Faster Compact DiffieHellman: Endomorphisms on the *x*-line

Craig Costello

craigco@microsoft.com

Microsoft Resesarch Redmond Seattle, USA

Research

Hüseyin Hışıl

huseyin.hisil@yasar.edu.tr

Computer Eng. Department Yaşar University İzmir, Turkey

Benjamin Smith

smith@lix.polytechnique.fr

INRIA, France LIX, Ecole polytechnique, France







ECC 2014, Chennai

Hüseyin Hışıl (CHS2013)

Endomorphisms on the x-line

October 8, 2014 1 / 41

A software implementation of Diffie-Hellman key-exchange targeting 128-bit security (EUROCRYPT 2013):

- Fast: 148,000 cycles (Intel Core i7-3520M Ivy Bridge) for key_gen and shared_secret
- **Compact:** 256-bit keys (*purely x*-coordinates only)
- **Constant-time:** execution independent of input side-channel resistant

Software (in SUPERCOP format) available at:

http://hhisil.yasar.edu.tr/files/hisil20140318compact.tar.gz

Endomorphisms

replace single scalar with half-sized double-scalars

2 Selecting the curve

parameter fine tuning, twist security, large discriminant, ...

S Endomorphisms on the *x*-line

use x coordinates throughout, instead of (x, y) coordinates, and work on curve and twist simultaneously

Fast finite field arithmetic

non-unique representation, assembly tricks, btrq, ...

Let E_1 and E_2 be elliptic curves.

• An isogeny is a homomorphism

 $\phi: E_1 \to E_2$ with finite kernel satisfying $\phi(O) = O, \ \phi(E_1) \neq \{O\}.$

• Let $P \in E_1$. Observe that the set

$$\operatorname{Hom}(E_1, E_2) := \Big\{ \text{isogenies } \phi \colon E_1 \to E_2 \Big\}.$$

becomes a group under the addition law

$$(\phi + \psi)(P) = \phi(P) + \psi(P).$$

• Now let $E := E_1 = E_2$. An endomorphism is an element of

 $\operatorname{End}(E) := \operatorname{Hom}(E, E).$

- End(*E*) is called the endomorphism ring of *E* since we have for all points on *E*;
 - the addition –homomorphism property–

$$(\phi + \psi)(P) = \phi(P) + \psi(P),$$

the multiplication –composition–

$$(\phi\psi)(P) = \phi(\psi(P)).$$

• Multiplication-by-*m* map for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$[m]: P \mapsto \underbrace{P + P + \ldots + P}_{m \text{ times}}.$$

Computing [m](P) is the bottleneck for many curve based protocols.

Therefore, we want to speed up [m](P).

Classic examples for endomorphisms

• Let
$$p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$$
 be a prime. Define

$$E: y^2 = x^3 + ax$$

over $\mathbb{F}_p.$ Let $\kappa\in\mathbb{F}_p$ suct that $\kappa^2=-1.$ Then the map

$$\mu : (x, y) \longmapsto (-x, \kappa y)$$

is an endomorphism with characteristic polynomial

$$\mathcal{P}(X) = X^2 + 1.$$

Suppose $N \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ but $N^2 \nmid \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Now, $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ contains exactly one subgroup of order N. Assume $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)[N]$. Then $\mu(P) \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)[N]$. Therefore, $\mu(P) = [\lambda]P$ for some $\lambda \in [1, N - 1]$ when $P \neq O$. Furthermore, λ is a root modulo N of $\mathcal{P}(X)$.

<u>Gallant/Lambert/Vanstone technique CRYPTO'01</u>

Speeding up scalar multiplication with GLV:

Replace

$$(m, P) \mapsto [m](P)$$

with

$$((a, b), P) \longmapsto [a]P + [b]\mu(P) = [a]P + [b\lambda](P) = [m](P)$$

where (a, b) is a short multiscalar decomposition of a random full-length scalar m.

Endomorphism examples by Gallant/Lambert/Vanstone'01 are only applicaple to a very limited set of elliptic curves.

• The *q*-power Frobenius endomorphism π_q (if *E* is defined over \mathbb{F}_q).

$$\pi_q : (x, y) \mapsto (x^q, y^q)$$

where π_q satisfies the characteristic polynomial

$$\mathcal{P}(X) = X^2 - tX + q$$

where $t = q + 1 - \# E(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

We have $\pi_q(P) = P$ for all $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$, i.e. the set of points fixed by π_q is exactly $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Observe that $(X^2 - tX + q) \mod \#E$ factors as (x - 1)(x - q).

<u>Galbraith/Lin/Scott endomorphism EUROCRYPT'09</u>

Ingredients for GLS construction (just an overview):

() E: an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{F}_p where p > 3

②
$$E'$$
: the quadratic twist of E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}

- $\pi_q: E \to {}^{(q)}E: q$ -power Frobenius isogeny; ${}^{(p)}E = E$, so $\pi_p \in End(E)$

Now define

$$\psi := \phi \circ \pi_{p} \circ \phi^{-1}$$

- ψ is a (degree 2) $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}\text{-endomorphism}$ of E' satisfying $\psi^2=[-1]$
- If N is a prime such that $N \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ and N > 2p then

$$\psi^2(P) + P = \mathcal{O}$$
 for $P \in E'(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})[N]$

• $\psi(P) = [\lambda]P$ for $P \in E'(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})[N]$ where $\lambda^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$

<u>Galbraith/Lin/Scott endomorphism EUROCRYPT'09</u>

Ingredients for GLS construction (just an overview):

Q E: an elliptic curve defined over \mathbb{F}_p where p > 3

②
$$E'$$
: the quadratic twist of E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}

 $\ \, \bullet: \ \, E \rightarrow E': \ \, {\rm twisting} \ \, \mathbb{F}_{p^4} \text{-isomorphism}$

• $\pi_q: E \to {}^{(q)}E: q$ -power Frobenius isogeny; ${}^{(p)}E = E$, so $\pi_p \in End(E)$

Pros and cons (see Smith'13):

- Approximately p isomorphism classes ⁽²⁾
- $\#E'(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ can be a prime $\textcircled{\odot}$
- $#E(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ cannot be a prime \mathfrak{S}
- ullet Requires checking prohibited points on the quadratic twist $egin{array}{c}$

see Bernstein'06, Fouque/Lercier/Réal/Valette'08

Smith's endomorphism ASIACRYPT'13

Let Δ be a square-free integer.

Quadratic \mathbb{Q} -curves

A quadratic \mathbb{Q} -curve of degree d:

- an elliptic curve \tilde{E} without complex multiplication
- \widetilde{E} is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$
- existence of an isogeny of degree d from E to its Galois conjugate ${}^{\sigma}\widetilde{E},$ where

$$\langle \sigma
angle = \mathsf{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})/\mathbb{Q})$$

The Galois conjugate ${}^{\sigma}\widetilde{E}$ is the curve formed by applying σ to all of the coefficients of E.

Smith's endomorphism ASIACRYPT'13

Ingredients for the construction (an overview of the degree 2 case):

- (1) $\tilde{E}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$: a quadratic \mathbb{Q} -curve of degree 2
- 2 E: the elliptic curve " $\widetilde{E}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta}) \mod p$ " with $j(E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_p$
- **3** $\phi: E \to {}^{(p)}E$: a degree 2 isogeny to (Galois) conjugate curve
- π_q : $^{(q)}E \rightarrow E$: the *q*-power Frobenius isogeny

Now define

$$\psi := \pi_p \circ \phi$$

• ψ is a (degree 2p) \mathbb{F}_{p^2} -endomorphism of E satisfying $\psi^2 = [\pm 2]\pi_{p^2}$ • If N is a prime such that $N \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ and $N^2 \nmid \#E(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ then

$$\psi^2(P) \pm r\psi(P) + 2p = \mathcal{O}$$
 for $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})[N]$

for some integer r. • $\psi(P) = [\lambda]P$ for $P \in E'(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})[N]$ where $\lambda^2 \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{N}$

Smith's endomorphism ASIACRYPT'13

Ingredients for the construction (an overview of the degree 2 case):

- (1) $\tilde{E}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$: a quadratic \mathbb{Q} -curve of degree 2
- 2 E: the elliptic curve " $\widetilde{E}/\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta}) \mod p$ " with $j(E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_p$
- **3** $\phi: E \to {}^{(p)}E$: a degree 2 isogeny to (Galois) conjugate curve
- (a) $\pi_q: {}^{(q)}E \to E$: the q-power Frobenius isogeny

Pros and pros (see Smith'13):

- Approximately p isomorphism classes ⁽²⁾
- $#E(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ can be a prime \mathfrak{S}
- $\#E'(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ can be a prime $\textcircled{\odot}$
- Immune to fault attacks exploiting insecure quadratic twists ⁽²⁾

Writing the Smith's endomorphism explicitly I

Hasegawa family of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$:

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{E}}_{\boldsymbol{W}}: \, y^2 = x^3 - 6(5 - 3s\sqrt{\Delta})x + 8(7 - 9s\sqrt{\Delta}).$$

$$\hat{\phi}_{W} : \qquad \widetilde{E}_{W} \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_{W}/\langle (4,0) \rangle = ({}^{\sigma}\widetilde{E})^{\sqrt{-2}}, \\ (x,y) \longmapsto \left(x + 2\frac{9(1+s\sqrt{\Delta})}{x-4}, y\left(1-2\frac{9(1+s\sqrt{\Delta})}{(x-4)^{2}}\right) \right)$$

 $\begin{aligned} \delta_{W} : & \widetilde{E}_{W}/\langle (4,0) \rangle & \longrightarrow & {}^{\sigma}\widetilde{E}_{W}, \quad (x,y) \longmapsto \left(\lambda^{2}x, \lambda^{3}y \right) \\ \widetilde{\phi}_{W} : & \widetilde{E}_{W} & \longrightarrow & {}^{\sigma}\widetilde{E}_{W}, \quad (x,y) \longmapsto \delta_{W}(\hat{\phi}_{W}(x,y)) \end{aligned}$

φ̃_W is defined over Q(√Δ, √-2)
σ̃φ̃_W ∘ φ̃_W = [2] if σ(√-2) = -√-2 and [-2] if σ(√-2) = √-2.

Writing the Smith's endomorphism explicitly I

Hasegawa family of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$:

$$\widetilde{E}_W: y^2 = x^3 - 6(5 - 3s\sqrt{\Delta})x + 8(7 - 9s\sqrt{\Delta}).$$

$$\hat{\phi}_{W} : \qquad \widetilde{E}_{W} \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_{W}/\langle (4,0) \rangle = ({}^{\sigma}\widetilde{E})^{\sqrt{-2}}, \\ (x,y) \longmapsto \left(x + 2\frac{9(1+s\sqrt{\Delta})}{x-4}, y\left(1-2\frac{9(1+s\sqrt{\Delta})}{(x-4)^{2}}\right) \right)$$

$$\begin{split} \delta_{W} : & \widetilde{E}_{W} / \langle (4,0) \rangle & \longrightarrow \ {}^{\sigma} \widetilde{E}_{W}, \quad (x,y) \longmapsto \left(\lambda^{2} x, \lambda^{3} y \right) \\ \widetilde{\phi}_{W} : & \widetilde{E}_{W} & \longrightarrow \ {}^{\sigma} \widetilde{E}_{W}, \quad (x,y) \longmapsto \delta_{W} (\hat{\phi}_{W} (x,y)) \end{split}$$

φ̃_W is defined over Q(√Δ, √-2)
σ̃φ̃_W ∘ φ̃_W = [2] if σ(√-2) = -√-2 and [-2] if σ(√-2) = √-2.

Writing the Smith's endomorphism explicitly I

Hasegawa family of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$:

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{E}}_{\boldsymbol{W}}: \, y^2 = x^3 - 6(5 - 3s\sqrt{\Delta})x + 8(7 - 9s\sqrt{\Delta}).$$

$$\begin{split} \hat{\phi}_{W} : & \widetilde{E}_{W} \longrightarrow \widetilde{E}_{W}/\langle (4,0) \rangle = ({}^{\sigma}\widetilde{E})^{\sqrt{-2}}, \\ & (x,y) \longmapsto \left(x + 2\frac{9(1 + s\sqrt{\Delta})}{x - 4}, y \left(1 - 2\frac{9(1 + s\sqrt{\Delta})}{(x - 4)^{2}} \right) \right) \\ \delta_{W} : & \widetilde{E}_{W}/\langle (4,0) \rangle \longrightarrow {}^{\sigma}\widetilde{E}_{W}, \quad (x,y) \longmapsto (\lambda^{2}x, \lambda^{3}y) \\ \widetilde{\phi}_{W} : & \widetilde{E}_{W} \longrightarrow {}^{\sigma}\widetilde{E}_{W}, \quad (x,y) \longmapsto \delta_{W}(\hat{\phi}_{W}(x,y)) \end{split}$$

•
$$\widetilde{\phi}_W$$
 is defined over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta}, \sqrt{-2})$
• ${}^{\sigma}\widetilde{\phi}_W \circ \widetilde{\phi}_W = [2]$ if ${}^{\sigma}(\sqrt{-2}) = -\sqrt{-2}$ and $[-2]$ if ${}^{\sigma}(\sqrt{-2}) = \sqrt{-2}$.

Writing the Smith's endomorphism explicitly II

We reduce \widetilde{E}_W and $\widetilde{\phi}_W$ modulo a "good" p and obtain E_W and ϕ . We see that

$${}^{\sigma}\widetilde{E}_{W}$$
 reduces to ${}^{(p)}E_{W}$

and

$$\widetilde{\phi}_{W}: \widetilde{E}_{W} \to {}^{\sigma}\widetilde{E}_{W} \quad \text{reduces to} \quad \phi_{W}: E_{W} \to {}^{(p)}E_{W}.$$

$$\pi_{p}: \qquad {}^{(p)}E_{W} \longrightarrow E_{W} \quad (x, y) \longmapsto \left({}^{(p)}x, {}^{(p)}y\right)$$

$$\psi_{W}: E_{W} \longrightarrow E_{W},$$

$$(x, y) \longmapsto \pi_{p}(\phi_{W}(x, y)) =$$

$$\begin{split} \psi_W : E_W &\longrightarrow E_W, \\ (x,y) &\longmapsto \pi_p(\phi_W(x,y)) = \\ & \left(\frac{-x^p}{2} - \frac{9(1+s\sqrt{\Delta})}{x^p - 4}, \frac{y^p}{\sqrt{-2}} \left(\frac{-1}{2} + \frac{9(1+s\sqrt{\Delta})}{(x^p - 4)^2}\right)\right) \end{split}$$

Smith's endomorphism for Montgomery form I

- \bullet Assume that $8/A^2=1+s\sqrt{\Delta}$ from now on.
- We define ${\mathcal E}$ to be the elliptic curve over ${\mathbb F}_{p^2}$ with affine Montgomery model

$$\mathcal{E}: y^2 = x(x^2 + Ax + 1)$$

 If the element 12/A is not a square in 𝔽_{p²}, the curve over 𝔽_{p²} defined by

$$\mathcal{E}': (12/A)y^2 = x(x^2 + Ax + 1)$$

is a model of the quadratic twist of \mathcal{E} .

• The twisting \mathbb{F}_{p^4} -isomorphism $\delta: \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}'$ is defined by

$$\delta$$
: $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y\sqrt{A/12}).$

Smith's endomorphism for Montgomery form II

The map

$$\delta_1\colon (x,y)\mapsto (x_W,y_W)=(\frac{12}{A}x+4,\frac{12^2}{A^2}y)$$

defines an $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}\text{-}\mathsf{isomorphism}$ between \mathcal{E}' and the Hasegawa curve in Weierstrass form.

• Applying the isomorphisms δ and $\delta_1,$ we define efficient $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}\text{-endomorphisms}$

$$\psi := (\delta_1 \delta)^{-1} \psi_W \delta_1 \delta$$
 and $\psi' := \delta \psi \delta^{-1} = \delta_1^{-1} \psi_W \delta_1$

of degree 2p on \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}' , respectively, each with kernel $\langle (0,0) \rangle$.

Smith's endomorphism for Montgomery form III

• More explicitly, ψ and ψ' reads as follows:

$$\psi \colon (x, y) \longmapsto \left(s(x) \ , \ \frac{-12^{(p-1)/2}}{A^{(p-1)/2}\sqrt{-2}} \frac{y^p m(x)^p}{d(x)^{2p}} \right) \ ,$$

$$\psi' \colon (x, y) \longmapsto \left(s(x) \ , \ \frac{-12^{p-1}\sqrt{-2}}{A^{p-1}} \frac{y^p r(x)^p}{d(x)^{2p}} \right)$$

where

$$\begin{split} n(x) &:= \frac{A^p}{A} \left(x^2 + Ax + 1 \right) \; , \quad d(x) := -2x \; , \quad s(x) := n(x)^p / d(x)^p \; , \\ r(x) &:= \frac{A^p}{A} (x^2 - 1) \; , \quad m(x) := n'(x) d(x) - n(x) d'(x) \; . \end{split}$$

Selecting a secure Montgomery curve $y^2 = x^3 + Ax + x$

We are at a point to fix all free parameters for cryptographic concern:

• We set $\Delta = \sqrt{-1} = i$, $p = 2^{127} - 1$, and $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} = \mathbb{F}_p[x]/\langle i^2 + 1 \rangle$.

• We fix
$$\sqrt{-2} := 2^{64} \cdot i$$
.

- We chose s = 86878915556079486902897638486322141403.
- Then, we get $A = A_0 + A_1 \cdot i$ where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} {A_0 = 45116554344555875085017627593321485421} \ , \\ {A_1 = 2415910908} \ \ \ \mbox{satisfying } 8/A^2 = 1 + s\sqrt{\Delta}. \end{array} \right.$$

- We define *u* := 1466100457131508421.
- We define $v := (p-1)/2 = 2^{126} 1$ and $w := (p+1)/4 = 2^{125}$.

We get

$$\#\mathcal{E} = 4 \cdot N$$
 and $\#\mathcal{E}' = 8 \cdot N'$

where N is a 252 bit and N' is a 251 bit prime.

$$N = v^2 + 2u^2$$
 and $N' = 2w^2 - u^2$.

Targeting 128-bit security level

- Large embedding degrees of *E* and *E*'; Menezes/Okamoto/Vanstone'93 or Frey/Rück'99 attacks are not a threat.
- The trace of *E* is p² + 1 − 4N ≠ ±1, so neither *E* nor *E'* are amenable to the Smart–Satoh–Araki–Semaev'98-'99 attacks.
- The Weil restriction of *E* (or *E'*) to 𝔽_p as in the Gaudry/Hess/Smart'02 produces a simple abelian surface over 𝔽_p; which is also secure.
- $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{E}) = \mathbb{Z}[\psi]$, see the paper.
- The safecurves specification suggests that the discriminant of the CM field should have at least 100 bits; our \mathcal{E} easily meets this requirement, since D_K has 130 bits.

• Brainpool requires the ideal class number of K to be larger than 10⁷; \mathcal{E} easily meets this requirement: the class number of $\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{E})$ is

 $h(\operatorname{End}(\mathcal{E})) = h(D_K) = 2^7 \cdot 31 \cdot 37517 \cdot 146099 \cdot 505117 \sim 10^{19}$.

- Both \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}' are compatible with the Elligator 2 construction, see Bernstein/Hamburg/Krasnova/Lange'13
- Theorem 5 of Elligator: invertible injective maps $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} \to \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ and $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} \to \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$. \mathcal{E} and/or \mathcal{E}' can be encoded in such a way that they are indistinguishable from uniformly random 254-bit strings.
- Twist secure, so immune to Fouque/Lercier/Réal/Valette'08 fault attacks

Compact scalar multiplications:

$$\mathcal{E}/\mathbb{F}_q$$
: $By^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + x$
 $x([m]P) = LADDER(m, x(P), A)$

- BUT only pprox half of $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ give point on $By^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + x$
- Other pprox half give point on twist \mathcal{E}' : $B'y^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + x$
- Bernstein'01: LADDER(m, x, A) will give hard ECDLP for all x ∈ F_q if *E* and *E'* are both secure (i.e. same A for *E*, *E'*)

The picture



- All possible $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$ "partitioned" to \mathcal{E} or \mathcal{E}'
- But LADDER(m, x, A) doesn't distinguish: so users needn't
- Bernstein'06: curve25519 built on this notion

x-line scalar multiplication without endomorphisms

// MONTGOMERY CURVE: Y^2*Z = X^3 + A*X^2*Z + X*Z^2

```
function LADDER(k,X1,Z1,A)
                                                   //MONTGOMERY LADDER
  X2:=(X1^2-Z1^2)^2;
                             Z2:=4*X1*Z1*(X1^2+A*X1*Z1+Z1^2):
  X3:=X1:
                             Z3:=Z1:
  for j:=#k-1 to 1 by -1 do
    if k[j] eq 1 then
      X2, Z2, X3, Z3: = DBLADD(X2, Z2, X3, Z3, X1, Z1, A);
    else
      X3, Z3, X2, Z2: = DBLADD(X3, Z3, X2, Z2, X1, Z1, A);
    end if;
  end for;
  return X3,Z3;
end function;
```

x-line scalar multiplication without endomorphisms

// MONTGOMERY CURVE: Y^2*Z = X^3 + A*X^2*Z + X*Z^2

DBLADD:=function(X2,Z2,X3,Z3,X1,Z1,A) X4:=(X2^2-Z2^2)^2; Z4:=4*X2*Z2*(X2^2+A*X2*Z2+Z2^2); //DBL X5:=Z1*(X2*X3-Z2*Z3)^2; Z5:=X1*(X2*Z3-Z2*X3)^2; //ADD return X4,Z4,X5,Z5; end function;

```
function LADDER(k,X1,Z1,A)
                                                 //MONTGOMERY LADDER
  X2:=(X1^2-Z1^2)^2;
                      Z2:=4*X1*Z1*(X1^2+A*X1*Z1+Z1^2):
  X3:=X1:
                             Z3:=Z1:
  for j:=#k-1 to 1 by -1 do
    if k[j] eq 1 then
      X2, Z2, X3, Z3: = DBLADD(X2, Z2, X3, Z3, X1, Z1, A);
    else
      X3, Z3, X2, Z2:=DBLADD(X3, Z3, X2, Z2, X1, Z1, A);
    end if;
  end for;
  return X3,Z3;
end function;
```

We want to evaluate scalar multiplications [m]P as $[a]P \oplus [b]\psi(P)$, where

 $m \equiv a + b\lambda \pmod{N}$

and the multiscalar (a, b) has a significantly shorter bitlength than m.

Two extra requirements on (a, b), so as to add a measure of side-channel resistance:

- both a and b must be **positive**, to avoid branching and to simplify our algorithms; and
- the multiscalar (a, b) must have constant bitlength (independent of m as m varies over Z), so that multiexponentiation can run in constant time.

Scalar decomposition II

The usual technique:

Compute a reduced basis for

 $\mathcal{L} = \langle (N,0), (-\lambda,1)
angle$ and $\mathcal{L}' = \langle (N',0), (-\lambda',1)
angle$

using one of the available techniques e.g. LLL algorithm.

2 Compute the unique $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ satisfying

$$\alpha \mathbf{e}_1 + \beta \mathbf{e}_2 = (m, 0).$$

Use Babai rounding to transform each scalar *m* into the multiscalar (ã, b̃) by

$$(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) := (m, 0) - \lfloor \alpha
ceil \mathbf{e}_1 - \lfloor \beta
ceil \mathbf{e}_2.$$

- **Consequence:** Bitlength of \tilde{a} and \tilde{b} can be at most 126 bits.
- **Problem:** Bitlength of \tilde{a} and \tilde{b} can be less than 126 bits.
- **Problem:** \tilde{a} or \tilde{b} can be negative.

Hüseyin Hışıl (CHS2013)

Scalar decomposition III



Scalar decomposition IV

• Solution: Add a carefully selected offset vector to (\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) .

$$(a,b) := (m,0) - \lfloor \alpha \rceil \mathbf{e}_1 - \lfloor \beta \rceil \mathbf{e}_2 + 3(\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2).$$

- **Consequence:** Bitlength of *a* and *b* are exactly 128 bits.
- **Consequence:** Both *a* and *b* are positive.

Theorem

Given an integer m, let (a, b) be the multiscalar defined by

$$a := m + (3 - \lfloor (v/N)m \rceil) v - 2(3 - \lfloor -(u/N)m \rceil) u$$

$$b := (3 - \lfloor (v/N)m \rceil) u + (3 - \lfloor -(u/N)m \rceil) v$$

We have $2^{127} < a, b < 2^{128}$, and

$$m \equiv a + b\lambda \pmod{N}$$
.

x-line scalar multiplication with endomorphisms

• One dimensional (1-D) ladder:

$$m, x(P) \longmapsto x([m]P)$$

• Two-dimensional (2-D) ladder:

 $a, b, x(P), x(\psi(P)), x(\psi(P) - P) \longmapsto x([a]P + [b]\psi(P))$

• Three 2-D ladders chosen from the literature:

chain	by	# steps	ops per step	
PRAC	Montgomery	$pprox 0.9\ell$	pprox 1.6 add + 0.6 dbl	
AK	Azarderakhsh	$\sim 1 I \ell$	1 ADD + 1 DBL	
	& Karabina	\sim 1.4 ι		
DJB	Bernstein	l	2 ADD + 1 DBL	

$$\ell = \max\{\lfloor \log_2 a \rfloor, \lfloor \log_2 b \rfloor\} + 1$$

• All three chains requires a computation of

$$x(\psi(P) - P) = x((\psi - 1)(P))$$

Computing the initial difference:

$$(\psi - 1)_x(x) = f(x) + g(x) \cdot x^{(p+1)/2}$$

where f and g have low degree.

- Exponentiation to $(p+1)/2 = 2^{126} \longrightarrow 126$ squarings
- $(\psi 1)_{x}$ not as fast as ψ_{x} , or other endomorphisms around, but it could be worse . . .

• The pseudo-doubling on \mathbb{P}^1 is

$$[2]_{X}((X:Z)) = \left((X+Z)^{2} (X-Z)^{2} : (4XZ) \left((X-Z)^{2} + \frac{A+2}{4} \cdot 4XZ \right) \right)$$

 $\bullet\,$ Our endomorphism ψ induces the pseudo-endomorphism

$$\psi_{\mathsf{x}}((X:Z)) = \left(A^{p}\left((X-Z)^{2} - \frac{A+2}{2}(-2XZ)\right)^{p} : A(-2XZ)^{p}\right)$$

Composing ψ_x with itself, we confirm that ψ_xψ_x = -[2]_x(π_q)_x.
ψ + 1 is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi - 1)_{x}(x) &= (\psi' - 1)_{x}(x) \\ &= \frac{2s^{2}nd^{4p} - x(xn)^{p}m^{2p}A^{p-1}}{2s(x-s)^{2}d^{4p}A^{p-1}} \mp \frac{m^{p}(xn)^{(p+1)/2}\sqrt{-2}}{A^{(p-1)/2}(x-s)^{2}d^{2p}} \end{aligned}$$

Performance results (Ivy Bridge)

The routine

Input: scalar $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x(P) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$

1 $a, b \leftarrow \text{DECOMPOSE}(m)$

2
$$x(\psi(P)), x((\psi-1)(P)) \leftarrow \text{ENDO}(x(P))$$

③
$$x([m]P) \leftarrow \text{CHAIN}(x(P), x(\psi(P)), x((\psi - 1)(P))$$

Output: x([m]P)

CHAIN	dimension	uniform?	constant time?	cycles
LADDER	1	1	\checkmark	159,000
DJB	2	1	\checkmark	148,000
AK	2	1	×	133,000
PRAC	2	×	×	109,000

Compare to curve25519 (✓ & ✓): 182,000 cycles

Hüseyin Hışıl (CHS2013)

Variants / alternatives / spin-offs

- Slightly faster/simpler if choosing (*a*, *b*) at random (see paper)
- Faster key_gen in ephemeral Diffie-Hellman: Alice may want to exploit pre-computations on the public generator x(P):
 - precompute $x(\psi(P))$ and $x((\psi+1)P)$, or
 - ► Alice works on twisted Edwards form of *E* before pushing to *x*-line for Bob
- Genus 2 analogue still open: even more attractive on the Kummer surface

Incomplete reduction modulo primes of the form $2^{b} - c$

- Yanik/Tugrul/Koc'02, Longa/Miri'08
 - ▶ Inputs come from range [0, *p* − 1].
 - ▶ Outputs are generated in range [0, 2^b − 1].
 - An addition is prohibited to be followed by another addition
- This restriction can be eliminated for $p = 2^{127} 1$:
 - Inputs come from range $[0, 2^{127} 1]$.
 - ▶ Outputs are generated in range [0, 2¹²⁷ 1].
 - An addition can be followed by another addition

The operation $f := (a + b) \mod p$ is replaced by the following algorithm:



• Line-1: Notice that $0 \le c = a + b \le 2p < 2^{128}$.

Let
$$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 such that $0 \le a, b \le p$
• $c := (a + b) \mod 2^{128}$
• $d := (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{126}), e := (c_{127})$
• $f := (d + e) \mod 2^{128}$

- Line-1: Notice that $0 \le c = a + b \le 2p < 2^{128}$.
- Line-2: Write $c = d + 2^{127}e$ for integers $0 \le d < 2^{127}$ and e. There are two cases to investigate:
 - Case 1: Assume that $a + b \le p$. The bounds on c and d imply that $\lfloor 0/2^{127} \rfloor \le \lfloor c/2^{127} \rfloor = \lfloor (d+2^{127}e)/2^{127} \rfloor =$ $\lfloor d/2^{127} \rfloor + \lfloor 2^{127}e/2^{127} \rfloor = e \le \lfloor p/2^{127} \rfloor$, so e = 0. Thus $a + b \equiv d + 2^{127}e \equiv d + 2^{127} \cdot 0 \equiv d + 0 \equiv \underline{d + e} \pmod{p}$.

Let
$$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 such that $0 \le a, b \le p$

 $c := (a + b) \mod 2^{128}$
 $d := (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{126}), e := (c_{127})$
 $f := (d + e) \mod 2^{128}$

- Line-1: Notice that $0 \le c = a + b \le 2p < 2^{128}$.
- Line-2: Write $c = d + 2^{127}e$ for integers $0 \le d < 2^{127}$ and e. There are two cases to investigate:
 - Case 2: Assume that a + b > p. Then $p < c \le 2p$. The bounds on c and d imply that $\lfloor (p+1)/2^{127} \rfloor \le e \le \lfloor 2p/2^{127} \rfloor$, so e = 1. The bounds on c also imply that $p 2^{127} < c 2^{127} \le 2p 2^{127}$ and we have $d = c 2^{127}e = c 2^{127}$, so $0 \le d < p$. Thus $a + b \equiv d + 2^{127}e \equiv d + 2^{127} \cdot 1 \equiv d + 1 \equiv \underline{d + e} \pmod{p}$.



- Line-1: Notice that $0 \le c = a + b \le 2p < 2^{128}$.
- Line-3: A semi-reduced output is given by f := (d + e) mod 2¹²⁸, observing that 0 ≤ f ≤ p.

Max 9 instructions:

```
movq 8*0+OPERAND1, %r12
addq 8*0+OPERAND2, %r12
movq 8*1+OPERAND1, %rsi
adcq 8*1+OPERAND2, %rsi
btrq $63, %rsi
adcq $0, %r12
movq %r12, 8*0+OUTPUT
adcq $0, %rsi
movq %rsi, 8*1+OUTPUT
```

- a, $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $0 \le a, b \le p$ c := $(a - b) \mod 2^{128}$ d := $(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{126}), e := (c_{127})$ f := $(d - e) \mod 2^{128}$
 - Line-1: Notice that $0 \le c < 2^{128}$.

a, b ∈ Z such that
$$0 \le a, b \le p$$

a) $c := (a - b) \mod 2^{128}$
b) $d := (c_0, c_1, ..., c_{126}), e := (c_{127})$
c) $f := (d - e) \mod 2^{128}$

- Line-1: Notice that $0 \le c < 2^{128}$.
- Line-2: Write c = d + 2¹²⁷ e for integers 0 ≤ d < 2¹²⁷ and e. There are two cases to investigate:
 - ▶ Case 1: Assume that $a \ge b$. Then $0 \le c = a b \le p$. The bounds on c and d imply that $\lfloor 0/2^{127} \rfloor \le \lfloor c/2^{127} \rfloor = \lfloor (d+2^{127}e)/2^{127} \rfloor = e \le \lfloor p/2^{127} \rfloor$, so e = 0. Thus $a b \equiv d + 2^{127}e \equiv \underline{d-e} \pmod{p}$.

a, b ∈ Z such that
$$0 \le a, b \le p$$

a, b ∈ Z such that $0 \le a, b \le p$
c := (a - b) mod 2¹²⁸
d := (c₀, c₁, ..., c₁₂₆), e := (c₁₂₇)

- **3** $f := (d e) \mod 2^{120}$
 - Line-1: Notice that $0 \le c < 2^{128}$.
 - Line-2: Write $c = d + 2^{127}e$ for integers $0 \le d < 2^{127}$ and e. There are two cases to investigate:
 - Case 2: Assume that a < b. Then $c = 2^{128} + a b$ and $-p \le a - b < 0$. So, $2^{127} < c < 2^{128}$. The bounds on c and d imply that $\lfloor (2^{127} + 1)/2^{127} \rfloor \le e \le \lfloor (2^{128} - 1)/2^{127} \rfloor$, so e = 1. The bounds on c also imply that $2^{127} - 2^{127} < c - 2^{127} < 2^{128} - 2^{127}$, and we have $d = c - 2^{127}e = c - 2^{127}$. So, $0 < d \le p$ and $d \ge e$. Thus $a - b \equiv (2^{128} + a - b) - 2^{128} \equiv c - 2^{128} \equiv d + 2^{127}e - 2^{128} \equiv \underline{d - e}$ (mod p).

- a, b ∈ \mathbb{Z} such that $0 \le a, b \le p$ a) $c := (a - b) \mod 2^{128}$ b) $d := (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{126}), e := (c_{127})$ c) $f := (d - e) \mod 2^{128}$
 - Line-1: Notice that $0 \le c < 2^{128}$.
 - Line-3: A semi-reduced output is given by f := (d − e) mod 2¹²⁸, observing that 0 ≤ f ≤ p.

Max 9 instructions:

```
movq 8*0+OPERAND1, %r12
subq 8*0+OPERAND2, %r12
movq 8*1+OPERAND1, %rsi
sbbq 8*1+OPERAND2, %rsi
btrq $63, %rsi
sbbq $0, %r12
movq %r12, 8*0+OUTPUT
sbbq $0, %rsi
movq %rsi, 8*1+OUTPUT
```

The operation $f := (a \cdot b) \mod p$ is replaced by the following algorithm:

Let
$$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 such that $0 \le a, b \le p$

 $c := (ab) \mod 2^{256}$
 $d := (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{126}), e := (c_{127}, c_{128}, \dots, c_{253})$
 $f := \text{semi-add}(d, e)$

• Line-1: Notice that $0 \le c = ab \le p^2 < 2^{256}$.

Let
$$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 such that $0 \le a, b \le p$

 $c := (ab) \mod 2^{256}$
 $d := (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{126}), e := (c_{127}, c_{128}, \dots, c_{253})$
 $f := \text{semi-add}(d, e)$

- Line-1: Notice that $0 \le c = ab \le p^2 < 2^{256}$.
- Line-2: Write $c = d + 2^{127}e$ for integers $0 \le d < 2^{127}$ and e. The bounds on c and d imply that $\lfloor 0/2^{127} \rfloor \le \lfloor c/2^{127} \rfloor = \lfloor (d + 2^{127}e)/2^{127} \rfloor = e \le \lfloor p^2/2^{127} \rfloor$, so $0 \le e < p$.

Let
$$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 such that $0 \le a, b \le p$

 $c := (ab) \mod 2^{256}$
 $d := (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{126}), e := (c_{127}, c_{128}, \dots, c_{253})$
 $f := \text{semi-add}(d, e)$

- Line-1: Notice that $0 \le c = ab \le p^2 < 2^{256}$.
- Line-3: Noting that
 ab ≡ d + 2¹²⁷e ≡ d + (2¹²⁷ 1)e + e ≡ d + pe + e ≡ d + e (mod p),
 that 0 ≤ d, e ≤ p, and that 0 ≤ d + e ≤ 2p, a semi-reduced output is
 obtained by semi-reduced addition applied on the operands d and e.

Max 27 instructions:

movq 8*0+0PERAND1, %rax mulg 8*1+OPERAND2 movg %rdx, %r10 movq %rax, %rsi movg 8*1+OPERAND1, %rax mulq 8*0+0PERAND2 addq %rax, %rsi adcg %rdx, %r10 movg 8*0+0PERAND2, %rax mulg 8*0+0PERAND1 addq %rdx, %rsi movg %rax, %r12 adcg \$0. %r10 movq 8*1+OPERAND1, %rax mulg 8*1+OPERAND2 addg %r10, %rax adcq \$0, %rdx addg %rax, %rax adcq %rdx, %rdx btra \$63. %rsi adcg %rax, %r12 adcq %rdx, %rsi btrq \$63, %rsi adcq \$0, %r12 movg %r12, 8*0+0UTPUT adcq \$0, %rsi movq %rsi, 8*1+OUTPUT

Full version

http://eprint.iacr.org/2013/692

C-and-assembly software implementation

http://hhisil.yasar.edu.tr/files/hisil20140318compact.tar.gz

Magma scripts

http://research.microsoft.com/en-us/downloads/ef32422a-af38-4c83-a033-a7aafbc1db55/