Random Digraphs : Some Concentration Results

Joint work with Kunal Dutta and Joel Spencer.

ICM-2010 Satellite Conference on Algebraic and Probabilistic Aspects of Combinatorics and Computing, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore,

August 29- September 3, 2010.

C R Subramanian

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai.

Random Graph models

- ► $V = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. G = (V, E). p = p(n).
- $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)$: $e \in E$ independently with probability p.
- D ∈ D(n, p) : p ≤ 0.5. Choose G ∈ G(n, 2p). Orient each e ∈ E uniformly and independently.
- D ∈ D₂(n, p) : p ≤ 0.5. Choose each e ∈ V × V − {(u, u)}_u independently with probability p. Allows 2-cycles.

$\alpha(G)$ and $\omega(G)$

- $G \in \mathcal{G}(n,p), p \leq 0.5.$
- $\omega(G) = \max \operatorname{maximum} \operatorname{size} \operatorname{of} \operatorname{a} \operatorname{clique} \operatorname{in} G$.
- $\alpha(G) = \max \operatorname{imum} \operatorname{size} \operatorname{of} \operatorname{an} \operatorname{indep} \operatorname{set} \operatorname{in} G$.
- Determination of $\omega(G)$ and alpha(G) are equivalent.
- ▶ $\omega(G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p))$ and $\alpha(G \in \mathcal{G}(n, 1-p))$ have the same distribution.
- ► $\mathbf{Pr}(\omega(G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)) = b) = \mathbf{Pr}(\alpha(G \in \mathcal{G}(n, 1-p)) = b).$

$\alpha(G)$ and $\omega(G)$

- ► concentration of ω(G) :
- $\omega(G)$ is tightly concentrated in just two values.
- Eg : $p = 1/2 \Rightarrow \omega \in \{k, k+1\}$ almost surely
- for some $k = 2 \log n 2 \log \log n + O(1)$.
- ▶ No simple closed-form expression for *k*.

- Concentration of \(\alpha\)(G) :
- Assume $p \ge C/n$. $q = (1 p)^{-1}$. Almost surely,

- $\alpha(G) = \frac{2}{\ln q} (\ln np \ln \ln np \pm O(1)).$
- α is not tightly concentrated.

mat(D) and mas(D)

- Similar phenomena in random directed graphs.
- ► $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, p)$. $p \leq 0.5$.
- mat(D) = maximum size induced acyclic tournament in D.
- mas(D) = maximum size induced acyclic subgraph in D.
- mat(D) is 2-poin
- mas(D) = maximum size induced acyclic tournament in D.t concentrated or even one-point concentrated. Also, admits sharp thresholds.

- Unlike $\omega(G)$, admits a nice closed form expression.
- mas(D) has coarse concentration like $\alpha(G)$.

 $\omega(G)$ vs mat(D) and $\alpha(G)$ vs mas(D)

- $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, p)$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)$; $b \ge 1$.
- $\Pr[mas(D) \ge b] \ge \Pr[\alpha(G) \ge b].$
- τ a fixed linear ordering of V.
- Pr(mas(D) ≥ b) is at least the probability that D[A] is consistent with τ for some A, |A| = b.

- Equals $\mathbf{Pr}(\omega(G) \ge b)$.
- similarly, for mat(D),

• $\Pr[mas(D) \ge b] \ge \Pr[\alpha(G) \ge b].$

2-point concentration of mat(D)

(Kunal and CRS)

- ► $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, p)$, $p \ge 1/n$.
- $\blacktriangleright b^* = \lfloor 2(\log_{p^{-1}} n) + 0.5 \rfloor.$
- almost surely, $mat(D) \in \{b^*, b^* + 1\}$.
- Fact : A *dag* has at most one directed hamilton path.
- **Proof Sketch :** For $b \ge 1$, define
- X_b = number of induced acyclic tournaments of size b.

- $E[X_b] = \binom{n}{b} b! p^{\binom{b}{2}} \approx \left(n p^{(b-1)/2} \right)^b.$
- $E[X_b] \rightarrow 0$ for $b = b^* + 2$.
- Hence $mat(D) \le b^* + 1$ almost surely.

2-point concentration of mat(D)

- To prove $mat(D) \ge b^*$ almost surely,
- Show : $\mu = E[X_b^*] \to \infty$ and also
- ▶ $\mathbf{Pr}(X_{b^*} = 0) \le \mathbf{Pr}(|X_{b^*} \mu| \ge \mu) \rightarrow 0$ using Chebyshev.

- Suffices to show that, for b = b*,
- ► $Var(X_b) \leq \mu + \mu \left(\sum_{i,j:|A_i \cap A_j| \in [2,b-1]} E(X_j|X_i) \right).$
- $Var(X) \leq \mu + o(\mu^2)$.

One point concentration of mat(D)

- $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, p)$, $w = w(n) \rightarrow \infty$ sufficiently slowly.
- $d = 2 \log_{p_1} n + 1$ and $\delta = \lfloor d \rfloor d$.
- ► Suppose $\frac{w}{\ln n} \le \delta \le 1 \frac{w}{\ln n}$ for large values of *n*.

- almost surely, $mat(D) = \lfloor d \rfloor$.
- $\bullet \ \delta \leq 0.5 \Rightarrow \lfloor d \rfloor = b^*.$
- $\bullet \ \delta > 0.5 \Rightarrow \lfloor d \rfloor = b^* + 1.$

one-point concentration

- p fixed but arbitrary.
- mat(D) is one-point concentrated for each n from a subset of integers of density 1.

- Proof sketch :
- Every *n* must be of the form $t^{(k-1-\delta)/2}$ for some $k \ge 0$. $t = p^{-1}$.
- every good n should satisfy
- $t^{\frac{k-1-\delta}{2}+\frac{w}{2\ln n}} \leq n \leq t^{\frac{k-1-\delta}{2}-\frac{2}{2\ln n}}.$
- does not hold when p varies with n. Eg : $p = n^{-2/3}$.

threshold phenomena and algorithms

For every *i*, there exist
$$p_i = p_i(n)$$
 and $q_i = q_i(n)$ with

•
$$p \ge p_i + q_i \Rightarrow mat(D) \ge i$$

•
$$p \leq p_i - q_i \Rightarrow mat(D) < i$$
.

sharp threshold exists.

•
$$lb_i(n) = n^{-4/(2i-1-2w/\ln n)}$$
 and $ub_i(n) = n^{-4/(2i-1+\frac{2w}{\ln n})}$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

•
$$p_i(n) = (lb_i(n) + ub_i(n))/2$$

•
$$q_i(n) = (ub_i(n) - lb_i(n))/2$$

improved algorithm

•
$$w = w(n) \rightarrow \infty$$
. almost surely,

every maximal solution is of size at least

•
$$d = \lfloor \delta \log_{p^{-1}} n \rfloor$$
 where $\delta = 1 - \frac{\ln(\ln n + w)}{\ln n}$

•
$$c \ge 1$$
 constant. $p \ge n^{-1/c^2}$

- \blacktriangleright \exists deter. poly time algor A which almost surely
- finds a solution of size at least $\log_{p^{-1}} n + c \sqrt{\log_{p^{-1}} n}$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

- Can one find in poly time a soln of size at least
- $(1 + \epsilon) \log_{p^{-1}} n$, for some fixed $\epsilon > 0$.

Results on mas(D)

- ▶ $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, p)$, $p \leq 0.5$.
- difficulty : Given A, what is
- Pr(D[A] is acyclic) ?
- ►
- Imas(D) mas(D')| ≤ 1 if D and D' differ only with respect to a single vertex.
- ► Using a vertex-exposure martingale and Azuma's martingale inequality, with µ = E[mas(D)],

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- $|mas(D) \mu| \le w\sqrt{n}$ for any $w \to \infty$.
- ▶ the "likely" values of mas(D) still not known.

Some easy consequences (CRS)

▶
$$D \in \mathcal{D}(n, p)$$
, $p \le 0.5$. Define $q = (1 - p)^{-1}$, $w = np$.

- $mas(D) \leq \lfloor 2 \log_q n + 1 \rfloor$.
- $mas(D) \ge \frac{2}{\ln q} (\ln w \ln \ln w O(1)).$
- the ratio of the two bounds can be very large,

• particularly, if
$$p = n^{-1+o(1)}$$
.

improved upper bound on mas(D) (due to Spencer)

- Fix A of size b.
- D[A] is acyclic only if $\exists A = A_1 \cup A_2$
- with no arc going from A_2 to A_1 .
- $\Pr(D[A] \text{ is acyclic }) \le 2^b (1-p)^{b^2/4}.$
- $\mathbf{Pr}(\exists A, |A| = b : D[A] \text{ is acyclic}) \leq \left(\frac{2en}{b}\right)^b (1-p)^{b^2/4}.$

- $mas(D) \leq \frac{4 \ln w}{\ln q}$ almost surely.
- the ratio of the bounds is now at most two.

improved bounds on mas(D) (due to CRS)

- constant 4 can be brought down further.
- ▶ For suitable *k*, choose a *k*-partition instead of a bipartition.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

▶ *k* cannot become too large. to be chosen carefully.

• choose
$$b = \lfloor \frac{2}{\ln q} (\ln w + 3e) \rfloor$$
 and

• choose k the integer nearest to $2(\ln w)(3e)^{-1} + 2$.

►

•
$$mas(D) = \frac{2(\ln w)}{\ln q} (1 \pm o(1))$$
 almost surely.

additively improved bounds on mas(D) (Kunal and CRS)

- the ratio of the bounds is 1 + o(1).
- Still, an additive gap of $\frac{\ln \ln w}{\ln q}$ exists.
- $Y = Y(b) = |\{(A, \sigma) : |A| = b, \sigma \text{ certifies } A\}|.$

•
$$Y = \sum_{i \le m} Y_i$$
 where $m = (n)_b$.

 $\blacktriangleright (A_1, \sigma_1), \ldots, (A_m, \sigma_m).$

•
$$E[Y] = (n)_b(1-p)^{\binom{b}{2}}$$
.

•
$$b^* = \lfloor \frac{2 \ln w}{\ln q} - X \rfloor$$
 where

•
$$X = W$$
 if $p \ge n^{-1/3+\epsilon}$

•
$$X = W/(\ln q)$$
 if $p \ge n^{-1/2}(\ln n)^2$.

additive improvements

• At
$$b = b^*$$
, $E[Y] \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

• $Var(Y) \le \mu + \mu^2 \cdot M$ where

•
$$M = \sum_{j:2 \le |\mathcal{A}_i \cap \mathcal{A}_j| \le b} E[Y_j | Y_i = 1]/\mu.$$

•
$$E[Y_j|Y_i=1] = (1-p)^{\binom{b}{2}-\binom{i}{2}} \left(\frac{1-2p}{1-p}\right)^{i(\pi)}$$
.

- here, *I* = |*A_i* ∩ *A_j*|. π is the relative ordering of *A_i* ∩ *A_j* with respect to the ordering imposed by σ_i.
- Uses the following well-known fact :

•
$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} q^{i(\sigma)} = (1+q)(1+q+q^2) \dots (1+q+\dots+q^{n-1}).$$

additive improvements

Algorithms

►

►

- Every maximal induced dag is of size at least δ(log_q w) for some δ → 1 as w → 1.
- ► An induced dag of size at least $\log_q w + c\sqrt{\log_q w}$ can be found almost surely.
- ► Most of these results carry over to the D₂(n, p) model with some small changes.

Further work

- Further progress made in reducing the additive gap.
- A tighter concentration based on Talagrand's inequality is possible. Details later.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Thank You

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>