

Bon Elias

Today's goal: Introduce the main players - Sergej Linnarsson, a coauth. of HW.
Easy to get a handle on since alg. and combinatorial.

S1 | Reflection Pairs + Polys | Fix (M, S) . Define the symmetric Costn. matrix of (M, S)

to be $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & & & \\ & a_{22} & & \\ & & a_{33} & \\ & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$ with $a_{33} = a_{34} = -2 \cos \frac{\pi}{5}$ (when $M_{34} = \infty$)
(when $M_{34} = \infty$ can use $a_{33} = a_{34} = \pm 2$ on anything)
sortably generated

Let M/R have basis $\{x_i\}_{i \in S}$, called simple roots.

Let $W \subseteq L^*$ by $S(x_i) = x_i - a_{ij} x_j$, so $S(x_i) = -x_j$
the $S(x_i) = x_i + 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{5} x_j$

Rule: Many ways to generalize, see exercises.

Def: Let $R = \text{Sym}(L^*) = \mathbb{R}[x_i] \oplus W$. Graded, deg $x_i = 2$.

For ICS, let $R^{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{R}^W$ with under parabolic subgroup W_I .

Ex: $W = S_n \oplus \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n] / \sum x_i = 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ can ignore $x_i = x_i - x_{i+1}$

$W_{\mathbb{Z}} = S_3 \oplus S_1 \oplus S_2 \oplus \dots$ $R^{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{R} \left[\begin{matrix} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \\ x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \\ x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \\ x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \end{matrix} \right] / \sum x_i = 0$

Thm (Chavallery): Suppose $W_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is finite $\leftrightarrow I$ is finite. Then

$R^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a poly ring of some transcendence degree $|S|$, generated by algebraic polys in various degrees determined by $W_{\mathbb{Z}}$. (Many facts, see Humphreys "Car. Gr." "Tel = |W_{\mathbb{Z}}|")

So the rings $R^{\mathbb{Z}}$ aren't so bad. (Compare to other invariant situations!)

But what is even better is the relationship of $R^{\mathbb{Z}}$ to R . Think of this as a scraped up dual Thm.

Thm: $R^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset R$ is a Frobenius extension. So is $R^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset R^{\mathbb{Z}}$ for ICS.

Def: A (commutative) ring ext. $A \subset B$ is a Fib Ext if it is equipped w/ $\partial: B \rightarrow A$, A -bimod and if B is free over A w/ dual bases $\{b_i\}$ and $\{b_i^*\}$ s.t. $\partial(b_i b_j^*) = \delta_{ij}$.

When A, B are graded rings, require dual bases to be homogeneous, and $\deg \partial = -2l$ then called Fib Ext of degree l .

Why then, and why "Frobenius" - comes from Frob reciprocity. Ex: HCG $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ $\text{Hom}(W, V)$ $\text{Hom}(V, V)$ $\text{Hom}(W, W)$

The kernel B_A gives functor $B_A \otimes \bullet : A\text{-mod} \rightarrow B\text{-mod}$ Induction

$A B_B \xrightarrow{1} 1$ Restriction $B\text{-mod} \rightarrow A\text{-mod}$

For any ext $\text{Ind} \dashv \text{Res}$ i.e. $\text{Hom}_B(\text{Ind} M, N) \cong \text{Hom}_A(M, \text{Res} N)$

For any ext sets by unit+count of adjunction $\text{Hom}_B(\text{Ind} B, M) \cong \text{Hom}_A(B, M) \cong 1_M$

get null trace $\text{Tr} B_B \rightarrow 1_{B\text{-mod}}$ left multiplication

Count $B \otimes B_B \rightarrow B$ right multiplication

Sum, unit is $1_{A\text{-mod}} \rightarrow \text{Res} \text{Ind}$ functorial

$A A_A \rightarrow B_B$

set theory some natural condition specified ext later

For Frob ext, $\text{Res} \dashv \text{Ind}$. Now have maps in other direction

$B_B \rightarrow A A_A$ \circlearrowleft

$B_B \rightarrow B \otimes B_B$ $A(1) = \sum b_i \otimes b_i$ indep to choice of dual basis

With the grading included, $\text{Ind} \dashv \text{Res} \dashv \text{Ind}(\text{gr})$, but have nice interpretation -

count functor $\text{Ind} \text{ gr } B(\ell) \rightarrow B$ degree +1

$B \rightarrow B \otimes B(\ell)$ degree +1

$B(\ell) \rightarrow A$ degree -1

$A \rightarrow B(\ell)$ degree -1



lots of examples: $R^3 C R$. Now $\alpha_S \in R^3$.

Claim: $R^3 = R[V_ell^2, \{y_ell + c \sigma(V_ell)\}_{V_ell}]$

Def: $g: R \rightarrow R^3$ Difference operator degree = -2

$g(f) = \frac{f - \sigma(f)}{\alpha_S}$. Number in $R = [f \otimes e / \sigma(f) = f]$

Check: g is R^3 -linear Facts $g(R^3) = 0$. Check: $\{1/V_ell\}$ and $\{y_ell/1\}$ are dual bases.

Claim $g(V_ell) = \alpha_S \text{st.}$ Cartan matrix equals Frob ext structures

③

More about \mathcal{D}_3 : ① Tack Leibniz Rule:

$$d(Fg) = d(F)g + Fd(g)$$

② Bad relation $d\alpha_1 \dots = d\alpha_2 \dots$ so $d\alpha_1 = d\alpha_2$ for any indep set choice of α .

③ $\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^3 \otimes \mathbb{R}^5 \cong \mathbb{R}^3 \otimes \mathbb{R}^3 \otimes \mathbb{R}^2$

$$F = (g, h) \quad \text{i.e.} \quad f = g + h \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad \text{for } g, h \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad h = \sum d(F)$$

$$g = \sum d(F_{\alpha_i})$$

Ex 2: W w/ \mathcal{D}_3 $R/R_+^W \cong H^*(FD) \cong \mathbb{C}$ finite dim ring Has trace map

$d_u = \mathcal{D}_1: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ interpret against top class (equal for all of sm. prof. why)
 Dual basis given by Schubert calculus. Top class is $\prod_{\alpha_i} \alpha_i$ one basis given by $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}^{\text{pos roots}}$ $d_u(F_{\alpha_i})$
 We're interested in relative version, $\mathbb{R}^W \subset \mathbb{R}^W \cong H_{\mathbb{R}}^*(pt)$ since $d_W = d_{\alpha_3}, R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^W$

However, Schubert basis no longer given dual basis! $d_u(\sigma_1 \sigma_1^*) \in \mathbb{R}^W$ but not new. 0.

~~Problem~~ NB nice dual formulae from \mathbb{C}

Open Problem: Find \mathbb{R} -theoretic description of dual bases for $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{C}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{C}}$, for all Cox groups.

S.S. Bin | DEF: $B_S \equiv \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}(A)$ on \mathbb{R} -bimod $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}(A)$ self-biproj. \mathbb{R} has index -1 .

Visualize as semiproj with $\sum f_i | g_i$. \mathbb{R}^S can answer through \mathbb{R}

If $f = g + h \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ for given f then $f | g = \left| g + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} h \right|$

So as \mathcal{D} \mathbb{R} mod, B_S has dim $\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\ 1 \end{array} \right\}$ and $\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right\}$

Exercise: Why f_{new} ? $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \otimes 1$

DEF: A left-Schubert bimod u $B_S(u) = B_S \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} B_{\mathbb{R}} \otimes \dots \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} B_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R} \otimes \dots \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}(A)$

Exercise: $B_S(u)$ has basis $\left\{ \alpha_1^i \otimes \alpha_2^j \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha_k^k \right\}$ $\left\{ \alpha_i \right\}_1^k$ or \mathcal{D} \mathbb{R} mod $f_i | g_i$

More categorical versions:

(5)

Def: A Segal bim is a (\oplus, \otimes, η) of a summand of a BS Bin. Form a full all normal subset of R -bin.

II (SCT): ① $\exists!$ ^{index} _{summand} $B_{\mathbb{Z}} \subseteq BS(\mathbb{Z})$ which does not appear in $BS(\mathbb{Z})$ for short of

② \exists canonical isom $B_{\mathbb{Z}} \cong B_{\mathbb{N}}$ when $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N}!$. So you write as $B_{\mathbb{N}}$.

③ $\{R, \mathbb{Z}(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ form simplex id of non-iso in $SBin$.

$\Rightarrow [SBin] = \mathbb{Z}\langle [B_{\mathbb{N}}] \rangle$ _{basis}

④ $H_{\mathbb{N}} \xrightarrow{\sim} [SBin]$ is an isom. (upper triangular)

⑤ th ~~$Hom(B, B')$~~ ~~\otimes~~ ~~$[B_{\mathbb{N}}]$~~ ~~$[B_{\mathbb{N}}]$~~ ~~$[B_{\mathbb{N}}]$~~ is free as right R -mod w/ Segal Hom Formula.

parallel case $([B_{\mathbb{N}}], [B_{\mathbb{N}}])$.

Ex: • $H_{\mathbb{N}}(R, R) = R$ $(1, 1) = 1$

• $H_{\mathbb{N}}(R, \mathbb{Z}) = R(-1)$ $(1, \frac{1}{2}) = \mathbb{Z}(H^{+V}) = V$

• $H_{\mathbb{N}}(B, \mathbb{Z}) = R \otimes R(-2)$ $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = \mathbb{Z}(\frac{1}{2} H^2) = \mathbb{Z}(\frac{1}{4} H^2) = \mathbb{Z}((\mathbb{N}^{-1}) H^2) = V^{2-1}$.

• idea: all left mult by \mathbb{Z}

• $H_{\mathbb{N}}(B, B)$ has op nk

