

# Cellular Algebras

**Geetha Thangavelu**

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences  
CIT Campus, Chennai

**Indian Women and Mathematics**  
**The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, India.**  
**January 8 – 10, 2012**

# Introduction

- Representation theory.
- Representations and modules.
- Ordinary and modular representations.
- Semisimple and non-semisimple algebras.

# Introduction

- Representation theory.
- Representations and modules.
- Ordinary and modular representations.
- Semisimple and non-semisimple algebras.

# Introduction

- Representation theory.
- Representations and modules.
- Ordinary and modular representations.
- Semisimple and non-semisimple algebras.

# Introduction

- Representation theory.
- Representations and modules.
- Ordinary and modular representations.
- Semisimple and non-semisimple algebras.

- One of the central problems in the representation theory of finite groups and finite dimensional algebras is to determine the number of non-isomorphic simple modules.
- One of the strengths of theory of cellular algebras is that it provides a complete list of absolutely irreducible modules for the algebra over a field.

- One of the central problems in the representation theory of finite groups and finite dimensional algebras is to determine the number of non-isomorphic simple modules.
- One of the strengths of theory of cellular algebras is that it provides a complete list of absolutely irreducible modules for the algebra over a field.

- Cellular algebras were introduced by Graham and Lehrer in 1996, and are a class of finite dimensional associative algebras defined in terms of a cell datum and three axioms.
- They obtain a general description of the irreducible representations of the cellular algebra together with the criterion for the cellular algebra to be semisimple.
- Later König and Xi in 1998 have started to look at the class of cellular algebras and gave an equivalent definition in the ring theoretic point of view which is often more convenient and basis free.



- Cellular algebras were introduced by Graham and Lehrer in 1996, and are a class of finite dimensional associative algebras defined in terms of a cell datum and three axioms.
- They obtain a general description of the irreducible representations of the cellular algebra together with the criterion for the cellular algebra to be semisimple.
- Later König and Xi in 1998 have started to look at the class of cellular algebras and gave an equivalent definition in the ring theoretic point of view which is often more convenient and basis free.

- Cellular algebras were introduced by Graham and Lehrer in 1996, and are a class of finite dimensional associative algebras defined in terms of a cell datum and three axioms.
- They obtain a general description of the irreducible representations of the cellular algebra together with the criterion for the cellular algebra to be semisimple.
- Later König and Xi in 1998 have started to look at the class of cellular algebras and gave an equivalent definition in the ring theoretic point of view which is often more convenient and basis free.

## Definition

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. An associative  $R$ -algebra  $A$  is called a **cellular algebra** with cell datum  $(\Lambda, M, C, i)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (C1) Suppose that  $(\Lambda, \geq)$  is a (finite) poset. Associated with each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  there is a finite set  $M(\lambda)$ . The algebra  $A$  has an  $R$ -basis  $C_{S,T}^\lambda$  where  $(S, T)$  runs through all elements of  $M(\lambda) \times M(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .
- (C2) The map  $i$  is an  $R$ -linear anti-automorphism of  $A$  with  $i^2 = id$  which sends  $C_{S,T}^\lambda$  to  $C_{T,S}^\lambda$ .

## Definition

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. An associative  $R$ -algebra  $A$  is called a **cellular algebra** with cell datum  $(\Lambda, M, C, i)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (C1) Suppose that  $(\Lambda, \geq)$  is a (finite) poset. Associated with each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  there is a finite set  $M(\lambda)$ . The algebra  $A$  has an  $R$ -basis  $C_{S,T}^\lambda$  where  $(S, T)$  runs through all elements of  $M(\lambda) \times M(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .
- (C2) The map  $i$  is an  $R$ -linear anti-automorphism of  $A$  with  $i^2 = id$  which sends  $C_{S,T}^\lambda$  to  $C_{T,S}^\lambda$ .

## Definition

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. An associative  $R$ -algebra  $A$  is called a **cellular algebra** with cell datum  $(\Lambda, M, C, i)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (C1) Suppose that  $(\Lambda, \geq)$  is a (finite) poset. Associated with each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  there is a finite set  $M(\lambda)$ . The algebra  $A$  has an  $R$ -basis  $C_{S,T}^\lambda$  where  $(S, T)$  runs through all elements of  $M(\lambda) \times M(\lambda)$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .
- (C2) The map  $i$  is an  $R$ -linear anti-automorphism of  $A$  with  $i^2 = id$  which sends  $C_{S,T}^\lambda$  to  $C_{T,S}^\lambda$ .

(C3) For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $S, T \in M(\lambda)$  and each  $a \in A$  the product  $aC_{S,T}^\lambda$  can be written as  $\sum_{U \in M(\lambda)} r_a(U, S)C_{U,T}^\lambda + r'$  where  $r'$  is a linear combination of basis elements with upper index  $\mu$  strictly bigger than  $\lambda$ , and where the coefficients  $r_a(U, S) \in R$  do not depend on  $T$ .

## Example

An easy example of a cellular algebra is provided by the algebra of  $n$  by  $n$  matrices.

- Let  $A = \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(R)$  and take  $\Lambda = \{n\}$  and  $M(n) = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .
- The set of elementary matrices  $\{E_{i,j} | 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$  give a cellular basis of  $A$ .
- For any  $a \in A$ , we have  $aE_{i,j} = \sum_k r_a(k, i)E_{k,j}$ .
- We take the usual matrix transpose as an involution of  $A$ .

## Example

An easy example of a cellular algebra is provided by the algebra of  $n$  by  $n$  matrices.

- Let  $A = \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(R)$  and take  $\Lambda = \{n\}$  and  $M(n) = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .
- The set of elementary matrices  $\{E_{i,j} | 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$  give a cellular basis of  $A$ .
- For any  $a \in A$ , we have  $aE_{i,j} = \sum_k r_a(k, i)E_{k,j}$ .
- We take the usual matrix transpose as an involution of  $A$ .



## Example

An easy example of a cellular algebra is provided by the algebra of  $n$  by  $n$  matrices.

- Let  $A = \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(R)$  and take  $\Lambda = \{n\}$  and  $M(n) = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .
- The set of elementary matrices  $\{E_{i,j} | 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$  give a cellular basis of  $A$ .
- For any  $a \in A$ , we have  $aE_{i,j} = \sum_k r_a(k, i)E_{k,j}$ .
- We take the usual matrix transpose as an involution of  $A$ .

## Example

An easy example of a cellular algebra is provided by the algebra of  $n$  by  $n$  matrices.

- Let  $A = \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(R)$  and take  $\Lambda = \{n\}$  and  $M(n) = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .
- The set of elementary matrices  $\{E_{i,j} | 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$  give a cellular basis of  $A$ .
- For any  $a \in A$ , we have  $aE_{i,j} = \sum_k r_a(k, i)E_{k,j}$ .
- We take the usual matrix transpose as an involution of  $A$ .

## Example

An easy example of a cellular algebra is provided by the algebra of  $n$  by  $n$  matrices.

- Let  $A = \text{Mat}_{n \times n}(R)$  and take  $\Lambda = \{n\}$  and  $M(n) = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .
- The set of elementary matrices  $\{E_{i,j} | 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$  give a cellular basis of  $A$ .
- For any  $a \in A$ , we have  $aE_{i,j} = \sum_k r_a(k, i)E_{k,j}$ .
- We take the usual matrix transpose as an involution of  $A$ .

## Example

- Let  $G$  be a cyclic group of order  $m$  generated by  $x \in G$  and let  $\xi$  be a primitive  $m$ th root of unity in  $R$  and let  $K = R[\xi]$ , then  $RG$  is cellular over  $K$ .
- $\Lambda$  is the poset  $\{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ , ordered in the natural way,  $M(\lambda)$  is a one-element set for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $C_{i,i}^\lambda$  is 
$$\prod_{j=1}^i (x - \xi^j), j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1.$$
- The map  $*$  is the identity map, which is an anti-automorphism.

## Example

- Let  $G$  be a cyclic group of order  $m$  generated by  $x \in G$  and let  $\xi$  be a primitive  $m$ th root of unity in  $R$  and let  $K = R[\xi]$ , then  $RG$  is cellular over  $K$ .
- $\Lambda$  is the poset  $\{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ , ordered in the natural way,  $M(\lambda)$  is an one-element set for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $C_{i,i}^\lambda$  is 
$$\prod_{j=1}^i (x - \xi^j), j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1.$$
- The map  $*$  is the identity map, which is an anti-automorphism.

## Example

- Let  $G$  be a cyclic group of order  $m$  generated by  $x \in G$  and let  $\xi$  be a primitive  $m$ th root of unity in  $R$  and let  $K = R[\xi]$ , then  $RG$  is cellular over  $K$ .
- $\Lambda$  is the poset  $\{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ , ordered in the natural way,  $M(\lambda)$  is a one-element set for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $C_{i,i}^\lambda$  is 
$$\prod_{j=1}^i (x - \xi^j), j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1.$$
- The map  $*$  is the identity map, which is an anti-automorphism.

## Example

- Let  $G$  be a cyclic group of order  $m$  generated by  $x \in G$  and let  $\xi$  be a primitive  $m$ th root of unity in  $R$  and let  $K = R[\xi]$ , then  $RG$  is cellular over  $K$ .
- $\Lambda$  is the poset  $\{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$ , ordered in the natural way,  $M(\lambda)$  is a one-element set for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $C_{i,i}^\lambda$  is 
$$\prod_{j=1}^i (x - \xi^j), j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1.$$
- The map  $*$  is the identity map, which is an anti-automorphism.

# Classification of simple modules

- Fix an element  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Define the right cell module  $C^\lambda$  to be the right  $A$ -module which is free as an  $R$ -module with basis  $\{C_S^\lambda | S \in M(\lambda)\}$  and for each  $a \in A$

$$aC_S^\lambda = \sum_{T \in M(\lambda)} r_T C_T^\lambda$$

where  $r_T$  is the element of  $R$ .



- There is a unique bilinear map  $\langle, \rangle : C^\lambda \times C^\lambda \longrightarrow R$  such that  $\langle C_S^\lambda, C_T^\lambda \rangle$ , for  $S, T \in M(\lambda)$ , is given by

$$\langle C_S^\lambda, C_T^\lambda \rangle C_{U,V}^\lambda \equiv C_{U,S}^\lambda C_{T,V}^\lambda + r',$$

where  $U$  and  $V$  are any elements of  $M(\lambda)$  and  $\langle, \rangle$  is both symmetric and associative.

- Let  $rad C^\lambda = \{x \in C^\lambda | \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } y \in C^\lambda\}$ .
- Define  $D^\lambda = C^\lambda / rad C^\lambda$ .
- Let  $\Lambda_0 = \{\mu \in \Lambda | D^\mu \neq 0\}$  Then  $\mu \in \Lambda_0$  iff the bilinear form  $\langle, \rangle$  on  $C^\mu$  is non-zero.

- There is a unique bilinear map  $\langle, \rangle : C^\lambda \times C^\lambda \longrightarrow R$  such that  $\langle C_S^\lambda, C_T^\lambda \rangle$ , for  $S, T \in M(\lambda)$ , is given by

$$\langle C_S^\lambda, C_T^\lambda \rangle C_{U,V}^\lambda \equiv C_{U,S}^\lambda C_{T,V}^\lambda + r',$$

where  $U$  and  $V$  are any elements of  $M(\lambda)$  and  $\langle, \rangle$  is both symmetric and associative.

- Let  $rad C^\lambda = \{x \in C^\lambda \mid \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } y \in C^\lambda\}$ .
- Define  $D^\lambda = C^\lambda / rad C^\lambda$ .
- Let  $\Lambda_0 = \{\mu \in \Lambda \mid D^\mu \neq 0\}$  Then  $\mu \in \Lambda_0$  iff the bilinear form  $\langle, \rangle$  on  $C^\mu$  is non-zero.

- There is a unique bilinear map  $\langle, \rangle : C^\lambda \times C^\lambda \longrightarrow R$  such that  $\langle C_S^\lambda, C_T^\lambda \rangle$ , for  $S, T \in M(\lambda)$ , is given by

$$\langle C_S^\lambda, C_T^\lambda \rangle C_{U,V}^\lambda \equiv C_{U,S}^\lambda C_{T,V}^\lambda + r',$$

where  $U$  and  $V$  are any elements of  $M(\lambda)$  and  $\langle, \rangle$  is both symmetric and associative.

- Let  $rad C^\lambda = \{x \in C^\lambda \mid \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } y \in C^\lambda\}$ .
- Define  $D^\lambda = C^\lambda / rad C^\lambda$ .
- Let  $\Lambda_0 = \{\mu \in \Lambda \mid D^\mu \neq 0\}$  Then  $\mu \in \Lambda_0$  iff the bilinear form  $\langle, \rangle$  on  $C^\mu$  is non-zero.

- There is a unique bilinear map  $\langle, \rangle : C^\lambda \times C^\lambda \longrightarrow R$  such that  $\langle C_S^\lambda, C_T^\lambda \rangle$ , for  $S, T \in M(\lambda)$ , is given by

$$\langle C_S^\lambda, C_T^\lambda \rangle C_{U,V}^\lambda \equiv C_{U,S}^\lambda C_{T,V}^\lambda + r',$$

where  $U$  and  $V$  are any elements of  $M(\lambda)$  and  $\langle, \rangle$  is both symmetric and associative.

- Let  $rad C^\lambda = \{x \in C^\lambda \mid \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } y \in C^\lambda\}$ .
- Define  $D^\lambda = C^\lambda / rad C^\lambda$ .
- Let  $\Lambda_0 = \{\mu \in \Lambda \mid D^\mu \neq 0\}$  Then  $\mu \in \Lambda_0$  iff the bilinear form  $\langle, \rangle$  on  $C^\mu$  is non-zero.

## Theorem

*(Graham and Lehrer) Suppose that  $R$  is a field and that  $\Lambda$  is finite. Then  $\{D^\mu | \mu \in \Lambda_0\}$  is a complete set of pairwise inequivalent irreducible  $A$ -modules.*

- Suppose that  $\mu \in \Lambda_0$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Define  $d_{\lambda\mu} = [C^\lambda : D^\mu]$  to be the decomposition number of the irreducible module  $D^\mu$  in  $C^\lambda$ .
- The matrix  $D = (d_{\lambda\mu})$ , where  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\mu \in \Lambda_0$ , is the so-called decomposition matrix of  $A$ .

## Theorem

*(Graham and Lehrer) Suppose that  $R$  is a field and that  $\Lambda$  is finite. Then  $\{D^\mu \mid \mu \in \Lambda_0\}$  is a complete set of pairwise inequivalent irreducible  $A$ -modules.*

- Suppose that  $\mu \in \Lambda_0$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Define  $d_{\lambda\mu} = [C^\lambda : D^\mu]$  to be the decomposition number of the irreducible module  $D^\mu$  in  $C^\lambda$ .
- The matrix  $D = (d_{\lambda\mu})$ , where  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\mu \in \Lambda_0$ , is the so-called decomposition matrix of  $A$ .

## Theorem

*(Graham and Lehrer) Suppose that  $R$  is a field and that  $\Lambda$  is finite. Then  $\{D^\mu \mid \mu \in \Lambda_0\}$  is a complete set of pairwise inequivalent irreducible  $A$ -modules.*

- Suppose that  $\mu \in \Lambda_0$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Define  $d_{\lambda\mu} = [C^\lambda : D^\mu]$  to be the decomposition number of the irreducible module  $D^\mu$  in  $C^\lambda$ .
- The matrix  $D = (d_{\lambda\mu})$ , where  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $\mu \in \Lambda_0$ , is the so-called decomposition matrix of  $A$ .

## Corollary

*Suppose that  $R$  is a field. Then the decomposition matrix  $D$  of  $A$  is unitriangular. That is, if  $\mu \in \Lambda_0$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  then  $d_{\mu\mu} = 1$  and  $d_{\lambda\mu} \neq 0$  only if  $\lambda \geq \mu$ .*

## Theorem

*Suppose that  $R$  is a field and that  $\Lambda$  is finite. Let  $C$  be the Cartan matrix of  $A$ . Then  $C = D^t D$ . In particular, the Cartan matrix of  $A$  is symmetric.*



## Corollary

*Suppose that  $R$  is a field. Then the decomposition matrix  $D$  of  $A$  is unitriangular. That is, if  $\mu \in \Lambda_0$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  then  $d_{\mu\mu} = 1$  and  $d_{\lambda\mu} \neq 0$  only if  $\lambda \geq \mu$ .*

## Theorem

*Suppose that  $R$  is a field and that  $\Lambda$  is finite. Let  $C$  be the Cartan matrix of  $A$ . Then  $C = D^t D$ . In particular, the Cartan matrix of  $A$  is symmetric.*

# Criterion for semisimplicity

## Corollary

*Suppose that  $R$  is a field. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $A$  is (split) semisimple.*
- (ii)  $C^\lambda = D^\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .*
- (iii)  $\text{rad} C^\lambda = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .*
- (iv)  $d_{\lambda\mu} = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$  for all  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  in  $\Lambda$ .*

# Criterion for semisimplicity

## Corollary

*Suppose that  $R$  is a field. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $A$  is (split) semisimple.*
- (ii)  $C^\lambda = D^\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .*
- (iii)  $\text{rad} C^\lambda = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .*
- (iv)  $d_{\lambda\mu} = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$  for all  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  in  $\Lambda$ .*

# Criterion for semisimplicity

## Corollary

*Suppose that  $R$  is a field. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $A$  is (split) semisimple.
- (ii)  $C^\lambda = D^\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .
- (iii)  $\text{rad} C^\lambda = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .
- (iv)  $d_{\lambda\mu} = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$  for all  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  in  $\Lambda$ .

# Criterion for semisimplicity

## Corollary

*Suppose that  $R$  is a field. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $A$  is (split) semisimple.
- (ii)  $C^\lambda = D^\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .
- (iii)  $\text{rad} C^\lambda = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .
- (iv)  $d_{\lambda\mu} = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$  for all  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  in  $\Lambda$ .

# Criterion for semisimplicity

## Corollary

*Suppose that  $R$  is a field. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $A$  is (split) semisimple.*
- (ii)  $C^\lambda = D^\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .*
- (iii)  $\text{rad} C^\lambda = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .*
- (iv)  $d_{\lambda\mu} = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$  for all  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  in  $\Lambda$ .*

# Applications to Diagram algebras

- A diagram algebra is an algebra (associative, with unit, finite or infinite dimensional) over a field (or over some commutative ring) that has basis consisting of diagrams and with multiplication defined by concatenation.
- The prototype of all diagram algebras is the group algebras of symmetric groups.

# Applications to Diagram algebras

- A diagram algebra is an algebra (associative, with unit, finite or infinite dimensional) over a field (or over some commutative ring) that has basis consisting of diagrams and with multiplication defined by concatenation.
- The prototype of all diagram algebras is the group algebras of symmetric groups.



- Brauer algebras, Temperley-Lieb algebras, Partition algebras, affine Hecke algebras and so on which are contributed from areas as different as Invariant theory, Combinatorics, Statistical mechanics, Knot theory, Lie theory and Number theory.
- Typically a family of diagram algebras has members of any given representation type (semisimple, finite, tame, wild).

- Brauer algebras, Temperley-Lieb algebras, Partition algebras, affine Hecke algebras and so on which are contributed from areas as different as Invariant theory, Combinatorics, Statistical mechanics, Knot theory, Lie theory and Number theory.
- Typically a family of diagram algebras has members of any given representation type (semisimple, finite, tame, wild).

## References

- 1 J.J.Graham and G.I.Lehrer, Cellular algebras, *Invent. Math.* 123 (1996) 1-34.
- 2 S.König and C.C.Xi, On the structure of cellular algebras.  
In: Algebras and modules II (Geiranger 1996), *CMS Conf. Proc.* 24, *Amer. Math. Soc.* pp. (1998) 365-386.
- 3 Andrew Mathas, Iwahori-Hecke algebras and Schur algebras of the symmetric group, *AMS University Lecture Series*, vol-15.

## References

- 1 J.J.Graham and G.I.Lehrer, Cellular algebras, *Invent. Math.* 123 (1996) 1-34.
- 2 S.König and C.C.Xi, On the structure of cellular algebras.  
In: Algebras and modules II (Geiranger 1996), *CMS Conf. Proc.* 24, *Amer. Math. Soc.* pp. (1998) 365-386.
- 3 Andrew Mathas, Iwahori-Hecke algebras and Schur algebras of the symmetric group, *AMS University Lecture Series*, vol-15.

## References

- 1 J.J.Graham and G.I.Lehrer, Cellular algebras, *Invent. Math.* 123 (1996) 1-34.
- 2 S.König and C.C.Xi, On the structure of cellular algebras.  
In: Algebras and modules II (Geiranger 1996), *CMS Conf. Proc.* 24, *Amer. Math. Soc.* pp. (1998) 365-386.
- 3 Andrew Mathas, Iwahori-Hecke algebras and Schur algebras of the symmetric group, *AMS University Lecture Series*, vol-15.

***Thank you***