Twice-Ramanujan Sparsifiers

Nikhil Srivastava MSR India / Yale

with Josh Batson and Dan Spielman

Sparsification

<u>Approximate</u> any graph *G* by a sparse graph *H*.



- Nontrivial statement about **G**
- *H* is faster to compute with than *G*

Cut Sparsifiers [Benczur-Karger'96]

H approximates *G* if for every cut $S \subset V$ sum of weights of edges leaving *S* is preserved



Can find **H** with O(nlogn/ ε^2) edges in $\tilde{O}(m)$ time

The Laplacian (quick review)

$$L_G = D_G - A_G = \sum_{ij \in E} c_{ij} (\delta_i - \delta_j) (\delta_i - \delta_j)^T$$

Quadratic form

$$x: V \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$x^T L_G x = \sum_{ij \in E} c_{ij} (x(i) - x(j))^2$$

Positive semidefinite Ker(L_G)=span(**1**) if **G** is connected

Cuts and the Quadratic Form

For characteristic vector $x_S \in \{0, 1\}^n$ of $S \subseteq V$

$$x_S^T L_G x_S = \sum_{ij \in E} c_{ij} (x(i) - x(j))^2$$
$$= \sum_{ij \in (S,\overline{S})} c_{ij}$$
$$= w t_G(S,\overline{S})$$

So BK says:

$$1 - \epsilon \leq \frac{x^T L_H x}{x^T L_G x} \leq 1 + \epsilon \quad \forall x \in \{0, 1\}^n$$

A Stronger Notion [ST'04]

For characteristic vector $x_S \in \{0, 1\}^n, S \subseteq V$

$$x_S^T L_G x_S = \sum_{ij \in E} c_{ij} (x(i) - x(j))^2$$
$$= \sum_{ij \in (S,\overline{S})} c_{ij}$$
$$= w t_G(S,\overline{S})$$

So BK says:

$$1 - \epsilon \leq \frac{x^T L_H x}{x^T L_G x} \leq 1 + \epsilon$$







1. All eigenvalues are preserved

By Courant-Fischer,

$$(1-\epsilon)\lambda_i(G) \le \lambda_i(H) \le (1+\epsilon)\lambda_i(G)$$

G and **H** have similar eigenvalues.

For spectral purposes, G and H are equivalent.

(x^TLx says a lot)

2. Behavior of electrical flows.

(*x^TLx* = "energy" for potentials *x*:V->R)

- 3. Behavior of random walks: commute times, mixing time, etc.
- 4. 'Relative condition number' in lin-alg.
- 5. Fast linear system solvers.

strong notion of approximation.

Examples

Example: Sparsify Complete Graph by Ramanujan Expander [LPS,M]

G is complete on *n* vertices. $\lambda_i(L_G) = n$

H is *d*-regular Ramanujan graph. $\lambda_i(L_H) \sim d$ $\lambda_i(\frac{n}{d}L_H) \sim n$

Example: Sparsify Complete Graph by Ramanujan Expander [LPS,M]

G is complete on n vertices. $\lambda_i(L_G) = n$

H is *d*-regular Ramanujan graph. $\lambda_i(L_H) \sim d$ $\lambda_i(\frac{n}{d}L_H) \sim n$ $\frac{x^T(\frac{n}{d}L_H)x}{x^T L_G x} \sim 1$ *Each edge has weight (n/d)* So, $\frac{n}{d}H$ is a good sparsifier for *G*.

Example: Dumbell





Results

Results

We can do this well for every **G**. (upto a factor of 2)

Previously Known

Expanders/Ramanujan graphs exist: "There are very sparse *H* that look like K_n"

Previously Known

Expanders/Ramanujan graphs exist:

"There are very sparse *H* that look like K_n"

degree d

 $1 \le \frac{x^T L_H x}{x^T L_{K_m} x} = \frac{d+2\sqrt{d}}{d-2\sqrt{d}}$

New Result

Expanders/Ramanujan graphs exist:

"There are very sparse *H* that look like K_n"

degree d

 $1 \le \frac{x^T L_H x}{x^T L_G x} = \frac{d + 2\sqrt{d-1}}{d - 2\sqrt{d-1}}$

Sparsifiers exist:

"There are very sparse **H** that look like any

graph **G**."

avg. degree 2d

New Result

Expanders/Ramanujan graphs exist:

"There are very sparse *H* that look like K_n"

degree d

 $1 \le \frac{x^T L_H x}{x^T L_G x} = \frac{d + 2\sqrt{d-1}}{d - 2\sqrt{d-1}}$

Sparsifiers exist:

"There are very sparse **H** that look like any

graph **G**."

avg. degree 2d

weighted subgraph



deterministic O(dmn³)

algorithm

Expanders/Ramanujan graphs exist:

"There are very sparse *H* that look like K_n"

degree d

 $1 \le \frac{x^T L_H x}{x^T L_G x} = \frac{d + 2\sqrt{d-1}}{d - 2\sqrt{d-1}}$

Sparsifiers exist:

"There are very sparse **H** that look like any

graph **G**."

avg. degree 2d

weighted subgraph



graph **G**."

avg. degree

weighted subgraph

The Method

The Method

(13-approximation with 6n edges.)

Step 1: Reduction to Linear Algebra



 $1 \leq \frac{x^T L_H x}{x^T L_G x} \leq 13 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Outer Product Expansion

Recall:

$$L_G = \sum_{ij\in E} (\delta_i - \delta_j) (\delta_i - \delta_j)^T = \sum_{e\in E} b_e b_e^T.$$

Outer Product Expansion

Recall:

$$L_G = \sum_{ij\in E} (\delta_i - \delta_j) (\delta_i - \delta_j)^T = \sum_{e\in E} b_e b_e^T.$$

For a weighted subgraph *H*:

$$L_H = \sum_{e \in E} s_e b_e b_e^T$$

where $s_e = wt(e)$ in H.

 $1 \leq \frac{x^T L_H x}{x^T L_G x} \leq 13 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

 $1 \leq \frac{x^T L_H x}{x^T L_G x} \leq 13 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $1 \leq \lambda (L_G^{-1/2} L_H L_G^{-1/2}) \leq 13.$

 $1 \le \frac{x^T L_H x}{x^T L_G x} \le 13 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

 $1 \leq \lambda (L_G^{-1/2} L_H L_G^{-1/2}) \leq 13.$

 $\left| 1 \leq \lambda \left(\sum_{e \in E} s_e L_G^{-1/2} b_e b_e^T L_G^{-1/2} \right) \leq 13. \right|$

 $1 \leq \frac{x^{I} L_{H} x}{x^{T} L_{C} x} \leq 13 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$

 $1 \leq \lambda (L_G^{-1/2} L_H L_G^{-1/2}) \leq 13.$

 $1 \le \lambda \left(\sum_{e \in E} s_e L_G^{-1/2} b_e b_e^T L_G^{-1/2} \right) \le 13.$ $\left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \leq \lambda \left(\sum_{e \in E} s_e v_e v_e^T \right) \leq 13 \end{array} \right)$ with $v_e = L_C^{-1/2} b_e$.



A closer look at v_e



A closer look at v_e



Choosing a Subgraph




New Goal









Step 2: Intuition for the proof





What happens when we add a vector?





More precisely

Characteristic Polynomial:

$$p_A(x) = \det(xI - A)$$

More precisely

Characteristic Polynomial:

$$p_A(x) = \det(xI - A)$$

Matrix-Determinant Lemma:

$$p_{A+vv^T} = p_A \left(1 + \sum_i \frac{\langle v, u_i \rangle^2}{\lambda_i - x} \right)$$

More precisely

Characteristic Polynomial:

$$p_A(x) = \det(xI - A)$$

$$\lambda(A + vv^T)$$
are zeros of this.
$$p_{A+vv^T} = p_A \left(1 + \sum_i \frac{\langle v, u_i \rangle^2}{\lambda_i - x}\right)$$











Physical model of interlacing

Barriers repel eigs.

 λ_3 $\lambda(A + vv^T)$ λ_2



Ex1: All weight on **U**₁



Ex1: All weight on U_1



Ex1: All weight on U_1



Ex1: All weight on U_1



Ex2: Equal weight on U_1, U_2 λ_n +1/2+1/2 λ_3 $\lambda(A)$ λ_2

Ex2: Equal weight on U_1, U_2 λ_n +1/2+1/2 λ_3 $\lambda(A)$









Consider a random vector

 $\sum_{e} v_e v_e^T = I$

lf

For every u_i : $\sum_e \langle v_e, u_i \rangle^2 = 1$.

Consider a random vector

$\sum_{e} v_e v_e^T = I$

lf

For every
$$u_i$$
: $\sum_e \langle v_e, u_i \rangle^2 = 1$.

thus a 'random' vector has the same expected projection in *every* direction *i* :

$$\mathbb{E}_e \langle v_e, u_i \rangle^2 = 1/m$$

Ideal proof

$$A^{(0)} = 0$$
$$p^{(0)} = x^n$$
































Step 3: Actual Proof (for 6n vectors, 13-approx)















 $A^{(i)}, A^{(i+1)}$





 $A^{(i)}, A^{(i+1)}, A^{(i+2)}$





 $A^{(i)}, A^{(i+1)}, A^{(i+2)}, A^{(i+3)}$



 $A^{(i)}, A^{(i+1)}, A^{(i+2)}, A^{(i+3)}, \dots$



 $A^{(i)}, A^{(i+1)}, A^{(i+2)}, A^{(i+3)}, \dots$



 $A^{(i)}, A^{(i+1)}, A^{(i+2)}, A^{(i+3)}, \dots$



Step 6n

 $A^{(i)}, A^{(i+1)}, A^{(i+2)}, A^{(i+3)}, \dots, A^{(6n)}$



Step 6n

 $A^{(i)}, A^{(i+1)}, A^{(i+2)}, A^{(i+3)}, \dots, A^{(6n)}$



Problem

need to show that an appropriate $v_e v_e^T$ always exists.

Problem





is not strong enough to do the induction.

Problem

need to show that an appropriate

need a better way to measure quality of eigenvalues.



is not strong enough to do the induction.

The Upper Barrier $\Phi^u(A) = \operatorname{Tr}(uI - A)^{-1} = \sum_i \frac{1}{u - \lambda_i}$



$\Phi^u(A) \leq 1 \Rightarrow \lambda_{\max}(A) \ll u$






$\Phi_{\ell}(A) \leq 1 \Rightarrow \lambda_{\min}(A) \gg \ell$





$$\Phi^n(\emptyset) = \mathrm{Tr}(nI)^{-1} = 1$$

 $\Phi_{-n}(\varnothing) = \operatorname{Tr}(nI)^{-1} = 1.$



 $\Phi^u(A) \leq 1$ $\Phi_{\ell}(A) \leq 1.$



Step i+1



$$\Phi^u(A) \leq 1$$

 $\Phi_\ell(A) \leq 1.$



 $\Phi^u(A) \leq 1$ $\Phi_{\ell}(A) \leq 1.$



$\Phi^u(A) \leq 1$ $\Phi_\ell(A) \leq 1.$



 $\Phi^u(A) \leq 1$ $\Phi_\ell(A) \leq 1.$

Step 6n



 $\Phi_{\ell}(A) \leq 1.$

Step 6n

 $A^{(i)}, A^{(i+1)}, A^{(i+2)}, A^{(i+3)}, \dots, A^{(6n)}$



Goal

1

1

Lemma.

can always choose
$$+s\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^T$$
 so $\Phi^u(A) \leq$
that *both* potentials do not increase. $\Phi_\ell(A) \leq$

+1/3 +2 +2 +2 +
$$svv^T$$

The Right Question

"Which vector should we add?"

The Right Question

"Which vector should we add?"

"Given a vector, how much of it can we add?"

Upper Barrier Update Update Add svv^T & set $u' \leftarrow u + 2$.



Upper Barrier Update
Add
$$svv^{T} \& set u' \leftarrow u + 2.$$

 $\Phi^{u'}(A + svv^{T})$
 $= Tr(u'I - A - svv^{T})^{-1}$
 $Tr(A + vv^{T})^{-1} = TrA^{-1} - \frac{v^{T}A^{-2}v}{1 + v^{T}A^{-1}v}$
Sherman-Morrisson





How much of $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^T$ can we add?

Rearranging: $\Phi^{u'}(A + s\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^T) \leq \Phi^u(A)$





How much of $\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^T$ can we add?

Rearranging: $\Phi^{u'}(A + s\mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^T) \leq \Phi^u(A)$



 $\frac{1}{s} \ge \mathbf{v}^T \left(\frac{(u'I - A)^{-2}}{\Phi^u(A) - \Phi^{u'}(A)} + (u'I - A)^{-1} \right) \mathbf{v}$ $\frac{1}{s} \ge U_A \bullet \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T$

The Lower Barrier



Goal

Show that we can always add some vector while respecting *both* barriers.



Both Barriers

There is always a vector with $U_A \bullet \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T \leq L_A \bullet \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T$



Then, can squeeze scaling factor in between:

$$U_A \bullet \mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^T \leq \frac{1}{s} \leq L_A \bullet \mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^T$$

Taking Averages $\exists \mathbf{v}, U_A \bullet \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T \leq L_A \bullet \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T$

 $\sum_{\mathbf{v}\in\{v_e\}} U_A \bullet \mathbf{v}\mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{T}} = U_A \bullet \left(\sum_{e} v_e v_e^{T}\right)$ $= U_A \bullet I$ $= \operatorname{Tr}(U_A).$

Taking Averages $\exists \mathbf{v}, U_A \bullet \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T \leq L_A \bullet \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T$ $\sum_{A \bullet \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{T}}} U_{A} \bullet \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^{\mathbf{T}} = U_{A} \bullet \left(\sum v_{e} v_{e}^{T}\right)$ $\mathbf{v} \in \{v_e\}$ $U_A).$

$$\frac{\operatorname{Tr}(u'I-A)^{-2}}{\Phi^u(A)-\Phi^{u'}(A)}+\operatorname{Tr}(u'I-A)^{-1}$$

$$\frac{\operatorname{Tr}(u'I - A)^{-2}}{\Phi^u(A) - \Phi^{u'}(A)} + \frac{\Phi^{u'}(A)}{\Phi^{u'}(A)}$$

$$\frac{\operatorname{Tr}(u'I - A)^{-2}}{\Phi^u(A) - \Phi^{u'}(A)} + \underbrace{\leq \Phi^u(A)}_{\leq \Phi^u(A)}$$



$$\frac{-\frac{\partial}{\partial u'} \Phi^{u'}(A)}{\Phi^{u}(A) - \Phi^{u'}(A)} + \underbrace{\leq 1}_{\text{induction}}$$

(Recall $\Phi^u(A) = \operatorname{Tr}(uI - A)^{-1}$.)



(Recall $\Phi^u(A) = \operatorname{Tr}(uI - A)^{-1}$.)
Bounding *Tr(U_A)*



Taking Averages

$$\exists \mathbf{v}, U_A \bullet \mathbf{vv}^T \leq L_A \bullet \mathbf{vv}^T$$
 $\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \{v_e\}} U_A \bullet \mathbf{vv}^T \leq \frac{1}{\delta_u} + 1.$

Taking Averages

$$\exists \mathbf{v}, U_A \bullet \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T \leq L_A \bullet \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \{v_e\}} U_A \bullet \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T \leq \frac{1}{\delta_u} + 1.$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \{v_e\}} L_A \bullet \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T \geq \frac{1}{\delta_\ell} - 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists \mathbf{v}, U_A \bullet \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T \leq L_A \bullet \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T \\ & & & \\ \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \{v_e\}} U_A \bullet \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T \leq \frac{1}{2} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists \mathbf{v}, U_A \bullet \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T \leq L_A \bullet \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T \\ & & \sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \{v_e\}} U_A \bullet \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}^T \leq \frac{1}{2} + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Taking Averages

$$\exists \mathbf{v}, U_A \bullet \mathbf{vv}^T \leq L_A \bullet \mathbf{vv}^T$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \{v_e\}} U_A \bullet \mathbf{vv}^T \leq \frac{1}{2} + 1. = \frac{3}{2}$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{v} \in \{v_e\}} L_A \bullet \mathbf{vv}^T \geq \frac{1}{1/3} - 1. = \frac{2}{2}$$



Step i+1



$$\Phi^u(A) \leq 1$$

 $\Phi_\ell(A) \leq 1.$



 $\Phi^u(A) \leq 1$ $\Phi_{\ell}(A) \leq 1.$



$\Phi^u(A) \leq 1$ $\Phi_\ell(A) \leq 1.$



 $\Phi^u(A) \leq 1$ $\Phi_\ell(A) \leq 1.$

Step 6n



 $\Phi_{\ell}(A) \leq 1.$

Step 6n

 $A^{(i)}, A^{(i+1)}, A^{(i+2)}, A^{(i+3)}, \dots, A^{(6n)}$



$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Main Sparsification Theorem}\\ \text{If} & \sum_{e} v_e v_e^T = I_n\\ \text{then there are scalars } s_e \geq 0 \text{ with}\\ & 1 \leq \lambda (\sum_{e} s_e v_e v_e^T) \leq 13\\ \text{and } |\{s_e \neq 0\}| \leq 6n. \end{array}$$



Twice-Ramanujan

Fixing dn steps and tightening parameters gives

$$\frac{d+1+2\sqrt{d}}{d+1-2\sqrt{d}}.$$

(zeros of Laguerre polynomials).

Major Themes

- Electrical model of interlacing is useful
- Can use barrier potential to **iteratively** construct matrices with desired spectra
- Analysis of progress is greedy / local
- Requires **fractional weights** on vectors

Sparsification of PSD Matrices

Theorem. If

$$V = \sum_i v_i v_i^T$$

then there are scalars $s_i \ge 0$ for which $V \preceq \sum_i s_i v_i v_i^T \preceq (1 + \epsilon)V$ and at most n/ϵ^2 are nonzero.

To put this in context...
Given:
$$V = \sum_{i \leq m} v_i v_i^T$$

Spectral Theorem: If rank(V)=n then n terms! $V = \sum_{i \leq n} \lambda_i u_i u_i^T$

for eigenvectors **u**_i.

To put this in context...
Given:
$$V = \sum_{i \leq m} v_i v_i^T$$

Spectral Theorem: If rank(V)=n then
 $V = \sum_{i \leq n} \lambda_i u_i u_i^T$ n terms
 v_i need not be 'meaningful' directions...
(e.g., v_i = edges of graph)

To put this in context...
Given:
$$V = \sum_{i \leq m} v_i v_i^T$$

Spectral Theorem: If rank(V)=n then

$$V = \sum_{i \leq n} \lambda_i u_i u_i^T$$
 n terms

This Theorem. Can find scalars **s**_i so that:

$$V \sim_{\epsilon} \sum_{i} s_{i} v_{i} v_{i}^{T}$$
 n/ϵ^{2} terms!

Open Questions

- The Ramanujan bound
- Unweighted sparsifiers for K_n
- A faster algorithm
- Directed graphs? (must be weaker notion)
- The Kadison-Singer Conjecture

Open Questions

- The Ramanujan bound
- Unweighted sparsifiers for K_n
- A faster algorithm
- Directed graphs? (must be weaker notion)
- The Kadison-Singer Conjecture







•**Q**: Can we write A as a *sparse* sum?

$$A = \sum_{i \le m} v_i v_i^T \qquad v_i \in \mathbf{R}^n, m \gg n$$

- •**O**: Can we write A as a *sparse* sum?
- •A: Yes, Spectral Theorem:

$$A = \sum_{i \leq n} \lambda_i u_i u_i^T$$
 for eigvecs u_i

$$A = \sum_{i \le m} v_i v_i^T \qquad v_i \in \mathbf{R}^n, m \gg n$$

•**O**: Can we write A as a *sparse* sum?

•A: Yes, Spectral Theorem:

$$A = \sum_{i \leq n} \lambda_i u_i u_i^T$$
 for eigvecs u_i

- •Good: only *n* terms = optimal!
- •Bad: *u_i* hard to interpret in terms of *v_i*

Example: Graphs

Undirected graph G(V,E) Laplacian Matrix

$$L_G = \sum_{ij \in E} (e_i - e_j) (e_i - e_j)^T$$

Example: Graphs

Undirected graph G(V,E) Laplacian Matrix

$$L_G = \sum_{ij \in E} (e_i - e_j)(e_i - e_j)^T$$

Interested in `sparse approximation': write **L**_G as sum of a *small number* of edges.

Example: Graphs

Undirected graph G(V,E) Laplacian Matrix

$$L_G = \sum_{ij \in E} (e_i - e_j)(e_i - e_j)^T$$

Interested in `sparse approximation': write L_G as sum of a *small number* of edges. Spectral Thm: $L_G = \sum_{i=1:n} \lambda_i u_i u_i^T$ Sparse, but u_i do not correspond to edges... : (

Spectral Sparsification [BSS'09]

Theorem. Given $A = \sum_{i \le m} v_i v_i^T$ there are nonnegative weights $s_i \ge 0$ s.t.

$$A \sim \tilde{A} = \sum_{i} s_i v_i v_i^T$$

and at most **1.1n** of the *s*_{*i*} are nonzero.

Spectral (•same
$$\mathbf{v}_i$$
: ation [BSS'09]
Theorem. Given $A = \sum_{i \leq m} v_i v_i^T$
there are nonnegative weights $\mathbf{s}_i \geq 0$ s.t.
 $A \sim \tilde{A} = \sum_i s_i v_i v_i^T$
and at most **1.1n** of the \mathbf{s}_i are nonzero.

•cf. *n* terms for $\lambda_i u_i u_i^T$

Back to Graphs

Undirected graph G(V,E) Laplacian Matrix

$$L_G = \sum_{ij \in E} (e_i - e_j)(e_i - e_j)^T$$

Back to Graphs

