How to find good starting tensors for matrix multiplication

Markus Bläser

Saarland University

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Matrix multiplication

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_{1,1} & \dots & z_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_{n,1} & \dots & z_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & \dots & x_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n,1} & \dots & x_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} y_{1,1} & \dots & y_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_{n,1} & \dots & y_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$z_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{i,k} y_{k,j}, \qquad 1 \leq i,j \leq n$$

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

- entries are variables
- allowed operations: addition, multiplication, scalar multiplication

Strassen's algorithm

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & y_{12} \\ y_{21} & y_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$p_1 = (x_{11} + x_{22})(y_{11} + y_{22}),$$

$$p_2 = (x_{11} + x_{22})y_{11},$$

$$p_3 = x_{11}(y_{12} - y_{22}),$$

$$p_4 = x_{22}(-y_{11} + y_{12}),$$

$$p_5 = (x_{11} + x_{12})y_{22},$$

$$p_6 = (-x_{11} + x_{21})(y_{11} + y_{12}),$$

$$p_7 = (x_{12} - x_{22})(y_{21} + y_{22}).$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 + p_4 - p_5 + p_7 & p_3 + p_5 \\ p_2 + p_4 & p_1 + p_3 - p_2 + p_6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Strassen's algorithm (2)

▶ 7 mults, 18 adds

instead of

8 mults, 4 adds

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Strassen's algorithm (2)

7 mults, 18 adds

instead of

8 mults, 4 adds

Observation: Strassen's algorithm works over any ring!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Strassen's algorithm (2)

7 mults, 18 adds

instead of

8 mults, 4 adds

Observation: Strassen's algorithm works over any ring!

 \longrightarrow Recurse:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} - \\ - \\ - \\ \end{array}\right) \cdot \left(\begin{array}{c} - \\ - \\ - \\ \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} - \\ - \\ - \\ \end{array}\right).$$

$$C(n) \le 7 \cdot C(n/2) + O(n^2), \qquad C(1) = 1$$

Theorem (Strassen)

We can multiply $n \times n$ -matrices with $O(n^{\log_2 7}) = O(n^{2.81})$ arithmetic operations

Tensor rank

In general:

• bilinear forms $b_1(X, Y), \dots b_n(X, Y)$

▶ in variables $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$ and $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$. Write

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i} z_{i} = \sum_{h=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{h,i,j} x_{h} y_{i} z_{j}.$$

$$t=(t_{h,i,j})\in K^k\otimes K^m\otimes K^n$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

is the tensor corresponding to b_1, \ldots, b_n .

Tensor rank

Definition

 $\mathfrak{u} \otimes \mathfrak{v} \otimes \mathfrak{w} \in \mathfrak{U} \otimes \mathfrak{V} \otimes W$ is called a triad "rank-one tensor".

Definition (Rank)

R(t) is the smallest r such that there are rank-one tensors t_1,\ldots,t_r with $t=t_1+\cdots+t_r.$

Lemma

Let $t\in U\otimes V\otimes W$ and $t'\in U'\otimes V'\otimes W'.$

- $R(t \oplus t') \leq R(t) + R(t')$
- $R(t \otimes t') \leq R(t)R(t')$

Sums and products

Direct sum $t \oplus t' \in (U \oplus U') \otimes (V \oplus V') \otimes (W \oplus W')$:



Tensor **product** $t \otimes t' \in (U \otimes U') \otimes (V \otimes V') \otimes (W \otimes W')$:



▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Matrix multiplication tensor

Example: 2×2 -matrix multiplication (2, 2, 2):



 z_{11} z_{21} z_{12} z_{22}

In general: $t_{(h,h'),(i,i'),(j,j')} = \delta_{h',i}\delta_{i',j}\delta_{j',h}$.

Lemma

- $R(\langle k, m, n \rangle) = R(\langle n, k, m \rangle) = \cdots = R(\langle n, m, k \rangle).$
- $\blacktriangleright \langle \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \otimes \langle \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{m}', \mathbf{n}' \rangle \cong \langle \mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{m}\mathbf{m}', \mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}' \rangle.$

Strassen's algorithm and tensors

Observation: Tensor product \cong Recursion

Strassen's algorithm:

- $\blacktriangleright \langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle^{\otimes s} = \langle 2^s, 2^s, 2^s \rangle$
- $\blacktriangleright \ \mathsf{R}(\langle 2,2,2\rangle^{\otimes s}) \leq 7^s$

Definition (Exponent of matrix multiplication)

$$\omega = \inf\{\tau \mid R(\langle n, n, n \rangle) = O(n^{\tau})\}$$

Strassen: $\omega \leq \log_2 7 \leq 2.81$

Lemma

If $R(\langle k, m, n \rangle) \leq r$, then $\omega \leq 3 \cdot \frac{\log r}{\log kmn}$.

What next?

Maybe we can multiply 2×2 -matrices with 6 multiplications?

Theorem (Winograd)

 $\mathsf{R}(\langle 2,2,2\rangle) \geq 7$

Open question (not so open anymore)

Is there a small tensor $\langle n,n,n\rangle$, say, $n\leq 10$, which gives a better bound on the exponent than Strassen?

Smirnov: $R(\langle 3, 3, 6 \rangle) \le 40 \longrightarrow \omega \le 2.79$

Border rank (example)

Polynomial multiplication mod X^2 :

$$(a_0 + a_1 X)(b_0 + b_1 X) = \underbrace{a_0 b_0}_{f_0} + (\underbrace{a_1 b_0 + a_0 b_1}_{f_1})X + a_1 b_1 X^2$$



Observation

R(t) = 3

However, t can be approximated by tensors of rank 2.

 $t(\varepsilon) = (1,\varepsilon) \otimes (1,\varepsilon) \otimes (0,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}) + (1,0) \otimes (1,0) \otimes (1,-\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$



Proof of observation — restrictions

Definition

Let $A: U \to U'$, $B: V \to V'$, $C: W \to W'$ be homomorphism.

- $(A \otimes B \otimes C)(u \otimes v \otimes w) = A(u) \otimes B(v) \otimes C(w)$
- $\begin{array}{l} \blacktriangleright \quad (A \otimes B \otimes C)t = \sum_{i=1}^r A(u_i) \otimes B(v_i) \otimes C(w_i) \text{ for } \\ t = \sum_{i=1}^r u_i \otimes v_i \otimes w_i. \end{array}$
- ▶ $t' \le t$ if there are A, B, C such that $t' = (A \otimes B \otimes C)t$. ("restriction").

Lemma

• If $t' \leq t$, then $R(t') \leq R(t)$

$$\begin{array}{l} \blacktriangleright \ R(t) \leq r \ \textit{iff} \ t \leq \langle r \rangle. \\ (\langle r \rangle \ \ \textit{``diagonal''} \ \textit{of size } r.) \end{array}$$

Proof of observation



• Let
$$t = \sum_{i=1}^{r} u_i \otimes v_i \otimes w_i$$
.

$$\blacktriangleright \quad \lim\{w_1,\ldots,w_r\} = \mathsf{K}^2.$$

- Asume that $w_r = (1, *)$.
- ▶ Let C be the projection along lin{w_r} onto lin{(0,1)}.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

•
$$(I \otimes I \otimes C)t = \frac{* 1}{1 0}$$
, which has rank 2.

Border rank

Definition

Let $h \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \in K^{k \times m \times n}$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} 1. & R_h(t) = \min\{r \mid \exists u_\rho \in K[\varepsilon]^k, \nu_\rho \in K[\varepsilon]^m, w_\rho \in K[\varepsilon]^n : \\ & \sum\limits_{\rho=1}^r u_\rho \otimes \nu_\rho \otimes w_\rho = \varepsilon^h t + O(\varepsilon^{h+1}) \}. \\ 2. & \underline{R}(t) = \min_h R_h(t). \ \underline{R}(t) \text{ is called the border rank of } t. \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Bini, Capovani, Lotti, Romani: $\underline{R}(\langle 2, 2, 3 \rangle) \leq 10$.

Lemma

If
$$\underline{R}(\langle k, m, n \rangle) \leq r$$
, then $\omega \leq 3 \cdot \frac{\log r}{\log kmn}$.

Corollary

 $\omega \leq 2.79.$

Schönhage's τ-theorem

Schönhage: $\underline{R}(\langle k, 1, n \rangle \oplus \langle 1, (k-1)(n-1), 1 \rangle) \le kn + 1$.

Corollary

 $\omega \leq 2.55$.

Strassen's tensor



$$\begin{split} \mathrm{Str} &= \sum_{i=1}^{q} (\underbrace{e_i \otimes e_0 \otimes e_i}_{\langle q,1,1 \rangle} + \underbrace{e_0 \otimes e_i \otimes e_i}_{\langle 1,1,q \rangle}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{q} (e_0 + \varepsilon e_i) \otimes (e_0 + \varepsilon e_i) \otimes e_i - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} e_0 \otimes e_0 \otimes \sum_{i=1}^{q} e_i + \mathrm{O}(\varepsilon) \end{split}$$

Rank versus border rank

Theorem R(Str) = 2q.

- Let $\operatorname{Str} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} u_i \otimes v_i \otimes w_i$.
- W.I.o.g. assume that $u_r \notin \lim\{e_0, \ldots, e_{q-1}\}$.
- ▶ Let A be the projection along u_r onto lin{e₀,...,e_{q-1}}.
- ▶ Let B be the projection along e_q onto lin{e₀,...,e_{q-1}}.
- ► $R(A \otimes I \otimes B)$ Str $) \le R(Str) 1$.
- $(A \otimes I \otimes B)$ Str is like Str with one inner tensor now being $\langle q 1, 1, 1 \rangle$.
- Do this q times and kill q triads.
- We are left with a matrix of rank q.

Gap of almost 2 between rank and border rank.

Laser method

Think of Strassen's tensor having an outer and an inner structure: Cut Str into (combinatorial) cubiods!

- inner tensors: $\langle q, 1, 1 \rangle$, $\langle 1, 1, q \rangle$
- ► outer structure: Put 1 in every cubiod that is nonzero. → (1, 2, 1).



 $(\operatorname{Str}\otimes\pi\operatorname{Str}\otimes\pi^2\operatorname{Str})^{\otimes s}$ has

- inner tensors $\langle x, y, z \rangle$ with $xyz = q^{3s}$,
- outer tensor $\langle 2^s, 2^s, 2^s \rangle$.

Degeneration

Definition

1. Let
$$t = \sum_{\rho=1}^{r} u_{\rho} \otimes v_{\rho} \otimes w_{\rho} \in K^{k \times m \times n}$$
, $A(\varepsilon) \in K[\varepsilon]^{k \times k'}$,
 $B(\varepsilon) \in K[\varepsilon]^{m \times m'}$, and $C(\varepsilon) \in K[\varepsilon]^{n \times n'}$. Define

$$(A(\varepsilon)\otimes B(\varepsilon)\otimes C(\varepsilon))t=\sum_{\rho=1}^r A(\varepsilon)u_\rho\otimes B(\varepsilon)v_\rho\otimes C(\varepsilon)w_\rho.$$

2. t is a degeneration of $t' \in K^{k \times m \times n}$ (" $t \leq t'$ "), if there are $A(\varepsilon)$, $B(\varepsilon)$, $C(\varepsilon)$, and q such that

$$\epsilon^{q}t = (A(\epsilon) \otimes B(\epsilon) \otimes C(\epsilon))t' + O(\epsilon^{q+1}).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Remark



Laser method (2)

A degeneration $(A(\varepsilon),B(\varepsilon),C(\varepsilon))$ is called monomial if all entries are monomials.

Lemma (Strassen)

 $\left\langle \left\lceil \frac{3}{4}n^{2}\right\rceil \right\rangle \trianglelefteq \langle n,n,n \rangle$ by a monomial degeneration.

- inner tensors $\langle {\rm x},{\rm y},z
 angle$ with ${\rm xy}z={
 m q}^{3{
 m s}}$,
- outer tensor $\langle 2^s, 2^s, 2^s \rangle$.

 $\longrightarrow 2^{2s}$ independent matrix products with $\langle x, y, z \rangle$ with $xyz = q^{3s}$ Now apply the τ -theorem!

Corollary (Strassen)

 $\omega \leq 2.48$

Coppersmith–Winograd tensor



$$\begin{split} \varepsilon^{5} \operatorname{CW} &= \sum_{i=1}^{q} \varepsilon \cdot (e_{0} + \varepsilon^{2} e_{i}) \otimes (e_{0} + \varepsilon^{2} e_{i}) \otimes (e_{0} + \varepsilon^{2} e_{i}) \\ &- (e_{0} + \varepsilon^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{q} e_{i}) \otimes (e_{0} + \varepsilon^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{q} e_{i}) \otimes (e_{0} + \varepsilon^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{q} e_{i}) \\ &+ (1 - q\varepsilon) \cdot e_{0} \otimes e_{0} \otimes e_{0} \\ &+ O(\varepsilon^{6}) \end{split}$$

ロト (個) (注) (注) (注) のへで)

Coppersmith–Winograd tensor



(日)、(四)、(E)、(E)、(E)

Remark (last time, a doable open question) R(CW) = 2q + 1

Laser method (3)

 $\operatorname{CW}\nolimits$ has

- inner structure $\langle q, 1, 1 \rangle$, $\langle 1, q, 1 \rangle$, $\langle 1, 1, q \rangle$.
- outer structure



There is a general method how to degenerate large diagonals from arbitrary tensors.

 \longrightarrow apply to outer tensor

Corollary (Coppersmith & Winograd) $\omega \leq 2.41$

Coppersmith & Winograd, Stothers, Vassilevska-Williams, LeGall: $\omega \leq 2.37\ldots$

How to multiply matrices of astronomic sizes fast!

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

- How to multiply matrices of astronomic sizes fast!
- If we want to multiply matrices of astronomic sizes even faster, we need tensors
 - ▶ with border rank close to max{dim U, dim V, dim W}

with a "rich" structure

- How to multiply matrices of astronomic sizes fast!
- If we want to multiply matrices of astronomic sizes even faster, we need tensors
 - ▶ with border rank close to max{dim U, dim V, dim W}

- with a "rich" structure
- or completely new methods.

Cheap approaches that do not work (not yet?)

	R	<u>R</u>
$\langle 2, 2, 2 \rangle$	7	7
$\langle 2, 2, 3 \rangle$	11	[9,10]
$\langle 2, 2, 4 \rangle$	14	[12,14]*
$\langle 2, 3, 3 \rangle$	[14,15]	[10,15]*
$\langle 3,3,3 \rangle$	[19,23]	[15,20]

Main tools:

Rank: substition method (Pan), de Groote's twist of it Border rank: vanishing equations (Strassen, Lickteig, Landsberg & Ottaviani) in combination with substitution method (Landsberg & Michalek, B & Lysikov)

* Did not find any upper bounds

Characterization problem

Definition

• $S_n(q) = \{t \in K^n \otimes K^n \otimes K^n \mid R(t) \le q\},\$

►
$$X_n(q) = \{t \in K^n \otimes K^n \otimes K^n \mid \underline{R}(t) \le q\}.$$

These definitions are in "complexity-theoretic" terms.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

We need "algebraic" terms.

But: $\{t \mid t \trianglelefteq \langle q \rangle\}$ is not very useful

▶ We need "easy to check algebraic" criteria.

Remark: all tensors considered are tight.

$S_n(n)$

Theorem

$$t\in S_n(n) \text{ iff } t\cong \langle n\rangle$$

$S_n(n)$

Theorem

 $t\in S_n(n) \text{ iff } t\cong \langle n\rangle$

The multiplication in any finite dimensional algebra A can be described by a set of bilinear forms. \longrightarrow tensor t_A

Example:

•
$$A_{\epsilon} = K[X]/(X^n - \epsilon) \cong K^n$$

•
$$A_{\varepsilon} \to K[X]/(X^n)$$

►
$$R(A) = 2n - 1$$
.

Theorem (Alder–Strassen)

 $R(A) \ge 2 \dim A - number of maximal twosided ideals.$

$X_n(n)$

Definition

Let $t \in U \otimes V \otimes W$. t is 1_U -generic $(1_V, 1_W)$ if the U-slices (V, W) contain an invertible element.

Proposition (B & Lysikov)

Let t be 1_U - and 1_V -generic. Then there is an algebra A with structural tensor t_A such that $t_A \cong t$.

$X_n(n)$

Theorem (B & Lysikov)

Let A and B be algebras with tensors t_A and t_B . Then $t_A \in \overline{\operatorname{GL}_n^{\times 3}} \cdot t_B$ iff $t_A \in \overline{\operatorname{GL}_n} \cdot t_B$.

Theorem (B & Lysikov)

Let t be 1_U - and 1_V -generic. Then $t \in X_n(n)$ iff there is an algebra A such that $t_A \cong t$ and $t_A \in \overline{\operatorname{GL}_n \cdot \langle n \rangle}$

Smoothable algebras

Definition

An algebra A of dimension n of the form $K[X_1, \ldots, X_m]/I$ for some ideal I is called smoothable if I is a degeneration of some ideal whose zero set consists of n distinct points.

Theorem (B & Lysikov)

Let t be 1_{U} - and 1_{V} -generic. Then $t \in X_{n}(n)$ iff there is a smoothable algebra A such that $t_{A} \cong t$.

Examples

Cartwright et al.:

- All (commutative) algebras of dimension ≤ 7 are smoothable.
- All algebras generated by two elements are smoothable.
- All algebras with $\dim \operatorname{rad}(A)^2 / \operatorname{rad}(A)^3 = 1$
- All algebras defined by a monomial ideal.
- Str⁺ has minimal border rank. Its structural tensor is isomorphic to

$$k[X_1,\ldots,X_q]/(X_iX_j\mid 1\leq i,j\leq q)$$

 CW⁺ has minimal border rank. Its structural tensor is isomorphic to

$$k[X_1,...,X_{q+1}]/(X_iX_j,X_i^2-X_j^2,X_i^3|i \neq j)$$

Comon's conjecture

- symmetric tensor = invariant under permutation of dimensions
- symmetric rank = use symmetric rank-one tensors

Conjecture (Comon)

For symmetric tensors, the rank equals the symmetric rank.

Proposition

The border rank Comon conjecture is true for 1-generic tensors of minimal border rank.

$X_n(n+1) \\$

Theorem

 $t\in S_n(n+1)\setminus S_n(n)$ iff t is isomorphic to the multiplication tensors in the algebras

- $K[X]/(X^2) \times K^{n-2}$ or
- ► $T_2 \times K^{n-3}$.

where T_2 is the algebra of upper triangular 2×2 -matrices.

Open question (Doable)

What about $X_n(n+1)$ (for 1-generic tensors)?

The asymptotic rank of CW

- We know that $\underline{R}(CW_q) = q + 2$.
- ▶ For fast matrix multiplication, good upper bounds on $\underline{R}(CW_q^{\otimes N})$ are sufficient.

▶ In particular, $\underline{R}(CW_3^{\otimes N})^{1/N} \rightarrow 3$ implies $\omega = 2$.

Theorem (B. & Lysikov) $\underline{R}(CW_q^{\otimes N}) \ge (q+1)^N + 2^N.$