

# Integral representations for $L$ -functions and Hecke operators

Ravi Raghunathan

Indian Institute of Technology Bombay

Indo-French Conference, IMSc., Chennai  
January 22, 2016

Introduction

The big picture

The main theorem

Applications

A sketch of the ideas of the proof of the main theorem

# Automorphic $L$ -functions

The main aim of this talk is to study the possible locations and poles of  $L$ -functions (Dirichlet series) satisfying a functional equation and having an Euler product.

# Automorphic $L$ -functions

The main aim of this talk is to study the possible locations and poles of  $L$ -functions (Dirichlet series) satisfying a functional equation and having an Euler product.

Such  $L$ -functions typically arise from automorphic forms. The Langlands conjectures further predict that these series are either entire or that they have only a few poles at easily determined locations

# Automorphic $L$ -functions

The main aim of this talk is to study the possible locations and poles of  $L$ -functions (Dirichlet series) satisfying a functional equation and having an Euler product.

Such  $L$ -functions typically arise from automorphic forms. The Langlands conjectures further predict that these series are either entire or that they have only a few poles at easily determined locations (in many of the most interesting situations only at  $s = 0$  and  $s = 1$ ).

# Automorphic $L$ -functions

The main aim of this talk is to study the possible locations and poles of  $L$ -functions (Dirichlet series) satisfying a functional equation and having an Euler product.

Such  $L$ -functions typically arise from automorphic forms. The Langlands conjectures further predict that these series are either entire or that they have only a few poles at easily determined locations (in many of the most interesting situations only at  $s = 0$  and  $s = 1$ ).

Establishing that  $L$ -functions are meromorphic and have functional equations is not so easy. Proving precise holomorphy results is even harder.

# Automorphic $L$ -functions

The main aim of this talk is to study the possible locations and poles of  $L$ -functions (Dirichlet series) satisfying a functional equation and having an Euler product.

Such  $L$ -functions typically arise from automorphic forms. The Langlands conjectures further predict that these series are either entire or that they have only a few poles at easily determined locations (in many of the most interesting situations only at  $s = 0$  and  $s = 1$ ).

Establishing that  $L$ -functions are meromorphic and have functional equations is not so easy. Proving precise holomorphy results is even harder.

In this talk, we will describe a relatively elementary approach to the second problem.

## The first example

The basic template for an automorphic  $L$ -function is the Riemann  $\zeta$ -function  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$  which converges in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ .



## The first example

The basic template for an automorphic  $L$ -function is the Riemann  $\zeta$ -function  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$  which converges in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ .

It is known to have a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane and to satisfy the functional equation

$$Z(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s) = Z(1 - s).$$

## The first example

The basic template for an automorphic  $L$ -function is the Riemann  $\zeta$ -function  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$  which converges in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ .

It is known to have a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane and to satisfy the functional equation

$$Z(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s) = Z(1-s).$$

It also has an Euler product

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_p \left( \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} \right),$$

which converges absolutely for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ . Notice that the denominators in the product are polynomials of degree 1 in  $p^{-s}$ .

## The first example

The basic template for an automorphic  $L$ -function is the Riemann  $\zeta$ -function  $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}$  which converges in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ .

It is known to have a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane and to satisfy the functional equation

$$Z(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2) \zeta(s) = Z(1-s).$$

It also has an Euler product

$$\zeta(s) = \prod_p \left( \frac{1}{1 - p^{-s}} \right),$$

which converges absolutely for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ . Notice that the denominators in the product are polynomials of degree 1 in  $p^{-s}$ .

We have associated the coefficient  $\alpha_p = 1$  of  $p^{-s}$  to each prime number  $p$ . It may be viewed as element in the torus  $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

## Statutory homage (hommage obligatoire): The $\Delta$ function

Let  $\Delta(z)$  denote the Ramanujan cusp form of weight 12. More explicitly, we recall that the  $\tau$ -function is defined by

## Statutory homage (hommage obligatoire): The $\Delta$ function

Let  $\Delta(z)$  denote the Ramanujan cusp form of weight 12. More explicitly, we recall that the  $\tau$ -function is defined by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)q^n = q \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^m)^{24}.$$

## Statutory homage (hommage obligatoire): The $\Delta$ function

Let  $\Delta(z)$  denote the Ramanujan cusp form of weight 12. More explicitly, we recall that the  $\tau$ -function is defined by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)q^n = q \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^m)^{24}.$$

If we set  $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ , we can define

$$\Delta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)e^{2\pi iz}.$$

which can be viewed as a function on the upper half-plane.

## Statutory homage (hommage obligatoire): The $\Delta$ function

Let  $\Delta(z)$  denote the Ramanujan cusp form of weight 12. More explicitly, we recall that the  $\tau$ -function is defined by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)q^n = q \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^m)^{24}.$$

If we set  $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ , we can define

$$\Delta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)e^{2\pi iz}.$$

which can be viewed as a function on the upper half-plane. The function  $\tau(n)$  is multiplicative, i.e.,  $\tau(mn) = \tau(m)\tau(n)$ , whenever  $(m, n) = 1$  (conjectured by Ramanujan in 1916 and proved by Mordel shortly afterwards).

## Statutory homage (hommage obligatoire): The $\Delta$ function

Let  $\Delta(z)$  denote the Ramanujan cusp form of weight 12. More explicitly, we recall that the  $\tau$ -function is defined by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)q^n = q \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^m)^{24}.$$

If we set  $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ , we can define

$$\Delta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)e^{2\pi iz}.$$

which can be viewed as a function on the upper half-plane. The function  $\tau(n)$  is multiplicative, i.e.,  $\tau(mn) = \tau(m)\tau(n)$ , whenever  $(m, n) = 1$  (conjectured by Ramanujan in 1916 and proved by Mordel shortly afterwards).

The function  $\Delta(z)$  is an example of a cusp form (or more generally, a modular form) of weight 12.



# Modular forms and Hecke operators

The group  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  acts on the upper half-plane  $\mathbb{H}$  and hence on functions  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

# Modular forms and Hecke operators

The group  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  acts on the upper half-plane  $\mathbb{H}$  and hence on functions  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

A modular form of weight  $k$  for  $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is a function  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which satisfies the transformation rule

$$f(\gamma \cdot z) = (cz + d)^k f(z)$$

for every  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and which satisfies a growth condition at the cusp infinity of  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ .

## Modular forms and Hecke operators

The group  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  acts on the upper half-plane  $\mathbb{H}$  and hence on functions  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

A modular form of weight  $k$  for  $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is a function  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which satisfies the transformation rule

$$f(\gamma \cdot z) = (cz + d)^k f(z)$$

for every  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and which satisfies a growth condition at the cusp infinity of  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ . One can make a similar definition of a modular form for congruence subgroups  $\Gamma_0(N) \subset \Gamma$ .

## Modular forms and Hecke operators

The group  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  acts on the upper half-plane  $\mathbb{H}$  and hence on functions  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

A modular form of weight  $k$  for  $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is a function  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which satisfies the transformation rule

$$f(\gamma \cdot z) = (cz + d)^k f(z)$$

for every  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and which satisfies a growth condition at the cusp infinity of  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ . One can make a similar definition of a modular form for congruence subgroups  $\Gamma_0(N) \subset \Gamma$ .

A consequence of  $\gamma$  “invariance” is that we have Fourier expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi inz}.$$

## Modular forms and Hecke operators

The group  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  acts on the upper half-plane  $\mathbb{H}$  and hence on functions  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

A modular form of weight  $k$  for  $\Gamma = SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is a function  $f : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which satisfies the transformation rule

$$f(\gamma \cdot z) = (cz + d)^k f(z)$$

for every  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  in  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and which satisfies a growth condition at the cusp infinity of  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ . One can make a similar definition of a modular form for congruence subgroups  $\Gamma_0(N) \subset \Gamma$ .

A consequence of  $\gamma$  “invariance” is that we have Fourier expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}.$$

If  $a_0 = 0$ , we say that  $f$  is a cusp form.

# Hecke operators

The space of modular forms of a given weight  $k$  for  $\Gamma_0(N)$  is denoted  $M_k(N)$ . It is a finite dimensional space.

# Hecke operators

The space of modular forms of a given weight  $k$  for  $\Gamma_0(N)$  is denoted  $M_k(N)$ . It is a finite dimensional space.

We can define a natural family (actually an algebra) of commuting self-adjoint operators  $T_m$ ,  $(m, n) = 1$  which act on this space.

When  $m = p$ , a prime the action is given by

$$(T_p \cdot f)(z) = f(pz) + p^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} f\left(\frac{z+a}{p}\right).$$

# Hecke operators

The space of modular forms of a given weight  $k$  for  $\Gamma_0(N)$  is denoted  $M_k(N)$ . It is a finite dimensional space.

We can define a natural family (actually an algebra) of commuting self-adjoint operators  $T_m$ ,  $(m, n) = 1$  which act on this space.

When  $m = p$ , a prime the action is given by

$$(T_p \cdot f)(z) = f(pz) + p^{\frac{k}{2}-1} \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} f\left(\frac{z+a}{p}\right).$$

If  $f$  is a simultaneous eigenform for all the Hecke operators, and if  $T_m \cdot f = m^{1-\frac{k}{2}} \lambda_m(f)$ , gives the eigenvalue, then it turns out that the  $\lambda_m$  are multiplicative.



## Attaching $L$ -series to a modular form

If we define  $a_n = n^{-(k-1)/2}\lambda(n)$ , it is a consequence of the multiplicativity of the  $a_n$  that the Dirichlet series

## Attaching $L$ -series to a modular form

If we define  $a_n = n^{-(k-1)/2} \lambda(n)$ , it is a consequence of the multiplicativity of the  $a_n$  that the Dirichlet series

$$\begin{aligned} D(s, \pi_f) &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} &= \prod_p \frac{1}{(1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{-2s})} \\ & &= \prod_p \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha_p p^{-s})(1 - \alpha_p^{-1} p^{-s})} \end{aligned}$$

## Attaching $L$ -series to a modular form

If we define  $a_n = n^{-(k-1)/2} \lambda(n)$ , it is a consequence of the multiplicativity of the  $a_n$  that the Dirichlet series

$$\begin{aligned} D(s, \pi_f) &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} &= \prod_p \frac{1}{(1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{-2s})} \\ & &= \prod_p \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha_p p^{-s})(1 - \alpha_p^{-1} p^{-s})} \end{aligned}$$

Takeaway: To  $f$  we can associate a pair of complex numbers  $(\alpha_p, \alpha_p^{-1})$  for each prime number  $p$ . This pair can be thought of as an element of the torus in  $GL_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

## Attaching $L$ -series to a modular form

If we define  $a_n = n^{-(k-1)/2} \lambda(n)$ , it is a consequence of the multiplicativity of the  $a_n$  that the Dirichlet series

$$\begin{aligned} D(s, \pi_f) &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n^s} &= \prod_p \frac{1}{(1 - a_p p^{-s} + p^{-2s})} \\ & &= \prod_p \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha_p p^{-s})(1 - \alpha_p^{-1} p^{-s})} \end{aligned}$$

Takeaway: To  $f$  we can associate a pair of complex numbers  $(\alpha_p, \alpha_p^{-1})$  for each prime number  $p$ . This pair can be thought of as an element of the torus in  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

If  $f$  is a cusp form, the  $L$ -series is entire and satisfies a functional equation

$$L(s, \pi_f) = (2\pi)^{-(s + \frac{k-1}{2})} \Gamma\left(s + \frac{k-1}{2}\right) D(s, \pi_f) = i^k L(1-s, \pi_f).$$

## The local Galois $L$ -function

Let  $F$  denote a number field,  $v$  a place of  $F$  and  $F_v$  the completion of  $F$  with respect to  $v$ .

## The local Galois $L$ -function

Let  $F$  denote a number field,  $v$  a place of  $F$  and  $F_v$  the completion of  $F$  with respect to  $v$ .

$$W_{F_v} = \begin{cases} \text{the Weil-Deligne group if } F_v \text{ is } p\text{-adic} \\ \text{the Weil group if } F_v \text{ is real or complex} \end{cases}$$

## The local Galois $L$ -function

Let  $F$  denote a number field,  $v$  a place of  $F$  and  $F_v$  the completion of  $F$  with respect to  $v$ .

$$W_{F_v} = \begin{cases} \text{the Weil-Deligne group if } F_v \text{ is } p\text{-adic} \\ \text{the Weil group if } F_v \text{ is real or complex} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\pi_v$  an irreducible admissible representation of  $GL_n(F_v)$ .

## The local Galois $L$ -function

Let  $F$  denote a number field,  $v$  a place of  $F$  and  $F_v$  the completion of  $F$  with respect to  $v$ .

$$W_{F_v} = \begin{cases} \text{the Weil-Deligne group if } F_v \text{ is } p\text{-adic} \\ \text{the Weil group if } F_v \text{ is real or complex} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\pi_v$  an irreducible admissible representation of  $GL_n(F_v)$ . Using the Local Langlands Correspondence (due to Harris and Taylor):

$$\pi_v \rightsquigarrow \sigma_{F_v}(\pi_v),$$

where  $\sigma_{F_v}(\pi_v)$  is an  $n$ -dimensional complex representation of  $W_{F_v}$ . For each representation  $r$  of  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ , we define the local  $L$ -function as follows:



## The local Galois $L$ -function

Let  $F$  denote a number field,  $v$  a place of  $F$  and  $F_v$  the completion of  $F$  with respect to  $v$ .

$$W_{F_v} = \begin{cases} \text{the Weil-Deligne group if } F_v \text{ is } p\text{-adic} \\ \text{the Weil group if } F_v \text{ is real or complex} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\pi_v$  an irreducible admissible representation of  $GL_n(F_v)$ . Using the Local Langlands Correspondence (due to Harris and Taylor):

$$\pi_v \rightsquigarrow \sigma_{F_v}(\pi_v),$$

where  $\sigma_{F_v}(\pi_v)$  is an  $n$ -dimensional complex representation of  $W_{F_v}$ . For each representation  $r$  of  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ , we define the local  $L$ -function as follows:

$$L(s, \pi_v, r) := L(s, (r \circ \sigma_{F_v}(\pi_v))),$$

where the right hand side is the Galois  $L$ -function. It has the form  $1/P_0(q_v)^{-s}$  where  $q_v$  is the cardinality of the residue field and  $P_0$  is a polynomial with  $P_0(0) = 1$ .

## The standard $L$ -function

An  $n$ -dimensional representation of  $W_{F_v}$  can be thought of as an  $n$ -tuple of complex numbers  $\alpha_{1,v}, \dots, \alpha_{n,v}$ . For all but finitely many non-archimedean places  $v$ ,  $\alpha_{i,v} \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . These are the unramified places and the  $\alpha_{i,v}$  Satake parameters of  $\pi$ .

## The standard $L$ -function

An  $n$ -dimensional representation of  $W_{F_v}$  can be thought of as an  $n$ -tuple of complex numbers  $\alpha_{1,v}, \dots, \alpha_{n,v}$ . For all but finitely many non-archimedean places  $v$ ,  $\alpha_{i,v} \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . These are the unramified places and the  $\alpha_{i,v}$  Satake parameters of  $\pi$ .

When  $r$  is the standard representation, we simply write  $L(s, \pi_v)$  instead of  $L(s, \pi_v, r)$ . It has the form

$$L(s, \pi_v) = \prod_i \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha_{i,v} q_v^{-s})}.$$

## The standard $L$ -function

An  $n$ -dimensional representation of  $W_{F_v}$  can be thought of as an  $n$ -tuple of complex numbers  $\alpha_{1,v}, \dots, \alpha_{n,v}$ . For all but finitely many non-archimedean places  $v$ ,  $\alpha_{i,v} \neq 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . These are the unramified places and the  $\alpha_{i,v}$  Satake parameters of  $\pi$ .

When  $r$  is the standard representation, we simply write  $L(s, \pi_v)$  instead of  $L(s, \pi_v, r)$ . It has the form

$$L(s, \pi_v) = \prod_i \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha_{i,v} q_v^{-s})}.$$

When  $v$  is archimedean, the  $L$ -function has the form

$$L(s, \pi_v) = \prod_i \Gamma\left(\frac{s + \alpha_{i,v}}{2}\right).$$

## The exterior square $L$ -functions

Two other important cases for this talk will be  $r = \wedge^2$  and  $r = \text{Sym}^2$ , the exterior and symmetric square representations of  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

## The exterior square $L$ -functions

Two other important cases for this talk will be  $r = \wedge^2$  and  $r = \text{Sym}^2$ , the exterior and symmetric square representations of  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

At the finite unramified places, the exterior square  $L$ -function has the form

$$L(s, \pi_v, \wedge^2) = \prod_{i,j,i < j} \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha_{i,v} \alpha_{j,v} q_v^{-s})}.$$

At the other (finitely many) non-archimedean places,  $L(s, \pi_v, \wedge^2)$  will have the same form, but the polynomial in the denominator maybe of lower degree in  $q_v^{-s}$ .

## The exterior square $L$ -functions

Two other important cases for this talk will be  $r = \wedge^2$  and  $r = \text{Sym}^2$ , the exterior and symmetric square representations of  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

At the finite unramified places, the exterior square  $L$ -function has the form

$$L(s, \pi_v, \wedge^2) = \prod_{i,j,i < j} \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha_{i,v} \alpha_{j,v} q_v^{-s})}.$$

At the other (finitely many) non-archimedean places,  $L(s, \pi_v, \wedge^2)$  will have the same form, but the polynomial in the denominator maybe of lower degree in  $q_v^{-s}$ .

When  $v$  is archimedean, the  $L$ -function has the form

$$L(s, \pi_v, \wedge^2) = \prod_{i,j,i < j} \Gamma\left(\frac{s + \alpha_{i,v} + \alpha_{j,v}}{2}\right).$$

## The exterior square $L$ -functions

Two other important cases for this talk will be  $r = \wedge^2$  and  $r = \text{Sym}^2$ , the exterior and symmetric square representations of  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

At the finite unramified places, the exterior square  $L$ -function has the form

$$L(s, \pi_v, \wedge^2) = \prod_{i,j,i < j} \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha_{i,v} \alpha_{j,v} q_v^{-s})}.$$

At the other (finitely many) non-archimedean places,  $L(s, \pi_v, \wedge^2)$  will have the same form, but the polynomial in the denominator maybe of lower degree in  $q_v^{-s}$ .

When  $v$  is archimedean, the  $L$ -function has the form

$$L(s, \pi_v, \wedge^2) = \prod_{i,j,i < j} \Gamma\left(\frac{s + \alpha_{i,v} + \alpha_{j,v}}{2}\right).$$

We can write similar formulæ for  $L(s, \pi_v, \text{Sym}^2)$ .



## Global representations and $L$ -functions

Our primary objects of study will be the  $L$ -functions of global admissible representation  $\Pi$  of  $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ . One way such representations arise are from cuspidal automorphic representations  $\pi$  of  $GL_n$ . For instance, every holomorphic eigenform gives rise to such a representation (for  $n = 2$ ).

## Global representations and $L$ -functions

Our primary objects of study will be the  $L$ -functions of global admissible representation  $\Pi$  of  $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ . One way such representations arise are from cuspidal automorphic representations  $\pi$  of  $GL_n$ . For instance, every holomorphic eigenform gives rise to such a representation (for  $n = 2$ ).

More generally, we may think of  $\Pi$  as arising as a (restricted) tensor product  $\otimes'_v \Pi_v$  of irreducible admissible representations  $\Pi_v$ . We will not necessarily know that  $\Pi$  is automorphic.

# Global representations and $L$ -functions

Our primary objects of study will be the  $L$ -functions of global admissible representation  $\Pi$  of  $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ . One way such representations arise are from cuspidal automorphic representations  $\pi$  of  $GL_n$ . For instance, every holomorphic eigenform gives rise to such a representation (for  $n = 2$ ).

More generally, we may think of  $\Pi$  as arising as a (restricted) tensor product  $\otimes'_v \Pi_v$  of irreducible admissible representations  $\Pi_v$ . We will not necessarily know that  $\Pi$  is automorphic.

The *global*  $L$ -function is simply defined as a product of the local  $L$ -functions:

$$L(s, \pi, r) = \prod_v L(s, \pi_v, r).$$

## Global representations and $L$ -functions

Our primary objects of study will be the  $L$ -functions of global admissible representation  $\Pi$  of  $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ . One way such representations arise are from cuspidal automorphic representations  $\pi$  of  $GL_n$ . For instance, every holomorphic eigenform gives rise to such a representation (for  $n = 2$ ).

More generally, we may think of  $\Pi$  as arising as a (restricted) tensor product  $\otimes'_v \Pi_v$  of irreducible admissible representations  $\Pi_v$ . We will not necessarily know that  $\Pi$  is automorphic.

The *global*  $L$ -function is simply defined as a product of the local  $L$ -functions:

$$L(s, \pi, r) = \prod_v L(s, \pi_v, r).$$

By our remarks above this is simply the product of finitely many  $\Gamma$ -functions with a Dirichlet series.

## How to study global $L$ -functions

The Langlands functoriality conjectures predict that when  $\pi$  is a cuspidal automorphic representation, these global  $L$ -functions have nice properties - holomorphy in  $\mathbb{C}$  except possibly at a few easily identified points, a functional equation and boundedness in vertical strips.

## How to study global $L$ -functions

The Langlands functoriality conjectures predict that when  $\pi$  is a cuspidal automorphic representation, these global  $L$ -functions have nice properties - holomorphy in  $\mathbb{C}$  except possibly at a few easily identified points, a functional equation and boundedness in vertical strips.

Since the global  $L$ -function is defined as an Euler product, the most one can usually prove is that it is convergent in some right half-plane. To prove the other properties one needs some other way of representing these  $L$ -functions. There are two successful approaches.

## How to study global $L$ -functions

The Langlands functoriality conjectures predict that when  $\pi$  is a cuspidal automorphic representation, these global  $L$ -functions have nice properties - holomorphy in  $\mathbb{C}$  except possibly at a few easily identified points, a functional equation and boundedness in vertical strips.

Since the global  $L$ -function is defined as an Euler product, the most one can usually prove is that it is convergent in some right half-plane. To prove the other properties one needs some other way of representing these  $L$ -functions. There are two successful approaches.

The first is the Langlands-Shahidi method based on realising quotients of some of these global  $L$ -functions  $L(s, \pi, r)$  in the constant terms of suitable Eisenstein series.

## How to study global $L$ -functions

The Langlands functoriality conjectures predict that when  $\pi$  is a cuspidal automorphic representation, these global  $L$ -functions have nice properties - holomorphy in  $\mathbb{C}$  except possibly at a few easily identified points, a functional equation and boundedness in vertical strips.

Since the global  $L$ -function is defined as an Euler product, the most one can usually prove is that it is convergent in some right half-plane. To prove the other properties one needs some other way of representing these  $L$ -functions. There are two successful approaches.

The first is the Langlands-Shahidi method based on realising quotients of some of these global  $L$ -functions  $L(s, \pi, r)$  in the constant terms of suitable Eisenstein series.

The second method is the theory of integral representations. We will need this theory only for the standard  $L$ -functions of  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ . It involves expressing the  $L$ -function as a Mellin transform of a suitable function on  $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ .



# The hypotheses

Let  $\Pi = \otimes' \Pi_v$  be a irreducible global admissible representation of  $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$  with a unitary automorphic central character  $\omega_\Pi$ .  
Suppose that  $L(s, \Pi)$  satisfies the following properties.

# The hypotheses

Let  $\Pi = \otimes' \Pi_v$  be a irreducible global admissible representation of  $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$  with a unitary automorphic central character  $\omega_\Pi$ .  
Suppose that  $L(s, \Pi)$  satisfies the following properties.

- (C) The Dirichlet series  $D(s, \Pi)$  of  $L(s, \Pi)$  converges in some right half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \sigma > 0$ .

# The hypotheses

Let  $\Pi = \otimes' \Pi_v$  be a irreducible global admissible representation of  $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$  with a unitary automorphic central character  $\omega_\Pi$ .  
Suppose that  $L(s, \Pi)$  satisfies the following properties.

- (C) The Dirichlet series  $D(s, \Pi)$  of  $L(s, \Pi)$  converges in some right half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \sigma > 0$ .
- (FNP)  $L(s, \Pi)$  is meromorphic on all of  $\mathbb{C}$  with a finite number of poles and is bounded in (lacunary) vertical strips.

## The hypotheses

Let  $\Pi = \otimes' \Pi_v$  be a irreducible global admissible representation of  $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$  with a unitary automorphic central character  $\omega_\Pi$ .  
Suppose that  $L(s, \Pi)$  satisfies the following properties.

- (C) The Dirichlet series  $D(s, \Pi)$  of  $L(s, \Pi)$  converges in some right half-plane  $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \sigma > 0$ .
- (FNP)  $L(s, \Pi)$  is meromorphic on all of  $\mathbb{C}$  with a finite number of poles and is bounded in (lacunary) vertical strips.
- (FE) The  $L$ -function satisfies a functional equation of the form

$$L(s, \Pi) = AB^s L(1 - s, \tilde{\Pi}),$$

where  $\tilde{\Pi}$  is the representation contragredient to  $\Pi$ , and  $B > 0$ .

# The main theorem

## Theorem

*With  $L(s, \Pi)$  as above, we have*

# The main theorem

## Theorem

*With  $L(s, \Pi)$  as above, we have*

1.  *$L(s, \Pi)$  has at most  $2n$  poles (counted with multiplicity).*

# The main theorem

## Theorem

*With  $L(s, \Pi)$  as above, we have*

- 1.  $L(s, \Pi)$  has at most  $2n$  poles (counted with multiplicity).*
- 2. The locations of the possible poles can be recovered from the Satake parameters at two unramified places.*

# The main theorem

## Theorem

With  $L(s, \Pi)$  as above, we have

1.  $L(s, \Pi)$  has at most  $2n$  poles (counted with multiplicity).
2. The locations of the possible poles can be recovered from the Satake parameters at two unramified places.
3. If  $\sigma = 1$ , then the poles necessarily lie on the lines  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1$ .



# The main theorem

## Theorem

*With  $L(s, \Pi)$  as above, we have*

- 1.  $L(s, \Pi)$  has at most  $2n$  poles (counted with multiplicity).*
- 2. The locations of the possible poles can be recovered from the Satake parameters at two unramified places.*
- 3. If  $\sigma = 1$ , then the poles necessarily lie on the lines  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1$ .*

Note that the hypotheses have placed no restriction on the number, nature and location of the poles. Only the finiteness of their number has been assumed.

# The main theorem

## Theorem

With  $L(s, \Pi)$  as above, we have

1.  $L(s, \Pi)$  has at most  $2n$  poles (counted with multiplicity).
2. The locations of the possible poles can be recovered from the Satake parameters at two unramified places.
3. If  $\sigma = 1$ , then the poles necessarily lie on the lines  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1$ .

Note that the hypotheses have placed no restriction on the number, nature and location of the poles. Only the finiteness of their number has been assumed. The crucial point is that the existence of an Euler product and Ramanujan bounds on the average, preclude having poles in the critical strip.

## Refinements for $n = 2$ - Converse Theorems

### Theorem

*With  $L(s, \Pi)$  as above and  $n = 2$  we have the following dichotomy.*

1.  $L(s, \Pi)$  is entire or

## Refinements for $n = 2$ - Converse Theorems

### Theorem

*With  $L(s, \Pi)$  as above and  $n = 2$  we have the following dichotomy.*

1.  *$L(s, \Pi)$  is entire or*
2.  *$L(s, \Pi)$  arises from an Eisenstein series (and hence has at most four poles).*

## Refinements for $n = 2$ - Converse Theorems

### Theorem

*With  $L(s, \Pi)$  as above and  $n = 2$  we have the following dichotomy.*

- 1.  $L(s, \Pi)$  is entire or*
- 2.  $L(s, \Pi)$  arises from an Eisenstein series (and hence has at most four poles).*

### Corollary

*Suppose  $L(s, \Pi \otimes \chi)$  satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem for each Hecke character  $\chi$  unramified outside the set of places where  $\Pi$  is ramified. Then  $\Pi$  is an automorphic representation.*

## Refinements for $n = 2$ - Converse Theorems

### Theorem

*With  $L(s, \Pi)$  as above and  $n = 2$  we have the following dichotomy.*

- 1.  $L(s, \Pi)$  is entire or*
- 2.  $L(s, \Pi)$  arises from an Eisenstein series (and hence has at most four poles).*

### Corollary

*Suppose  $L(s, \Pi \otimes \chi)$  satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem for each Hecke character  $\chi$  unramified outside the set of places where  $\Pi$  is ramified. Then  $\Pi$  is an automorphic representation.*

This is a strengthening of the celebrated converse theorem of Weil-Jacquet-Langlands using an improvement of Piatetski-Shapiro. We no longer require that our  $L$ -functions be entire, only that they have at most a finite number of poles. This improves on results of W. Li and Booker-Krishnamurthy in this direction (generalisations for  $n > 2$  possible).

# The Langlands-Shahidi method

Thanks to the work of Langlands, Shahidi, Kim-Shahidi and Gelbart-Shahidi (and others) we know that method of Langlands-Shahidi yields an  $L$ -function  $L_{Sh}(s, \pi, r)$  which satisfies the properties (C), (FNP) and (FE) when  $\pi$  is a (unitary) cuspidal automorphic representation and  $r = \wedge^2, \text{Sym}^2$ . Henniart has shown that the local functions  $L_{Sh}(s, \pi_v, r)$  and  $L(s, \pi_v, r)$  coincide at all places.

# The Langlands-Shahidi method

Thanks to the work of Langlands, Shahidi, Kim-Shahidi and Gelbart-Shahidi (and others) we know that method of Langlands-Shahidi yields an  $L$ -function  $L_{Sh}(s, \pi, r)$  which satisfies the properties (C), (FNP) and (FE) when  $\pi$  is a (unitary) cuspidal automorphic representation and  $r = \wedge^2, \text{Sym}^2$ . Henniart has shown that the local functions  $L_{Sh}(s, \pi_v, r)$  and  $L(s, \pi_v, r)$  coincide at all places.

One further knows that (C) is satisfied with  $\sigma = 1$ .



# The Langlands-Shahidi method

Thanks to the work of Langlands, Shahidi, Kim-Shahidi and Gelbart-Shahidi (and others) we know that method of Langlands-Shahidi yields an  $L$ -function  $L_{Sh}(s, \pi, r)$  which satisfies the properties (C), (FNP) and (FE) when  $\pi$  is a (unitary) cuspidal automorphic representation and  $r = \wedge^2, \text{Sym}^2$ . Henniart has shown that the local functions  $L_{Sh}(s, \pi_v, r)$  and  $L(s, \pi_v, r)$  coincide at all places.

One further knows that (C) is satisfied with  $\sigma = 1$ .

When  $r = \wedge^2$ , Jacquet and Shalika have defined local  $L$ -functions  $L_{JS}(s, \pi_v, \wedge^2)$  using an integral representation. These are known to coincide with the  $L(s, \pi_v, \wedge^2)$  at all finite places (Kewat-R.).

# The Langlands-Shahidi method

Thanks to the work of Langlands, Shahidi, Kim-Shahidi and Gelbart-Shahidi (and others) we know that method of Langlands-Shahidi yields an  $L$ -function  $L_{Sh}(s, \pi, r)$  which satisfies the properties (C), (FNP) and (FE) when  $\pi$  is a (unitary) cuspidal automorphic representation and  $r = \wedge^2, \text{Sym}^2$ . Henniart has shown that the local functions  $L_{Sh}(s, \pi_v, r)$  and  $L(s, \pi_v, r)$  coincide at all places.

One further knows that (C) is satisfied with  $\sigma = 1$ .

When  $r = \wedge^2$ , Jacquet and Shalika have defined local  $L$ -functions  $L_{JS}(s, \pi_v, \wedge^2)$  using an integral representation. These are known to coincide with the  $L(s, \pi_v, \wedge^2)$  at all finite places (Kewat-R.).

It follows that the Dirichlet series  $D_{JS}(s, \pi, \wedge^2)$  satisfies a functional equation with the factors at infinity given by  $L(s, \pi_v, \wedge^2), v \mid \infty$

## Holomorphy of the exterior square $L$ -function

Using the result of Kewat-R. above and combining it with a theorem of Jacquet and Shalika, and D. Belt, we had proved the following theorem.

# Holomorphy of the exterior square $L$ -function

Using the result of Kewat-R. above and combining it with a theorem of Jacquet and Shalika, and D. Belt, we had proved the following theorem.

## Theorem

*(Kewat-R.) The Dirichlet series  $D_{JS}(s, \pi, \wedge^2)$  is entire unless  $\pi$  is self-dual and  $\omega_\pi$  is trivial. In the latter case it will have a pole only at  $s = 0, 1$  if and only if there is non-vanishing Shalika period.*

# Holomorphy of the exterior square $L$ -function

Using the result of Kewat-R. above and combining it with a theorem of Jacquet and Shalika, and D. Belt, we had proved the following theorem.

## Theorem

*(Kewat-R.) The Dirichlet series  $D_{JS}(s, \pi, \wedge^2)$  is entire unless  $\pi$  is self-dual and  $\omega_\pi$  is trivial. In the latter case it will have a pole only at  $s = 0, 1$  if and only if there is non-vanishing Shalika period.*

Thus the only possible poles of  $L(s, \pi, \wedge^2)$  are at 0 and 1 and those that arise from  $L(s, \pi_v, \wedge^2)$ , with  $v \mid \infty$ . However the local  $L$ -factors at infinity have the form  $\Gamma\left(\frac{s + \alpha_{i,v} + \alpha_{j,v}}{2}\right)$ , where  $\text{Re}(\alpha_{i,v} + \alpha_{j,v}) > -1$  using the bounds of Jacquet-Shalika.

## Holomorphy of the exterior square $L$ -function

Using the result of Kewat-R. above and combining it with a theorem of Jacquet and Shalika, and D. Belt, we had proved the following theorem.

### Theorem

*(Kewat-R.) The Dirichlet series  $D_{JS}(s, \pi, \wedge^2)$  is entire unless  $\pi$  is self-dual and  $\omega_\pi$  is trivial. In the latter case it will have a pole only at  $s = 0, 1$  if and only if there is non-vanishing Shalika period.*

Thus the only possible poles of  $L(s, \pi, \wedge^2)$  are at 0 and 1 and those that arise from  $L(s, \pi_v, \wedge^2)$ , with  $v \mid \infty$ . However the local  $L$ -factors at infinity have the form  $\Gamma\left(\frac{s + \alpha_{i,v} + \alpha_{j,v}}{2}\right)$ , where  $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha_{i,v} + \alpha_{j,v}) > -1$  using the bounds of Jacquet-Shalika.

It follows that  $D(s, \pi, \wedge^2)$  cannot have poles other than at 1 on the line  $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1$ . On the other hand our main theorem says that it cannot have poles anywhere else in the critical strip.

# The final theorem for the exterior square $L$ -function

## Theorem

*Let  $\pi$  be a cuspidal automorphic representation. The  $L$ -function  $L(s, \pi, \wedge^2)$  is entire unless  $\pi$  is self-dual and  $\omega_\pi$  is trivial. In the latter case it will have a simple poles at  $s = 0$  and  $s = 1$  if and only if there is non-vanishing Shalika period.*

# The final theorem for the exterior square $L$ -function

## Theorem

*Let  $\pi$  be a cuspidal automorphic representation. The  $L$ -function  $L(s, \pi, \wedge^2)$  is entire unless  $\pi$  is self-dual and  $\omega_\pi$  is trivial. In the latter case it will have a simple poles at  $s = 0$  and  $s = 1$  if and only if there is non-vanishing Shalika period.*

## Remarks:

- (1) We should be able to prove a similar theorem for  $L(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2)$  by combining the work of Kim-Shahidi and Takeda.



# The final theorem for the exterior square $L$ -function

## Theorem

*Let  $\pi$  be a cuspidal automorphic representation. The  $L$ -function  $L(s, \pi, \wedge^2)$  is entire unless  $\pi$  is self-dual and  $\omega_\pi$  is trivial. In the latter case it will have a simple poles at  $s = 0$  and  $s = 1$  if and only if there is non-vanishing Shalika period.*

## Remarks:

- (1) We should be able to prove a similar theorem for  $L(s, \pi, \text{Sym}^2)$  by combining the work of Kim-Shahidi and Takeda.
- (2) Both these theorems will follow from the works of Arthur+Mœglin-Waldspurger on the trace formula together with the work of Grbac-Shahidi. We emphasise that our approach is much more elementary with no reliance on the trace formula. It uses only tools and ideas from the previous century.

## Further remarks - appeals to a higher authority

- (3) Piatetski-Shapiro was a proponent of using the method of integral representations (also known as the Rankin-Selberg method) to analyse  $L$ -functions. He believed that the poles of  $L$ -functions could be more easily identified by the Rankin-Selberg method. He was fond of observing that “Arthur’s method is more general, but this approach is much simpler”. Our main theorem is a modest step in this direction.

## Further remarks - appeals to a higher authority

- (3) Piatetski-Shapiro was a proponent of using the method of integral representations (also known as the Rankin-Selberg method) to analyse  $L$ -functions. He believed that the poles of  $L$ -functions could be more easily identified by the Rankin-Selberg method. He was fond of observing that “Arthur’s method is more general, but this approach is much simpler”. Our main theorem is a modest step in this direction.
- (4) In principle, we could try to apply our idea to retrieve older holomorphy results for the  $L$ -functions of symmetric powers of  $GL_2$  and this method might simplify some of the proofs of Kim-Shahidi. Some of these  $L$ -functions are not part of the trace formula framework.

## The main idea

The basic idea behind the proof is the following: The poles of the  $L$ -functions can be recovered from the asymptotics of Whittaker functions. The fact that the set of these exponents is forced to remain invariant under the action of suitable Hecke operators, severely restricts the possibilities for this set.

## The main idea

The basic idea behind the proof is the following: The poles of the  $L$ -functions can be recovered from the asymptotics of Whittaker functions. The fact that the set of these exponents is forced to remain invariant under the action of suitable Hecke operators, severely restricts the possibilities for this set.

We will describe the simplest case of this phenomenon - the case  $n = 2$  and  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  which corresponds to the case of modular forms.

## The main idea

The basic idea behind the proof is the following: The poles of the  $L$ -functions can be recovered from the asymptotics of Whittaker functions. The fact that the set of these exponents is forced to remain invariant under the action of suitable Hecke operators, severely restricts the possibilities for this set.

We will describe the simplest case of this phenomenon - the case  $n = 2$  and  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  which corresponds to the case of modular forms. We will also assume that we are in the situation of full level. Most of the basic features of the proof can already be seen here. We note that we have the integral representation

## The main idea

The basic idea behind the proof is the following: The poles of the  $L$ -functions can be recovered from the asymptotics of Whittaker functions. The fact that the set of these exponents is forced to remain invariant under the action of suitable Hecke operators, severely restricts the possibilities for this set.

We will describe the simplest case of this phenomenon - the case  $n = 2$  and  $F = \mathbb{Q}$  which corresponds to the case of modular forms. We will also assume that we are in the situation of full level. Most of the basic features of the proof can already be seen here. We note that we have the integral representation

$$L(s, \pi_f) = \int_0^\infty f(iy)y^{s-\frac{1}{2}} d^*y.$$

# The Bochner correspondence

The starting point is the (Riemann-Hecke-)Bochner correspondence. Given a Dirichlet series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$  and its  $L$ -function  $L(s)$ ,

$$\varphi(z) = z^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z},$$

where  $a_0$  is the residue of  $L(s)$  at  $s = 1$ .



## The Bochner correspondence

The starting point is the (Riemann-Hecke-)Bochner correspondence. Given a Dirichlet series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$  and its  $L$ -function  $L(s)$ ,

$$\varphi(z) = z^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z},$$

where  $a_0$  is the residue of  $L(s)$  at  $s = 1$ .

Using the functional equation, standard arguments involving the inverse Mellin transform and shifting the line of integration using the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle yields an equation of the form

$$\varphi(z) - \varphi(-1/z) = q(z),$$

where

## The Bochner correspondence

The starting point is the (Riemann-Hecke-)Bochner correspondence. Given a Dirichlet series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n n^{-s}$  and its  $L$ -function  $L(s)$ ,

$$\varphi(z) = z^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z},$$

where  $a_0$  is the residue of  $L(s)$  at  $s = 1$ .

Using the functional equation, standard arguments involving the inverse Mellin transform and shifting the line of integration using the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle yields an equation of the form

$$\varphi(z) - \varphi(-1/z) = q(z),$$

where

$$q(z) = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i(\log z) z^{\beta_i},$$

where the  $p_i(t)$  are polynomial functions.

## Asymptotic exponents and poles

The key point is that the term  $z^{\beta_i}$  appears in  $q(z)$  if and only if  $-\beta_i + 1/2$  is a pole of  $L(s)$ .

## Asymptotic exponents and poles

The key point is that the term  $z^{\beta_i}$  appears in  $q(z)$  if and only if  $-\beta_i + 1/2$  is a pole of  $L(s)$ . Moreover, if the order of the pole at  $-\beta_i + 1/2$  is  $l$ , the polynomial  $p_i$  has degree  $l - 1$ .

## Asymptotic exponents and poles

The key point is that the term  $z^{\beta_i}$  appears in  $q(z)$  if and only if  $-\beta_i + 1/2$  is a pole of  $L(s)$ . Moreover, if the order of the pole at  $-\beta_i + 1/2$ , is  $l$ , the polynomial  $p_i$  has degree  $l - 1$ .

Observe that if  $z^{\beta_i}$  occurs in the expression for  $q(z)$ , then so must  $z^{-\beta_i}$ .

## Asymptotic exponents and poles

The key point is that the term  $z^{\beta_i}$  appears in  $q(z)$  if and only if  $-\beta_i + 1/2$  is a pole of  $L(s)$ . Moreover, if the order of the pole at  $-\beta_i + 1/2$ , is  $l$ , the polynomial  $p_i$  has degree  $l - 1$ .

Observe that if  $z^{\beta_i}$  occurs in the expression for  $q(z)$ , then so must  $z^{-\beta_i}$ . It follows that

$$\varphi(z) \sim q_1(z), \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0,$$

## Asymptotic exponents and poles

The key point is that the term  $z^{\beta_i}$  appears in  $q(z)$  if and only if  $-\beta_i + 1/2$  is a pole of  $L(s)$ . Moreover, if the order of the pole at  $-\beta_i + 1/2$ , is  $l$ , the polynomial  $p_i$  has degree  $l - 1$ .

Observe that if  $z^{\beta_i}$  occurs in the expression for  $q(z)$ , then so must  $z^{-\beta_i}$ . It follows that

$$\varphi(z) \sim q_1(z), \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$q_1(z) = \sum_{i \mid \operatorname{Re}(\beta_i) \geq 0} p_i(\log z) z^{\beta_i}.$$

## Asymptotic exponents and poles

The key point is that the term  $z^{\beta_i}$  appears in  $q(z)$  if and only if  $-\beta_i + 1/2$  is a pole of  $L(s)$ . Moreover, if the order of the pole at  $-\beta_i + 1/2$ , is  $l$ , the polynomial  $p_i$  has degree  $l - 1$ .

Observe that if  $z^{\beta_i}$  occurs in the expression for  $q(z)$ , then so must  $z^{-\beta_i}$ . It follows that

$$\varphi(z) \sim q_1(z), \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$q_1(z) = \sum_{i \mid \operatorname{Re}(\beta_i) \geq 0} p_i(\log z) z^{\beta_i}.$$

We have thus related the exponents in the asymptotic expression for  $\varphi(z)$  to the poles of the  $L$ -function.



## Applying the Hecke operators

If we apply the Hecke operator  $T_p$  to this situation we obtain a relation between the asymptotics:

$$p^{-1/2}q_1(pz) + p^{1/2}q_1\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) \sim a_p q_1(z).$$

## Applying the Hecke operators

If we apply the Hecke operator  $T_p$  to this situation we obtain a relation between the asymptotics:

$$p^{-1/2}q_1(pz) + p^{1/2}q_1\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) \sim a_p q_1(z).$$

By comparing the leading coefficients (i.e., the exponent  $\beta$  which has the smallest real part among the exponents) we see that

$$p^{\beta-1/2} + p^{1/2-\beta} = a_p.$$

## Applying the Hecke operators

If we apply the Hecke operator  $T_p$  to this situation we obtain a relation between the asymptotics:

$$p^{-1/2}q_1(pz) + p^{1/2}q_1\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) \sim a_p q_1(z).$$

By comparing the leading coefficients (i.e., the exponent  $\beta$  which has the smallest real part among the exponents) we see that

$$p^{\beta-1/2} + p^{1/2-\beta} = a_p.$$

If we set  $X = p^{\beta-1/2}$  we get a quadratic equation in  $X$ . Note that we get the same equation at every unramified place. Thus  $\beta$  can be completely determined if we know the Hecke eigenvalue at two unramified places.