

Random Digraphs : Some Concentration Results

Joint work with Kunal Dutta and Joel Spencer.

ICM-2010 Satellite Conference on Algebraic and Probabilistic Aspects
of Combinatorics and Computing,
Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore,
August 29- September 3, 2010.

C R Subramanian

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai.

Random Graph models

- ▶ $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. $G = (V, E)$. $p = p(n)$.
- ▶ $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)$: $e \in E$ independently with probability p .
- ▶ $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, p)$: $p \leq 0.5$. Choose $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, 2p)$. Orient each $e \in E$ uniformly and independently.
- ▶ $D \in \mathcal{D}_2(n, p)$: $p \leq 0.5$. Choose each $e \in V \times V - \{(u, u)\}_u$ independently with probability p . Allows 2-cycles.

$\alpha(G)$ and $\omega(G)$

- ▶ $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)$, $p \leq 0.5$.
- ▶ $\omega(G)$ = maximum size of a clique in G .
- ▶ $\alpha(G)$ = maximum size of an indep set in G .
- ▶ Determination of $\omega(G)$ and $\alpha(G)$ are equivalent.
- ▶ $\omega(G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p))$ and $\alpha(G \in \mathcal{G}(n, 1 - p))$ have the same distribution.
- ▶ $\Pr(\omega(G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)) = b) = \Pr(\alpha(G \in \mathcal{G}(n, 1 - p)) = b)$.

$\alpha(G)$ and $\omega(G)$

- ▶ concentration of $\omega(G)$:
- ▶ $\omega(G)$ is tightly concentrated in just two values.
- ▶ Eg : $p = 1/2 \Rightarrow \omega \in \{k, k + 1\}$ almost surely
- ▶ for some $k = 2 \log n - 2 \log \log n + O(1)$.
- ▶ No simple closed-form expression for k .
- ▶
- ▶ Concentration of $\alpha(G)$:
- ▶ Assume $p \geq C/n$. $q = (1 - p)^{-1}$. Almost surely,
- ▶ $\alpha(G) = \frac{2}{\ln q} (\ln np - \ln \ln np \pm O(1))$.
- ▶ α is not tightly concentrated.

$mat(D)$ and $mas(D)$

- ▶ Similar phenomena in random directed graphs.
- ▶ $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, p)$. $p \leq 0.5$.
- ▶ $mat(D)$ = maximum size induced acyclic tournament in D .
- ▶ $mas(D)$ = maximum size induced acyclic subgraph in D .
- ▶ $mat(D)$ is 2-point
- ▶ $mas(D)$ = maximum size induced acyclic tournament in D .t concentrated or even one-point concentrated. Also, admits sharp thresholds.
- ▶ Unlike $\omega(G)$, admits a nice closed form expression.
- ▶ $mas(D)$ has coarse concentration like $\alpha(G)$.

$\omega(G)$ vs $mat(D)$ and $\alpha(G)$ vs $mas(D)$

- ▶ $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, p)$ and $G \in \mathcal{G}(n, p)$; $b \geq 1$.
- ▶ $\Pr[mas(D) \geq b] \geq \Pr[\alpha(G) \geq b]$.
- ▶ τ - a fixed linear ordering of V .
- ▶ $\Pr(mas(D) \geq b)$ is at least the probability that $D[A]$ is consistent with τ for some $A, |A| = b$.
- ▶ Equals $\Pr(\omega(G) \geq b)$.
- ▶
- ▶ similarly, for $mat(D)$,
- ▶ $\Pr[mat(D) \geq b] \geq \Pr[\alpha(G) \geq b]$.

2-point concentration of $\text{mat}(D)$

(Kunal and CRS)

- ▶ $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, p)$, $p \geq 1/n$.
- ▶ $b^* = \lfloor 2(\log_{p-1} n) + 0.5 \rfloor$.
- ▶ almost surely, $\text{mat}(D) \in \{b^*, b^* + 1\}$.
- ▶ Fact : A *dag* has at most one directed hamilton path.
- ▶
- ▶ **Proof Sketch** : For $b \geq 1$, define
- ▶ $X_b =$ number of induced acyclic tournaments of size b .
- ▶ $E[X_b] = \binom{n}{b} b! p^{\binom{b}{2}} \approx (np^{(b-1)/2})^b$.
- ▶ $E[X_b] \rightarrow 0$ for $b = b^* + 2$.
- ▶ Hence $\text{mat}(D) \leq b^* + 1$ almost surely.

2-point concentration of $\text{mat}(D)$

- ▶ To prove $\text{mat}(D) \geq b^*$ almost surely,
- ▶ Show : $\mu = E[X_b^*] \rightarrow \infty$ and also
- ▶ $\Pr(X_{b^*} = 0) \leq \Pr(|X_{b^*} - \mu| \geq \mu) \rightarrow 0$ using Chebyshev.
- ▶ Suffices to show that, for $b = b^*$,
- ▶ $\text{Var}(X_b) \leq \mu + \mu \left(\sum_{i,j: |A_i \cap A_j| \in [2, b-1]} E(X_j | X_i) \right)$.
- ▶ $\text{Var}(X) \leq \mu + o(\mu^2)$.

One point concentration of $\text{mat}(D)$

- ▶ $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, p)$, $w = w(n) \rightarrow \infty$ sufficiently slowly.
- ▶ $d = 2 \log_{p_1} n + 1$ and $\delta = \lceil d \rceil - d$.
- ▶ Suppose $\frac{w}{\ln n} \leq \delta \leq 1 - \frac{w}{\ln n}$ for large values of n .
- ▶ almost surely, $\text{mat}(D) = \lfloor d \rfloor$.
- ▶ $\delta \leq 0.5 \Rightarrow \lfloor d \rfloor = b^*$.
- ▶ $\delta > 0.5 \Rightarrow \lfloor d \rfloor = b^* + 1$.

one-point concentration

- ▶ p fixed but arbitrary.
- ▶ $\text{mat}(D)$ is one-point concentrated for each n from a subset of integers of density 1.
- ▶ **Proof sketch :**
- ▶ Every n must be of the form $t^{(k-1-\delta)/2}$ for some $k \geq 0$.
 $t = p^{-1}$.
- ▶ every good n should satisfy
- ▶ $t^{\frac{k-1-\delta}{2} + \frac{w}{2 \ln n}} \leq n \leq t^{\frac{k-1-\delta}{2} - \frac{2}{2 \ln n}}$.
- ▶ does not hold when p varies with n . Eg : $p = n^{-2/3}$.

threshold phenomena and algorithms

- ▶ For every i , there exist $p_i = p_i(n)$ and $q_i = q_i(n)$ with
- ▶ $q_i = o(p_i)$ such that almost surely
- ▶ $p \geq p_i + q_i \Rightarrow \text{mat}(D) \geq i$
- ▶ $p \leq p_i - q_i \Rightarrow \text{mat}(D) < i$.
- ▶ sharp threshold exists.
- ▶
- ▶ $lb_i(n) = n^{-4/(2i-1-2w/\ln n)}$ and $ub_i(n) = n^{-4/(2i-1+\frac{2w}{\ln n})}$
- ▶ $p_i(n) = (lb_i(n) + ub_i(n))/2$,
- ▶ $q_i(n) = (ub_i(n) - lb_i(n))/2$.

improved algorithm

- ▶ $w = w(n) \rightarrow \infty$. almost surely,
- ▶ every maximal solution is of size at least
- ▶ $d = \lfloor \delta \log_{p-1} n \rfloor$ where $\delta = 1 - \frac{\ln(\ln n + w)}{\ln n}$.
- ▶ $c \geq 1$ constant. $p \geq n^{-1/c^2}$.
- ▶ \exists deter. poly time algor A which almost surely
- ▶ finds a solution of size at least $\log_{p-1} n + c \sqrt{\log_{p-1} n}$.
- ▶
- ▶ Can one find in poly time a soln of size at least
- ▶ $(1 + \epsilon) \log_{p-1} n$, for some fixed $\epsilon > 0$.

Results on $mas(D)$

- ▶ $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, p)$, $p \leq 0.5$.
- ▶ difficulty : Given A , what is
- ▶ $\Pr(D[A] \text{ is acyclic }) ?$
- ▶
- ▶ $|mas(D) - mas(D')| \leq 1$ if D and D' differ only with respect to a single vertex.
- ▶ Using a vertex-exposure martingale and Azuma's martingale inequality, with $\mu = E[mas(D)]$,
- ▶ $|mas(D) - \mu| \leq w\sqrt{n}$ for any $w \rightarrow \infty$.
- ▶ the "likely" values of $mas(D)$ still not known.

Some easy consequences (CRS)

- ▶ $D \in \mathcal{D}(n, p)$, $p \leq 0.5$. Define $q = (1 - p)^{-1}$, $w = np$.
- ▶ $mas(D) \leq \lfloor 2 \log_q n + 1 \rfloor$.
- ▶ $mas(D) \geq \frac{2}{\ln q} (\ln w - \ln \ln w - O(1))$.
- ▶ the ratio of the two bounds can be very large,
- ▶ particularly, if $p = n^{-1+o(1)}$.
- ▶
- ▶ conj : Is it true that
- ▶ $mas(D) = \frac{2}{\ln q} (\ln w \pm o(1))$?

improved upper bound on $mas(D)$ (due to Spencer)

- ▶ Fix A of size b .
- ▶ $D[A]$ is acyclic only if $\exists A = A_1 \cup A_2$
- ▶ with no arc going from A_2 to A_1 .
- ▶
- ▶ $\Pr(D[A] \text{ is acyclic}) \leq 2^b(1-p)^{b^2/4}$.
- ▶ $\Pr(\exists A, |A| = b : D[A] \text{ is acyclic}) \leq \left(\frac{2en}{b}\right)^b (1-p)^{b^2/4}$.
- ▶ $mas(D) \leq \frac{4 \ln w}{\ln q}$ almost surely.
- ▶ the ratio of the bounds is now at most two.

improved bounds on $mas(D)$ (due to CRS)

- ▶ constant 4 can be brought down further.
- ▶ For suitable k , choose a k -partition instead of a bipartition.
- ▶ k cannot become too large. to be chosen carefully.
- ▶ choose $b = \lfloor \frac{2}{\ln q} (\ln w + 3e) \rfloor$ and
- ▶ choose k the integer nearest to $2(\ln w)(3e)^{-1} + 2$.
- ▶ $mas(D) \leq b$ almost surely.
- ▶
- ▶ $mas(D) = \frac{2}{\ln q} (\ln w \pm o(1))$ almost surely.

additively improved bounds on $mas(D)$ (Kunal and CRS)

- ▶ the ratio of the bounds is $1 + o(1)$.
- ▶ Still, an additive gap of $\frac{\ln \ln w}{\ln q}$ exists.
- ▶ $Y = Y(b) = |\{(A, \sigma) : |A| = b, \sigma \text{ certifies } A\}|$.
- ▶ $Y = \sum_{i \leq m} Y_i$ where $m = (n)_b$.
- ▶ $(A_1, \sigma_1), \dots, (A_m, \sigma_m)$.
- ▶ $E[Y] = (n)_b (1 - p)^{\binom{b}{2}}$.
- ▶ $b^* = \lfloor \frac{2 \ln w}{\ln q} - X \rfloor$ where
- ▶ $X = W$ if $p \geq n^{-1/3 + \epsilon}$
- ▶ $X = W / (\ln q)$ if $p \geq n^{-1/2} (\ln n)^2$.

additive improvements

- ▶ At $b = b^*$, $E[Y] \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- ▶ $\text{Var}(Y) \leq \mu + \mu^2 \cdot M$ where
- ▶ $M = \sum_{j:2 \leq |A_i \cap A_j| \leq b} E[Y_j | Y_i = 1] / \mu$.
- ▶ $E[Y_j | Y_i = 1] = (1 - \rho)^{\binom{b}{2} - \binom{l}{2}} \left(\frac{1-2\rho}{1-\rho} \right)^{i(\pi)}$.
- ▶ here, $l = |A_i \cap A_j|$. π is the relative ordering of $A_i \cap A_j$ with respect to the ordering imposed by σ_j .
- ▶ Uses the following well-known fact :
- ▶ $\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} q^{i(\sigma)} = (1 + q)(1 + q + q^2) \dots (1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1})$.

additive improvements

- ▶ $\exists W > 0 : \forall \epsilon > 0, p \geq n^{-1/3+\epsilon},$
- ▶ $mas(D) \geq \frac{2(\ln w)}{\ln q} - W$ almost surely.
- ▶
- ▶ $\exists W > 0 : \forall p \geq n^{-1/2}(\ln n)^2,$
- ▶ $mas(D) \geq \frac{2}{\ln q}(\ln w - W)$ almost surely.
- ▶
- ▶ $p \geq n^{-1/2+\epsilon} \Rightarrow mas(D) \leq \frac{2}{\ln q}(\ln w + \ln(7e))$ almost surely.

Algorithms

- ▶ Every maximal induced dag is of size at least $\delta(\log_q w)$ for some $\delta \rightarrow 1$ as $w \rightarrow 1$.
- ▶
- ▶ An induced dag of size at least $\log_q w + c\sqrt{\log_q w}$ can be found almost surely.
- ▶
- ▶ Most of these results carry over to the $\mathcal{D}_2(n, p)$ model with some small changes.

Further work

- ▶ Further progress made in reducing the additive gap.
- ▶ A tighter concentration based on Talagrand's inequality is possible. Details later.

Thank You