z into itself:

$h^\ell(z)$ set of endpoints z_ℓ of orbits $(z_0, \dots, z_\ell)$ with $z_0 = z$.

Introduction - Stable manifolds à la McGehee-Sander

Shut yourself in a small box Z : $r > 0$ small,

$$Z = X \times Y = \{(x, y) \in E_s \times E_u : \max\{|x|, |y|\} \leq r\}.$$

$$\lambda := \text{Lip } F|_Z (\simeq |A_s|) < 1, \mu := \text{Lip } G|_Z (\simeq |A_u^{-1}|) < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow (F, G)(Z) \subset Z.$$

$(F, G) : Z \rightarrow Z$ generating map of $H|_{Z \cap h^{-1}(Z)}$ viewed as the correspondence h of Z into itself (map $Z \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(Z)$)

$$h(z) := \begin{cases} \{H(z)\} & \text{if } H(z) \in Z, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise:} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{graph } h = \{(x, y, x', y') \in Z^2 : x' = F(x, y'), y = G(x, y')\}.$$

Orbit of length ℓ of h : $(z_0, \dots, z_\ell) \in Z^{\ell+1}$ with $z_{j+1} \in h(z_j)$.

ℓ^{th} iterate of h : correspondence h^ℓ of Z into itself:

$h^\ell(z)$ set of endpoints z_ℓ of orbits $(z_0, \dots, z_\ell)$ with $z_0 = z$.

$$\text{Here, } h^\ell(z) := \begin{cases} \{H^\ell(z)\} & \text{if } H^j(z) \in Z \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq \ell \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Introduction - Stable manifolds à la McGehee-Sander

Every h^ℓ has a generating map $(F_\ell, G_\ell) : Z \rightarrow Z$, and

$$|F_\ell(x, y) - F_\ell(x', y')| \leq \max\{\lambda^\ell |x - x'|, \lambda |y - y'|\} \quad (1)$$

$$|G_\ell(x, y) - G_\ell(x', y')| \leq \max\{\mu |x - x'|, \mu^\ell |y - y'|\}, \quad (2)$$

written for short $(\lambda^\ell, \lambda) \in \text{Lip}_2 F_\ell$, $(\mu, \mu^\ell) \in \text{Lip}_2 G_\ell$.

Introduction - Stable manifolds à la McGehee-Sander

Every h^ℓ has a generating map $(F_\ell, G_\ell) : Z \rightarrow Z$, and

$$|F_\ell(x, y) - F_\ell(x', y')| \leq \max\{\lambda^\ell |x - x'|, \lambda |y - y'|\} \quad (1)$$

$$|G_\ell(x, y) - G_\ell(x', y')| \leq \max\{\mu |x - x'|, \mu^\ell |y - y'|\}, \quad (2)$$

written for short $(\lambda^\ell, \lambda) \in \text{Lip}_2 F_\ell$, $(\mu, \mu^\ell) \in \text{Lip}_2 G_\ell$.

$\text{dom } h^\ell = \{z \in Z : h^\ell(z) \neq \emptyset\} = \{(x, G_\ell(x, y)) : (x, y) \in Z\}$
satisfies $\text{diam}\{G_\ell(x, y) : y \in Y\} \leq 2r\mu^\ell$ for each $x \in X$.

Therefore, the inclusion $\text{dom } h^\ell \supset \text{dom } h^m$ for $\ell \leq m$ implies
 $\bigcap \text{dom } h^\ell = \text{graph } \varphi$ and $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} G_\ell(x, y_\ell) = \varphi(x)$ uniformly with
respect to $x \in X$ and $(y_\ell)_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ in Y , hence $\text{Lip } \varphi \leq \mu$ by (2).

Introduction - Stable manifolds à la McGehee-Sander

Every h^ℓ has a generating map $(F_\ell, G_\ell) : Z \rightarrow Z$, and

$$|F_\ell(x, y) - F_\ell(x', y')| \leq \max\{\lambda^\ell |x - x'|, \lambda |y - y'|\} \quad (1)$$

$$|G_\ell(x, y) - G_\ell(x', y')| \leq \max\{\mu |x - x'|, \mu^\ell |y - y'|\}, \quad (2)$$

written for short $(\lambda^\ell, \lambda) \in \text{Lip}_2 F_\ell$, $(\mu, \mu^\ell) \in \text{Lip}_2 G_\ell$.

$\text{dom } h^\ell = \{z \in Z : h^\ell(z) \neq \emptyset\} = \{(x, G_\ell(x, y)) : (x, y) \in Z\}$
satisfies $\text{diam}\{G_\ell(x, y) : y \in Y\} \leq 2r\mu^\ell$ for each $x \in X$.

Therefore, the inclusion $\text{dom } h^\ell \supset \text{dom } h^m$ for $\ell \leq m$ implies
 $\bigcap \text{dom } h^\ell = \text{graph } \varphi$ and $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} G_\ell(x, y_\ell) = \varphi(x)$ uniformly with
respect to $x \in X$ and $(y_\ell)_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ in Y , hence $\text{Lip } \varphi \leq \mu$ by (2).

$\text{Im } h^\ell := \bigcup_{z \in Z} h^\ell(z) = \{(F_\ell(x, y), y) : (x, y) \in Z\}$ satisfies
 $\text{diam}\{F_\ell(x, y) : x \in X\} \leq 2r\lambda^\ell$; as $\text{Im } h^\ell \supset \text{Im } h^m$ for $\ell \leq m$,
this yields $\bigcap \text{Im } h^\ell = \{(\psi(y), y) : y \in Y\}$ and
 $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} F_\ell(x_\ell, y) = \psi(y)$ uniformly with respect to $y \in Y$ and
 $(x_\ell)_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X , hence $\text{Lip } \psi \leq \lambda$ by (1).

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} (F_\ell, G_\ell)(x, y) = (\psi(y), \varphi(x)) \text{ uniformly.}$$

We shall see soon that:

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} (F_\ell, G_\ell)(x, y) = (\psi(y), \varphi(x)) \text{ uniformly.}$$

We shall see soon that:

$W_s := \text{graph } \varphi$ is the set of all z such that there exists an infinite orbit $(z_\ell)_{\ell \geq 0}$ of h with $z_0 = z$ (orbit $(z_\ell)_{\ell \geq 0}$ of H in Z with $z_0 = z$), therefore invariant under h in the sense that $h^{-1}(W_s) = W_s$;

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} (F_\ell, G_\ell)(x, y) = (\psi(y), \varphi(x)) \text{ uniformly.}$$

We shall see soon that:

$W_s := \text{graph } \varphi$ is the set of all z such that there exists an infinite orbit $(z_\ell)_{\ell \geq 0}$ of h with $z_0 = z$ (orbit $(z_\ell)_{\ell \geq 0}$ of H in Z with $z_0 = z$), therefore invariant under h in the sense that $h^{-1}(W_s) = W_s$;

W_s is the stable manifold of h at 0, as $|z_\ell| \leq \lambda^\ell |z|$;

$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} (F_\ell, G_\ell)(x, y) = (\psi(y), \varphi(x))$ uniformly.

We shall see soon that:

$W_s := \text{graph } \varphi$ is the set of all z such that there exists an infinite orbit $(z_\ell)_{\ell \geq 0}$ of h with $z_0 = z$ (orbit $(z_\ell)_{\ell \geq 0}$ of H in Z with $z_0 = z$), therefore invariant under h in the sense that $h^{-1}(W_s) = W_s$;

W_s is the stable manifold of h at 0, as $|z_\ell| \leq \lambda^\ell |z|$;

$W_u := \{(\psi(y'), y') : y' \in Y\}$ is the set of those z such that there exists an infinite orbit $(z_\ell)_{\ell \leq 0}$ of h with $z_0 = z$ (in other words an infinite orbit $(z_\ell)_{\ell \leq 0}$ of H in Z such that $z_0 = z$), therefore invariant under h^{-1} in the sense that $h(W_u) = W_u$;

$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} (F_\ell, G_\ell)(x, y) = (\psi(y), \varphi(x))$ uniformly.

We shall see soon that:

$W_s := \text{graph } \varphi$ is the set of all z such that there exists an infinite orbit $(z_\ell)_{\ell \geq 0}$ of h with $z_0 = z$ (orbit $(z_\ell)_{\ell \geq 0}$ of H in Z with $z_0 = z$), therefore invariant under h in the sense that $h^{-1}(W_s) = W_s$;

W_s is the stable manifold of h at 0, as $|z_\ell| \leq \lambda^\ell |z|$;

$W_u := \{(\psi(y'), y') : y' \in Y\}$ is the set of those z such that there exists an infinite orbit $(z_\ell)_{\ell \leq 0}$ of h with $z_0 = z$ (in other words an infinite orbit $(z_\ell)_{\ell \leq 0}$ of H in Z such that $z_0 = z$), therefore invariant under h^{-1} in the sense that $h(W_u) = W_u$;

W_u is the unstable manifold of h at 0, as $|z_\ell| \leq \mu^{-\ell} |y|$.

Introduction - Stable manifolds à la McGehee-Sander

Smoothness The generating maps (F_ℓ, G_ℓ) are constructed via the ordinary implicit function theorem (no functional analysis involved) and therefore as smooth as H .

Introduction - Stable manifolds à la McGehee-Sander

Smoothness The generating maps (F_ℓ, G_ℓ) are constructed via the ordinary implicit function theorem (no functional analysis involved) and therefore as smooth as H .

In particular, they are holomorphic when H is; in that case $\varphi : X \mapsto Y$ is holomorphic (inside X), being the uniform limit of the holomorphic functions $x \mapsto G_\ell(x, y)$ for any $y \in Y$, hence so is W_s ; same for W_u .

Smoothness The generating maps (F_ℓ, G_ℓ) are constructed via the ordinary implicit function theorem (no functional analysis involved) and therefore as smooth as H .

In particular, they are holomorphic when H is; in that case $\varphi : X \mapsto Y$ is holomorphic (inside X), being the uniform limit of the holomorphic functions $x \mapsto G_\ell(x, y)$ for any $y \in Y$, hence so is W_s ; same for W_u .

(complexification) W_s and W_u are real analytic when H is.

Still without functional analysis, one can see that:

Smoothness The generating maps (F_ℓ, G_ℓ) are constructed via the ordinary implicit function theorem (no functional analysis involved) and therefore as smooth as H .

In particular, they are holomorphic when H is; in that case $\varphi : X \mapsto Y$ is holomorphic (inside X), being the uniform limit of the holomorphic functions $x \mapsto G_\ell(x, y)$ for any $y \in Y$, hence so is W_s ; same for W_u .

(complexification) W_s and W_u are real analytic when H is.

Still without functional analysis, one can see that:

W_s and W_u are C^1 when H is;

Smoothness The generating maps (F_ℓ, G_ℓ) are constructed via the ordinary implicit function theorem (no functional analysis involved) and therefore as smooth as H .

In particular, they are holomorphic when H is; in that case $\varphi : X \mapsto Y$ is holomorphic (inside X), being the uniform limit of the holomorphic functions $x \mapsto G_\ell(x, y)$ for any $y \in Y$, hence so is W_s ; same for W_u .

(complexification) W_s and W_u are real analytic when H is.

Still without functional analysis, one can see that:

W_s and W_u are C^1 when H is;

they are C^k when H is for $2 \leq k \leq \infty$, which can be proved inductively (variant of the “Hirsch-Pugh-Shub trick”):

Smoothness The generating maps (F_ℓ, G_ℓ) are constructed via the ordinary implicit function theorem (no functional analysis involved) and therefore as smooth as H .

In particular, they are holomorphic when H is; in that case $\varphi : X \mapsto Y$ is holomorphic (inside X), being the uniform limit of the holomorphic functions $x \mapsto G_\ell(x, y)$ for any $y \in Y$, hence so is W_s ; same for W_u .

(complexification) W_s and W_u are real analytic when H is.

Still without functional analysis, one can see that:

W_s and W_u are C^1 when H is;

they are C^k when H is for $2 \leq k \leq \infty$, which can be proved inductively (variant of the “Hirsch-Pugh-Shub trick”):

obtain the 1-jet $x \mapsto (\varphi(x), D\varphi(x))$ of φ in the same way as φ , replacing h by its natural extension to a suitable box in the jet space $J^1(X, Y) = X \times Y \times L(E_s, E_u)$;

Smoothness The generating maps (F_ℓ, G_ℓ) are constructed via the ordinary implicit function theorem (no functional analysis involved) and therefore as smooth as H .

In particular, they are holomorphic when H is; in that case $\varphi : X \mapsto Y$ is holomorphic (inside X), being the uniform limit of the holomorphic functions $x \mapsto G_\ell(x, y)$ for any $y \in Y$, hence so is W_s ; same for W_u .

(complexification) W_s and W_u are real analytic when H is.

Still without functional analysis, one can see that:

W_s and W_u are C^1 when H is;

they are C^k when H is for $2 \leq k \leq \infty$, which can be proved inductively (variant of the “Hirsch-Pugh-Shub trick”):

obtain the 1-jet $x \mapsto (\varphi(x), D\varphi(x))$ of φ in the same way as φ , replacing h by its natural extension to a suitable box in the jet space $J^1(X, Y) = X \times Y \times L(E_s, E_u)$;

this holds for non-integer k as well.

Theorem

Let X, Y be metric spaces one of which at least is complete, and let h be a correspondence of $Z = X \times Y$ into itself admitting a Lipschitzian generating map $(F, G) : Z \rightarrow Z$ such that

$$\lambda := \text{Lip } F \quad \text{and} \quad \mu := \text{Lip } G \quad \text{satisfy} \quad \lambda\mu < 1.$$

Then, for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $z = (x, y) \in Z$, there is exactly *one* orbit $(z_0, \dots, z_\ell)$ of h such that the X -component of z_0 equals x and the Y -component of z_ℓ equals y ; setting then $z_i = (F_i^\ell(z), G_i^\ell(z))$, $0 \leq i \leq \ell$, the correspondence h^ℓ clearly admits the generating map (F_ℓ^ℓ, G_0^ℓ) and one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda^i, \lambda\mu^{\ell-i}) &\in \text{Lip}_2 F_i^\ell \\ (\mu\lambda^i, \mu^{\ell-i}) &\in \text{Lip}_2 G_i^\ell \end{aligned} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq \ell$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_i^m(x, y) &= F_i^\ell(x, G_\ell^m(x, y)) \\ G_i^m(x, y) &= G_i^\ell(x, G_\ell^m(x, y)) \end{aligned} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq \ell \leq m.$$

Corollary (pseudo-stable subspaces)

If, moreover, Y is complete, bounded and $\mu < 1$ then:

Corollary (pseudo-stable subspaces)

If, moreover, Y is complete, bounded and $\mu < 1$ then:

- i) For each $x \in X$, there is precisely **one** orbit $(z_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of h such that the X -component of z_0 equals x ; it is given by
- $$z_i = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} (F_i^\ell(x, y_\ell), G_i^\ell(x, y_\ell)), \text{ limit independent of } (y_\ell)_{\ell \geq 0};$$
- in particular, $z_0 = \left(x, \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} G_0^\ell(x, y_\ell)\right) =: (x, \varphi(x))$.

Corollary (pseudo-stable subspaces)

If, moreover, Y is complete, bounded and $\mu < 1$ then:

- i) For each $x \in X$, there is precisely **one** orbit $(z_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of h such that the X -component of z_0 equals x ; it is given by
$$z_i = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} (F_i^\ell(x, y_\ell), G_i^\ell(x, y_\ell)), \text{ limit independent of } (y_\ell)_{\ell \geq 0};$$
in particular, $z_0 = \left(x, \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} G_0^\ell(x, y_\ell)\right) =: (x, \varphi(x))$.
- ii) Thus, the graph W_s of the map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ so defined consists of those $z \in Z$ such that there exists an orbit $(z_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of h with $z_0 = z$; it is invariant by h and $\text{Lip } \varphi \leq \mu$.

Corollary (pseudo-stable subspaces)

If, moreover, Y is complete, bounded and $\mu < 1$ then:

- i) For each $x \in X$, there is precisely **one** orbit $(z_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of h such that the X -component of z_0 equals x ; it is given by
$$z_i = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} (F_i^\ell(x, y_\ell), G_i^\ell(x, y_\ell)), \text{ limit independent of } (y_\ell)_{\ell \geq 0};$$
in particular, $z_0 = \left(x, \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} G_0^\ell(x, y_\ell)\right) =: (x, \varphi(x))$.
- ii) Thus, the graph W_s of the map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ so defined consists of those $z \in Z$ such that there exists an orbit $(z_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of h with $z_0 = z$; it is invariant by h and $\text{Lip } \varphi \leq \mu$.
- iii) The correspondence $W_s \ni z \mapsto h(z) \cap W_s$ is a map $h_s : W_s \rightarrow W_s$ and therefore $h_s(x, \varphi(x)) = (f_s(x), \varphi(f_s(x)))$; thus, in i), $z_i = h_s^i(x, \varphi(x)) = (f_s^i(x), \varphi(f_s^i(x)))$, hence $f_s(x) = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} F_1^\ell(x, y_\ell)$ and $\text{Lip } h_s = \text{Lip } f_s \leq \lambda$.

Corollary (pseudo-unstable subspaces)

Under the hypotheses of the theorem, if X is complete, bounded and $\lambda < 1$ then:

Corollary (pseudo-unstable subspaces)

Under the hypotheses of the theorem, if X is complete, bounded and $\lambda < 1$ then:

- i) *For each $y \in Y$, there is precisely **one** orbit $(z_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of h^{-1} such that the Y -component of z_0 equals y ; it is given by $z_i = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} (F_{\ell-i}^\ell(x_\ell, y), G_{\ell-i}^\ell(x_\ell, y))$, limit independent of $(x_\ell)_{\ell \geq 0}$; in particular, $z_0 = \left(\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} F_\ell^\ell(x_\ell, y), y \right) =: (\psi(y), y)$.*

Corollary (pseudo-unstable subspaces)

Under the hypotheses of the theorem, if X is complete, bounded and $\lambda < 1$ then:

- i) *For each $y \in Y$, there is precisely **one** orbit $(z_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of h^{-1} such that the Y -component of z_0 equals y ; it is given by $z_i = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} (F_{\ell-i}^\ell(x_\ell, y), G_{\ell-i}^\ell(x_\ell, y))$, limit independent of $(x_\ell)_{\ell \geq 0}$; in particular, $z_0 = \left(\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} F_\ell^\ell(x_\ell, y), y \right) =: (\psi(y), y)$.*
- ii) *Thus, the “graph” $W_u = \{(\psi(y), y) : y \in Y\}$ of $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$ is the set of those $z \in Z$ such that there exists an orbit $(z_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of h^{-1} with $z_0 = z$; it is invariant by h^{-1} and $\text{Lip } \psi \leq \lambda$.*

Corollary (pseudo-unstable subspaces)

Under the hypotheses of the theorem, if X is complete, bounded and $\lambda < 1$ then:

- i) For each $y \in Y$, there is precisely **one** orbit $(z_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of h^{-1} such that the Y -component of z_0 equals y ; it is given by $z_i = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} (F_{\ell-i}^\ell(x_\ell, y), G_{\ell-i}^\ell(x_\ell, y))$, limit independent of $(x_\ell)_{\ell \geq 0}$; in particular, $z_0 = \left(\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} F_\ell^\ell(x_\ell, y), y \right) =: (\psi(y), y)$.
- ii) Thus, the “graph” $W_u = \{(\psi(y), y) : y \in Y\}$ of $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$ is the set of those $z \in Z$ such that there exists an orbit $(z_i)_{i \geq 0}$ of h^{-1} with $z_0 = z$; it is invariant by h^{-1} and $\text{Lip } \psi \leq \lambda$.
- iii) The correspondence $W_u \ni z \mapsto h^{-1}(z) \cap W_u$ is a map $h_u^- : W_u \rightarrow W_u$ and therefore writes $h_u^-(\psi(y), y) = (\psi(g_u(y)), g_u(y))$; it follows that, in i), $z_i = (h_u^-)^i(\psi(y), y) = (\psi(g_u^i(y)), g_u^i(y))$, hence $g_u(y) = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} G_{\ell-1}^\ell(x_\ell, y)$ and $\text{Lip } h_u^- = \text{Lip } g_u \leq \mu$.

The second corollary follows from the first, applied to the **dual correspondence of h** , i. e., the correspondence h^* of $Z^* = Y \times X$ into itself obtained from h^{-1} by exchanging X and Y , which admits the generating map $(F^*, G^*) : (y, x) \mapsto (G, F)(x, y)$.

The second corollary follows from the first, applied to the **dual correspondence of h** , i. e., the correspondence h^* of $Z^* = Y \times X$ into itself obtained from h^{-1} by exchanging X and Y , which admits the generating map $(F^*, G^*) : (y, x) \mapsto (G, F)(x, y)$.

Corollary (“hyperbolic” situation)

Assume the hypotheses of both the previous corollaries satisfied, i.e. Z bounded, complete and λ, μ less than 1. Then:

- i) *The correspondence h has a unique fixed point p (meaning that $p \in h(p)$) and $W_s \cap W_u = \{p\}$.*

The second corollary follows from the first, applied to the **dual correspondence** of h , i. e., the correspondence h^* of $Z^* = Y \times X$ into itself obtained from h^{-1} by exchanging X and Y , which admits the generating map $(F^*, G^*) : (y, x) \mapsto (G, F)(x, y)$.

Corollary (“hyperbolic” situation)

Assume the hypotheses of both the previous corollaries satisfied, i.e. Z bounded, complete and λ, μ less than 1. Then:

- i) *The correspondence h has a unique fixed point p (meaning that $p \in h(p)$) and $W_s \cap W_u = \{p\}$.*
- ii) *The subspace W_s is the **stable subspace** of p , i. e., for all $z \in W_s$ the orbit $(h_s^i(z))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of h tends to p : more precisely, $d(h_s^i(z), p) \leq \lambda^i d(z, p)$.*

The second corollary follows from the first, applied to the **dual correspondence** of h , i. e., the correspondence h^* of $Z^* = Y \times X$ into itself obtained from h^{-1} by exchanging X and Y , which admits the generating map $(F^*, G^*) : (y, x) \mapsto (G, F)(x, y)$.

Corollary (“hyperbolic” situation)

Assume the hypotheses of both the previous corollaries satisfied, i.e. Z bounded, complete and λ, μ less than 1. Then:

- i) *The correspondence h has a unique fixed point p (meaning that $p \in h(p)$) and $W_s \cap W_u = \{p\}$.*
- ii) *The subspace W_s is the **stable subspace** of p , i. e., for all $z \in W_s$ the orbit $(h_s^i(z))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of h tends to p : more precisely, $d(h_s^i(z), p) \leq \lambda^i d(z, p)$.*
- iii) *The subspace W_u is the **unstable subspace** of p in the sense that, for all $z \in W_u$, the orbit $((h_u^-)^i(z))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of h^{-1} tends to p : more precisely, $d((h_u^-)^i(z), p) \leq \mu^i d(z, p)$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. The fixed points of h are those of the strict contraction (F, G) . The constant sequence $z_i = p$ is an orbit of both h and h^{-1} , hence $p \in W_s \cap W_u$; now, $W_s \cap W_u$ consists of all $(x, \varphi(x))$ with $x = \psi \circ \varphi(x)$ and therefore contains only p since $\psi \circ \varphi$ is a strict contraction of X . □

Absolute normal hyperbolicity

Here, Z is the product $Z_s \times Z_c \times Z_u$ of three complete metric spaces with $\#Z_c \geq 2$, equipped with the product space metric, and h is a correspondence of Z into itself, satisfying both

Absolute normal hyperbolicity

Here, Z is the product $Z_s \times Z_c \times Z_u$ of three complete metric spaces with $\#Z_c \geq 2$, equipped with the product space metric, and h is a correspondence of Z into itself, satisfying both

- ▶ the hypotheses of the first corollary for $X = Z_s \times Z_c$, $Y = Z_u$ with constants $\lambda = \lambda_s$ and $\mu = \mu_s$,

Absolute normal hyperbolicity

Here, Z is the product $Z_s \times Z_c \times Z_u$ of three complete metric spaces with $\#Z_c \geq 2$, equipped with the product space metric, and h is a correspondence of Z into itself, satisfying both

- ▶ the hypotheses of the first corollary for $X = Z_s \times Z_c$, $Y = Z_u$ with constants $\lambda = \lambda_s$ and $\mu = \mu_s$,
- ▶ the hypotheses of the second corollary for $X = Z_s$, $Y = Z_c \times Z_u$ with constants $\lambda = \lambda_u$ and $\mu = \mu_u$.

Corollary

Corollary

- i) *The z_0 terms of orbits $(z_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of h form the h -invariant graph W_s of a map $\varphi : Z_s \times Z_c \rightarrow Z_u$ with $\text{Lip } \varphi \leq \mu_s$, and h restricts to a map h_s of W_s into itself, which writes $h_s(x, \theta, \varphi(x, \theta)) = (f_s(x, \theta), \varphi \circ f_s(x, \theta))$ with $\text{Lip } f_s \leq \lambda_s$.*

Corollary

- i) The z_0 terms of orbits $(z_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of h form the h -invariant graph W_s of a map $\varphi : Z_s \times Z_c \rightarrow Z_u$ with $\text{Lip } \varphi \leq \mu_s$, and h restricts to a map h_s of W_s into itself, which writes $h_s(x, \theta, \varphi(x, \theta)) = (f_s(x, \theta), \varphi \circ f_s(x, \theta))$ with $\text{Lip } f_s \leq \lambda_s$.
- ii) The z_0 terms of orbits $(z_n)_{n \leq 0}$ of h form the h^{-1} -invariant “graph” W_u of a map $\psi : Z_c \times Z_u \rightarrow Z_s$ with $\text{Lip } \psi \leq \lambda_u$, and h^{-1} restricts to a map h_u^- of W_u into itself, which writes $h_u^-(\psi(\theta, y), \theta, y) = (\psi \circ g_u(\theta, y), g_u(\theta, y))$ with $\text{Lip } g_u \leq \mu_u$.

Corollary

- i) *The z_0 terms of orbits $(z_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of h form the h -invariant graph W_s of a map $\varphi : Z_s \times Z_c \rightarrow Z_u$ with $\text{Lip } \varphi \leq \mu_s$, and h restricts to a map h_s of W_s into itself, which writes $h_s(x, \theta, \varphi(x, \theta)) = (f_s(x, \theta), \varphi \circ f_s(x, \theta))$ with $\text{Lip } f_s \leq \lambda_s$.*
- ii) *The z_0 terms of orbits $(z_n)_{n \leq 0}$ of h form the h^{-1} -invariant “graph” W_u of a map $\psi : Z_c \times Z_u \rightarrow Z_s$ with $\text{Lip } \psi \leq \lambda_u$, and h^{-1} restricts to a map h_u^- of W_u into itself, which writes $h_u^-(\psi(\theta, y), \theta, y) = (\psi \circ g_u(\theta, y), g_u(\theta, y))$ with $\text{Lip } g_u \leq \mu_u$.*
- iii) *The subspace $W_c := W_s \cap W_u$ of all z_0 terms of orbits $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of h is invariant by h_s and h_u^- ; the restriction $h_c : W_c \rightarrow W_c$ of h_s is bijective and h_c^{-1} is the restriction of h_u^- .*

Corollary

- i) The z_0 terms of orbits $(z_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of h form the h -invariant graph W_s of a map $\varphi : Z_s \times Z_c \rightarrow Z_u$ with $\text{Lip } \varphi \leq \mu_s$, and h restricts to a map h_s of W_s into itself, which writes $h_s(x, \theta, \varphi(x, \theta)) = (f_s(x, \theta), \varphi \circ f_s(x, \theta))$ with $\text{Lip } f_s \leq \lambda_s$.
- ii) The z_0 terms of orbits $(z_n)_{n \leq 0}$ of h form the h^{-1} -invariant “graph” W_u of a map $\psi : Z_c \times Z_u \rightarrow Z_s$ with $\text{Lip } \psi \leq \lambda_u$, and h^{-1} restricts to a map h_u^- of W_u into itself, which writes $h_u^-(\psi(\theta, y), \theta, y) = (\psi \circ g_u(\theta, y), g_u(\theta, y))$ with $\text{Lip } g_u \leq \mu_u$.
- iii) The subspace $W_c := W_s \cap W_u$ of all z_0 terms of orbits $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of h is invariant by h_s and h_u^- ; the restriction $h_c : W_c \rightarrow W_c$ of h_s is bijective and h_c^{-1} is the restriction of h_u^- .
- iv) The subspace W_c is the “graph” of a map $\chi : Z_c \rightarrow Z_s \times Z_u$ whose components χ_s, χ_u satisfy $\text{Lip } \chi_s \leq \lambda_u$, $\text{Lip } \chi_u \leq \mu_s$. Thus $h_c(\chi_s(\theta), \theta, \chi_u(\theta)) = (\chi_s \circ f_c(\theta), f_c(\theta), \chi_u \circ f_c(\theta))$ with f_c invertible, $\text{Lip } f_c \leq \lambda_s$ and $\text{Lip}(f_c^{-1}) \leq \mu_u$.

Two lemmas

Two lemmas

Basic Lemma

Given metric spaces $U_1, \dots, U_n, E$, set $U := U_1 \times \dots \times U_n$ and assume that $\Phi : U \times E \rightarrow E$ satisfies $(c_1, \dots, c_n, c) \in \text{Lip}_{n+1} \Phi$ for nonnegative real numbers $c_1, \dots, c_n, c$. If E is complete and $c < 1$ then each $x \mapsto \Phi(u, x)$ has a unique fixed point $B(u)$, which defines a map $B : U \rightarrow E$ with $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \text{Lip}_n B$.

Two lemmas

Basic Lemma

Given metric spaces $U_1, \dots, U_n, E$, set $U := U_1 \times \dots \times U_n$ and assume that $\Phi : U \times E \rightarrow E$ satisfies $(c_1, \dots, c_n, c) \in \text{Lip}_{n+1} \Phi$ for nonnegative real numbers $c_1, \dots, c_n, c$. If E is complete and $c < 1$ then each $x \mapsto \Phi(u, x)$ has a unique fixed point $B(u)$, which defines a map $B : U \rightarrow E$ with $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \text{Lip}_n B$.

Proof. Setting $x = B(u)$ and $x' = B(u')$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, x') &= d(\Phi(u, x), \Phi(u', x')) \\ &\leq \max\{c_1 d(u_1, u'_1), \dots, c_n d(u_n, u'_n), c d(x, x')\}; \end{aligned}$$

if the maximum on the right-hand side were strictly $c d(x, x')$, we would get the absurdity $0 < (1 - c)d(x, x') \leq 0$, hence $d(B(u), B(u')) \leq \max\{c_1 d(u_1, u'_1), \dots, c_n d(u_n, u'_n)\}$. $\square$

Two lemmas

Composition Lemma. Given metric spaces $X_0, Y_0, X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2$ and, for $m = 1, 2$, a correspondence h_m of $X_{m-1} \times Y_{m-1}$ into $X_m \times Y_m$ having a generating map (F_m, G_{m-1}) with $(\alpha_m, \beta_m) \in \text{Lip}_2 F_m$ and $(\gamma_{m-1}, \delta_{m-1}) \in \text{Lip}_2 G_{m-1}$, assume Y_1 or X_1 complete and $\beta_1 \gamma_1 < 1$. Then:

Two lemmas

Composition Lemma. Given metric spaces $X_0, Y_0, X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2$ and, for $m = 1, 2$, a correspondence h_m of $X_{m-1} \times Y_{m-1}$ into $X_m \times Y_m$ having a generating map (F_m, G_{m-1}) with $(\alpha_m, \beta_m) \in \text{Lip}_2 F_m$ and $(\gamma_{m-1}, \delta_{m-1}) \in \text{Lip}_2 G_{m-1}$, assume Y_1 or X_1 complete and $\beta_1 \gamma_1 < 1$. Then:

- i) $h_2 \circ h_1$ has a generating map $(\bar{F}, \bar{G}) : X_0 \times Y_2 \rightarrow X_2 \times Y_0$ with $(\alpha_2 \alpha_1, \max\{\alpha_2 \beta_1 \delta_1, \beta_2\}) \in \text{Lip}_2 \bar{F}$ and $(\max\{\gamma_0, \delta_0 \gamma_1 \alpha_1\}, \delta_0 \delta_1) \in \text{Lip}_2 \bar{G}$.

Two lemmas

Composition Lemma. Given metric spaces $X_0, Y_0, X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2$ and, for $m = 1, 2$, a correspondence h_m of $X_{m-1} \times Y_{m-1}$ into $X_m \times Y_m$ having a generating map (F_m, G_{m-1}) with $(\alpha_m, \beta_m) \in \text{Lip}_2 F_m$ and $(\gamma_{m-1}, \delta_{m-1}) \in \text{Lip}_2 G_{m-1}$, assume Y_1 or X_1 complete and $\beta_1 \gamma_1 < 1$. Then:

- i) $h_2 \circ h_1$ has a generating map $(\bar{F}, \bar{G}) : X_0 \times Y_2 \rightarrow X_2 \times Y_0$ with $(\alpha_2 \alpha_1, \max\{\alpha_2 \beta_1 \delta_1, \beta_2\}) \in \text{Lip}_2 \bar{F}$ and $(\max\{\gamma_0, \delta_0 \gamma_1 \alpha_1\}, \delta_0 \delta_1) \in \text{Lip}_2 \bar{G}$.
- ii) For all $(x_0, y_2) \in X_0 \times Y_2$ there is **one** orbit (z_0, z_1, z_2) of (h_1, h_2) with $(x_0, y_2) = (\text{pr}_1 z_0, \text{pr}_2 z_2)$; setting $z_1 =: (A(x_0, y_2), B(x_0, y_2))$, one has $(\gamma_1 \alpha_1, \delta_1) \in \text{Lip}_2 B$ and $(\alpha_1, \beta_1 \delta_1) \in \text{Lip}_2 A$.

Two lemmas

Composition Lemma. Given metric spaces $X_0, Y_0, X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2$ and, for $m = 1, 2$, a correspondence h_m of $X_{m-1} \times Y_{m-1}$ into $X_m \times Y_m$ having a generating map (F_m, G_{m-1}) with $(\alpha_m, \beta_m) \in \text{Lip}_2 F_m$ and $(\gamma_{m-1}, \delta_{m-1}) \in \text{Lip}_2 G_{m-1}$, assume Y_1 or X_1 complete and $\beta_1 \gamma_1 < 1$. Then:

- i) $h_2 \circ h_1$ has a generating map $(\bar{F}, \bar{G}) : X_0 \times Y_2 \rightarrow X_2 \times Y_0$ with $(\alpha_2 \alpha_1, \max\{\alpha_2 \beta_1 \delta_1, \beta_2\}) \in \text{Lip}_2 \bar{F}$ and $(\max\{\gamma_0, \delta_0 \gamma_1 \alpha_1\}, \delta_0 \delta_1) \in \text{Lip}_2 \bar{G}$.
- ii) For all $(x_0, y_2) \in X_0 \times Y_2$ there is **one** orbit (z_0, z_1, z_2) of (h_1, h_2) with $(x_0, y_2) = (\text{pr}_1 z_0, \text{pr}_2 z_2)$; setting $z_1 =: (A(x_0, y_2), B(x_0, y_2))$, one has $(\gamma_1 \alpha_1, \delta_1) \in \text{Lip}_2 B$ and $(\alpha_1, \beta_1 \delta_1) \in \text{Lip}_2 A$.

Proof. $((x_0, y_0), (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2))$ is an orbit of (h_1, h_2) iff $x_1 = F_1(x_0, y_1)$, $y_0 = G_0(x_0, y_1)$, $x_2 = F_2(x_1, y_2)$, $y_1 = G_1(x_1, y_2)$; replace the last equation by $y_1 = G_1(F_1(x_0, y_1), y_2) =: \Phi(x_0, y_2, y_1)$; as $\text{Lip}_3 \Phi \ni (\gamma_1 \alpha_1, \delta_1, \gamma_1 \beta_1)$, $\gamma_1 \beta_1 < 1$, this reads $y_1 = B(x_0, y_2)$ with $(\gamma_1 \alpha_1, \delta_1) \in \text{Lip}_2 B$ when Y_1 is complete. The rest follows. $\square$

Proof of the theorem

$(\lambda^i, \lambda\mu^{\ell-i}) \in \text{Lip}_2 F_i^\ell$ is obvious if $i = 0$ since $F_0^\ell(x, y) = x$.

$(\mu\lambda^i, \mu^{\ell-i}) \in \text{Lip}_2 G_i^\ell$ is obvious if $i = \ell$ since $G_\ell^\ell(x, y) = y$.

Proof of the theorem

$(\lambda^i, \lambda\mu^{\ell-i}) \in \text{Lip}_2 F_i^\ell$ is obvious if $i = 0$ since $F_0^\ell(x, y) = x$.

$(\mu\lambda^i, \mu^{\ell-i}) \in \text{Lip}_2 G_i^\ell$ is obvious if $i = \ell$ since $G_\ell^\ell(x, y) = y$.

For positive ℓ , we proceed by induction on ℓ :

Proof of the theorem

$(\lambda^i, \lambda\mu^{\ell-i}) \in \text{Lip}_2 F_i^\ell$ is obvious if $i = 0$ since $F_0^\ell(x, y) = x$.

$(\mu\lambda^i, \mu^{\ell-i}) \in \text{Lip}_2 G_i^\ell$ is obvious if $i = \ell$ since $G_\ell^\ell(x, y) = y$.

For positive ℓ , we proceed by induction on ℓ :

- If $\ell = 1$ then h has the generating map $(F_1^1, G_0^1) = (F, G)$ and $\lambda = \text{Lip } F$ does imply $(\lambda, \lambda) \in \text{Lip}_2 F$: same for G .

Proof of the theorem

$(\lambda^i, \lambda\mu^{\ell-i}) \in \text{Lip}_2 F_i^\ell$ is obvious if $i = 0$ since $F_0^\ell(x, y) = x$.

$(\mu\lambda^i, \mu^{\ell-i}) \in \text{Lip}_2 G_i^\ell$ is obvious if $i = \ell$ since $G_\ell^\ell(x, y) = y$.

For positive ℓ , we proceed by induction on ℓ :

- ▶ If $\ell = 1$ then h has the generating map $(F_1^1, G_0^1) = (F, G)$ and $\lambda = \text{Lip } F$ does imply $(\lambda, \lambda) \in \text{Lip}_2 F$: same for G .
- ▶ Otherwise, assume the theorem proved for all smaller values of ℓ and apply the composition lemma to $h_1 = h^i$ and $h_2 = h^{\ell-i}$ for $0 < i < \ell$.

Proof of the theorem

$(\lambda^i, \lambda \mu^{\ell-i}) \in \text{Lip}_2 F_i^\ell$ is obvious if $i = 0$ since $F_0^\ell(x, y) = x$.

$(\mu \lambda^i, \mu^{\ell-i}) \in \text{Lip}_2 G_i^\ell$ is obvious if $i = \ell$ since $G_\ell^\ell(x, y) = y$.

For positive ℓ , we proceed by induction on ℓ :

- ▶ If $\ell = 1$ then h has the generating map $(F_1^1, G_0^1) = (F, G)$ and $\lambda = \text{Lip } F$ does imply $(\lambda, \lambda) \in \text{Lip}_2 F$: same for G .
- ▶ Otherwise, assume the theorem proved for all smaller values of ℓ and apply the composition lemma to $h_1 = h^i$ and $h_2 = h^{\ell-i}$ for $0 < i < \ell$.
- ▶ Finally, the relations $F_i^m(x, y) = F_i^\ell(x, G_\ell^m(x, y))$ and $G_i^m(x, y) = G_i^\ell(x, G_\ell^m(x, y))$ reflect the fact that $(z_i)_{0 \leq i \leq m} := (F_i^m(x, y), G_i^m(x, y))_{0 \leq i \leq m}$ is the sole orbit such that the X -component of z_0 equals x and the Y -component of z_m equals y , and $(z_i)_{0 \leq i \leq \ell}$ is the sole orbit such that the X -component of z_0 equals x and the Y -component of z_ℓ equals $G_\ell^m(x, y)$.