On a tensor-analogue of the Schur product

K. Sumesh and V.S. Sunder Institute of Mathematical Sciences Chennai 600113 INDIA

email: sumeshkpl@gmail.com, sunder@imsc.res.in

October 1, 2015

Abstract

We consider the tensorial Schur product $R \circ^{\otimes} S = [r_{ij} \otimes s_{ij}]$ for $R \in M_n(\mathcal{A}), S \in M_n(\mathcal{B})$, with \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} unital C^* -algebras, verify that such a 'tensorial Schur product' of positive operators is again positive, and then use this fact to prove (an apparently marginally more general version of) the classical result of Choi that a linear map $\phi : M_n \to M_d$ is completely positive if and only if $[\phi(E_{ij})] \in M_n(M_d)^+$, where of course $\{E_{ij} : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ denotes the usual system of matrix units in $M_n(:=M_n(\mathbb{C}))$. We also discuss some other corollaries of the main result.

1 The result

We start with some notation: (We assume, for convenience, that all our C^* algebras are unital.) We denote an element of a matrix algebra by capital letters, such as R, and denote its entries by either $[R]_{ij}$ or the corresponding lower case letter r_{ij} . This is primarily because $[R^*]_{ij} = (r_{ji})^* \neq [R^*]_{ji}!$

DEFINITION 1.1. 1. If \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} are C^* -algebras, and $\phi : M_n \to \mathcal{B}$ is a positive map, define $\phi_{\mathcal{A}} : A \otimes M_n \to \mathcal{A} \otimes_{alg} \mathcal{B}$ (where $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{alg} \mathcal{B}$ denotes the algebraic tensor product of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B}) by $\phi_{\mathcal{A}} = id_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \phi$. 2. If $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathcal{A}), B = [b_{ij}] \in M_n(\mathcal{B}), define A \circ^{\otimes} B = [a_{ij} \otimes b_{ij}] \in$ $M_n(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{alg} \mathcal{B}).$

For later use, we isolate a lemma, whose elementary verification we omit. LEMMA 1.2. The map $\pi: M_n(\mathcal{A}) \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C}) \to M_{nk}(\mathcal{A})$ defined by

$$[\pi(R \otimes C)]_{i\alpha,j\beta} = c_{\alpha\beta}r_{ij} \tag{1.1}$$

is a C^{*}-algebra isomorphism for any C^{*}-algebra \mathcal{A} ; in the sequel, we shall simply use this π to make the identification $M_n(\mathcal{A}) \otimes M_k(\mathbb{C}) = M_{nk}(\mathcal{A})$.

PROPOSITION 1.3.

$$R \in M_n(\mathcal{A})^+, S \in M_n(\mathcal{B})^+ \Rightarrow R \circ^{\otimes} S \in M_n(\mathcal{C})^+,$$
(1.2)

where C denotes - here and in the rest of this short note - any C*-algebra containing $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{alg} \mathcal{B}$. In particular,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} r_{ij} \otimes s_{ij} \in M_n(\mathcal{C})^+.$$
(1.3)

Proof. To deduce eqn. (1.3) from eqn. (1.2), we let $1_n \in M_{n \times 1}(\mathcal{C})^+$ be the $n \times 1$ column-vector with all entries equal to $1_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes 1_{\mathcal{B}}$, and note that

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} r_{ij} \otimes s_{ij} = 1_n^* (R \circ^{\otimes} S) 1_n.$$

Now for the slightly less immediate eqn. (1.2). By assumption, $R \otimes S \in$ $M_{n^2}(\mathcal{C})^+$.

In the sequel all the variables i,j,k,l,p,q will range over the set $\{1,2,...,n\}$ and we shall simply write \sum_{k} for $\sum_{k=1}^{n}$. Now define $V \in M_{n \times n^2}(\mathcal{C})$ by

$$[V]_{i,pq} = \delta_{pi}\delta_{qi}(1_A \otimes 1_B).$$

Then,

$$[V(R \otimes S)V^*]_{ij} = \sum_{p,q,k,l} [V]_{i,pq} [R \otimes S]_{pq,kl} [V^*]_{kl,j}$$
$$= [R \otimes S]_{ii,jj}$$
$$= r_{ij} \otimes s_{ij}$$

and so,

$$V(R \otimes S)V^* = R \circ^{\otimes} S.$$

The proof of the Proposition is complete.

REMARK 1.4. Note that the proof shows that $R \circ^{\otimes} S \in M_n(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{alg} \mathcal{B})$.

The classical result of Choi alluded to in the abstract is the equivalence $2 \Leftrightarrow 3$. in the following Corollary, for the case $\mathcal{B} = M_d$ (see [1]).

COROLLARY 1.5. The following conditions on a linear map $\phi: M_n \to \mathcal{B}$ are equivalent:

- 1. For any C^{*}-algebra \mathcal{A} , the map $\phi_{\mathcal{A}}(:= id_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \phi) : \mathcal{A} \otimes M_n \to \mathcal{C}$ is a positive map for any C^{*}-algebra \mathcal{C} as in Proposition 1.3.
- 2. The map ϕ is CP.¹
- 3. $[\phi(E_{ij})] \in M_n(\mathcal{B})^+$.

Proof. We only prove the non-trivial implication $3. \Rightarrow 1$. if $R \in (\mathcal{A} \otimes M_n)^+ = M_n(\mathcal{A})^+$, and if $R = [r_{ij}]$, then

$$\phi_{\mathcal{A}}(R) = (id_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes \phi)(\sum_{ij} r_{ij} \otimes E_{ij})$$
$$= \sum_{ij} r_{ij} \otimes \phi(E_{ij})$$
$$\in M_n(\mathcal{C})^+,$$

by eqn. (1.3).

COROLLARY 1.6. Let $R \in M_n(\mathcal{A})^+$. Then the map $M_n(\mathcal{B}) \ni S \xrightarrow{L_R} R \circ^{\otimes} S \in M_n(\mathcal{C})$ is CP. In particular $R \in M_n^+ \Rightarrow M_n \ni S \to R \circ S \in M_n$ is also CP.

Proof. To avoid confusion, we use Greek letters α, β etc., to denote elements of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and English letters i, j etc. to denote elements of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Suppose $[\hat{S}] \in M_{kn}(\mathcal{B})^+ = M_k(M_n(\mathcal{B}))^+$ is given by $[\hat{S}]_{\alpha i,\beta j} := [S_{\alpha,\beta}]_{i,j}$ (see Lemma 1.2), where of course $S_{\alpha\beta} \in M_n(\mathcal{B}) \forall \alpha, \beta$). Let $J_k \in M_k$

г		

¹For an explanation of terms like CP (= completely positive) and operator system, the reader may consult [3], for instance.

be (the all 1 matrix) given by $[J_k]_{\alpha\beta} = 1 \ \forall \alpha, \beta$. Then we see that $J_k \ge 0$ (in fact J_k/k is a projection) and so,

$$[L_R(S_{\alpha\beta})] = [[r_{ij} \otimes [S_{\alpha\beta}]_{ij}]]$$

= $[[[J_k]_{\alpha\beta}r_{ij} \otimes [S_{\alpha\beta}]_{ij}]]$
= $[(J_k \otimes R)]_{\alpha i,\beta j} \otimes [\hat{S}]_{\alpha i,\beta j}$ (see Lemma 1.2)
= $(J_k \otimes R) \circ^{\otimes} \hat{S}$
 $\geq 0,$

by Proposition 1.3 applied with R, n, S there replaced by $J_k \otimes R, kn, \hat{S}$, since $[J_k \otimes R]_{\alpha i,\beta j} = r_{ij} \forall \alpha, \beta$. The second statement of the Corollary is just the specialisation of the first statement to $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} = \mathbb{C}$.

REMARK 1.7. The special case n = 1 of Corollary 1.6 perhaps merits singling out: If $r \in \mathcal{A}^+$, then the map $\mathcal{B} \ni s \xrightarrow{L_{\tau}} r \otimes s \in \mathcal{C}$ is CP.

REMARK 1.8. It should be clear that there is a 'right' version of all the 'left' statements discussed above.

The proofs suggest that these results might well admit formulations in the language of operator systems; however, we suspect that such 'generalisations' will follow from nuclearity of M_n and the flexibility in the choice of C in our formulation, in view of the Choi-Effros theorem (see [2]).

References

- M. D. Choi, Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices, Linear Algebra and Appl. 10 (1975), 285–290.
- [2] M. D. Choi and E. G. Effros, *Injectivity and operator spaces*, J. of Functional Analysis 24 (1977), no. 2, 156–209.
- [3] G. Pisier, *Introduction to Operator Space Theory*. LMS Lecture Note Series 294, Cambridge University Press, 2003.