

ON THE ARITHMETIC COMPLEXITY OF EULER FUNCTION

Manindra Agrawal

IIT Kanpur

Bangalore, Sep 2010

EULER FUNCTION

$$E(x) = \prod_{k>0} (1 - x^k)$$

Defined by Leonhard Euler.

IRRELEVANT FACTS

RELATION TO PARTITION NUMBERS

Let p_m be the number of partitions of m . Then

$$\frac{1}{E(x)} = \sum_{m \geq 0} p_m x^m.$$

Proof. Note that

$$\frac{1}{E(x)} = \frac{1}{\prod_{k > 0} (1 - x^k)} = \prod_{k > 0} \left(\sum_{t \geq 0} x^{kt} \right).$$

IRRELEVANT FACTS

RELATION TO PARTITION NUMBERS

Let p_m be the number of partitions of m . Then

$$\frac{1}{E(x)} = \sum_{m \geq 0} p_m x^m.$$

Proof. Note that

$$\frac{1}{E(x)} = \frac{1}{\prod_{k>0} (1 - x^k)} = \prod_{k>0} \left(\sum_{t \geq 0} x^{kt} \right).$$

IRRELEVANT FACTS

EULER IDENTITY

$$E(x) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^m x^{(3m^2-m)/2}.$$

Proof. Set up an involution between terms of same degree and opposite signs. Only a few survive.

IRRELEVANT FACTS

EULER IDENTITY

$$E(x) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^m x^{(3m^2-m)/2}.$$

Proof. Set up an involution between terms of same degree and opposite signs. Only a few survive.

IRRELEVANT FACTS

OVER COMPLEX PLANE

$$E(x) = \prod_{k>0} (1 - x^k)$$

- Undefined outside unit disk.
- Zero at unit circle.
- Bounded inside the unit disk.

Proof. Straightforward.

IRRELEVANT FACTS

OVER COMPLEX PLANE

$$E(x) = \prod_{k>0} (1 - x^k)$$

- Undefined outside unit disk.
- Zero at unit circle.
- Bounded inside the unit disk.

Proof. Straightforward.

IRRELEVANT FACTS

DEDEKIND ETA FUNCTION

$$\eta(z) = e^{\frac{\pi iz}{12}} E(e^{2\pi iz}).$$

$\eta(z)$ is defined on the upper half of the complex plane and satisfies many interesting properties:

- $\eta(z + 1) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{12}} \eta(z)$.
- $\eta(-\frac{1}{z}) = \sqrt{-iz} \eta(z)$.

Proof. First part is trivial. Second part requires non-trivial complex analysis.

IRRELEVANT FACTS

DEDEKIND ETA FUNCTION

$$\eta(z) = e^{\frac{\pi iz}{12}} E(e^{2\pi iz}).$$

$\eta(z)$ is defined on the upper half of the complex plane and satisfies many interesting properties:

- $\eta(z + 1) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{12}} \eta(z)$.
- $\eta(-\frac{1}{z}) = \sqrt{-iz} \eta(z)$.

Proof. First part is trivial. Second part requires non-trivial complex analysis.

PERMANENT POLYNOMIAL

- For any $n > 0$, let $X = [x_{i,j}]$ be a $n \times n$ matrix with variable elements.
- Then permanent polynomial of degree n is the permanent of X :

$$\text{per}_n(\bar{x}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n x_{i,\sigma(i)}.$$

- It is believed to be hard to compute.

PERMANENT POLYNOMIAL

- For any $n > 0$, let $X = [x_{i,j}]$ be a $n \times n$ matrix with variable elements.
- Then **permanent polynomial** of degree n is the permanent of X :

$$\text{per}_n(\bar{x}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n x_{i,\sigma(i)}.$$

- It is believed to be hard to compute.

PERMANENT POLYNOMIAL

- For any $n > 0$, let $X = [x_{i,j}]$ be a $n \times n$ matrix with variable elements.
- Then **permanent polynomial** of degree n is the permanent of X :

$$\text{per}_n(\bar{x}) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n x_{i,\sigma(i)}.$$

- It is believed to be hard to compute.

COMPUTING EULER FUNCTION

- Let

$$E_n(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - x^k).$$

- So, $E(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n(x)$.
- A circuit family computing $E_n(x)$ can be viewed as computing $E(x)$.
- We will consider arithmetic circuits for computing $E_n(x)$.

COMPUTING EULER FUNCTION

- Let

$$E_n(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - x^k).$$

- So, $E(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n(x)$.
- A circuit family computing $E_n(x)$ can be viewed as computing $E(x)$.
- We will consider arithmetic circuits for computing $E_n(x)$.

CIRCUITS FOR $E_n(x)$

- A circuit computing $E_n(x)$ over field F takes as input x and -1 ; and outputs $E_n(x)$.
- It is allowed to use addition and multiplication gates of arbitrary fanin over F .
- **Size** of a circuit is the number of gates in it (not the number of wires).
- A depth three circuit of size $\Theta(n)$ can compute $E_n(x)$ over any field F : follows from definition.

CIRCUITS FOR $E_n(x)$

- A circuit computing $E_n(x)$ over field F takes as input x and -1 ; and outputs $E_n(x)$.
- It is allowed to use addition and multiplication gates of arbitrary fanin over F .
- **Size** of a circuit is the number of gates in it (not the number of wires).
- A depth three circuit of size $\Theta(n)$ can compute $E_n(x)$ over any field F : follows from definition.

CIRCUITS FOR $E_n(x)$

- Can a depth three or four circuit do significantly better?
- It is not clear.
- A proof of this for a field of finite characteristic gives a superpolynomial lower bound on computing permanent polynomial family by arithmetic circuits.

CIRCUITS FOR $E_n(x)$

- Can a depth three or four circuit do significantly better?
- It is not clear.
- A proof of this for a field of finite characteristic gives a superpolynomial lower bound on computing permanent polynomial family by arithmetic circuits.

THE MAIN THEOREM

THEOREM

Suppose every depth four circuit family computing $E_n(x)$ over F , $\text{char}(F) > 0$, has size at least n^ϵ , for some fixed $\epsilon > 0$. Then permanent polynomial family cannot be computed by polynomial-size arithmetic circuits over \mathbb{Z} .

Similar results have been obtained recently by Pascal Koiran.

THE MAIN THEOREM

THEOREM

Suppose every depth four circuit family computing $E_n(x)$ over F , $\text{char}(F) > 0$, has size at least n^ϵ , for some fixed $\epsilon > 0$. Then permanent polynomial family cannot be computed by polynomial-size arithmetic circuits over \mathbb{Z} .

Similar results have been obtained recently by [Pascal Koiran](#).

PROOF

- Without loss of generality, we can assume that the depth four circuit family computes $E_n(x)$ over F with $F = F_p$ for some prime p .
 - ▶ Follows from the fact that circuits over an extension field of F_p can be simulated by circuits over F_p with only a small increase in size.
- Assume that there is a polynomial-size circuit family computing permanent polynomials over \mathbb{Z} .

PROOF

- Without loss of generality, we can assume that the depth four circuit family computes $E_n(x)$ over F with $F = F_p$ for some prime p .
 - ▶ Follows from the fact that circuits over an extension field of F_p can be simulated by circuits over F_p with only a small increase in size.
- Assume that there is a polynomial-size circuit family computing permanent polynomials over \mathbb{Z} .

PROOF: AN ALTERNATIVE EXPRESSION FOR $E_n(x)$

- Let \hat{F} be an extension of F with $t = |\hat{F}| \geq n^2$ and $t = O(n^2)$.
- Let $c_\alpha = E_n(\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in \hat{F}$.
- Define $G(x)$ as:

$$G_n(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \hat{F}} c_\alpha \cdot \frac{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq \alpha} (x - \beta)}{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq \alpha} (\alpha - \beta)}.$$

- $G_n(x)$ agrees with $E_n(x)$ at every point in \hat{F} .
- And $G_n(x) - E_n(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $< t$.
- Therefore, $E_n(x) = G_n(x)$.

PROOF: AN ALTERNATIVE EXPRESSION FOR $E_n(x)$

- Let \hat{F} be an extension of F with $t = |\hat{F}| \geq n^2$ and $t = O(n^2)$.
- Let $c_\alpha = E_n(\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in \hat{F}$.
- Define $G(x)$ as:

$$G_n(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \hat{F}} c_\alpha \cdot \frac{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq \alpha} (x - \beta)}{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq \alpha} (\alpha - \beta)}.$$

- $G_n(x)$ agrees with $E_n(x)$ at every point in \hat{F} .
- And $G_n(x) - E_n(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $< t$.
- Therefore, $E_n(x) = G_n(x)$.

PROOF: AN ALTERNATIVE EXPRESSION FOR $E_n(x)$

- Let \hat{F} be an extension of F with $t = |\hat{F}| \geq n^2$ and $t = O(n^2)$.
- Let $c_\alpha = E_n(\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in \hat{F}$.
- Define $G(x)$ as:

$$G_n(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \hat{F}} c_\alpha \cdot \frac{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq \alpha} (x - \beta)}{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq \alpha} (\alpha - \beta)}.$$

- $G_n(x)$ agrees with $E_n(x)$ at every point in \hat{F} .
- And $G_n(x) - E_n(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $< t$.
- Therefore, $E_n(x) = G_n(x)$.

PROOF: AN ALTERNATIVE EXPRESSION FOR $E_n(x)$

- Let \hat{F} be an extension of F with $t = |\hat{F}| \geq n^2$ and $t = O(n^2)$.
- Let $c_\alpha = E_n(\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in \hat{F}$.
- Define $G(x)$ as:

$$G_n(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \hat{F}} c_\alpha \cdot \frac{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq \alpha} (x - \beta)}{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq \alpha} (\alpha - \beta)}.$$

- $G_n(x)$ agrees with $E_n(x)$ at every point in \hat{F} .
- And $G_n(x) - E_n(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $< t$.
- Therefore, $E_n(x) = G_n(x)$.

PROOF: AN ALTERNATIVE EXPRESSION FOR $E_n(x)$

- Let \hat{F} be an extension of F with $t = |\hat{F}| \geq n^2$ and $t = O(n^2)$.
- Let $c_\alpha = E_n(\alpha)$ for every $\alpha \in \hat{F}$.
- Define $G(x)$ as:

$$G_n(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \hat{F}} c_\alpha \cdot \frac{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq \alpha} (x - \beta)}{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq \alpha} (\alpha - \beta)}.$$

- $G_n(x)$ agrees with $E_n(x)$ at every point in \hat{F} .
- And $G_n(x) - E_n(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $< t$.
- Therefore, $E_n(x) = G_n(x)$.

PROOF: COMPUTING $G_n(x)$

- Let g be a generator of \hat{F}^* .
- Rewrite $G_n(x)$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(x) &= x - x^{t-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{t-2} c_{g^k} \frac{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq g^k} (x - \beta)}{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq g^k} (g^k - \beta)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} u(n, k) x^k. \end{aligned}$$

- We show that the function u belongs to $\#P\#P$.
- The size of inputs in computations below is $O(\log n)$.
- Notice that

$$c_{g^k} = \prod_{\ell=1}^n (1 - g^{k\ell}) = g^{\sum_{\ell=1}^n h_\ell},$$

for appropriate numbers h_ℓ .

- From ℓ and k , numbers h_ℓ can be computed by a single-valued NP machine.

PROOF: COMPUTING $G_n(x)$

- Let g be a generator of \hat{F}^* .
- Rewrite $G_n(x)$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(x) &= x - x^{t-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{t-2} c_{g^k} \frac{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq g^k} (x - \beta)}{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq g^k} (g^k - \beta)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} u(n, k) x^k. \end{aligned}$$

- We show that the function u belongs to $\#P\#P$.
- The size of inputs in computations below is $O(\log n)$.
- Notice that

$$c_{g^k} = \prod_{\ell=1}^n (1 - g^{k\ell}) = g^{\sum_{\ell=1}^n h_\ell},$$

for appropriate numbers h_ℓ .

- From ℓ and k , numbers h_ℓ can be computed by a single-valued NP machine.

PROOF: COMPUTING $G_n(x)$

- Let g be a generator of \hat{F}^* .
- Rewrite $G_n(x)$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(x) &= x - x^{t-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{t-2} c_{g^k} \frac{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq g^k} (x - \beta)}{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq g^k} (g^k - \beta)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} u(n, k) x^k. \end{aligned}$$

- We show that the function u belongs to $\#P\#P$.
- The size of inputs in computations below is $O(\log n)$.
- Notice that

$$c_{g^k} = \prod_{\ell=1}^n (1 - g^{k\ell}) = g^{\sum_{\ell=1}^n h_\ell},$$

for appropriate numbers h_ℓ .

- From ℓ and k , numbers h_ℓ can be computed by a single-valued NP machine.

PROOF: COMPUTING $G_n(x)$

- Let g be a generator of \hat{F}^* .
- Rewrite $G_n(x)$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(x) &= x - x^{t-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{t-2} c_{g^k} \frac{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq g^k} (x - \beta)}{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq g^k} (g^k - \beta)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{t-1} u(n, k) x^k. \end{aligned}$$

- We show that the function u belongs to $\#P\#P$.
- The size of inputs in computations below is $O(\log n)$.
- Notice that

$$c_{g^k} = \prod_{\ell=1}^n (1 - g^{k\ell}) = g^{\sum_{\ell=1}^n h_\ell},$$

for appropriate numbers h_ℓ .

- From ℓ and k , numbers h_ℓ can be computed by a single-valued NP machine.

PROOF: COMPUTING $G_n(x)$

- Observe that

$$\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq g^k} (g^k - \beta) = \prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}^*} \beta = -1.$$

- And

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq g^k} (x - \beta) &= \frac{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}} (x - \beta)}{x - g^k} \\ &= \frac{x^t - x}{x - g^k} \\ &= x^{t-1} + g^k x^{t-2} + g^{2k} x^{t-3} + \dots + g^{(t-2)k} x, \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq k < t$.

PROOF: COMPUTING $G_n(x)$

- Observe that

$$\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq g^k} (g^k - \beta) = \prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}^*} \beta = -1.$$

- And

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}, \beta \neq g^k} (x - \beta) &= \frac{\prod_{\beta \in \hat{F}} (x - \beta)}{x - g^k} \\ &= \frac{x^t - x}{x - g^k} \\ &= x^{t-1} + g^k x^{t-2} + g^{2k} x^{t-3} + \dots + g^{(t-2)k} x, \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq k < t$.

PROOF: COMPUTING $G_n(x)$

- Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(x) &= x - x^{t-1} - \sum_{k=0}^{t-2} c_{g^k} \cdot \sum_{\ell=1}^{t-1} g^{(t-\ell-1)k} x^\ell \\ &= x - x^{t-1} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{t-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{t-2} c_{g^k} g^{(t-\ell-1)k} \right) x^\ell \\ &= x - x^{t-1} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{t-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{t-2} g^{(t-\ell-1)k + \sum_{m=1}^n h_m} \right) x^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

- The above equation shows that the function u is in $\#P^{\#P}$.

PROOF: COMPUTING $G_n(x)$

- Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}G_n(x) &= x - x^{t-1} - \sum_{k=0}^{t-2} c_{g^k} \cdot \sum_{\ell=1}^{t-1} g^{(t-\ell-1)k} x^\ell \\&= x - x^{t-1} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{t-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{t-2} c_{g^k} g^{(t-\ell-1)k} \right) x^\ell \\&= x - x^{t-1} - \sum_{\ell=1}^{t-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{t-2} g^{(t-\ell-1)k + \sum_{m=1}^n h_m} \right) x^\ell.\end{aligned}$$

- The above equation shows that the function u is in $\#P\#P$.

PROOF: COMPUTING $G_n(x)$

- We have assumed that the permanent polynomial can be computed by a polynomial size circuit.
- This implies that any function in $\#P$ can be computed by a polynomial size arithmetic circuit.
- This implies that the function u is in $\#P/poly$.
- Since

$$G_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^t u(n, k)x^k,$$

it follows that $G_n(x)$ can be computed as permanent of a small size ($= O(\log n)$) matrix.

- This matrix will have entries 0 , -1 , and following powers of x : x , x^2 , x^{2^2} , x^{2^3} , \dots , $x^{2^{\lceil \log t \rceil}}$:
 - ▶ permanent of a matrix is a multilinear polynomial of its entries, and so these powers of x can be used to create all the other powers of $x < t$.
- This gives $\log^{O(1)} n$ -size circuit to compute $G_n(x)$ over Z .

PROOF: COMPUTING $G_n(x)$

- We have assumed that the permanent polynomial can be computed by a polynomial size circuit.
- This implies that any function in $\#P$ can be computed by a polynomial size arithmetic circuit.
- This implies that the function u is in $\#P/\text{poly}$.
- Since

$$G_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^t u(n, k)x^k,$$

it follows that $G_n(x)$ can be computed as permanent of a small size ($= O(\log n)$) matrix.

- This matrix will have entries $0, -1$, and following powers of x : $x, x^2, x^{2^2}, x^{2^3}, \dots, x^{2^{\lceil \log t \rceil}}$.
 - ▶ permanent of a matrix is a multilinear polynomial of its entries, and so these powers of x can be used to create all the other powers of $x < t$.
- This gives $\log^{O(1)} n$ -size circuit to compute $G_n(x)$ over Z .

PROOF: COMPUTING $G_n(x)$

- We have assumed that the permanent polynomial can be computed by a polynomial size circuit.
- This implies that any function in $\#P$ can be computed by a polynomial size arithmetic circuit.
- This implies that the function u is in $\#P/\text{poly}$.
- Since

$$G_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^t u(n, k)x^k,$$

it follows that $G_n(x)$ can be computed as permanent of a small size ($= O(\log n)$) matrix.

- This matrix will have entries 0 , -1 , and following powers of x : x , x^2 , x^{2^2} , x^{2^3} , \dots , $x^{2^{\lceil \log t \rceil}}$:
 - ▶ permanent of a matrix is a multilinear polynomial of its entries, and so these powers of x can be used to create all the other powers of $x < t$.
- This gives $\log^{O(1)} n$ -size circuit to compute $G_n(x)$ over Z .

PROOF: COMPUTING $G_n(x)$

- We have assumed that the permanent polynomial can be computed by a polynomial size circuit.
- This implies that any function in $\#P$ can be computed by a polynomial size arithmetic circuit.
- This implies that the function u is in $\#P/\text{poly}$.
- Since

$$G_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^t u(n, k)x^k,$$

it follows that $G_n(x)$ can be computed as permanent of a small size ($= O(\log n)$) matrix.

- This matrix will have entries 0 , -1 , and following powers of x : x , x^2 , x^{2^2} , x^{2^3} , \dots , $x^{2^{\lceil \log t \rceil}}$:
 - ▶ permanent of a matrix is a multilinear polynomial of its entries, and so these powers of x can be used to create all the other powers of $x < t$.
- This gives $\log^{O(1)} n$ -size circuit to compute $G_n(x)$ over Z .

PROOF: COMPUTING $E_n(x)$

- This circuit can be converted to a $\log^{O(1)} n$ -size arithmetic circuit over F since coefficients of $G_n(x)$ are in F .
- Using [AV08], this circuit can be transformed to a depth four circuit of size $n^{o(1)}$.
- This implies that the polynomial $E_n(x)$ can be computed by a $n^{o(1)}$ -size arithmetic circuit over F .
- This contradicts the hypothesis that $E_n(x)$ requires circuit of size n^ϵ over F for some $\epsilon > 0$.

PROOF: COMPUTING $E_n(x)$

- This circuit can be converted to a $\log^{O(1)} n$ -size arithmetic circuit over F since coefficients of $G_n(x)$ are in F .
- Using [AV08], this circuit can be transformed to a depth four circuit of size $n^{o(1)}$.
- This implies that the polynomial $E_n(x)$ can be computed by a $n^{o(1)}$ -size arithmetic circuit over F .
- This contradicts the hypothesis that $E_n(x)$ requires circuit of size n^ϵ over F for some $\epsilon > 0$.

GENERALIZATIONS

- The theorem can be strengthened to show that permanent polynomial requires size $s(n)$ where s is any function satisfying $s(s(n)) = 2^{o(n)}$.
- It should be possible to strengthen it further to $s(n) = 2^{\Omega(n)}$.

GENERALIZATIONS

- The theorem can be strengthened to show that permanent polynomial requires size $s(n)$ where s is any function satisfying $s(s(n)) = 2^{o(n)}$.
- It should be possible to strengthen it further to $s(n) = 2^{\Omega(n)}$.

A CONJECTURE

CONJECTURE

Let $P(x)$ be a polynomial computed by a depth four circuit of size m .
Then $P(x) \neq 0 \pmod{x^k - 1}$ for some $k \leq m^{1/4}$.

If the conjecture is true then the lower bound on permanent polynomial follows.

A CONJECTURE

CONJECTURE

Let $P(x)$ be a polynomial computed by a depth four circuit of size m .
Then $P(x) \neq 0 \pmod{x^k - 1}$ for some $k \leq m^{1/4}$.

If the conjecture is true then the lower bound on permanent polynomial follows.

THOUGHTS ON THE CONJECTURE

- The conjecture relates the size of a shallow circuit computing a polynomial to the number of small roots of unity that the polynomial can have.
- It is similar in spirit to τ -conjecture of Shub-Smale that relates the size of an arithmetic circuit computing a polynomial to the number of integer roots the polynomial can have.

THOUGHTS ON THE CONJECTURE

- The conjecture relates the size of a shallow circuit computing a polynomial to the number of small roots of unity that the polynomial can have.
- It is similar in spirit to τ -conjecture of [Shub-Smale](#) that relates the size of an arithmetic circuit computing a polynomial to the number of integer roots the polynomial can have.

OPEN PROBLEMS

- Prove the theorem for $s(n) = 2^{\Omega(n)}$.
- Prove the theorem for permanent polynomial computed by circuits over \mathbb{Q} .
- Prove the conjecture.

OPEN PROBLEMS

- Prove the theorem for $s(n) = 2^{\Omega(n)}$.
- Prove the theorem for permanent polynomial computed by circuits over \mathbb{Q} .
- Prove the conjecture.

OPEN PROBLEMS

- Prove the theorem for $s(n) = 2^{\Omega(n)}$.
- Prove the theorem for permanent polynomial computed by circuits over \mathbb{Q} .
- Prove the conjecture.