

ALMOST UNRAMIFIED AUTOMORPHIC REPRESENTATIONS FOR SPLIT GROUPS OVER $\mathbf{F}_q(t)$

AMRITANSHU PRASAD

ABSTRACT. Let G be a split reductive group over a finite field \mathbf{F}_q , \mathbf{F} the field $\mathbf{F}_q(t)$ of rational functions in t with coefficients in \mathbf{F}_q and \mathbf{A} the adèles of \mathbf{F} . We describe the irreducible automorphic representations of $G(\mathbf{A})$ which have non-zero vectors invariant under Iwahori subgroups at two places and maximal compact subgroups at all other places in terms of the irreducible square-integrable representations of an Iwahori-Hecke algebra associated to G and the Satake isomorphism.

Introduction. Let \mathbf{F}_q denote the finite field with q elements. Let G be a split reductive group over \mathbf{F}_q . Fix a Borel subgroup B of G defined over \mathbf{F}_q and a maximal \mathbf{F}_q -split torus T contained in B . Let N be the unipotent radical of B . Consider the global field $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_q(t)$ with ring of adèles \mathbf{A} . For each valuation v of \mathbf{F} let F_v denote the corresponding completion of \mathbf{F} . Let O_v denote the ring of integers in F_v . Denote by 0 and ∞ the valuations uniformized by t and t^{-1} respectively. Set

$$K_v = \begin{cases} \text{pre-image of } B(\mathbf{F}_q) \text{ under } G(O_v) \rightarrow G(\mathbf{F}_q) & \text{if } v = \infty \text{ or } 0, \\ G(O_v) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathbf{K} = \prod_v K_v$. Let

$$M = L^2(G(\mathbf{F}) \backslash G(\mathbf{A}) / \mathbf{K}).$$

Denote by H_v the convolution algebra of compactly supported complex-valued measures on $G(F_v)$ that are left and right invariant under K_v . For $v = \infty$ or 0 , H_v is an *Iwahori-Hecke algebra*. For all the other v 's, H_v is a *spherical Hecke algebra*. H_v has a right action on M given by

$$(1) \quad m \cdot \omega_v(x) = \int_{G(F_v)} m(xg^{-1}) d\omega_v(g) \text{ for all } m \in M, \omega_v \in H_v.$$

The convolution algebra of compactly supported measures on $G(\mathbf{A})$ which are left and right invariant under \mathbf{K} is a *restricted tensor product* of the algebras H_v (see e.g., [4, Example 2]). Denote this algebra by $\otimes_v H_v$. For each irreducible representation (π, V) of $\otimes_v H_v$ occurring discretely in M there exist irreducible representations (π_v, V_v) of H_v and vectors $\mathbf{v}_v \in V_v$ for each v such that (π, V) is a restricted tensor product of the (π_v, V_v) 's with respect to $\{\mathbf{v}_v\}$ in the sense of [4]. The isomorphism classes of the factors (π_v, V_v) are completely determined by the isomorphism class of V . The representation π_v is called the *local constituent* of π at v .

The main theorem (Theorem 10) of this article describes the local constituents of the irreducible representations of $\otimes_v H_v$ that occur in the discrete part of M .

Date: 28 October, 2002.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11F70, 22E55.

For each (π, V) occurring discretely in M the representations (π_v, V_v) are explicitly described in terms of the irreducible square-integrable representations of an Iwahori-Hecke algebra for $v = \infty, 0$ in [12]. In this article the local constituents of (π, V) for the remaining v are obtained by showing first that a function in M is determined by its constant term along N , and then showing that the constant terms lie in a representation of $\otimes_v H_v$ where the eigencharacter for the center of H_∞ of an eigenvector for the action of the center of $\otimes_v H_v$ determines the eigencharacter for the center of H_v for any other v .

The automorphic representations described here lie in the *residual discrete spectrum* coming from the residues of Eisenstein series associated to unramified automorphic characters of T (this can be deduced from Lemmas 2 and 3). For classical groups over number fields, such representations have been studied extensively by Mœglin and Waldspurger in [10] and by Mœglin in [8] and [9]. A more detailed overview of these articles may be found in the introduction of [12]. The techniques used in [12] and in this paper use only general results about the structure of split reductive groups and therefore also provide, for the first time, an opportunity to understand the residual discrete spectrum for all the exceptional groups.

The constant term along N . Given a function $m \in M$, its *constant term along N* is the function

$$m_N(x) = \int_{N(\mathbf{F}) \backslash N(\mathbf{A})} m(nx) dn \text{ for each } x \in G(\mathbf{A}),$$

where dn is the Haar measure on the compact group $N(\mathbf{F}) \backslash N(\mathbf{A})$ normalized to give $N(\mathbf{F}) \backslash N(\mathbf{A})$ total measure one. Clearly m_N is a complex-valued function on $T(\mathbf{F})N(\mathbf{A}) \backslash G(\mathbf{A})/\mathbf{K}$ and the map $m \mapsto m_N$ is a homomorphism of $\otimes_v H_v$ -modules.

The following lemma shows that every irreducible module of $\otimes_v H_v$ occurring discretely in M also occurs as a submodule of the $\otimes_v H_v$ -module \tilde{M} consisting of all complex valued functions on $T(\mathbf{F})N(\mathbf{A}) \backslash G(\mathbf{A})/\mathbf{K}$:

Lemma 2. *Let m be a complex-valued function on $G(\mathbf{F}) \backslash G(\mathbf{A})/\mathbf{K}$. If $m_N \equiv 0$ then $m \equiv 0$.*

Proof. Let $W = N_{G(\mathbf{F}_q)}T/T(\mathbf{F}_q)$. Fix a section (which need not be a homomorphism of groups) $\phi : W \rightarrow N_{G(\mathbf{F}_q)}T$. It induces a bijection

$$W \rightarrow B(\mathbf{F}_q) \backslash G(\mathbf{F}_q) / B(\mathbf{F}_q).$$

Composing ϕ with the natural inclusion $G(\mathbf{F}_q) \rightarrow G(O_v)$ gives a function $\phi_v : W \rightarrow G(O_v)$. Let $X_*(T)$ denote the lattice $\text{Hom}(\mathbf{G}_m, T)$ of rational cocharacters of T . For $\mu \in X_*(T)$ and a a unit in a ring A , let a^μ denote the element $\mu(a) \in T(A)$. The Weyl group W has a natural action on $X_*(T)$. The semidirect product $\tilde{W} = X_*(T) \rtimes W$ is known as the *extended affine Weyl group* of G . Recall the following theorem [11, Theorem 3.1.1] (see also [13])

Theorem (Birkhoff Decomposition). *The map $\tilde{\phi}_\infty : \tilde{W} \rightarrow G(\mathbf{A})$ defined by*

$$\mu \rtimes w \mapsto (t^{-1})^\mu \phi_\infty(w) \in G(F_\infty) \subset G(\mathbf{A})$$

induces a bijection of sets

$$\tilde{W} \xrightarrow{\sim} G(\mathbf{F}) \backslash G(\mathbf{A}) / \mathbf{K}.$$

By abuse of notation we will write w instead of $\tilde{\phi}_\infty(w)$ for each $w \in \tilde{W}$. Let $t_w = 1_{G(\mathbf{F})\phi_\infty(w)\mathbf{K}}$. These functions form a basis for the space of compactly supported functions in M . For $w, w' \in \tilde{W}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (t_{w'})_N(w) &= \int_{N(\mathbf{F}) \backslash N(\mathbf{A})} t_{w'}(nw) dn \\ &= \text{measure}(N(\mathbf{F}) \backslash (N(\mathbf{A}) \cap G(\mathbf{F})w'\mathbf{K}w^{-1})) \end{aligned}$$

The group \tilde{W} is endowed with a partial ordering “ \leq ” known as the *Bruhat ordering*. Note that $N(\mathbf{A}) \subset G(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{K}$. Moreover, formula for convolving a basis vector t_w with a generator of the algebra H_∞ in [12, §3.c] implies that

$$G(\mathbf{F})\mathbf{K}w \subset \sqcup_{w' \leq w} G(\mathbf{F})w'\mathbf{K}.$$

Therefore, $N(\mathbf{A}) \cap G(\mathbf{F})w'\mathbf{K}w^{-1}$ is non-empty only when $w' \leq w$. Moreover, for each $w \in \tilde{W}$, $w^{-1}N(\mathbf{A})w \cap \mathbf{K}$ has positive measure in $w^{-1}N(\mathbf{A})w$ so that $\text{measure}(N(\mathbf{F}) \backslash (N(\mathbf{A}) \cap G(\mathbf{F})w\mathbf{K}w^{-1})) > 0$. It follows from the above remarks that if m is a compactly supported function in M such that $m_N \cong 0$ then $m \cong 0$. Lemma 2 then follows from the fact that the compactly supported functions in M are dense in M . \square

The following lemma is the key to understanding the relationship between the local constituents at different valuations of the irreducible representations of $\otimes_v H_v$ occurring in \tilde{M} :

Lemma 3. *For every $m \in \tilde{M}$, $t_0 \in \prod_v T(O_v)$ and $g \in G(\mathbf{A})$,*

$$m(t_0g) = m(g).$$

Proof. Let $g \in G(\mathbf{A})$. By the Iwasawa decomposition g can be written as tnk_0 , where $t \in T(\mathbf{A})$, $n \in N(\mathbf{A})$ and $k_0 \in \prod_v G(O_v)$. By the Bruhat decomposition, k_0 can be written as $k_0 = n_0\phi_\infty(w_\infty)\phi_0(w_0)k$ for some $n_0 \in \prod_v N(O_v)$, $w_\infty, w_0 \in W$ and $k \in \mathbf{K}$. Hence every double coset in $T(\mathbf{F})N(\mathbf{A}) \backslash G(\mathbf{A})/\mathbf{K}$ has a representative of the form $t\phi_\infty(w_\infty)\phi_0(w_0)$. Therefore it suffices to prove Lemma 3 for g of the form $t\phi_\infty(w_\infty)\phi_0(w_0)$.

For $t_0 \in \prod_v T(O_v)$ and $m \in \tilde{M}$,

$$m(t_0t\phi_\infty(w_\infty)\phi_0(w_0)) = m(t\phi_\infty(w_\infty)\phi_0(w_0))$$

since $\phi_\infty(w_\infty)\phi_0(w_0)$ normalizes $\prod_v T(O_v)$, which in turn is contained in \mathbf{K} . \square

Hecke algebras. Recall some basic properties of the Hecke algebras H_v . Let Z_v denote the center of H_v for each v and R_v denote the algebra $\mathbf{C}[T(F_v)/T(O_v)]$. Let M_v denote the space of complex-valued functions on $T(O_v)N(F_v) \backslash G(F_v)/K_v$ for each v . M_v is a right H_v -module, where the action of $\omega_v \in H_v$ on $m \in M_v$ is given by (1). M_v is also a left R_v -module under the action

$$(4) \quad r_v \cdot m_v(x) = \int_{T(F_v)} m_v(t^{-1}x) \delta_B^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) r_v(t) dt \text{ for } r_v \in R_v \text{ and } m_v \in M_v.$$

Proposition 5. *For each v there exists an isomorphism*

$$B_v : R_v^W \rightarrow Z_v$$

such that $m_v \cdot B_v(r_v) = r_v \cdot m_v$ for each $m_v \in M_v$ and $r_v \in R_v^W$.

Proof. Suppose that v is not ∞ or 0 . Let dg denote the Haar measure on $G(F_v)$ which gives K_v volume one. Then for any $m_v \in M_v$, $\omega_v = h(g)dg \in H_v$ and $x \in T(F_v)$,

$$\begin{aligned} m_v \cdot \omega_v(x) &= \int_{G(F_v)} m_v(xg^{-1})h(g)dg \\ &= \int_{B(F_v)} \int_{K_v} m_v(xb^{-1}k^{-1})h(kb)d_r bdk & [1, (5)] \\ &= \int_{B(F_v)} m_v(xb^{-1})h(b)d_r b \\ &= \int_{T(F_v)} \int_{N(F_v)} m_v(xt^{-1}n^{-1})h(nt)dndt & [1, (2)] \\ &= \int_{T(F_v)} m_v(t^{-1}x) \int_{N(F_v)} h(nt)dndt \\ &= \int_{T(F_v)} m_v(t^{-1}x) \delta_B^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) \left(\delta_B^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \int_{N(F_v)} h(nt)dn \right) dt \end{aligned}$$

Here $d_r b$ is the right Haar measure on B normalized so that $B(O_v)$ has total measure one, and dk (resp. dn , dt) is a Haar measure on K_v (resp. $N(F_v)$, $T(F_v)$) giving K_v (resp. $N(O_v)$, $T(O_v)$) measure one. The map $H_v \rightarrow R_v$ defined by

$$\omega_v \mapsto \left(t \mapsto \delta_B^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t) \int_{N(F_v)} h(nt)dn \right)$$

is well-known to be an isomorphism onto R_v^W (it is known as the *Satake isomorphism* [1, (19)]). Thus R_v is commutative, i.e., $Z_v = R_v$. Moreover, by the Iwasawa decomposition, $m \in M_v$ is completely determined by its restriction to $T(F_v)$. Therefore, taking B_v to be the inverse of the Satake isomorphism completes the proof of Proposition 5 for v different from ∞ and 0 .

If v is 0 or ∞ , then it may be shown that for a dominant $\mu \in X_*(T)$ (we say that $\mu \in X_*(T)$ is *dominant* if $\pi_\infty^\mu(N(F_\infty) \cap K_\infty)\pi_\infty^{-\mu} \subset N(F_\infty) \cap K_\infty$)

$$1_{\pi_v^\mu} \cdot 1_{T(O_v)N(F_v)K_v} = \delta_B^{\frac{1}{2}}(\pi_v^\mu) 1_{T(O_v)N(F_v)K_v} \cdot \Theta_\mu,$$

where $\Theta_\mu(g) = 1_{K_v \pi_v^\mu K_v}(g)dg$ and dg is the Haar measure on $G(F_v)$ which gives K_v total measure one. It follows that we may take B_v to be the isomorphism of the center of H_v onto R_v^W attributed to Bernstein [7, Theorem 8.1]. \square

Conditions on central characters. We now show how Lemma 3 can be used to show that the eigencharacter for Z_∞ of an eigenvector in \tilde{M} determines its eigencharacters for Z_v for all v . The map $X_*(T) \rightarrow T(F_v)/T(O_v)$ given by $\mu \mapsto \mu(\pi_v)$, where π_v is a uniformizing element for F_v , is independent of the choice of π_v and determines an isomorphism $f_v : R \rightarrow R_v$, where $R := \mathbf{C}[X_*(T)]$.

Lemma 6. *Suppose that $m \in \tilde{M}$ is an eigenvector for the action of $\otimes_v Z_v$ with eigencharacter $\psi_v : Z_v \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ for each v . Then for any valuation v ,*

$$\psi_v(B_v \circ f_v(r)) = \psi_\infty(B_\infty \circ f_\infty(r^{[\deg(v)]})) \text{ for each } r \in R^W$$

where, for any integer d , $r^{[d]}$ denotes that element of $R = \mathbf{C}[X_*(T)]$ for which $r^{[d]}(\mu) = r(d\mu)$.

Proof. For each $\mu \in X_*(T)$, the elements $\pi_\infty^{\deg(v)\mu}$ and π_v^μ are congruent in $T(\mathbf{A})$ modulo $T(\mathbf{F}) \prod_v (T(O_v))$. It follows from Lemma 3 that for any $m \in \tilde{M}$

$$m(\pi_v^{-\mu}x) = m(\pi_\infty^{-\deg(v)\mu}x).$$

Therefore, for any $r \in R^W$, we have

$$f_v(r) \cdot m = f_\infty(r^{[\deg(v)]}) \cdot m.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_v(B_v \circ f_v(r))m &= m \cdot (B_v \circ f_v(r)) \\ &= f_v(r) \cdot m \\ &= f_\infty(r^{[\deg(v)]}) \cdot m \\ &= \psi_\infty(B_\infty \circ f_\infty(r^{[\deg(v)]})). \end{aligned}$$

□

Let \hat{T} denote the group of characters $X_*(T) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$. Then \hat{T} is a complex torus which may be viewed as a maximal torus in the *Langlands dual group* of G . It is canonically isomorphic to $\text{spec}R$. Therefore, \hat{T}/W is canonically isomorphic to $\text{spec}(R^W)$. For $s \in \hat{T}/W$, let χ_v^s be the character of Z_v defined by

$$\chi_v^s(B_v \circ f_v(r)) = s(r) \text{ for each } r \in R^W.$$

Lemma 6 implies

Proposition 7. *Suppose $m \in M$ is an eigenvector for the action of Z_∞ with eigencharacter χ_∞^s . Then for each valuation v , it is an eigenvector for the action of Z_v with eigencharacter $\chi_v^{s^{\deg(v)}}$.*

Local constituents at ∞ and 0. let F denote the field $\mathbf{F}_q((\pi))$ of Laurent series in a variable π . Let O denote the ring $\mathbf{F}_q[[\pi]]$ of integers in F . Let I be the Iwahori subgroup of $G(F)$ which is the pre-image of $B(\mathbf{F}_q)$ under the map $G(O) \rightarrow G(\mathbf{F}_q)$ and let H denote the corresponding Iwahori-Hecke algebra. The assignment $\pi \mapsto t$ (resp. $\pi \mapsto t^{-1}$) defines an isomorphism $F \rightarrow F_0$ (resp. $F \rightarrow F_\infty$) and hence an isomorphism $\theta_0 : H \rightarrow H_0$ (resp. $\theta_\infty : H \rightarrow H_\infty$). Let

$$N = L^2(\Delta G(F) \backslash (G(F)^2) / I^2).$$

Then N is an $H \otimes H$ module whose discrete part is known by elementary and standard considerations (see e.g., [12, Proposition 6.1]):

$$N_{\text{discrete}} = \bigoplus_{(\pi, V) \in \hat{H}} (\pi \otimes \tilde{\pi}, V \otimes \tilde{V}).$$

Here \hat{H} denotes the set of isomorphism-classes of irreducible square-integrable representations of H . Moreover, by [12, Theorem 1.2], there is an isometry of Hilbert spaces

$$I : N \rightarrow M$$

such that

$$I(n \cdot (h \otimes h')) = I(n) \cdot (\theta_\infty \circ \iota(h) \otimes \theta_0 \circ \iota \circ \bar{\kappa}(h')) \text{ for } h, h' \in H, n \in N.$$

where ι is the Iwahori-Matsumoto involution (introduced at the very end of [5]; or see [14, §5.3]) and $\bar{\kappa}$ is an involution (defined in [12, §5.a]), which when the derived group of G is adjoint, takes a representation to its contragredient. It follows that as an $H_\infty \otimes H_0$ -module

$$(8) \quad M_{\text{discrete}} = \bigoplus_{(\pi, V) \in \hat{H}} (\pi \circ \iota \circ \theta_\infty \otimes \tilde{\pi} \circ \bar{\kappa} \circ \iota \circ \theta_0, V \otimes \tilde{V}).$$

The Iwahori-Matsumoto involution. The following theorem (which is interesting in its own right) is the final ingredient in the proof of our main theorem (Theorem 10):

Theorem 9. *Let $(\pi, V) \in \hat{H}$. The central character of (π, V) equals the central character of $(\pi \circ \iota, V)$.*

Proof. As in the paragraph preceding Proposition 7, the characters of the center of H are parameterized by points in \hat{T}/W . Let $s \in \hat{T}/W$ be the central character of H on (π, V) . Let $(r(\pi), U)$ denote the representation of R that is obtained by taking the $T(O)$ -invariants of the normalized Jacquet module (see [14, §2.3] for the correct normalization) of the irreducible representation of $G(\mathbf{F})$ whose I invariants form the irreducible representation (π, V) of H . By [3, Proposition 2.4] the canonical projection $V \rightarrow U$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, it follows easily from the definition of B_v , [3, Proposition 2.5] and [2, Lemma 1.5.1] that the restrictions to R^W of the weights of $r(\pi)$ is also s . By [14, Proposition 13], weights of $r(\pi)$ and $r(\pi \circ \iota)$ on U differ by conjugation by the longest element in W . Therefore, their restrictions to R^W are the same. This means that the central character of (π, V) equals that of $(\pi \circ \iota, V)$. \square

It is erroneously stated in [12] that the Iwahori-Matsumoto involution takes s to s^{-1} . In view of Theorem 9, s^{-1} should be replaced by s in the statements of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 in [12].

The discrete spectrum. For $(\pi, V) \in \hat{H}$, let $s(\pi) \in \hat{T}/W$ correspond to the central character of π on V . Let χ_v^s be as in Proposition 7.

Theorem 10 (Main Theorem). *As an $\otimes_v H_v$ -module,*

$$(11) \quad M_{\text{discrete}} = \bigoplus_{(\pi, V) \in \hat{H}} (\pi \circ \iota \circ \theta_\infty \otimes \tilde{\pi} \circ \bar{\kappa} \circ \iota \circ \theta_0 \otimes (\otimes_{v \neq 0, \infty} \chi_v^{(s(\pi))^{\deg(v)}}), V \otimes \tilde{V}).$$

Proof. Theorem 10 is a direct consequence of Proposition 7, (8) and Theorem 9. \square

Acknowledgments. We thank Robert Kottwitz for some extremely useful discussions related to this article. We thank the *Centre de Recherches Mathématiques* in Montréal and the *Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik* in Bonn for their support during the preparation of this article. We are grateful to *The University of Chicago* for its hospitality during the final stages of the preparation of this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Cartier. Representations of p -adic groups: a survey. *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, Vol. 33, part 1:111-155, 1979.
- [2] W. Casselman. *Introduction to the theory of admissible representations of p -adic reductive groups*. Unpublished manuscript, 1974-93. <http://www.math.ubc.ca/~cass/>
- [3] W. Casselman. The unramified principal series of p -adic groups. I. The spherical function. *Compositio Math.*, 40(3):387-406, 1980.
- [4] D. Flath. Decomposition of representations into tensor products. *Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics*, Vol. 33, part 1:179-183, 1979.
- [5] N. Iwahori and H. Matsumoto. On some Bruhat decomposition and the structure of the Hecke rings of p -adic Chevalley groups. *IHES Publications Mathématiques No.*, 25:5-48, 1965.
- [6] R. Langlands. *On the functional equations satisfied by Eisenstein series*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 544. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976.

- [7] G. Lusztig. Singularities, character formulas, and a q -analog of weight multiplicities. In *Analysis and topology on singular spaces, II, III (Luminy, 1981)*, pages 208–229. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1983.
- [8] C. Mœglin. Orbites unipotentes et spectre discret non ramifié: le cas des groupes classiques déployés. *Compositio Mathematica*, 77:1-54, 1991.
- [9] C. Mœglin. Représentations unipotentes et formes automorphes de carré intégrable. *Forum Mathematicum*, 6:651-744, 1994.
- [10] C. Mœglin and J.-L. Waldspurger. Le spectre résiduel de $GL(n)$. *Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure (4)*, 22:605-674, 1989.
- [11] A. Prasad. *Almost unramified discrete spectrum of split reductive groups over $\mathbf{F}_q(t)$* . PhD thesis, University of Chicago, 2001. <http://aprasad.bravepages.com>
- [12] A. Prasad. Almost unramified discrete spectrum for split groups over $\mathbf{F}_q(t)$. *Duke Mathematical Journal* 113:237–257, 2002.
- [13] A. Prasad. Reduction theory for the projective line. *Proceedings (Mathematical Sciences) of the Indian academy of Science (to appear)*.
- [14] F. Rodier. Sur les représentations non ramifiées des groupes réductifs p -adiques; l'exemple de $GSp(4)$. *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, 116(1):15–42, 1988.

CENTRE DE RECHERCHES MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTRÉAL, BOX 6128, CENTRE-VILLE STATION, MONTRÉAL, QUÉBEC H3C 3J7, CANADA
E-mail address: prasad@crm.umontreal.ca