## Quantifying non-classical correlations via moment matrix positivity

H. S. Karthik,<sup>1</sup> A. R. Usha Devi,<sup>2,3</sup> A. K. Rajagopal,<sup>3,4</sup> Sudha,<sup>5,3</sup> J. Prabhu Tej,<sup>2</sup> and Andal Narayanan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Raman Research Institute, Bangalore 560 080, India<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Bangalore University, Bangalore-560 056, India <sup>3</sup>Inspire Institute Inc., Alexandria, Virginia, 22303, USA.

<sup>4</sup>Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Chhatnag Road, Jhunsi, Allahabad 211 019, India <sup>5</sup>Department of Physics, Kuvempu University, Shankaraqhatta, Shimoqa-577 451, India.

Introduction and our main result: Probabilities of measurement outcomes within the quantum framework are fundamentally different from those arising in the classical statistical scenario. This has invoked a wide range of debates on the foundational conflicts about the quantum-classical worldviews of nature [1, 2]. Pioneering investigations by Bell [3], Kochen-Specker [4], Leggett-Garg [5] tied the puzzling quantum features with various no-go theorems. The common aspect underlying the proofs of these no-go theorems points towards the non-existence of a joint probability distribution for the outcomes of all possible measurements performed on a quantum system [2–9].

On an entirely different perspective, *classical moment problem* [10, 11] addresses the issue of determining a probability distribution given a sequence of statistical moments. Essentially, classical moment problem brings forth that a given sequence of real numbers qualify to be moment sequence of a legitimate probability distribution if and only if the associated moment matrix is positive. In other words, *existence of a valid joint probability distribution* consistent with a given sequence of *moments* gets linked with moment matrix positivity.

In this work, we investigate positivity of  $8 \times 8$  moment matrix to verify the existence of a valid joint probability distribution of three dichotomic observables in the quantum scenario. This results in an interesting identification: *positivity of the moment matrix implies* 

<sup>\*</sup>Electronic address: karthik@rri.res.in

that the associated joint probabilities assume convex product form. In other words, hidden variable structure for the joint probabilities emerges naturally – bringing forth a necessary and sufficient condition for non-classicality of correlations via moment matrix positivity criterion. We propose a quantification of non-classical correlations based on the tracenorm of the *normalized* moment matrix. Specific examples of temporal correlations of a single qubit observable at three different times, when the system is evolving (a) under a coherent unitary dynamics and (b) an open system evolution resulting in amplitude damping are examined – with implications on Leggett-Garg macrorealism in terms of moment matrix quantification. We also explore the Bell non-locality, in conjunction with contextuality, in terms of the moment matrix associated with the statistical correlations of three dichotomic observables of a spatially separated two qubit system.

**Outline of our approach**: We consider three dichotomic variables  $X_1, X_2, X_3$ . A sequence of eight moments  $\{1, \langle X_1 \rangle, \langle X_2 \rangle, \langle X_3 \rangle, \langle X_1 X_2 \rangle, \langle X_2 X_3 \rangle, \langle X_1 X_3 \rangle, \langle X_1 X_2 X_3 \rangle\}$  faithfully encode the details of the joint probability distribution  $P(x_1, x_2, x_3), x_i = \pm 1$  [12]. We restrict to the specific case where  $\langle X_i \rangle = 0$ ,  $\langle X_1 X_2 X_3 \rangle = 0$ . A sequence of eight real numbers  $\{1, 0, 0, 0, a, b, c, 0\}$ , admit a valid classical probability districution  $P(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , provided the  $8 \times 8$  moment matrix, constructed using this set of numbers, is positive. The eigenvalues of the moment matrix are identified to be  $\lambda_{1,2} = 1 + a - b - c, \lambda_{3,4} =$  $1-a+b-c, \lambda_{5,6} = 1-a-b+c$  and  $\lambda_{7,8} = 1+a+b+c$ . We find that by embedding the sequence of numbers in the form of a three qubit density matrix (following the mapping  $X_1 = \langle \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}_1 \otimes I \otimes I \rangle, \ X_2 \to I \otimes \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}_2 \otimes I, \ X_3 \to I \otimes \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{n}_3 \otimes I \rangle$ , positivity of the density matrix matches exactly with that of the moment matrix. In other words, we find an interesting connection that the three qubit density matrix is not a physical one – but is a pseudo density matrix when the corresponding sequence does not admit a valid three variable joint probability distribution [13]. However, the nature of joint probability distribution - when the corresponding sequence happens to be a physically valid set – is still left open. Significantly, we find that there is yet another faithful connection between the positivity of the moment matrix and that of a *partially transposed two qubit density matrix*. Positivity of partial transpose criterion [14] comes to help now – and it ascertains that admissibility of a joint probability distribution with the given sequence (moment matrix positivity) is ensured if and only if the associated two qubit density matrix is separable. This in turn leads to our main identification that the given set of *moments* should necessarily allow a convex product

decomposition of the joint probabilities, so as to be declared as a physically valid sequence of moments. A sequence obtained from *all possible* correlation measurement outcomes in the quantum scenario results, in general, in a pseudo moment matrix. A valid sequence of correlations – resulting within the quantum framework – necessarily implies hidden variable structure for the grand joint probabilities. A criterion based on the tracenorm of a normalized moment matrix (which agrees identically with the tracenorm of the pseudo three qubit density matrix associated with it) is useful to discern non-classical correlations.

**Conclusion**: We have shown that the question of *existence of a grand joint probabilities* underlying all possible measurements of three dichotomic observables finds an elegant connection with the positivity of the associated moment matrix. Employing well-established results from quantum information theory we prove that positivity of the moment matrix necessarily implies a hidden variable structure for the joint probabilities. We have proposed a criterion based on the tracenorm of the moment matrix to quantify non-classical correlations. Examples of spatial and temporal correlations arising in the quantum scenario illustrate our results.

- [1] I. Pitowski, Quantum Probability, Quantum Logic (Springer, Heidelberg, 1989).
- [2] A. Fine, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 291 (1982); J. Math. Phys. 23, 1306 (1982).
- [3] J. S. Bell, Physics 1, 195 (1964).
- [4] S. Kochen and E. P. Specker, J. Math. Mech. 17, 59 (1967).
- [5] A. J. Leggett and A. Garg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 857 (1985).
- [6] N. D. Mermin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 3373 (1990).
- [7] A. Peres, J. Phys. A 24, L175 (1991).
- [8] A. R. Usha Devi, H. S. Karthik, Sudha and A. K. Rajgaopal, Phys. Rev. A 87, 052103 (2013).
- [9] M. Markiewicz, P. Kurzyński, J. Thompson, S.-Y. Lee, A. Soeda, T. Paterek, and D. Kaszlikowski, arXiv:1302.3502.
- [10] J.A. Shohat and J.D. Tamarkin, *The problem of mo- ments*, (American Mathematical Society, 1943).
- [11] N.I. Akhiezer, The Classical Moment Problem, (Hafner Publishing Co., New York, 1965).

- [12] H. S. Karthik, H. Katiyar, A. Shukla, T. S. Mahesh, A. R. Usha Devi and A. K. Rajagopal, Phys. Rev. A 87, 052118 (2013).
- [13] In a very recent work (J. Fitzsimons, J. Jones, and V. Vedral, arXiv:1302.2731) it has been highlighted that fitting the temporal correlations of dichotomic observables in the form of a multiqubit density matrix results in a psuedo (non-positive) density matrix. The departure of the associated pseudo density matrix from being physical has been employed to identify intrinsic non-classicality of temporal correlations.
- [14] A. Peres, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1413 (1996); M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, R. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A 223, 1 (1996).